



DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v20n3p236-242>

Extraction/export of nutrients in *Opuntia ficus-indica* under different spacings and chemical fertilizers

João A. da Silva¹, Sérgio L. R. Donato¹, Paulo E. R. Donato¹, Evilasio dos S. Souza¹, Milton C. Padilha Júnior¹ & Ancilon A. e Silva Junior¹

¹ Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Baiano. Guanambi, BA. E-mail: joao.silva@guanambi.ifbaiano.edu.br (Corresponding author); sergio.donato@guanambi.ifbaiano.edu.br; paulo.donato@guanambi.ifbaiano.edu.br; evilasiomutans@hotmail.com; padilhajrnc@gmail.com; ancilon.silva@guanambi.ifbaiano.edu.br

Key words:

Opuntia
nutrient uptake
concentration
NPK fertilization

ABSTRACT

This work aimed to evaluate extraction/export of nutrients and dry matter production in the 'Gigante' cactus pear, grown in different spacings and fertilizations 620 days after planting. Twelve combination of treatments were used consisting of: three spacings - 1.00 x 0.50; 2.00 x 0.25; and 3.00 x 1.00 x 0.25 m, and four fertilizations - 000-000-000; 000-150-000; 200-150-000; and 200-150-100, kg ha⁻¹, of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O, in a 3 x 4 factorial scheme in a randomized block design, with three replicates. Extraction/export of N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, B, Fe, Mn, Zn, Na and Cu were determined and the means were 304.35; 18.81; 421.04; 62.35; 464.63; 215.77; 0.39; 0.81; 23.74; 1.11; 0.62 and 0.08 kg ha⁻¹, besides the mean dry matter production of 17.11 Mg ha⁻¹. There were significant interactions for extraction/export of Mg and dry matter production. The fertilizations used were insufficient to meet the demand of N, K, Ca, Mg, S and micronutrients. Fertilization increased the extraction of nutrients, particularly N, P and S at the spacing of 1.00 x 0.50 m, and increased dry matter production. The decreasing order of extraction/export was Ca, K, N, Mg, S and P for macronutrients and Mn, Zn, Fe, Na, B and Cu for micronutrients.

Palavras-chave:

Opuntia
absorção de nutrientes
concentração
fertilização NPK

Extração/exportação de nutrientes pela palma forrageira 'Gigante' em diferentes espaçamentos e adubações químicas

RESUMO

Objetivou-se, com este trabalho, avaliar extração/exportação de nutrientes e produção de matéria seca na palma forrageira 'Gigante', cultivada em diferentes espaçamentos e adubações aos 620 dias após o plantio. Foram utilizados 12 combinações de tratamentos consistindo de: três espaçamentos, 1,00 x 0,50; 2,00 x 0,25; e 3,00 x 1,00 x 0,25 m, e quatro adubações, 000-000-000; 000-150-000; 200-150-000; e 200-150-100, kg ha⁻¹, de N, P₂O₅ e K₂O, dispostos em fatorial 3 x 4, delineado em blocos casualizados, com três repetições. Determinaram-se: extração/exportação de: N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, B, Fe, Mn, Zn, Na e Cu, cujas médias foram: 304,35; 18,81; 421,04; 62,35; 464,63; 215,77; 0,39; 0,81; 23,74; 1,11; 0,62; 0,08 kg ha⁻¹ e produção de matéria seca média de 17,11 Mg ha⁻¹. Houve interações significativas para a extração/exportação de Mg e produção de matéria seca. As adubações não atenderam às quantidades extraídas/exportadas de N, K, Ca, Mg nem dos micronutrientes. As adubações elevaram as extrações principalmente de N, P e S, no espaçamento 1,00 x 0,50 m e aumentaram a produção de matéria seca. A ordem decrescente de extração/exportação de macronutrientes foi Ca, K, N, Mg, S e P e de micronutrientes Mn, Zn, Fe, Na, B e Cu.



INTRODUCTION

Cactus pear represents a fundamental feed support for cattle in the Brazilian semiarid region, because it tolerates long drought periods of up to five months, maintaining its photosynthetic activity (Zañudo-Hernández et al., 2010). With the cultivation of approximately 600 thousand hectares, Brazil has the largest planted area of cactus pear in the world, where the species *Opuntia ficus-indica* prevails, known as 'Gigante' cactus pear (Araújo et al., 2005). Currently, the cultivation of this species is in expansion in the semiarid region of the states of Bahia and Minas Gerais, intensified by the severe drought of 2012 and 2013.

