Yield components and water use efficiency in coriander under irrigation and nitrogen fertilization
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ABSTRACT
Among the determining factors of yield, nitrogen (N) fertilization and the correct supply of water play an important role in the quality and yield aspects of coriander. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the yield components and the water use efficiency (WUE) in coriander subjected to different depths and N doses. A completely randomized design in split plot was used. Water depths were applied in the plots at the rates of 25, 50, 75, 100 and 125% of the Required Real Irrigation (RRI). N doses were distributed within the subplots at the following proportions: 50, 100 and 150% of the recommendation for the crop (70 kg ha⁻¹). The N dose of 94 kg ha⁻¹ and irrigation depth of 115% of RRI promoted the greatest yield (29.0 t ha⁻¹) and number of bunches (29 bunches m⁻²). The highest number of leaves per plant was obtained with the N dose of 103.2 kg ha⁻¹ and irrigation depth of 68% of the RRI. The maximum plant height (43 cm) was obtained with N dose of 105 kg ha⁻¹ and irrigation depth of 121% of RRI. The highest WUE in coriander (71 kg m⁻³) occurred at the irrigation depth of 26% of RRI and N dose of 105 kg ha⁻¹.

Componentes de produção e eficiência do uso d’água em coentro sob irrigação e adubação nitrogenada

RESUMO
Dentre os fatores determinantes da produção a adubação nitrogenada e o suprimento adequado de água desempenham papel importante nos aspectos de qualidade e de produção do coentro. Portanto, objetivou-se avaliar os componentes de produção e a eficiência do uso da água (EUA) do coentro quando submetido a diferentes lâminas de irrigação e doses de nitrogênio. Foi empregado o delineamento inteiramente casualizado em esquema de parcelas subdivididas. As lâminas de irrigação foram aplicadas nas parcelas nos níveis: 25, 50, 75, 100 e 125% da Irrigação Real Necessária (IRN). Já as doses de nitrogênio foram distribuídas dentro das subparcelas nas seguintes proporções: 50, 100 e 150% da recomendação para a cultura (70 kg ha⁻¹). A dose de nitrogênio e a lâmina de água que proporcionaram a maior produtividade e número de molhos foram de 94 kg ha⁻¹ e 115% da IRN, resultando em 29,0 t ha⁻¹ e 29 molhos m⁻². O maior número de folhas por planta foi obtido com a dose de 103,2 kg ha⁻¹ de N e 68% da IRN. A altura máxima alcançada foi de 43 cm, com 105 kg ha⁻¹ de N e 121% IRN. A maior EUA no coentro ocorreu para a lâmina de irrigação de 26% da IRN e a dose de nitrogênio de 105 kg ha⁻¹, o que resultou em uma EUA de 71 kg m⁻³.
**Introduction**

Coriander, *Coriandrum sativum* L., is a herbaceous annual vegetable, belonging to the *Apiaceae* family. The species is native to the Mediterranean and widely cultivated worldwide. Coriander is cultivated for the high commercial values of its leaves and seeds, considered as natural sources of essential oils used in the pharmaceutical and food industry, such as the petroselinic acid, geraniol, limonene and linalool (Carrubba, 2009; Hassan & Ali, 2013). These characteristics qualify coriander as a medicinal and aromatic species.

In Brazil, coriander is mainly cultivated by small and medium farmers in the North and Northeast regions. Its main destination is the production of green mass for condimental use and fruits for industrial use (Maciel et al., 2012). However, there are reports of scarcity of research in Brazil on this crop, notably those related to new technologies that can be implemented in the production system (Pereira et al., 2005).

The requirement of water by the crop depends predominantly on the edaphoclimatic conditions; however, the uniform and continuous supply of water is desirable (Thamburaj & Singh, 2004). In this context, inadequate irrigation management stands out among the limiting factors for the cultivation and production of this species.

In order to elucidate the consequences of the limitation in water supply on the yield and water use efficiency of coriander, it is suggested that, under water stress conditions, there is lower leaf area index, net assimilation rate and, consequently, lower growth (Drunasky & Struve, 2005). Sharp deficits in the flowering stage result in drastic reductions in the production (Kumar et al., 2008). Recently, vegetative parameters such as plant height, number of ramifications and shoot fresh and dry matters have been maximized with high irrigation depths, confirming the previously mentioned results (Hassan & Ali, 2013).

