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Resumo

OBJETIVO: Avaliar a associação entre marcadores antropométricos de adiposidade corporal (índice de massa corporal e circunferência da cintura) e hipertensão arterial.

MÉTODOS: Estudo de corte transversal, de base populacional, realizado no período de 2003 a 2004, com 1298 indivíduos de 20 a 59 anos. Foram considerados hipo-tensos os indivíduos com pressão arterial ≥ 140/90 mmHg ou em uso de medicação anti-hipertensiva. A análise multivariada foi realizada por meio de regressão de Poisson, As associações entre os indicadores antropométricos e a hipertensão arterial foram analisadas por regressão de Poisson, ajustada por potenciais fatores de confusão (sexo, idade, escolaridade, tabagismo, consumo de bebida alcoólica e atividade física no lazer).

A curva ROC foi utilizada para determinar o melhor ponto de corte do IMC para detecção da hipertensão arterial. RESULTADOS: A prevalência de hipertensão arterial foi de 28,3%, sendo 33,5% no sexo masculino e 23,5% no feminino. Após ajuste para o IMC e potenciais fatores de confusão, a circunferência da cintura perdeu associação com o desfecho avaliado, permanecendo apenas o IMC com poder de explicação para a hipertensão arterial (RP = 1,05, p = 0,001). O melhor ponto de corte para o IMC no sexo masculino foi de 25,6 Kg/m² e no sexo feminino, 25,7 Kg/m².

CONCLUSÕES: A associação observada entre a circunferência da cintura e hipertensão arterial em muitos estudos pode estar relacionada à ausência de controle de potenciais fatores de confusão nas análises, bem como à não remoção do efeito da adiposidade total. Novas investigações devem ser conduzidas na população brasileira, a fim de se verificar as verdadeiras associações entre indicadores antropométricos e vários desfechos, estudando-se também os melhores pontos de corte desses indicadores.

DESCRITORES: Hipertensão; Índice de massa corporal; Circunferência da cintura.
OBJECTIVE: To assess the association between the anthropometric indexes of body adiposity (body mass index - BMI and waist circumference) and hypertension. METHODS: This is a population-based cross-sectional study, carried out from 2003 to 2004, with 1,298 individuals between 20 and 59 years of age. Individuals with blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg using anti-hypertensive medication were considered hypertensive. Multivariate analysis was performed using Poisson’s regression, adjusted for potential confounding factors (sex, age, schooling, smoking, alcohol consumption and physical activity during leisure time). The ROC curve was used to determine the best BMI cutoff point for detection of hypertension. RESULTS: The prevalence of hypertension was 28.3%, being 33.5% among males, and 23.5% among females. After adjustment for BMI and potential confounding factors, waist circumference lost its association with the evaluated outcomes, and BMI alone accounted for hypertension (Prevalence ratio = 1.05, p = 0.001). The best cutoff point for BMI for males was 25.6 Kg/m², and for females, 25.7 Kg/m². CONCLUSIONS: The association observed between waist circumference and hypertension in several studies may be related to the lack of control of potential confounding factors in analyses, and to the fact that the effect of total body adiposity was not eliminated. Further investigations should be conducted in the Brazilian population to check true associations among anthropometric indexes with several outcomes, also investigating the best cutoff points for such indexes.

KEY WORDS: Hypertension; Body mass index; Waist circumference.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a universal disease of growing prevalence that has been gaining alarming proportions, even in countries which, paradoxically, still suffer the effects of hunger and chronic malnutrition. Studies have widely shown that the increase in morbidity and mortality due to chronic-degenerative diseases is associated to excess weight, especially to the deposit of abdominal fat, favoring the occurrence of cardiovascular events, particularly coronary events.

There are many indirect methods that make it possible to accurately estimate the total quantity of body fat, as well as its distribution, such as electrical bioimpedance, computerized tomography, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), magnetic resonance, and others. On the whole, these methods, although more accurate, are expensive and complex. To conduct epidemiological studies, the use of anthropometry has been recommended because it is a simple, easy-to-obtain, low-cost, and accurate method.

One of the most widely used indexes in the anthropometric evaluation of body composition is the Body Mass Index (BMI). Its broad dissemination is due to its capacity to express the energy reserves of individuals, ease to obtain, applicability, low correlation with height, and good correlation with body fat measures.

As people differ in relation to body composition and location of fat (android or gynecoid patterns), the relation between BMI and morbidity risk may be affected, considering that the main complications of obesity are associated to greater accumulation of abdominal fat, regardless of body weight.