The correct conduction of the cultivation demands the use of adequate techniques in the search of higher yields, better quality and longevity of the cactus field. Plant population must vary according to soil fertility, amount of rainfall, type of exploration and species or cultivar used. Spacing and fertilization managements can improve the use of radiation and the nutritional status of plants (Blanco-Macías et al., 2010) with the increment in photosynthetic rate, growth, yield and, consequently, in the nutritional value of the produced forage (Silva et al., 2013; Donato et al., 2014b). Espinoza et al. (2008), working with five cultivars in Mexico, reported that significant increases in the production of green and dry matter can be obtained with the use of dense plantation. Ramos et al. (2011) concluded that spacing influences phytomass production per area and the efficiency of the use of rainfall, with higher increments for increasing densities. Such result was also reported by Silva et al. (2014). The influence of fertilization on yield is demonstrated by Stewart et al. (2005), who analyzed results of 362 crop production seasons and verified that 30 to 50% of the yields of the evaluated crops are attributed to nutrients of commercial fertilizers containing mainly N, P and K. Silva et al. (2013) claim that the addition of NPK and NP fertilization resulted in improvement of the nutritional quality of cactus pear and, at the spacing of 1.00 x 0.50 m, in the increase of dry matter production, at 620 days after planting. Santos et al. (2006) highlight that organic or mineral fertilization can increase by more than 100% cactus pear production and that, two years after planting, the dense cultivation promoted production of 250 to 300 Mg ha⁻¹ of green matter, but it requires high fertilization levels and greater care with respect to cultural practices. Cactus pear has high contents of Ca (3.74%), K (1.83%) and Mg (2.14%) in the dry matter (Germano et al., 1999). As to the organic fertilization, Donato et al. (2014a) points out that the plant responds with yield increase up to the application of 71.8 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ of cattle manure and that the increasing doses promoted increment of plant structural characteristics and improved the nutritive value of the cactus pear (Donato et al., 2014b). Donato (2011), with the use of 90 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ of cattle manure and production of 20.9 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter, observed extraction of 300, 50, 920 and 630 kg ha⁻¹ for N, P, K and Ca, respectively.

The nutritional requirements of any plant are determined by the amount of nutrients that it extracts during its productive cycle. For a mean biannual yield of 40 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter, cactus pear extracts about 360 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 64 kg ha⁻¹ of P,

1,032 kg ha⁻¹ of K and 940 kg ha⁻¹ of Ca (Dubeux Júnior & Santos, 2005). Therefore, the total extraction of nutrients will depend on the obtained yield and on crop residues. In this crop, harvest removes virtually all the vegetative part, leaving only crop residues for incorporation to the soil. Thus, there is large extraction/export of nutrients, which compromises the sustainability and the resilience of the production system, especially in soils of semiarid regions (Fialho et al., 2013). As a consequence, fertility problems will worsen over time if a soil management system and adequate fertilizations are not adopted, because the crop remains in the same area for many years. The estimate of the amount of nutrients extracted by the plant and effectively transported allows the correct replenishment of these nutrients in order to maintain plant nutrition and adequate balance of input and output of nutrients in the system, to avoid the progressive decrease in soil fertility. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the extraction/export of nutrients and dry matter production of cactus pear under different spacings and chemical fertilization.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Federal Institute of Bahia, Campus of Guanambi-BA, in a typical dystrophic Red Yellow Latosol, with weak A horizon, medium texture, under hypoxerophytic Caatinga and on flat to gently undulating topography. The coordinates are 14° 13' 30" S and 42° 46' 53" W at an altitude of 545 m and the climate is Aw, according to Köppen's classification, with annual means of 680 mm of rainfall and temperature of 26 °C.

The study consisted in the evaluation of the extraction/export of macro and micronutrients 620 days after planting, by the 'Gigante' cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill). The experiment was set in randomized blocks with 12 treatments arranged in a 3 x 4 factorial scheme, with three replicates. The first factor represented three spacings: a) single row 1.00 x 0.50 m; b) single row 2.00 x 0.25 m and c) double row, 3.00 x 1.00 x 0.25 m, maintaining the population density of 20,000 plants ha⁻¹. The second factor represented four combinations of chemical fertilization: a) without chemical fertilization; b) phosphate (P) fertilization at the dose of 150 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅; c) Phosphate and nitrogen (PN) fertilization at the doses of 150 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ and 200 kg ha⁻¹ of N and d) Nitrogen, phosphate and potassium (NPK) fertilization at the doses of 200 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 150 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ and 100 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O. The experimental plot had dimensions of 16 x 4 m and evaluation area of 8.00 x 2.00 m, totaling 36 experimental units with total area of 2,304 m².

After cleaning, 36 experimental units were delimited and soil samples were collected (layer of 0-20 cm) in each plot for fertility characterization, which showed the following mean results: pH in water = 5.33; (P = 10.6 mg dm⁻³); (K = 0.14; Na = 0.1; Ca = 1.4; Mg = 0.9; Al = 0.1; H+Al = 1.8; SB = 2.4; t = 2.6 and T = 4.4 cmol_c dm⁻³); (V = 55.9 and m = 3.9%); (Cu = 0.3; Fe = 7; Mn = 57.7; Zn = 2.0 mg dm⁻³). After that, subsoiling was performed at 35 cm of depth, besides two harrowings and the opening of furrows, which were 0.30 m deep and spaced by 1.00 m.