Regarding the coriander cultivation system, another production factor that must be considered is nitrogen (N) fertilization. In aromatic species, the adequate N supply may have direct effect on the quality aspects, composition of volatile compounds and their primary yield components.

Studies on water deficit and N application in coriander have reported that significant increments in the production of fresh matter and seeds occurred at N doses higher than 80 kg ha⁻¹ (Silva et al., 2013). Similar results were obtained by Jamali (2012), who suggested that applications of 120 kg ha⁻¹ of N promoted higher growth rate in the crop combined with a greater leaf area index.

Coriander has variable response to N application and its use efficiency depends on the general conditions of soil fertility. Such dependence is probably the reason why the adequate supply of N, along with application of optimal irrigation depths, increases the probability of maximizing production (Carrubba, 2009).

Considering the importance of the coriander crop and the lack of information on its water and nutritional requirements, this study aimed to evaluate the yield parameters and water use efficiency of coriander subjected to different irrigation depths and N fertilization doses.

**Material and Methods**

The experiment was conducted from September to October 2014 at the Research Vegetable Garden of the Federal University of Viçosa (UFV) in Viçosa-MG, Brazil (20° 45' 14'' S; 42° 52' 53'' W; 648 m).

Soil chemical and physical-hydraulic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Sowing was performed on September 19, 2014, on 200-cell trays with the plant substrate Carolina, Standard II EC = 1.5 mS cm⁻¹ ±/- 0.3 using three seeds per cell of the cultivar ‘Vedete’. The seedlings were transplanted on October 6, when they showed the first pair of definitive leaves, at the spacing of 0.05 by 0.20 m.

The experiment was installed in a protected environment and the seedlings were arranged on 1.2-m-wide, 3-m-long beds. The experimental plots had total area of 0.6 m² and evaluated area of 0.24 m².

The experimental design was completely randomized (CRD) in split plot scheme, with five replicates. The plots were represented by the irrigation depths of 25, 50, 75, 100 and 125% of the required real irrigation (RRI). N doses were arranged in the subplots: 50, 100 and 150% of the recommendation suggested by Oliveira et al. (2003).

Irrigation was performed using a dripping tape with flow rate of 1.13 L h⁻¹, at the pressure of 78.453 kPa and Christiansen’s Uniformity Coefficient (CUC) of 97.9%. The drippers were spaced by 0.20 m the dripping tapes were spaced by 0.30 m on the beds, resulting in an application intensity of 14.07 mm h⁻¹.

Irrigation depth treatments were based on the required real irrigation (RRI), defined by Eq. 1.

\[
RRI = 10 \times (FC - PWP) \times f \times Z
\]

(1)

where:
- **RRI** - required real irrigation, mm;
- **FC** - field capacity, m³ m⁻³;
- **PWP** - permanent wilting point, m³ m⁻³;
- **f** - water availability factor; and,
- **Z** - effective root depth, cm.

Irrigation depth management was based on the irrigation frequency of two to three days and water demand was

| Table 1. Results of the chemical and physico-hydraulic analyses of the soil in the experimental area |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Soil physical-hydraulic properties** | **Soil chemical properties** |
| Layer | FC | PWP | Clay | Silt | Sand | pH | H₂O % | P mg dm⁻³ | K mg dm⁻³ | Ca²⁺ cmol dm⁻³ | Mg²⁺ cmol dm⁻³ | Al³⁺ | H + Al | T | V % | OM dag kg⁻¹ | P-rem mg L⁻¹ |
| cm | m³ m⁻³ | m³ m⁻³ | % | % | % | | | | | | | | | | | kg⁻¹ | mg L⁻¹ |
| 0-20 | 0.401 | 0.255 | 33 | 19 | 48 | 6.3 | 126.1 | 180 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.15 | 11.4 | 81 | 3.10 | 34.3 |

Available P, K, Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu extracted with Mehlich 1; Exchangeable Ca, Mg and Al extracted with 1 mol L⁻¹ KCl; *Field capacity, *Permanent wilting point. Textural classification according to the Brazilian Soil Science Society (Lemos & Santos, 1996).
calculated through the estimation of crop evapotranspiration (ETc), using adjustment coefficients in relation to the reference evapotranspiration (ETo).

