Rapeseed population arrangement defined by adaptability and stability parameters
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to identify the plant arrangement that allows greater grain yield with adaptability and stability of rapeseed hybrids. The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with four replicates and the 12 treatments consisted of combination of inter-row spacings (0.20, 0.40, and 0.60 m) and plant densities (20, 40, 60 and 80 plants m⁻²). The hybrids Hyola 432 (early cycle) and Hyola 61 (medium cycle) were cultivated in three growing seasons (2008, 2009 and 2010). Grain yield was evaluated based on the parameters adaptability and stability according to the models of Wricke and Eberhart & Russell. The plant arrangement for obtaining higher grain yield depends on the cultivated genotype. Higher grain yield was obtained in the arrangements of 0.20 m x 60 and 0.40 m x 60 plants m⁻² for Hyola 432, and in 0.20 m x 60 plants m⁻² for Hyola 61, in the three years of evaluation. In the inter-row spacing of 0.40 m and densities of 40 and 60 plants m⁻², Hyola 432 shows high yield, adaptability to wide environments and stability. For Hyola 61, high productivity with overall adaptability was obtained in the inter-row spacing of 0.40 m, with 60 plants m⁻².
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Arranjo populacional em canola definido pelos parâmetros de adaptabilidade e estabilidade

Resumo

Propôs-se, com este trabalho, identificar o arranjo de plantas que possibilite maior produtividade de grãos com adaptabilidade e estabilidade em híbridos de canola. Os experimentos foram desenvolvidos em blocos ao acaso com quatro repetições nos 12 tratamentos da combinação entre o espaçamento entre linhas (0.20, 0.40, 0.60 m) e a densidade de plantas (20, 40, 60 e 80 plantas m⁻²). Foram cultivados os híbridos Hyola 432 - ciclo precoce e Hyola 61 - ciclo médio, em três safras agrícolas (2008, 2009 e 2010). Foi avaliada a produtividade de grãos pelos parâmetros de adaptabilidade e estabilidade pelo modelo de Wricke e de Eberhart & Russell. O arranjo de plantas para a obtenção de maior produtividade de grãos depende do genótipo cultivado. A produtividade de grãos para o Hyola 432 foi maior nos arranjos de plantas de 0,20 m x 60 e 0,40 m x 60 plantas m⁻² e para o Hyola 61 no arranjo de 0,20 m x 60 plantas m⁻², nos três anos de avaliação. No espaçamento entre linhas de 0,40 m e densidade de 40 e 60 plantas m⁻² o Hyola 432 evidencia alta produtividade, adaptabilidade a ambientes amplos e estabilidade. No Hyola 61 a alta produtividade com adaptabilidade geral foi obtida no espaçamento entre linhas de 0,40 m com 60 plantas m⁻².
**Introduction**

The state of Rio Grande do Sul has the highest production of rapeseed in Brazil, with mean of 39.5 thousand tons and yield of 1,320 kg ha$^{-1}$ (CONAB, 2013). Rapeseed yield has great variations along the cultivation years. These variations can occur due to the differences in meteorological conditions from year to year (Dalmago et al., 2009), losses through natural threshing, due to uneven maturation of siliques (Silva et al., 2011) and management practices, such as sowing spacing and density (Shahin & Valiollah, 2009).

Rapeseed management practices were reported by Tomm (2007), who indicates orchards with 40 plants m$^{-2}$, uniformly distributed, with the lowest spacing available in the sowing machine; however, this author also highlights successful results with spacings of up to 45 cm between rows. In this context, Chavarria et al. (2011) point out that the efforts in research and development are incipient for rapeseed, and there is a lack of technical-scientific information on crop management, such as adequate inter-row spacing and sowing density.

Rapeseed is a grain-producing species with phenotypical plasticity, which determines plant morphological adjustment to different conditions of space and light, thus showing compensating mechanisms among the different plant components, which alter the relationships of source and sink (Jullien et al., 2011). Therefore, an adequate plant arrangement can contribute to higher uniformity of silique maturation, as well as to the increase in grain yield (Bandeira et al., 2013). Shahin & Valiollah (2009) point out that rapeseed yield is more stable when plants are uniformly distributed. Therefore, indicating a plant arrangement that allows high grain yield and silique maturation uniformity is of great importance for the consolidation of the crop in Brazil.