Planting was performed in late September 2009, using one cladode per hole, placed in a vertical position and buried until approximately half of its length. The seedlings were obtained from a cactus pear cultivation of the Federal Institute of Bahia, Campus of Guanambi, with approximate weight of 1.0 kg and free from pests and diseases. After cutting and selection at the field, the plants were left, in the shade, for a period of 15 days for the healing of harvest injuries.

During the planting, phosphate fertilization was performed in each plot corresponding to this treatment, by applying 150 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅, which is equivalent to the application of 5.33 kg plot⁻¹ of single superphosphate. Along the experiment, as top-dressing (200 kg ha⁻¹ of N and 100 kg ha⁻¹ of K), 6.4 kg of ammonium sulfate and 1.10 kg of potassium chloride were applied per plot, divided into four applications corresponding to 15, 25, 30 and 30% of the total dose, respectively. The first application was performed on December 28, 2009, after the occurrence of rainfall and the existence of at least one cladode per plant; the second on February 23, 2010, 57 days after the first one, also with moist soil. The third and the fourth applications were performed on November 14 and December 27, 2010, respectively.

Weed control was initially performed using a hoe and, as plant grew, glyphosate (200 mL in 20 L of water) was applied in a jet directed to crop interrows, according to the necessity. Pest control followed the recommendations described by Santos et al. (2006).

Cladode samples were collected for the determination of the contents of macro and micronutrients in the tissue at 620 days after planting (DAP). Cladodes were collected from the middle section of the plants in the evaluation area, totaling 20 samples (with approximately 25 g of mass of cladodes) per treatment. The collection was performed using a hole saw with diameter of 5 cm and depth of 4 cm, adapted to a battery-charged drilling machine, which was activated on the cladode to remove a circular, uniform slice (sample). After collection, the samples were sliced and dried in a forced-air oven at 60 °C for 72 h. Then, the samples were ground in a Wiley-type mill with sieve of 1.0-mm mesh, identified and placed in plastic pots. After that, the samples were sent to the Laboratory of Soils of the Agriculture Research Company of Minas Gerais (Epamig), for the analytical procedures.

The contents of the following elements were determined: nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg), expressed in dag kg⁻¹; and boron (B), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn), sodium (Na) and copper (Cu), expressed in mg kg⁻¹.

Dry matter content was determined according to the methodology described by Silva & Queiroz (2009). Harvest was performed preserving three of the primary cladodes; then, green matter production was calculated, after drying, thus obtaining the dry matter production (DMP) as a function of this content in the cladodes.

Extraction/export of nutrients by the cactus pear was calculated as a function of the dry matter production and the content of the element in the cladode, and expressed in kg ha⁻¹ for macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S) and micronutrients (Cu, B, Zn, Fe, Mn and Na).

The data were subjected to analysis of variance by F test at 0.05 probability level to verify the significance of the interactions between the tested factors. When significant, a follow-up analysis was performed and the means were compared by Tukey test at 0.05 probability level. In the absence of interactions, the effects of the main factors were studied and the analyses were performed using the program SAEG version 9.1, of the Federal University of Viçosa.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Macronutrients - There were significant effects ($p < 0.05$) with respect to the means of extraction/export (kg ha⁻¹) of N, P and S in relation to the different types of fertilization, regardless of the adopted planting spacing (Table 1).

Nitrogen - Fertilizations with N sources, NP and NPK, promoted increase in its concentration and availability in the soil and, consequently, higher extraction/export by the cactus pear, which showed similar means, 391.00 and 413.40 kg ha⁻¹ in this order, but higher than 180.20 and 232.80 kg ha⁻¹, resulting from the treatments without fertilization and with the use of only P, respectively. Donato (2011) found values ranging from 159.9 to 320.3 kg ha⁻¹, in response to the increase in the manure dose applied to the soil from 0 to 90 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In the present study, there was overall mean extraction/export of 177.88 kg ha⁻¹ of N for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ of produced dry matter, superior to the values of 131.6 and 90 kg ha⁻¹ of N for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter observed by Donato (2011) and Santos et al. (2002), respectively.

Phosphorus - For the treatments P, NP and NPK, the extractions/exports of 20.16, 20.74 and 21.95 kg ha⁻¹ of P, respectively, were similar to but higher than the extraction/export of 12.37 kg ha⁻¹, obtained with the treatment without fertilization. Such differences, in comparison to the treatment without fertilization, are justified by the greater availability of P due to the addition, which favored the increase in absorption by the plant. Evaluating P contents in cladodes, Silva et al.

