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**ABSTRACT:** The present study aimed at evaluating the heterotic group formation in guava based on quantitative descriptors and using artificial neural network (ANN). For such, we evaluated eight quantitative descriptors. Large genetic variability was found for the eight quantitative traits in the 138 genotypes of guava. The artificial neural network technique determined that the optimal number of groups was three. The grouping consistency was determined by linear discriminant analysis, which obtained classification percentage of the groups, with a value of 86 %. It was concluded that the artificial neural network method is effective to detect genetic divergence and heterotic group formation.

**Index terms:** Guava, genetic variability, multivariate analysis, heterotic group.

FORMAÇÃO DE GRUPOS HETRÓTICOS EM *Psidium guajava* L. 
PELAS REDES NEURAIS ARTIFICIAIS

**RESUMO:** O presente estudo teve como objetivo avaliar a formação de grupos heteróticos na cultura da goiabeira com base em descritores quantitativos e utilizando uma técnica de bioinformática conhecida como redes neurais artificiais (RNA). Para tanto, foram avaliados oito descritores quantitativos. Foi encontrada ampla variabilidade genética para os oito caracteres quantitativos em 138 genótipos de goiabeira. A técnica de redes neurais artificiais determinou que o número ideal de grupos foi três. A consistência do agrupamento foi determinada via Análise Discriminante linear, obtendo-se assim percentagem de classificação dos grupos, com um valor de 86%. Foi concluído que a metodologia de redes neurais artificiais é eficiente para detectar a divergência genética e na formação de grupos heteróticos.

**Termos para indexação:** Goiaba, variabilidade genética, análise multivariada, grupos heteróticos.
INTRODUCTION

Guava (Psidium guajava L.) belongs to the Myrtaceae family and is native to tropical America, with wide distribution in the region, from Mexico to Brazil. Its fruit is considered quite nutritious and tasty and is characterized by high content of pectin, dietary fiber, minerals, essential amino acids and vitamin C (GONZÁLEZ et al. 2011).

Most commercial orchards are formed from seedlings grown from seeds, mainly due to the low cost of the seedlings. This type of propagation makes orchards very heterogeneous. In other words, orchards present high variability in the cultivated materials due to the seminal propagation (SANTOS et al. 2011). Differences can be found in various traits, including yield, color, size of fruits and pulp yield, etc.

Hence, the evaluation of these segregating populations obtained from open pollination becomes an important strategy to obtain cultivars and to indicate parental combinations that produce progenies with maximum genetic variability, thus increasing the chances to obtain superior individuals.

Studies on genetic diversity are very important in plant breeding because they allow the differentiation of accessions and help in the identification of contrasting genotypes for the performance of promising crosses. They are important tools to identify possible groups with a higher degree of heterosis in future crosses, and to find sources of resistance to diseases. MELCHINGER and GUMBER (1998) defined a heterotic group “as a group of related or unrelated genotypes from the same or different populations, which display similar combining ability and heterotic response when crossed with genotypes from other genetically distinct germplasm groups. By comparison, the term heterotic pattern refers to a specific pair of two heterotic groups, which express high heterosis and consequently high hybrid performance in their cross.”

Due to its nonlinear structure, artificial neural networks can capture more complex characteristics, controlled for several genes, of the data, which is not always possible with traditional statistical techniques, like traditional analysis of variance. SUDHEER et al. (2003), considers that the greatest advantage of the artificial neural networks technology, compared to conventional methods, is that it does not require information about the physical processes of the system to be modeled. The use of artificial neural networks associated with classification methods is a promising alternative (SILVA et al. 2014; SANT’ANNA et al. 2015), to plant breeding application and provide to heterotic group formation for the different species. These classifiers have the advantage of being non-parametric, requiring small samples for training (KAVZOGLU; MATHER 2003) and tolerating unbalanced data (BISPO 1995).

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of artificial neural networks to analyze the genetic divergence of Psidium guajava L., by proposing a classification and formation of divergent and heterotic groups from a database.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental design and evaluated population

It was evaluated 138 genotypes of guava, that were developed from a cross between different female and male guava parents in different populations selected by PESSANHA et al (2011). Those parental were of highly production for the agronomical traits and quality fruit characteristics, like fruit weight between 250-342 g and content of soluble solids °Brix among 9.10 - 11.00. The study was conducted in the experimental area of the Colégio Agrícola Antônio Sarlo, in Campos dos Goytacazes, with spacing of 1.5 m between plants and 3.0 m between rows, using random blocks.