The use of biometric models that allow estimating the stability of grain yield represents valuable information for the recommendation of cultivars and/or adjustment of the best crop management (Silva & Duarte, 2006). Among these models, adaptability and stability models, through the quantification of their parameters, aim to define the response of genotypes to specific environmental conditions (Benin et al., 2005). There are different models for the determination of these parameters. The method of Eberhart & Russell (1966) is based on simple linear regression of the genotype as a function of environmental indices. The linear regression coefficient ($\beta_0$) is a measurement of the adaptability and the standard deviations ($\sigma^2_\omega$) are a measurement of the stability. The ideal genotype is that with regression coefficient equal to one and with the lowest regression deviation possible (Cruz & Carneiro, 2003). The model of Wricke (1965) employs the methodology called ecovariance, which decomposes the sum of the squares of the interaction into parts attributed to each genotype and considers as the most stable the one with the lowest estimate of ecovariance ($\omega$) (Cruz & Carneiro, 2003). The models of Wricke (1965) and Eberhart & Russell (1966) have been used in various studies with grain-producing species, such as in Silva & Duarte (2006) with soybean, Cargnelutti Filho et al. (2007) with corn and Pereira et al. (2009), with bean.

Rapeseed performance is directly associated with management practices and the meteorological conditions during its cycle, notably air temperature and rainfall (Dalmago et al., 2009). The need for the generation of scientific information in order to improve the state of the art regarding the management practices for the success of this crop must be highlighted.

This study aimed to identify the arrangement of plants that allows higher grain yield with adaptability and stability in rapeseed hybrids.

**Material and Methods**

The studies were carried out in the agricultural years of 2008, 2009 and 2010, at the Regional Institute of Rural Development (IRDeR), linked to the Department of Agrarian Studies of the Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul (UNIJUI), in Augusto Pestana-RS, Brazil (28° 26’ 306” S; 54° 00’ 58” W; 298 m). The soil in the experimental area is classified as typical dystroferric Red Latosol (Santos et al., 2006). The climate in the region is CfA (subtropical), according to Köppen’s classification.

The experiments were set in a randomized block design, with four replicates. The treatments of plant arrangements considered three inter-row spacings (0.20, 0.40 and 0.60 m) and four plant densities (20, 40, 60 and 80 plants m$^{-2}$) in the following combinations: 0.20 x 20, 0.20 x 40, 0.20 x 60, 0.20 x 80, 0.40 x 20, 0.40 x 40, 0.40 x 60, 0.40 x 80, 0.60 x 20, 0.60 x 40, 0.60 x 60 and 0.60 x 80. The hybrids Hyola 432, with early cycle, and Hyola 61, with medium cycle, were used in this study. The experimental unit consisted of five 5-m-long rows, changing the dimensions of the area according to the proposed spacings.

Soil correction and crop fertilization were performed according to the soil analysis for an expected grain yield of approximately 1,500 kg ha$^{-1}$. Sowing was manually performed in the third week of May, in the years of 2008 and 2009, and in the last week of June, in 2010, as recommended by the agroclimatic zoning for rapeseed. An amount of seeds greater than the minimum necessary for each density was used for sowing. The final adjustment in the number of plants, in order to obtain the desired plant densities, was performed through thinning, when plants had two to three leaves. The analysed variable was grain yield (kg ha$^{-1}$), which was estimated through the manual harvest of the entire plot and the drying of samples until constant weight, close to 12%. Plots were harvested in late October in 2008 and 2009, and in late November in 2010.

The analysis of variance was performed to identify the interaction Cultivation Year versus Plant Arrangement in rapeseed hybrids with different maturation cycles. Then, the means were grouped through the method Scott-Knott at 0.05 probability level. The parameters adaptability and stability were estimated through the method of simple regression of Eberhart & Russell (1966) and stability through the method of Wricke (1965). The stability model proposed by Wricke (1965) considers as stable the genotypes with low values of ecovariance ($\omega$). In the methodology proposed by Eberhart & Russell (1966), genotypes with regression deviations ($\sigma^2_\omega$) equal to zero are considered as stable and those with $\sigma^2_\omega \neq 0$ are considered as unstable. Adaptability is given by the linear regression coefficient ($\beta$), which classifies genotypes adapted to favorable conditions.
environments \( (\beta_{i} > 1) \), genotypes adapted to unfavorable environments \( (\beta_{i} < 1) \) and those with wide adaptation \( (\beta_{i} = 1) \) (Cruz & Carneiro, 2003). The analysis were performed using the computational program GENES (Cruz, 2006).

**Results and Discussion**

According to the analysis of variance, there were significant effects of the isolated factors (plant arrangement and cultivation year) on the grain yields of the evaluated hybrids (Table 1). In addition, the interaction between factors also caused significant effects and, thus, it needed to be detailed regarding plant arrangement by evaluation year. The mean squares for the cultivation years showed greater effects of this factor as compared to plant arrangement, regardless of the tested hybrid. Such condition evidences more pronounced effects of cultivation year compared with plant arrangement, indicating that the meteorological conditions of a certain year were more decisive for the alterations in grain yield. Furthermore, the mean square for the hybrid Hyola 432 was higher than that for Hyola 61, which raises the hypothesis of higher instability of this hybrid regarding the expression of grain yield.