Table 1. Extraction/export (kg ha⁻¹) of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S) and calcium (Ca) in a cactus pear field, harvested 620 days after planting, under different spacings and chemical fertilizations

Fertilizations N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	Extraction/Export (kg ha ⁻¹)				
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Sulfur	Calcium
000-000-000	180.20 B	12.37 B	393.72 A	17.12 B	444.07 A
000-150-000	232.80 B	20.16 A	401.44 A	31.29 B	505.06 A
200-150-000	391.00 A	20.74 A	384.98 A	100.53 A	431.89 A
200-150-100	413.40 A	21.95 A	504.01 A	100.51 A	476.71 A
Mean	304.35	18.81	421.04	62.35	464.63
CV (%)	20.12	22.79	24.87	23.43	19.84

Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the column do not differ significantly by Tukey test at 0.05 probability level

(2012) did not observe differences for the treatments P, NP and NPK. With mean value of 10.99 kg ha⁻¹ for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ of produced dry matter, the value of P extraction/export is lower than 19.4 and 16 kg ha⁻¹ for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ of produced dry matter obtained by Donato (2011) and Santos et al. (2002), respectively. According to Donato (2011) organic fertilization promotes higher availability and mobility of P in the soil, compared with chemical fertilization.

Potassium - With mean of 421.04 kg ha⁻¹, the extraction/export of P did not differ between treatments ($p > 0.05$). This result can be explained by the small addition promoted by the NPK treatments (100 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O). According to Silva et al. (2013), such added amount of K is insufficient to alter significantly K contents in the tissues. Furthermore, the soil already has, before planting, mean content of available K of 53.8 mg dm⁻³, equivalent to 129.63 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O plus non-exchangeable K. The mean value of 421.04 kg ha⁻¹ obtained in the present study is lower than the mean results of 714.5 kg ha⁻¹ at 600 days and 1,032 kg ha⁻¹ every two years, obtained by Dubeux Júnior & Santos (2005) and Donato (2011), respectively. Despite the mean contents of K in the soil and the low amount applied as fertilizer, the replenishment to the solution, through the reserve of the soil solid phase, as K is absorbed from the solution (Novais & Mello, 2007) maintains plant production; however, the extraction is low, a reflex of the availability.

Calcium - The extraction/export of Ca resulting from the treatments without fertilization, with P, NP and NPK did not differ ($p > 0.05$). In the present study, Ca was the most extracted nutrient (464.63 kg ha⁻¹), equivalent to 271.55 kg ha⁻¹ for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ of produced dry matter. It is an intermediate value in relation to 300.7 and 235 kg ha⁻¹ for every 10 Mg ha⁻¹ described by Donato (2011) and Santos et al. (2002), respectively. For Dubeux Júnior & Santos (2005), K and Ca are the nutrients exported in highest amounts by the crop. Although the utilized P source, single superphosphate, contains Ca, the low applied amount, its mean contents in the soil and the soil buffering capacity maintain plant production; in spite of that, the extraction is low, a consequence of the availability.

Sulfur - The extraction/export of S in the treatments NP and NPK were 100.53 and 100.51 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, which were higher than those for the treatment without fertilization (17.12 kg ha⁻¹) and fertilized only with P (31.29 kg ha⁻¹). The addition of S was not the goal, but the sources of N and P (ammonium sulfate, (NH₄)₂SO₄, 20% of N + 24% of S and single superphosphate (Ca(H₂PO₄)₂·H₂O + CaSO₄·2H₂O, 18% of P₂O₅ + 18% of Ca + 11% of S), indirectly added S, which was greater

supply for the treatments NPK and NP, increasing S contents in the soil, thus leading to greater extraction/export. The obtained mean, 62.35 kg ha⁻¹, is superior to the mean extraction/export of 33.7 kg ha⁻¹ observed in the study of Donato (2011).

Magnesium - The extraction/export of Mg was dependent on the interaction ($p < 0.05$) between the spacings and the types of chemical fertilizations used (Table 2).

In the spacing S₁, for the fertilizations P, NP and NPK, the mean extractions/exports of Mg were 253.46, 267.86 and 248.73 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, higher than 140.08 kg ha⁻¹ for the treatment without fertilization. Although Mg is not supplied through the fertilizations, the synergetic interaction of applied P x soil Mg favors the absorption of both (Lana et al., 2004). For the other spacings, S₂ and S₃, the means were similar as a function of the fertilizations.

When NP fertilization was used, the mean extraction/export of Mg, obtained at the spacing S₁ was 267.86 kg ha⁻¹, statistically superior to that obtained in the spacing S₂ (176.96 kg ha⁻¹) and similar to that obtained in the spacing S₃ (182.05 kg ha⁻¹); for these two treatments, no difference was observed between the fertilizations. With overall mean value of 215.77 kg ha⁻¹, the extraction/export of Mg in the present study was close to that found by Donato (2011), who observed mean extraction of 207.1 kg ha⁻¹.

Micronutrients - The extraction/export of Mn differed ($p < 0.05$) independently, for both factors, fertilization and spacing. For Cu, however, regardless of the adopted plant spacing, there was significant effect only for fertilization. For the other elements (B, Fe, Zn and Na), no significant difference was observed for the tested factors (Table 3).