The net irrigation depth was calculated using a water balance in which irrigation represented the inflow of water and ETc represented the outflow. Eqs. 2 and 3, proposed by Allen et al. (2006), were used to estimate crop evapotranspiration.

\[\text{ET}_c = \text{ET}_o \times K_c\]  

\[K_c = (K_{cb} \times K_s) + K_e\]  

where:
- ETc - crop evapotranspiration, mm d\(^{-1}\);
- ETo - reference evapotranspiration, mm d\(^{-1}\);
- Kc - crop coefficient;
- Kcb - basal crop coefficient;
- Ke - soil evaporation coefficient; and,
- Ks - stress coefficient.

Crop cycle was divided into phenological stages, based on the growth period or vegetative period related to the shading of the area, and each stage assumed a different value of crop coefficient (Kc). Coriander Kc values are influenced by the characteristics of its development stage and general edaphoclimatic conditions (Allen et al., 2006).

Calculations were performed using the values of initial, intermediate and final Kcb, respectively of 0.2, 1.05 and 0.6 (Silva et al., 2013).

The first phenological stage began immediately after the transplantation of the seedlings and continued until close to 10% of shaded area, which corresponded to a period of 6 days. The second stage lasted for 9 days and finished when about 75% of the area was covered by the crop. The third crop stage began when 75% of the area was shaded (Allen et al., 2006) and continued until the total cover of the area, with duration of 10 days. The last stage corresponded to a period of 4 days, until crop harvest.

Soil acidity was not corrected because pH remained close to the recommended value of 6.3; fertilization was based on the study of Oliveira et al. (2003), using, at transplantation, 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 11 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N in the form of monoammonium phosphate (MAP) (45% P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 9% N); the rest of N fertilization was divided and urea was used as the N source, as shown in Table 2.

After reaching the commercial size, from 20 to 30 cm, five central plants of each treatment were collected and plant height was recorded. After harvest, cuts were performed in order to separate shoots from roots; the shoots were weighed and the number of leaves was counted and separated in 100-g bunches, while the roots were washed and weighed. Water use efficiency (WUE) was also evaluated and defined by the relationship between production (P) and the applied water volume (V), expressed in kg m\(^{-3}\) (Eq. 4).

\[\text{WUE} = \frac{P}{V}\]  

where:
- WUE - water use efficiency, kg m\(^{-3}\);
- P - production, kg; and,
- V - applied water volume (irrigation), m\(^3\).

The data were analysed through linear regression; for irrigation depth and fertilization doses, the regression models were selected based on the significance of the regression coefficients, using the t-test at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels.

**Results and Discussion**

The data of maximum, medium and minimum temperatures (ºC) and relative air humidity (%), solar radiation (W m\(^{-2}\)) and reference evapotranspiration (ETo, in mm d\(^{-1}\)), used for the irrigation management are shown in Figure 1A and 1B.

Water balance, upper limit (field capacity) and lower limit (permanent wilting point), irrigation, water availability factor (f) (30%) and soil water content for the treatment with irrigation depth of 100% are shown in Figure 2.

Table 2. Total of nitrogen (N) applied in different treatments in the experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Applied doses of N and fertilizer (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Planting</th>
<th>Top-dressing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>N 11 MAP 125 Urea N 24 Urea 53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>N 11 MAP 125 Urea N 59 Urea 131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>N 11 MAP 125 Urea N 94 Urea 208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Maximum, medium and minimum temperatures, relative air humidity (A) and solar radiation and reference evapotranspiration (B) for the coriander cultivation period
The response surfaces for coriander yield (Figure 3A) and number of bunches (Figure 3B) as a function of irrigation depths and N doses are shown in Figure 3. The irrigation depth of 63 mm and N dose of 94 kg ha$^{-1}$ caused maximum yield (2.90 kg m$^{-2}$) and number of bunches (29 bunches m$^{-2}$).

These results suggest the presence of positive correlation of coriander yield and number of leaves with irrigation depth and N doses. Oliveira et al. (2003), evaluating the effect of different N doses on crop production components, observed increments of 0.0256 kg m$^{-2}$ in coriander green mass for each kg of N ha$^{-1}$ added to the soil, with maximum yield of 5.4 kg m$^{-2}$.

Shanu et al. (2013) evaluated the effect of different irrigation depths and observed yield of 2.1 kg m$^{-2}$ at the highest irrigation depth applied. Jamali & Martirosyan (2013) studied the effect of two levels of water replenishment, 30 and 70 mm of crop evapotranspiration, combined with four doses of N and four doses of P, and observed that maximum N and P doses promoted yield of 1.24 kg m$^{-2}$, when combined with water replenishment level of 30 mm. These values are lower than those observed in the present study.