Rapeseed grain yield is a result of the interaction between genetic potential, management techniques, such as nitrogen fertilization (Kaefer et al., 2014), and meteorological conditions occurring during the crop cycle, associated with air temperature (Dalmago et al., 2009). Marjanović-Jeromela et al. (2011) attributed yield variations in winter rapeseed along the cultivation years to the irregular availability of rainfall during the crop cycle, especially in the grain filling stage. In addition, Bandeira et al. (2013) pointed out that an inadequate plant arrangement in this species also tends to promote variations in grain yield, especially because it is a species with indeterminate growth habit (Koenig et al., 2011).

For Hyola 432, the highest grain yields in 2008 were obtained with the arrangements of 0.20 x 60 and 0.40 x 60 (Table 2); in 2009, the highest yield occurred for the condition of 0.20 x 60 and, in 2010, the best response was observed in the arrangement of 0.40 x 60, similar to the year of 2008. The inter-row spacings of 0.20 and 0.40 m were the most adequate conditions for this hybrid, compared with Hyola 61, provided that a plant density of 60 plants m\(^{-2}\) is maintained (Table 2).

According to the overall mean, the hybrid Hyola 432 showed superiority of grain yield (S) in the inter-row spacing s of 0.20 and 0.40 m associated with the density of 60 plants m\(^{-2}\) (Table 2). The inter-row spacing of 0.20 m with density of 40 plants m\(^{-2}\) also promoted the highest grain yield for Hyola 61. However, in the inter-row spacing of 0.40 m, although Hyola 432 was not superior to Hyola 61, the obtained means were close to those of the arrangement with the highest yield at densities of 20 and 40 plants m\(^{-2}\).

Rapeseed grain yield, besides showing differences regarding the cultivation years and plant arrangement, evidenced different responses for the tested hybrids. Bandeira et al. (2013) observed higher grain yield in spacing of 17 cm with population density of 45 plants m\(^{-2}\) using the hybrid Hyola 61. On the other hand, Jacob Júnior et al. (2012) observed higher grain yield using a density of 250 thousand plants per hectare for the hybrid Toccata. Due to rapeseed phenotypical plasticity, a factor related to the growth/flowering habit, the great alterations in its morphology stand out (Sultan, 2003).

In the comparison between cultivation years for the hybrid Hyola 432, the year of 2009 was favorable to the expression of the highest grain yield, followed by 2010 and 2008, respectively, which were different (Table 2). On the other hand, Hyola 61 showed similar grain yield response in the cultivation years of 2009 and 2010, with the lowest grain yield in 2008. These results tend to characterize the hybrid Hyola 432 as the one with the highest instability for grain production, compared with Hyola 61. Studies on genetic and environmental effects show that 73% of the variation in grain yield in winter rapeseed

---

**Table 1. Summary of the analysis of variance for grain yield as a function of plant arrangement in different cultivation years for two rapeseed hybrids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Mean square (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Hyola 432</th>
<th>Hyola 61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.782</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant arrangement (PA)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>403,869 *</td>
<td>179,073 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivation years (CY)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,867,841 *</td>
<td>849,428 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA x CY</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>89,256 *</td>
<td>105,760 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>5,408</td>
<td>5,562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>983</td>
<td>876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 probability level by F test; DF – Degrees of freedom

**Table 2. Mean grain yield as a function of plant arrangements in the cultivation years of two rapeseed hybrids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant arrangement (plants m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Years/Grain yield</th>
<th>Overall Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 20</td>
<td>756 Bc</td>
<td>1164 Ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 40</td>
<td>896 Bb</td>
<td>1226 Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 60</td>
<td>1143 Ba</td>
<td>1918 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 80</td>
<td>973 Bb</td>
<td>1516 Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 20</td>
<td>925 Bb</td>
<td>1199 Ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 40</td>
<td>953 Bb</td>
<td>1361 Ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 60</td>
<td>1129 Ba</td>
<td>1315 Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 80</td>
<td>902 Bb</td>
<td>1312 Ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 20</td>
<td>747 Bc</td>
<td>1021 Af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 40</td>
<td>918 Ab</td>
<td>975 Ag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 60</td>
<td>985 Bb</td>
<td>1084 Af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 80</td>
<td>990 Ab</td>
<td>934 Ag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>943 B</td>
<td>1285 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same lowercase letters in the column and uppercase letters in the row do not differ at 0.05 probability level, according to the model of Scott-Knott; S – Superior to the mean + 1 standard deviation; and I – Inferior to the mean + 1 standard deviation

---

is explained by the environment, 8% by difference between genotypes and 19% by the interaction genotype x environment (Marjanović-Jeromela et al., 2011).