The extraction/export of Cu by cactus pear plants fertilized with NPK was similar to that of plants under NP and higher than that of plants fertilized with P and without fertilization. The mean extraction/export of 0.08 kg ha⁻¹ was similar to the mean (0.07 kg ha⁻¹) obtained by Donato (2011).

The extractions/exports of Mn, 16.27 and 11.12 kg ha⁻¹, for the treatments without fertilization and with P, respectively, are similar and were lower than 30.39 and 37.16 kg ha⁻¹, obtained with the treatments NP and NPK, which also differed; in most cases, soil pH is the factor that most influences the availability of Mn to plants. The increase of soil pH in one unit reduces about 100 times the Mn concentration in the soil solution (Mortvedt, 2001). The use of ammonium sulfate promotes a reduction in the pH of the environment, which increases the solubility and the consequent absorption of Mn²⁺. This fact explains the differences between treatments and is evidenced

Table 2. Extraction/export of magnesium (kg ha⁻¹) by cactus pear harvested 620 days after planting, under different spacings and chemical fertilizations

Spacing (m)	Extraction/export of Mg					CV (%)
	Fertilization N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)					
	000-000-000	000-150-000	200-150-000	200-150-100	Mean	
S ₁ - 1.00 x 0.50	140.08 Ab	253.46 Aa	267.86 Aa	248.73 Aa	227.53	19.51
S ₂ - 2.00 x 0.25	224.96 Aa	196.74 Aa	176.96 Ba	232.68 Aa	207.84	
S ₃ - 3.00 x 1.00 x 0.25	209.41 Aa	262.56 Aa	182.05 ABa	193.68 Aa	211.93	
Mean	191.48	237.59	208.96	225.03	215.77	

Means followed by the same letter, lowercase in the rows and uppercase in the columns, do not differ significantly by Tukey test at 0.05 probability level

Table 3. Mean extraction/export of micronutrients (kg ha⁻¹) by cactus pear harvested 620 days after planting, under different spacings and chemical fertilizations

Variables	Fertilization N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹) [#]				Spacing (m)			Mean	CV (%)
	0-0-0	0-P-0	N-P-0	N-P-K	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃		
B	0.38 A	0.38 A	0.37 A	0.43 A	0.44 A	0.39 A	0.34 A	0.39	28.85
Cu	0.07 B	0.07 B	0.09 AB	0.10 A	0.07 A	0.08 A	0.08 A	0.08	18.99
Fe	0.64 A	0.85 A	0.81 A	0.93 A	0.93 A	0.78 A	0.72 A	0.81	34.74
Mn	16.27 B	11.12 B	30.39 A	37.16 A	28.23 A	23.00 B	19.98 C	23.74	26.74
Zn	0.98 A	0.98 A	1.24 A	1.23 A	1.11 A	1.12 A	1.10 A	1.11	18.93
Na	0.72 A	0.63 A	0.52 A	0.61 A	0.73 A	0.69 A	0.64 A	0.62	33.73

[#] Fertilization in (kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) N-P₂O₅-K₂O: 000-000-000; 000-150-000; 200-150-000 and 200-150-100

Spacing: S₁ - 1.0 x 0.5 S₂ - 2.0 x 0.5; S₃ - 3 x 1 x 0.25

Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the rows do not differ significantly by Tukey test at 0.05 probability level

by the comparison of pH before planting (5.33) and after the applications during the evaluation period: pH - 600 DAP, without fertilization, 4.99; P, 4.78; NP, 4.16; NPK, 4.29. Lower pH values were observed, especially when ammonium sulfate was used (NP and NPK) and, in general, it is due to the absorption of nutrients by the crop, with consequent extrusion of protons.

The effect of spacings on the extraction/export of Mn decreased for the spacings S₁, S₂ and S₃, showing mean values of 28.23, 23.00 and 19.98 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The overall mean extraction/export of 23.74 kg ha⁻¹, resulting from the different treatments, was superior to 12.07 kg ha⁻¹, observed by Donato (2011).

Without any significant differences, the mean extractions/exports of B (0.39 kg ha⁻¹), Fe (0.81 kg ha⁻¹), Zn (1.11 kg ha⁻¹) and Na (0.62 kg ha⁻¹) are slightly lower than the respective mean values of 0.56, 1.33, 1.15 and 0.84 kg ha⁻¹, reported by Donato (2011).

Dry matter production - Dry matter production, quantified at 620 DAP at the harvest, was dependent on the interaction ($p < 0.05$) between the plant spacing and the adopted chemical fertilization (Table 4).

The mean dry matter production was 17.10 Mg ha⁻¹. Plants cultivated in the spacing S₁ and fertilized with NPK, NP and P produced more dry matter ($p < 0.05$) compared with plants without fertilization.

