Description of the male of *Lepidodexia* (*Xylocamptopsis*) *teffeensis* (Townsend) (Diptera, Sarcophagidae)
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ABSTRACT. Description of the male of *Lepidodexia* (*Xylocamptopsis*) *teffeensis* (Townsend) (Diptera, Sarcophagidae). The male of *Lepidodexia* (*Xylocamptopsis*) *teffeensis* (Townsend, 1927) is described and illustrated for the first time based on material housed in the entomological collection of Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ). This monotypic subgenus has been recorded in the Brazilian Amazon Rainforest, first in the state of Amazonas and now in the state of Pará. The general structure of the male terminalia is similar to that of other *Lepidodexia*, especially of the subgenus *Lepidodexia*, by the short distiphallus, juxta with apical projection, and vesica with a membranous spinous lobe.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*Lepidodexia* (*Xylocamptopsis*) *teffeensis* was described by Townsend (1927) in the genus *Xylocamptopsis*, which he erected for this species, based on one female from Tefé, Amazonas state, Brazil. The holotype was collected on foliage and is deposited at the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (NMNH).

Lopes (1979a) examined the holotype and provided a more detailed redescription. According to the female morphology, the author considered this species closely related to those of *Harpagopyga* Aldrich, 1916, differing mainly by the presence of setae on abdominal tergite 8 and by the shape of spermathecae. In a study on the tribe Johnsoniini (= genus *Lepidodexia* sensu Pape 1996), Lopes (1979b) stressed that knowledge of the male morphology was a requirement for deciding about the generic status. Pape (1996) considered *Xylocamptopsis* a subgenus of *Lepidodexia* Brauer & Bergenstamm, 1891, but he still kept it as a separate monotypic taxon because the male remained unknown.

During an ongoing revision of *Lepidodexia*, a series of five males from Pará state, Brazil, was found in the entomological collection of the Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ). These males have a similar morphology to females previously identified by Hugo de Souza Lopes as *L. (Xylocamptopsis) teffeensis* by comparison with the female holotype, and they also match with regard to the collection data. After a detailed study of this material, we present the first description of the male of *L. teffeensis*, with illustrations of the terminalia.
facial with a series of fine setulae; gena and postgena dark brown with black setae; frontal vitta and palpus brown; antenna with scape and pedicel yellowish brown, postpedicel with brown apex and yellow base, 1.7 times as long as pedicel, arista long plumose on basal 2/3; 8 frontal setae reaching the base of antenna; proclinate fronto-orbital seta absent, reclinate one present; inner vertical seta longer than frontals; outer vertical seta not differentiate from postocular setae; paravertical setae smaller than inner vertical seta; ocellar setae absent (or poorly differentiate); one pair of postocellar setae with ⅓ of inner vertical seta size (Fig. 2).

Thorax. Brown with slight grey microtomentum and the typical 3 dark stripes (Fig. 1). Chaetotaxy: Acrostichals 0+1; dorsocentrals 2 +3 equidistant; intra-alars 1+2, supra-alars 1+2; postalars 2; postpronotals 2; notopleurals 2; proepimeral 1; meropleurals 7–8; katepisternals 2; prosternum and proepisternum setose, postalar wall bare. Scutellum with 2 well developed marginal setae, no apical and 1 preapical scutellar setae. Wing hyaline with yellowish veins; R₁ bare; R₄+₅ setulose about 2/3 of r-m; costal spine not differentiate; cell r₄+₅ opened; third costal sector bare. Legs brown without microtomentum, pulvilli yellowish; mid femur with 2 preapical posterior setae, 2 median anterior setae, a row of long setae on posteroventral margin, but smaller, spiniform, and with different sizes near the apex (not forming a ctenidium); mid tibia with 2 median posterior, 1 median anteroventral, and 1 median anterior setae.