Approximately 20% to 30% of the prevalence of hypertension may be due to overweight or obesity. Studies suggest that central obesity is more strongly associated to blood pressure levels than total fat. Individuals with optimal pressure levels, who present central obesity along time, show greater risk of developing hypertension.
The waist-hip ratio (WHR) and waist circumference alone are the most widely used measures to estimate abdominal fat, especially in epidemiological studies conducted in Europe, United States and in some Asian countries. However, differences related to body proportions and physical build of populations may lead to differences in the association that the waist circumference may have with abdominal adiposity. In this manner, variations in the body composition of different ethnic groups may change the predictive power of this indicator.

There was an increase in the predictive value of waist circumference as a marker of fat location in the last decade; however, Brazilian studies are non-conclusive. Many studies conducted in Caucasian populations show the utility of this indicator as a predictor of visceral fat, especially because it is a more easily obtainable measurement with lower operating cost than BMI. On the other hand, some studies have shown that, in the case of the Brazilian population, waist circumference may not be a good predictor of fat location, due to its high correlation with total fat.

The objective of this investigation was to analyze the association between total fat (BMI) and body fat distribution (waist circumference) anthropometric markers and hypertension, after adjustment for potential confounding factors, and define the best cutoff point.

**METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional population-based study, with a probabilistic sample of adults living in the urban zone of the municipality of Cuiabá, MT, Brazil, between February 2003 and August 2004.

The sample size was calculated considering the population of 474,458 inhabitants, with 20% hypertension prevalence in the adult population, 95% confidence interval, and estimation error of 2%, which resulted in a sample of 1531 households.

Households were selected through simple random sampling, stratified proportionally by the population density of each macro-zone. Based on the listing of the census sectors that comprised the urban area of Cuiabá in 2000, the sample was proportionally distributed per sector. Sectors where there were schools, military quarters, hospitals, hotels, and condos were excluded. Then, blocks were randomly drafted, after they had been numbered clockwise, from the face of the block, and finally the household to be visited. Only one dweller was interviewed, drafted from the dwellers aged between 18 and 74 years, so as to avoid information interdependence problems between interviewees. Bedridden or disabled individuals, pregnant women, and infants were also excluded. With the aim of assessing only adults (20 to 59 years), the final sample of this study was limited to 1298 individuals.

The collection of anthropometric data and blood pressure was carried out in households by trained examiners with standardized procedures.

To take measurements in compliance with the techniques described by Lohman et al. (1988), individuals examined were standing, without shoes, feet together and arms straight alongside the body, looking ahead. Weight was recorded in Kg, measured with portable digital scales of the brand Plenna Litthium Digital, MEA – 08128 model, with capacity for 150 kg. Height was measured using a Seca portable height measuring unit, Body Metter 208 model.

Waist circumference was measured using a flexible and inextensible measure tape, of the brand Cardiomed, with accuracy of 0.1 cm, on the horizontal plane, at the level of the natural waist, that is, at the narrowest part between the iliac crest and the lowest rib. This point was chosen because it is considered the site that more accurately reflects visceral fat tissue. The tape was firmly positioned around the body to be measured, without stretching it too much, so as to avoid compressing the subcutaneous tissue. The reading was done on the closest centimeter to where the tape crossed point zero, between exhalation and inhalation.
The measurement of blood pressure was done with a semi-automatic device (brand OMRON – HEM 705 CP). Each interviewee had his/her pressure measured twice, before and after answering the socio-demographic questionnaire, which took 30 minutes on average. This study used the values obtained in the second blood pressure measurement. Blood pressure was measured with the person sitting, with cuffs in adequate size to arm circumference, respecting the width/length proportion of 1:2. This measurement was only taken after thirty minutes if the person examined had had coffee or smoked, or after five minutes of rest.

Individuals with systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg and those using anti-hipertensive medication were considered hypertensive. Individuals who reported having hypertension and who controlled the disease with the use of low-sodium diet and exercise were also classified as hypertensive.

For BMI classification, the cutoff points recommended by the World Health Organization were used. Waist circumference was analyzed based on the cutoff points in relation to the risk of developing metabolic complications, as suggested by the World Health Organization.

Information about the practice of leisure time physical activity was obtained in the interview. Schooling was grouped according to years of education, adapted from IBGE (2000): 0 to 4 years, 5 to 7 years, 8 or more years.

Smoking was measured as cigarettes/day. Those who reported never having smoked cigarettes, ex-smokers, those who smoked regularly in the past but had completely quit smoking for at least 30 days were categorized as nonsmokers. And those who reported currently smoking cigarettes, pipe or cigar were classified as smokers.