Plants without fertilization produced more dry matter ($p < 0.05$) in the spacing of 2.0 x 0.25 m, compared with 1.0 x 0.5 m. On the other hand, plants fertilized with NPK and NP produced larger amount of dry matter at the spacing of 1.0 x 0.5 m, but when fertilized with only P, the dry matter production was similar for the three spacings. Dubeux Júnior et al. (2006) observed influence of plant population on yield, in various localities, with dry matter ranging from 6 to 17 Mg ha⁻¹ for 5,000 plants ha⁻¹ (2.00 x 1.00 m) and 17.8 to 33.7 Mg ha⁻¹ in 40,000 plants ha⁻¹ (1.00 x 0.25 m). Alves et al. (2007) obtained 5.6 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter without effect of spacing for 5,000 and 10,000 plants ha⁻¹. Evaluating fertilizations with P and K in cactus pear

cv. Clone IPA-20, Dubeux Júnior et al. (2010) found effect of K on the production of green mass and dry matter.

Extraction/export of macronutrients x supply

According to the comparison between the amounts of supplied and extracted/exported nutrients (Table 5), N, K, Ca and Mg showed deficit in relation to the supplies through fertilization for most of the tested treatments, indicating that the applied doses are not sufficient for the plant to express its production potential. With the continuation of the cultivation, it is probable that the reserves of the soil decrease and compromise even more crop yield and longevity, requiring higher doses for full production. In the balance of macronutrients, only the nutrients applied through fertilization are considered, disregarding those from the soil. This extraction was possible because of the buffering capacity of these nutrients in the soil, i.e., through the power of replenishment of nutrients to the soil solution, by the reserve of the solid phase of the soil (Novais & Mello, 2007). For S and P, however, with a positive balance, maintaining the fertilizations, there will be no problem of excess, due to the maximum adsorption capacity of the soil (Novais & Mello, 2007).

With decreasing order of extraction/export (Ca, K, N, Mg, S and P), the results obtained in the present study differed from those of Donato (2011) and Teles et al. (2004), which showed the following decreasing sequence of extraction: K, Ca, N, Mg, P and S. However, it was similar to the order of contents of macronutrients in cladodes found by Silva et al. (2012). The low supply of K through fertilization justifies the results.

Extraction/export of micronutrients x supply

Since the fertilization formulations used did not have micronutrients, all the treatments showed negative balance (Table 6), indicating the need for the application of these nutrients in order to preserve the reserves of the soil and guarantee an ideal supply for the crop to express its production potential. The micronutrients showed a decreasing order

Table 4. Dry matter production (Mg ha⁻¹) 620 days after planting of the cactus pear subjected to different spacings and chemical fertilizations

Spacing (m)	Fertilization N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)				CV (%)
	000-000-000	000-150-000	200-150-000	200-150-100	
S ₁ - 1.00 x 0.50	13.40 bB	19.38 aA	20.87 aA	22.73 aA	15.36
S ₂ - 2.00 x 0.25	18.11 aA	14.87 aA	16.12 aB	18.24 aB	
S ₃ - 3.00 x 1.00 x 0.25	14.13 aAB	16.05 aA	15.67 aB	15.68 aB	

Means followed by the same letter, lowercase in the row and uppercase in the column, do not differ significantly by Duncan test at 0.05 probability level

Table 5. Balance of macronutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium and sulfur) as a function of the extraction/export by the cactus pear and the amount added to the soil through NPK fertilization 620 days after planting

	Types of fertilization N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)*				Mean
	000-000-000	000-150-000	200-150-000	200-150-100	
Nitrogen extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	180.20	232.80	391.00	413.40	304.35
Nitrogen added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	400.00	400.00	
Balance of nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	-180.20	-232.80	9.00	-13.40	
Phosphorus extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	12.37	20.16	20.74	21.95	18.81
Phosphorus added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	129.00	129.00	129.00	
Balance of phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	-12.37	109.00	108.26	107.05	
Potassium extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	393.72	401.44	384.98	504.01	421.04
Potassium added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	166.00	
Balance of potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	-393.72	-401.44	-384.98	-338.01	
Calcium extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	444.07	505.06	431.89	476.71	464.43
Calcium added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	266.66	266.66	266.66	
Balance of calcium (kg ha ⁻¹)	-444.07	-238.40	-165.23	-210.05	
Magnesium extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	191.48	237.59	208.96	237.03	218.77
Magnesium added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of magnesium (kg ha ⁻¹)	-191.48	-237.59	-208.96	-237.03	
Sulfur extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	17.12	31.29	100.53	100.51	62.36
Sulfur added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	133.30	613.30	613.30	
Balance of sulfur (kg ha ⁻¹)	-17.12	102.01	512.77	512.79	
Dry matter production (Mg ha ⁻¹)	15.21	16.77	17.58	18.88	17.11

* The supply corresponds to two years of application

Table 6. Balance of micronutrients (boron, copper, iron, manganese, sodium and zinc) as a function of the extraction/export by cactus pear and the amount added to the soil through NPK fertilization 620 days after planting