Abdomen. Brown with pale golden microtomentum and a median longitudinal black stripe along the dorsal surface of the tergites 1+2–5 (Fig. 1). Tergites 1+2 with 1 strong lateral marginal seta; tergite 3 with 1–2 lateral marginals; tergite 4 with a pair of median marginal and 3 lateral marginal setae; tergite 5 with 6–8 marginal setae along the posterior margin; sternites with yellowish margin covered with small black setae sparsely distributed; sternite 5 with a short and almost square base, a long and simple V median cleft, with setae with similar size along its margin (Fig. 3).

Terminalia. Dark brown with pale golden microtomentum. Cercus with apex brown and with setae sparsely distributed, apex narrower than the base, convergent on posterior view and base (Figs. 4, 5). Surstylus well developed with acuminate apex, presenting a group of setae on posterior margin near the apex; the base rounded and enlarged (Fig. 4). Pregonite elongate with pointed apex and narrow basis, with an acuminate projection in the middle; postgonite similar in size to the pregonite, with a strong median seta (Fig. 4). Phallus with distinct basiphallus...
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**Figs. 3–8.** *Lepidodexia (Xylocamptopsis) teffeensis* (Townsend), male: 3, sternite 5; 4, terminalia, lateral view; 5, cercus, posterior view; 6, vesica, ventral view; 7, distiphallus, ventral view (vesica not represented); 8, distiphallus, lateral view. [Legends: bp, basiphallus; ce, cercus; dp, distiphallus; ju, juxta; ls, lateral stylus; ms, median stylus; po, postgonite; pe, pregonite; su, surstylus; ve, vesica].

and distiphallus. Distiphallus short with the apex partially membranous (Figs. 7, 8); juxta large and sclerotized, distinctly separated from the distiphallus, showing apical projections bent upwards (Fig. 7); median stylus spinose and flume-like, lateral styli robust and strongly sclerotized with a row of spines at the apex and a coiled base (Figs. 7, 8); vesica with a membranous spinous lobe at the base, a broad membranous proximal area, and a pair of distal sclerotized projections with rounded apex (Figs. 6, 8).

**Female.** Length: 7–8 mm (n = 4).

Similar to male, differing as follows: head with 5–6 frontal, 2 procline fronto-orbital setae, and ocellar setae slightly smaller than the postocellars. Mid femur with 2 median anterior setae and mid tibia with 1 median posterior seta. Terminalia as in the redescription of Lopes (1979a).

**Material examined:** BRASIL: Pará, Belém, 2 males, 1 female, 4.VII.1965, H.S. Lopes col.; *idem*, Instituto Agronômico do Norte, 2 males,
DISCUSSION

Male terminalia of *L. (X.) teffeensis* is very similar to those of other species of the subgenus *Lepidodexia* by the short distiphallus, juxta with apical projection, and vesica with a membranous spinous lobe. Among them, *L. (L.) grisea* (Lopes, 1951) is most similar by the morphology of terminalia and general coloration of abdomen, and it also matches the Amazon distribution. However, *L. (L.) grisea* may be distinguished by the presence of ocellar and apical scutellar setae, sub-primary notopleurals, cushion-like formations along the inner margin of sternite 5, and the shape of vesica, median and lateral styli. Besides, the distal part of the surstylus of *L. (X.) teffeensis* is very characteristic.

In the current arrangement of *Lepidodexia*, 32 subgenera are recognized and they show great similarity in the phallus, although a marked disparity in the external morphology. Therefore, some authors have pointed out the need for a comprehensive revision of the genus in order to verify whether this arrangement constitutes a monophyletic group (Pape 1996; Giroux *et al.* 2010). Therefore, this contribution on the subgenus *Xylocamptopsis* is part of a more comprehensive study that focuses on the morphological cladistic analysis of *Lepidodexia*, which is the Ph.D. thesis subject of the first author and is still in progress. At last, the male description of *L. (X.) teffeensis* will allow us to include it in the analysis and recover its relationships within *Lepidodexia*, to then deciding its systematics placement, whether included in *Lepidodexia*, or in *Harpagopyga*, or remain as a distinct monophyletic taxon.
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