Alcohol consumption referred to the type, frequency and quantity of alcohol intake, as expressed in grams of ethanol/day.

The database was structured in the Epi-info 2000 program with double entry to correct inconsistencies. The statistical analysis used the programs EPI INFO 6.0 and STATA/SE version 9.0.

The dependent variable was the presence or absence of systemic hypertension (SHT). The main explanatory variables were BMI and waist circumference.

Analysis of the correlation between anthropometric indexes was conducted using Pearson's correlation coefficient. For an adjusted estimate of prevalence ratios, Poisson's regression was used so as to find the adjusted effects of the explanatory variables in the association between anthropometric markers and hypertension.

Although BMI and waist circumference variables were treated as categories in the bivariate analysis, they were entered in the regression model as continuous variables, with the aim of not losing information. BMI and waist circumference variables were mutually adjusted in the regression model.

Analyses of ROC curves (Receiver Operating Characteristic) were used to identify the best cutoff point and the discriminative power of anthropometric markers for the hypertension outcome. The areas under the ROC curve provided the global probability of an anthropometric marker classifying correctly the presence or absence of hypertension. The area under the ROC curve in the perfect test is equal to 1.0. If the 95% confidence interval of the area under the curve includes the value 0.50, it means that the performance of the test is no better than chance.

This project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital Júlio Muller, of Mato Grosso Federal University (Protocol # 063/2002). All participants signed a Free and Informed Consent Form.

RESULTS

Of the 1,298 individuals interviewed, 47.5% were male. The overall prevalence of hypertension was 28.3%, being 33.5% for males and 23.5% for females.

The prevalence ratio of hypertensive males was 1.4 times greater than females. A
linear increase in the prevalence of hypertension was observed with the increase of age ($\rho < 0.001$). An inverse and linear association was detected between the prevalence of hypertension and schooling, in that individuals with up to 4 years of education presented a hypertension prevalence ratio approximately 1.6 times greater than individuals with 8 years or more education (Table 1).

The association between the variables related to lifestyle and hypertension is also presented in Table 1. As to smoking, ex-smokers were found to present a hypertension prevalence ratio about 1.5 times greater than non-smokers. The hypertension prevalence ratio was greater and statistically

**Tabela 1 - Prevalência de hipertensão, razão de prevalência (RP) e intervalo de confiança (IC 95%) segundo características sócio-econômicas e demográficas e relacionadas ao estilo de vida na população estudada, Cuiabá (MT), 2003 – 2004.**

Table 1 - Prevalence of hypertension, prevalence ratio (PR) and confidence interval (95% CI) according to socio-economic and demographic factors and those related to the lifestyle of the population studied, Cuiabá (MT), 2003 – 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hypertense/N</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160/681</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>207/617</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>1.43 (1.20 – 1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 29</td>
<td>42/384</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 39</td>
<td>72/361</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1.82 (1.28 – 2.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 49</td>
<td>118/297</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>3.63 (2.64 – 4.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 59</td>
<td>135/256</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>4.82 (3.54 – 6.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schooling (years of study)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 8</td>
<td>205/817</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 7</td>
<td>54/207</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>1.04 (0.80 – 1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>108/274</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>1.57 (1.30 – 1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>182/709</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>109/291</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1.46 (1.20 – 1.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>76/298</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.99 (0.79 – 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (cigarettes/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – 6</td>
<td>20/93</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.84 (0.56 – 1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 – 19</td>
<td>23/92</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.97 (0.67 – 1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 20</td>
<td>33/113</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>1.14 (0.83 – 1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumption of Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>244/863</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>123/435</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83 – 1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (g/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 6.44</td>
<td>22/111</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.70 (0.48 – 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.45 – 19.33</td>
<td>46/176</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>0.92 (0.71 – 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥19.34</td>
<td>55/148</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>1.31 (1.04 – 1.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leisure Physical Activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>182/573</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>185/721</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>0.81 (0.68 – 0.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dados ausentes para 8 participantes  
* Missing data from 8 participants  
* Dados ausentes para 8 participantes  
* Missing data from 4 participants  
* Dados ausentes para 3 participantes  
* Missing data from 3 participants  
* $p < 0.001$ (associado ao teste do Qui-Quadrado para tendência linear)  
* $p < 0.001$ (associated to chi-square for linear trend)
significant among individuals in the last tertile of ethanol consumption as compared to those who did not drink alcohol.

In this study, strong association between BMI and waist circumference was noted for both sexes. For males, correlations between these anthropometric markers were greater for individuals aged 37 years or more (r = 0.81, p < 0.001). For the group of women, correlation between these variables was greater (r = 0.88, p < 0.001) among participants who were aged less than 37 years.