	Types of fertilization N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)*				Mean
	000-000-000	000-150-000	200-150-000	200-150-100	
Boron extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.43	0.39
Boron added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of boron (kg ha ⁻¹)	-0.38	-0.38	-0.37	-0.43	
Copper extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.08
Copper added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of copper (kg ha ⁻¹)	-0.07	-0.07	-0.09	-0.10	
Iron extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.64	0.85	0.81	0.93	0.81
Iron added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of iron (kg ha ⁻¹)	-0.64	-0.85	-0.81	-0.93	
Manganese extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	16.27	11.12	30.29	37.16	23.71
Manganese added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of manganese (kg ha ⁻¹)	-16.27	-11.12	-30.29	-37.16	
Sodium extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.72	0.63	0.52	0.61	0.62
Sodium added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of sodium (kg ha ⁻¹)	-0.72	-0.63	-0.52	-0.61	
Zinc extracted/exported (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.98	0.98	1.24	1.23	1.11
Zinc added (kg ha ⁻¹)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Balance of zinc (kg ha ⁻¹)	-0.98	-0.98	-1.24	-1.23	
Dry matter production (Mg ha ⁻¹)	15.21	16.77	17.58	18.88	17.11

of extraction/export: Mn, Zn, Fe, Na, B and Cu. Similar relationships for micronutrients were reported by Silva et al. (2012) and Dubeux Júnior et al. (2010).

CONCLUSIONS

1. The evaluated fertilizations did not meet the demands of the crop, which showed negative balance of N, K, Ca, Mg and all the micronutrients.

2. The fertilizations increased the extractions of nutrients, especially N, P and S, at the spacing of 1.00 x 0.50 m, and increased dry matter production.

3. The decreasing orders of extraction/export by the cactus pear were Ca, K, N, Mg, S and P, for macronutrients, and Mn, Zn, Fe, Na, B and Cu, for micronutrients.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alves, R. N.; Farias, I.; Menezes, R. S. C.; Lira, M. de A.; Santos, D. C. dos. Produção de forragem pela palma após 19 anos com diferentes intensidades de corte e espaçamentos. *Caatinga*, v.20, p.38-44, 2007.
- Araújo, L. F. Oliveira, L. S. C.; Perazzo Neto, A.; Alsina, L. O. S.; Silva, F. L. H. da. Equilíbrio higroscópico da palma forrageira: Relação com a umidade ótima para fermentação sólida. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, v.9, p.379-384, 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662005000300013>
- Blanco-Macias, F.; Magallanes-Quintanar, R.; Valdez-Cepeda, R. D.; Vázquez-Alvarado, R.; Olivares-Sáenz, E.; Gutiérrez-Ornelas, E.; Vidales-Contreras, J. A.; Murillo-Amador, B. Nutritional reference values for *Opuntia ficus-indica* determined by means of the boundary-line approach. *Journal of Plant Nutrition Soil Science*, v.173, p.927-934, 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200900147>