As to the number of coriander bunches, which are the main form of commercialization and thus of great importance for the producer, Barros Júnior et al. (2004) obtained the value of 3.4 bunches m$^{-2}$, evaluating the agronomic performance of commercial cultivars of coriander under high temperature conditions. This result is much lower than that obtained in the present study (29 bunches m$^{-2}$).

Figure 4 shows the response surface for plant height (Figure 4A) and number of leaves (Figure 4B) of coriander as a function of the irrigation depths and N doses. The combination between irrigation depths of 65 and 44 mm and N doses of 105 and 103 kg ha$^{-1}$, respectively, caused the highest values of plant height (43 cm) and number of leaves (27 leaves per plant).

These results indicate a positive correlation of plant height and number of leaves with irrigation depth and N doses, due to the increment in parameters related to the vegetative growth of coriander. Jamali & Martirosyan (2013) observed greater value of plant height (52.6 cm) with higher irrigation frequency (30 mm), combined with maximum doses of N and P, a value higher than that in the present study.

The response surface for the water use efficiency of coriander as a function of irrigation depths and N doses is shown in Figure 5. The combination between irrigation depth of 27 mm and N dose of 105 kg ha$^{-1}$ caused the highest WUE (71.0 kg m$^{-3}$).

This result is similar to those observed by Monteiro et al. (2008) and Barros et al. (2002), who evaluated WUE increment at various fertilization doses. Lopes (1989) observed that WUE increases with the practice of fertilization, provided that yield also increases.

The following WUE values were observed in some fruit-producing species: tomato, 12.5 kg m$^{-3}$ (Silva et al., 2013); watermelon, 24.3 kg m$^{-3}$ (Morais et al., 2008) and melon, 30.0 kg m$^{-3}$ (Sousa et al., 2000); tuberous roots, such as sweet potato, may reach 20.0 kg m$^{-3}$ (Mantovani et al., 2013). Silva & Queiroz (2013) studied lettuce and observed WUE of 14.1 kg m$^{-3}$. These results suggest that coriander has higher WUE compared with the previously mentioned species. According to Oliveira et al. (2012), crops with higher WUE are of great importance when it comes to the economy of water resources, because they allow greater yield per m$^3$ of water.
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The highest number of leaves (27 leaves plant\(^{-1}\)) was obtained at the nitrogen dose of 103 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and irrigation depth of 44 mm (68\% required real irrigation).

The maximum plant height (43 cm) was obtained at the nitrogen dose of 105 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and irrigation depth of 65 mm (121\% required real irrigation).

The most important parameter for the farmer is yield; thus, irrigation depth of 63 mm (115\% required real irrigation) and nitrogen dose of 94 kg ha\(^{-1}\) are recommended for higher coriander yield.

### Conclusions

1. The evaluated parameters (yield, number of bunches, plant height, number of leaves and water use efficiency) were influenced by irrigation depth and nitrogen doses.

2. The highest water use efficiency of coriander (71 kg m\(^{-3}\)) was obtained at the irrigation depth of 27 mm (26\% required real irrigation) and nitrogen dose of 105 kg ha\(^{-1}\).

3. The nitrogen dose of 94 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and irrigation depth of 63 mm (115\% required real irrigation) caused the highest yield (29,000 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and number of bunches (29 bunches m\(^{-2}\)).

Figure 4. Plant height (PH) and number of leaves (NL) of coriander as a function of irrigation depth (I) and nitrogen dose (N)

Coriander WUE was very high, in comparison to the other crops, when the irrigation depth of 63 mm was used. This irrigation depth allowed greater efficiency in the use of water, with production of 49.8 kg m\(^{-3}\). Since the market does not yet pay for products with high water use efficiency, it was decided to value only the yield; however, this must change with the climatic changes and the reduction in the frequency of rains.
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Figure 5. Water use efficiency (WUE) as a function of the applied irrigation depth (I) and nitrogen dose (N)

4. The highest number of leaves (27 leaves plant\(^{-1}\)) was obtained at the nitrogen dose of 103 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and irrigation depth of 44 mm (68\% required real irrigation).

5. The maximum plant height (43 cm) was obtained at the nitrogen dose of 105 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and irrigation depth of 65 mm (121\% required real irrigation).

6. The most important parameter for the farmer is yield; thus, irrigation depth of 63 mm (115\% required real irrigation) and nitrogen dose of 94 kg ha\(^{-1}\) are recommended for higher coriander yield.