A direct linear association was observed between the prevalence of hypertension and total fat, as evaluated by BMI (Table 2). Individuals with grade III obesity presented hypertension prevalence ratio approximately 3.4 times greater than that observed among normal individuals. Among overweight individuals, this prevalence ratio was 1.8 times greater than among normal individuals. The low-weight category presented a protective effect for hypertension.

As to fat location, men with very high waist circumference values were noted to present hypertension prevalence ratio 2.4 times greater as compared to normal waist circumference values, and this association was linear and direct. For women, the same trend was observed, with a greater association than that found among men (Table 3).

In the non-adjusted analysis, BMI and waist circumference were found to maintain the association with hypertension, when the brut prevalence ratio was analyzed (PR = 1.08; p < 0.001 and PR = 1.02; p < 0.001), respectively. However, after adjusting for BMI and potential confounding factors (sex, age, schooling, smoking, alcohol consumption, and leisure physical activity), waist circumference lost its association with the target outcome, and only BMI remained associated to hypertension (PR = 1.05; p = 0.001) (Table 4).

Figure 1 shows the curves of BMI sensitivity and specificity as predictors of hypertension, identifying the best cutoff points for both sexes. The best cutoff point for the detection of hypertension among men and women was similar (25.6 Kg/m² for men and 25.7 Kg/m² for women). The BMI's discriminatory power for hypertension, as evaluated by the area under the ROC curve showed that its capacity to correctly classify the presence or absence of hypertension was approximately 68% for men and 69% for women. In both cases, the lower limit of the 95% CI did not reach the value 0.50.

DISCUSSION

Reverse causality is a possibility in this study, however, associations between body fat and anthropometric markers with

Tabela 2 - Prevalência de hipertensão arterial, razão de prevalência (RP) e intervalo de confiança (IC 95%) segundo a classificação do índice de massa corporal na população estudada, Cuiabá (MT), 2003 – 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Mass Index (Kg/m²)</th>
<th>Hypertense/N</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (18.5 - 24.9)</td>
<td>102/569</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Weight (&lt; 18.5)</td>
<td>3/56</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.30 (0.10 – 0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25 - 29.9)</td>
<td>140/428</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>1.82 (1.46 – 2.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity I (30 - 34.9)</td>
<td>88/186</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>2.64 (2.09 – 3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity II (35 - 39.9)</td>
<td>23/42</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>3.05 (2.20 – 4.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity III (&gt; 40)</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>3.43 (2.16 – 5.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dados ausentes para 4 participantes * Missing data from 4 participants
* p < 0.001 (associado ao teste do Qui-Quadrado para tendência linear) * p < 0.001 (associated to chi-square for linear trend)
Tabela 3 - Prevalência de hipertensão arterial, razão de prevalência e intervalo de confiança (IC 95%) segundo a classificação da circunferência da cintura de acordo com o sexo, Cuiabá (MT), 2003 – 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hypertense/N</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm) a**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&lt; 94)</td>
<td>98/413</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (94 - 102)</td>
<td>53/105</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>2.13 (1.65 – 2.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VeryHigh (≥ 102)</td>
<td>55/95</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>2.44 (1.91 – 3.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm) b**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&lt; 80)</td>
<td>30/299</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (80 – 88)</td>
<td>37/155</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>2.81 (1.61 – 4.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VeryHigh (≥ 88)</td>
<td>92/224</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>4.09 (2.82 – 5.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Dados ausentes para 4 participantes | b Dados ausentes para 3 participantes | * p < 0,001 (associado ao teste do Qui-Quadrado para tendência linear) | * p < 0,001 (associated to chi-square for linear trend)

Tabela 4 - Razão de Prevalência (RP) bruta e ajustada entre os indicadores antropométricos e hipertensão arterial, Cuiabá (MT), 2003 – 2004 (n = 1,278)*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PR gross</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>PR adjust</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.06 – 1.10</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.02 – 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02 – 1.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00 – 1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Apenas 1,278 indivíduos apresentaram todos os dados que entraram no modelo desta regressão. Aqueles para os quais não se tinha informação sobre qualquer uma das variáveis do modelo foram excluídos da análise.

hypothesis have been shown in many studies21,22, controlling confounding variables for these associations.

This study found a prevalence of 28.3% hypertensive individuals. Population-based surveys conducted in some cities in Brazil show prevalence rates for hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg) ranging between 22.3% and 44.0%, considering differences in age distribution and social level of the groups investigated17.