- Donato, P. E. R. Avaliação bromatológica, morfológica, nutricional e de rendimento em palma forrageira sob diferentes espaçamentos e doses de esterco bovino. Itapetinga: UESB, 2011. 134p. Tese Doutorado
- Donato, P. E. R.; Pires, A. J. V.; Donato, S. L. R.; Bonomo, P.; Silva, J. A.; Aquino, A. A. Morfometria e rendimento da palma forrageira 'Gigante' sob diferentes espaçamentos e doses de adubação orgânica. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias* v.9, p.151-158, 2014a. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v9i1a3252>
- Donato, P. E. R.; Pires, A. J. V.; Donato, S. L. R.; Silva, J. A.; Aquino, A. A. Valor nutritivo da palma forrageira 'Gigante' cultivada sob diferentes espaçamentos e doses de esterco bovino. *Caatinga*, v.27, p.163-172, 2014b.
- Dubeux Júnior, J. C. B.; Araújo Filho, J. T.; Santos, M. V. F. dos.; Lira, M. de A.; Santos, D. C. dos; Pessoa, R. A. S. Adubação mineral no crescimento e composição mineral da palma forrageira - Clone IPA-20. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, v.5, p.129-135, 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v5i1a591>
- Dubeux Júnior, J. C. B.; Santos, M. V. F. dos. Exigências nutricionais da palma forrageira. In: Menezes, R. S. C.; Simões, D. A.; Sampaio, E.V.S.B. (ed.). A palma no Nordeste do Brasil: Conhecimento atual e novas perspectivas de uso. 2.ed. Recife: UFPE, 2005. p.105-128.
- Dubeux Júnior, J. C. B.; Santos, M. V. F. dos; Lira, M. A. Productivity of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller under different N and P fertilization and plant population in north-east Brazil. *Journal of Arid Environments*, v.67, p.357-372, 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.02.015>
- Espinoza, F. H. R.; Mendoza, J. F. A.; Amador, B. M.; Hernández, L. G.; Lara, R. P.; Osuna, J. de D. D.; Morales, F. A. B.; Larios, L. F. Rendimiento y crecimiento de nopalitas de cultivares de nopal (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) bajodiferentes densidades de plantación. *Journal Professional Association for Cactus Development*, v.10, p.22-35, 2008.
- Fialho, J. S.; Aguiar, M. I.; Maia, L. S.; Magalhães, R. B.; Araújo, F. C. S.; Campanha, M. M.; Oliveira, T. S. Soil quality, resistance and resilience in traditional agricultural and agroforestry ecosystems in Brazil's semiarid region. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, v.8, p.5020-5031, 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2013.6712>
- Germano, R. H.; Barbosa, H. P.; Costa, R. G.; Medeiros, V. N.; Carvalho, F. F. R. Avaliação da composição química e mineral de seis cactáceas do semiárido paraibano. *Revista Agropecuária Técnica*, v.20, p.51-57, 1999.
- Lana, R. M. Q.; Zano Júnior, L. A.; Luz, J. M. Q.; Silva, J. C. Produção da alfaca em função do uso de diferentes fontes de fósforo em solo de Cerrado. *Horticultura Brasileira*, Brasília, v.22, p.525-528, 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-05362004000300004>
- Mortvedt, J. J. Tecnologia e produção de fertilizantes com micronutrientes: presença de elementos tóxicos. In: Ferreira, M. E.; Cruz, M. C. P.; Raij, B. van; Abreu, C. A. (ed.). *Micronutrientes e elementos tóxicos na agricultura*. Jaboticabal: CNPq/FAPESP/POTAFOS, 2001. p.237-251.
- Novais, R. F.; Mello, J. W. V. Relação solo-planta. In: Novais, R. F.; Alvarez V., V. H.; Barros, N. F.; Fontes, L. E. F.; Neves, J. C. L. (ed.). *Fertilidade do solo*. 1.ed. Viçosa: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 2007. p.133-204.
- Ramos, J. P. F.; Leite, M. L. M.V.; Oliveira Júnior, S.; Nascimento, J. P.; Santos, E. M. Crescimento vegetativo de *Opuntia ficus-indica* em diferentes espaçamentos de plantio. *Caatinga*, v.24, p.41-48, 2011.
- Santos, D. C.; Farias, I.; Lira, M. A. Manejo e utilização da palma forrageira (*Opuntia e Nopalea*) em Pernambuco. Recife: IPA, 2006. 48p.
- Santos, D. C.; Farias, I.; Lira, M. A.; Santos, M. V. F.; Arruda, G. P.; Coelho R. S. B.; Dias, F. M.; Warumby, J. F.; Melo, J. N. Manejo e utilização da palma forrageira (*Opuntia e Nopalea*) em Pernambuco: Cultivo e utilização. Recife: IPA, 2002. 45p.
- Silva, D. J.; Queiroz, A. C. *Análise de alimentos: métodos químicos e biológicos*. 3.ed. Viçosa: UFV, 2009. 235p.
- Silva, J. A.; Bonomo, P.; Donato, S. L. R.; Pires, A. J. V.; Rosa, R. C. C.; Donato, Paulo E. R. Composição mineral em cladódios de palma forrageira sob diferentes espaçamentos e adubações química. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, v.7, p.866-875, 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v7isa2134>
- Silva, J. A.; Bonomo, P.; Donato, S. L. R.; Pires, A. J. V.; Silva, F. F.; Donato, Paulo E.R. Composição bromatológica de cladódios de palma forrageira sob diferentes espaçamentos e adubações químicas. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, v.8, p.342-350, 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v8i2a2431>
- Silva, L. M.; Fagundes, J. L.; Viegas, P. A. A.; Muniz, E. N.; Rangel, J. H.; Moreira, A. L.; Backes, A. C. Produtividade da palma forrageira cultivada em diferentes densidades de plantio. *Ciência Rural*, v.44, p.2064-2071, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20131305>
- Stewart, W. M.; Dibb, D.W.; Johnston, A. E.; Smyth, T. J. Contribution of commercial fertilizer nutrients to food production. *Agronomy Journal*, v.97, p.1-6, 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agnonj2005.0001>
- Teles, M. M.; Santos, M. V. F.; Dubeux Júnior, J. C. B.; Lira, M. A.; Ferreira, R. L. C.; Bezerra Neto, E. C.; Farias, I. Efeito da adubação e do uso de nematicida na composição química da palma forrageira (*Opuntia ficus indica*, Mill). *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, v.33, p.1992-1998, 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982004000800010>
- Zañudo-Hernández, J.; Aranda E. G. C.; Ramírez-Hernández, B. C.; Pimienta-Barrios, E.; Castillo-Cruz, I.; Pimienta-Barrios, E. Ecophysiological responses of *Opuntia* to water stress under various semi-arid environments. *Journal PACD*, v.12, p.20-36, 2010.