Therefore, the determination of environments that are favorable and stable for rapeseed grain yield becomes relevant. The observed results, caused by the effects of cultivation years, can also be confirmed by the analysis of the meteorological conditions during the crop cycle (Figure 1). In the year of 2009, rapeseed plants showed the highest grain yield, possibly due to the highest rainfall observed along crop cycle (1124 mm),

![Figure 1. Meteorological data of air temperature (T_{mean}) and rainfall (P) during the development of rapeseed in 2008 (A), 2009 (B) and 2010 (C)](image-url)
compared with the climatological normal (1047 mm), different from that in 2008, when rainfall was lower, especially in September and, in 2010, in November, when the crop was in the stage of flowering and grain filling.

The analysis of adaptability and stability of rapeseed in different plant arrangements showed that, despite having the highest grain yield means in the arrangements of 0.20 x 60 and 0.40 x 60, the hybrid Hyola 432 showed adaptability for both favorable and unfavorable cultivation environments only in the arrangement of 0.40 x 60 (Table 3). On the other hand, there was no stability in the arrangement of 0.40 x 60, since $\sigma_{\beta}$ was significant, indicating that the mean value of grain yield, although high, did not point to stability of expression in this condition.

The plant arrangements of 0.20 x 80 and 0.40 x 40 also promoted yields above 1000 kg ha$^{-1}$ and, despite showing wide adaptability, were not stable according to the model of Eberhart & Russell (1966). Nevertheless, Hyola 432 in the plant arrangement of 0.40 x 60 was the most stable condition, according to the model of Wricke (1965), with a lower ecovariance value compared with the arrangement of 0.20 x 60 (Table 3).

In the hybrid Hyola 61, the arrangements of 0.40 x 60 and 0.40 x 80 simultaneously promoted high grain yield and adaptability to favorable and unfavorable environments (Table 3). The plant arrangements of 0.20 x 40, 0.20 x 60 and 0.20 x 80 m showed specific adaptability to favorable environments ($\beta_1 > 0$).

In Table 3, the mean grain yield and parameters of adaptability and stability in rapeseed according to Eberhart & Russell (1966) and Wricke (1965), as a function of plant arrangement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA (plants m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>MY (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Eberhart &amp; Russell (1966)</th>
<th>Wricke (1965)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\beta}$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 20</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>1.00$^{ss}$</td>
<td>1.973$^{ss}$</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 40</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>0.90$^{ss}$</td>
<td>1049$^{ss}$</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 60</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>1.18$^{ss}$</td>
<td>12095$^{ss}$</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 m x 80</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>1.19$^{ss}$</td>
<td>15997$^{ss}$</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 20</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>0.65$^{ss}$</td>
<td>1118$^{ss}$</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 40</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>0.86$^{ss}$</td>
<td>837$^{ss}$</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 60</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>1.26$^{ss}$</td>
<td>16364$^{ss}$</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40 m x 80</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>1.00$^{ss}$</td>
<td>1932$^{ss}$</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 20</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>1.02$^{ss}$</td>
<td>31897$^{ss}$</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 40</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>0.52$^{ss}$</td>
<td>10518$^{ss}$</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 60</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>0.85$^{ss}$</td>
<td>27738$^{ss}$</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 m x 80</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>0.65$^{ss}$</td>
<td>60947$^{ss}$</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hyola 432 (early cycle) has higher grain yield, adaptability to favorable environments and stability for Hyola 432 and in the arrangement of 0.20 m x 60 plants m$^{-2}$ for Hyola 61, in the three evaluation years.

1. The arrangement of plants for obtaining the highest grain yield depends on the cultivated genotype.

2. Grain yield was higher in the arrangements of 0.20 m x 60 plants m$^{-2}$ and 0.40 m x 60 plants m$^{-2}$ for Hyola 432 and in the arrangement of 0.20 m x 60 plants m$^{-2}$ for Hyola 61, in the three evaluation years.

3. In the inter-row spacing of 0.40 m and densities of 40 and 60 plants m$^{-2}$, Hyola 432 shows high yield, adaptability to wide environments and stability. For Hyola 61, high yield with general adaptability was obtained in the inter-row spacing of 0.40 m with 60 plants m$^{-2}$.

**Conclusions**

1. The analysis of adaptability and stability contribute to management adjustments and better recommendation of cultivars. This is observed in species of agricultural interest, such as corn for grain production (Cargnelutti Filho et al., 2007), oat for the content of beta-glucan (Crestani et al., 2010) and soybean for oil and protein contents in the seeds (Rodrigues et al., 2014).
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