A statistically significant increase in the prevalence of hypertension was observed with the increase in age, in concordance with other authors, among both men and women23.

Higher hypertension prevalence rates were found in lower schooling individuals (4 years or less). Low schooling has been pointed as one of the most important factors associated to hypertension, with prevalence ratios between 1.4 and 7.3 in the comparisons between illiterate people and those with university education24. The study conducted by Freitas et al.25 (2001) showed a high prevalence of SHT in the group that had less schooling (49.5%), thus confirming the findings of this study.
In the study mentioned above, individuals belonging to the last tertile of ethanol consumption presented a hypertension prevalence ratio 1.31 times greater than the group of people who did not drink alcohol. Research has shown that excessive alcohol consumption is one of the factors accounting for increase in blood pressure levels.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Probably, the direct association observed in this study between physical activity and hypertension may be the result of the reverse causality very common in cross-sectional studies, as the harmful role of sedentism is well documented in the literature as contributing to the increase of the prevalence of non-transmissible chronic diseases, including hypertension.\textsuperscript{28}

This study found a positive and linear association (p<0.001) between the increase in BMI and waist circumference with hypertension. This result has been found both in prospective and cross-sectional studies, in many populations, independent of age,\textsuperscript{29} showing that excess fat tissue is one of the main risk factors associated to hypertension.

These studies confirm the importance of excess total weight and accumulation of visceral fat in the prevalence of hypertension. However, the anthropometric indicators most widely used in epidemiological studies (BMI, waist circumference and waist-hip ratio) may not reflect the same risk in different populations. The existence of differences in the relation between body fat and BMI and/or waist circumference in different populations is already well established. This happens partly due to the influence of factors such as race and age in body composition, as well as due to differences in food intake and physical activity pattern\textsuperscript{5}. These differences modulate the degree of association between anthropometric indicators and cardiovascular risk factors.

Very few studies have presented adjusted estimates of the relation between anthropometric markers and hypertension. In this study, the waist circumference lost its explanatory power for hypertension after the removal of the effect of total fat, as evaluated by BMI.

Harris et al.\textsuperscript{21} (2000) showed that the...
odds ratio between the first and last quintiles of waist abdominal in men, which was 3.06, became 1.33 after adjusting for BMI. Among women, these values went from 5.4 to 3.04. These results may be explained, at least partly, by the high correlation observed between these two body fat markers.

The findings of this study agree with other Brazilian studies that evaluated the predictive capacity of anthropometric markers with relation to outcomes associated cardiovascular risk. In a population-based study conducted in the city of Rio de Janeiro, the high correlation between BMI and waist circumference was clear, and the waist-hip ratio was less correlated to total fat. The authors concluded that, waist-hip ratio could predict hypertension more effectively than waist circumference alone.

The possible limitation of this study was the lack of information about the hip circumference. This would have permitted evaluating the association between waist/hip ratio and hypertension, as this indicator seems to have better predictive power in evaluation of the association between fat location and the outcomes related to chronic diseases in the Brazilian population.

Lemos-Santos et al. (2004) tested the hypothesis that the waist circumference could predict the lipid profile of a population male blood-donors, independently of the explanatory power of total fat, evaluated by BMI and fat percentage. A high correlation between BMI and waist circumference was observed (r = 0.90 and 0.83, for the younger and older, respectively). The waist-hip ratio showed more independent, with less association with BMI (r = 0.52 and 0.40, for the younger and older, respectively). The authors concluded that the waist-hip ratio alone could predict the relation high HDL/cholesterol, an important factor for cardiovascular risk.

In this study, only BMI presented predictive capacity for hypertension, after adjustment for important confounding factors. The best cutoff points of this indicator showed to be similar to the recommendations of the World Health Organization.

Pitanga and Lessa (2005) in Salvador-BA found similar BMI values in a study in which high coronary risk was the outcome (24.0 Kg/m² for men and 26.0 Kg/m² for women).

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

It is noted that in Brazil, well-controlled studies evaluating the performance of indicators of fat location in the detection of chronic diseases have failed to show associations between waist circumference and outcomes related to cardiovascular risk. This study confirms this result, showing that it is still necessary to improve investigations in this field.

It is possible to conclude that the importance of identifying individuals at risk of presenting hypertension and other metabolic disorders related to diet and obesity and the operational simplicity of the indicator BMI stress the relevance of using the cutoff points recommended by the WHO (1998) as part of the strategies of public health programs meant to prevent and control overweight in adults. This recommendation is considered important, although the association found between BMI and hypertension, in this study, has been small, after adjusting for other well-established risk factors for hypertension.
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