The genotypes were planted in June 2009. The first formation pruning was held in December 2009 and fructification pruning, in September 2010. The harvest period occurred from February to April 2011. All plants received the treatments recommended for the culture, such as plant cultivation, fertilization, irrigation and the control of weeds, pests and diseases.

During the fruiting period, fruits with size of about 10 cm were bagged within polyethylene bags. Later, when the fruits reached maturity stage 1 and presented dark green skin, they were collected for further evaluation in the Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro (UENF) at the laboratory of Genetic and Plant Breeding. Ten fruits per plant were sampled for study on the characterization and evaluation of genotypes.

Phenotype traits

Since divergence is verified based on the study of plants and agronomic traits, in the search for genetic variability, these eight traits were evaluated: AFM (average fruit mass), FD (fruit diameter) FL (fruit length), PY (pulp yield), TSS (content of soluble solids Brix°), AT (acidity of the fruit), TSS/ AT (ratio between the content of soluble solids and
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It was assumed that the weight of the synaptic vector representing the characteristic of the plant and of the group formed was randomly defined based on the input data, as follows:

\[ W_k = [W_{k1}, W_{k2}, \ldots, W_{km}], K = 1, 2, 3. \]

The synaptic weight vector is the criterion for acceptance or rejection of a group of genotypes or plants.

The similarity between the input and the neuron was measured by the mean Euclidean distance between vectors \( X_n \) and \( W_{ki} \), calculated by the formula (e01):

\[ d_{ex} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (X_{nj} - W_{kj})^2} \]

The output layer unit with the smallest average Euclidean distance is considered the best. By using the index \( i(X) \) to identify the neuron most similar to the vector \( X_n \), known by the network at the moment, \( i(X) \) is expressed as:

\[ i(X) = \arg \min_k |X_n - W_i| \]

Then, the vectors of the synaptic weight of neurons were adjusted, according to the updating formula shown below.

Given the synaptic weight vector \( W_k(t) \) of the neuron \( k \) at time \( t \), the update of the weight vector \( W_k(t+1) \) at time \( t + 1 \) was defined by KOHONEN (1982) as:

\[ W_k(t+1) = W_k(t) + \eta(t)(X_n - W_k(t)) \]

which was applied to the winning neuron \( i \), where \( \eta(t) \) is the learning rate parameter and must be variable in time, started with a value close to 0.1, which gradually decreased, but remained above.

The discriminant analysis proposed by (FISHER, 1936) aims to promote the best discrimination between individuals, placing them in their proper populations. This analysis allows classifying new genetic materials of unknown behavior in populations already known (CRUZ 2013).

After obtaining the discriminant functions and the data of the populations \( j \) themselves, it was estimated the apparent error rate (TEA), which measures the efficiency of these functions to sort accessions correctly, in populations previously established.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Heterotic group formation

The classification by artificial neural network based on eight quantitative traits in 138 genotypes is given in Table 01. Based on tests with different numbers of groups and the results of Anderson’s discriminant analysis (Table 02), according to the percentage of correct classification of the proposed groups (Table 03), three groups were determined for the grouping, thereby ensuring the best classification and providing the distinction of their main traits.

Group 1 consisted of 57 genotypes with the following averages: fruit mass average of 240.94 g; fruit diameter, 73.27 mm; fruit length, 84.56 mm; pulp yield of 80%; soluble solids, 8.22° Brix; acidity, 0.36% of citric acid; ratio between the content of soluble solids and acidity equal to 23.18; and vitamin C with average of 36.49 mg of ascorbic acid/100 g.

Group 2 allocated 36 genotypes with the following averages: fruit mass average of 296.57 g; fruit diameter, 78.44 mm; fruit length, 89.46 mm; pulp yield, 80%; content of soluble solids 7.98° Brix; acidity, 0.38% of citric acid; ratio between the content of soluble solids and acidity equal to 21.68; and vitamin C with average of 34.95 mg of ascorbic acid/100 g.

Group 3 allocated 45 genotypes with the following averages: fruit mass average of 191.60 g; fruit diameter, 68.08 mm; fruit length, 77.73 mm; pulp yield, 79%; content of soluble solids, 31.8° Brix; acidity, 0.35% of citric acid; ratio of soluble solids and acidity of 23.88; and vitamin C with an average of 35.47 mg of ascorbic acid/100 g.

For those groups we have genotypes obtained in different location, they were different selection made by local farms and the plants have been of high production, high quality traits for fruits and good local adaptation.

The use was only possible because it was known a priori in which group the genotypes would be allocated. Thus, the consistency of the cluster was determined by Anderson’s discriminant analysis, as described by CRUZ (2013). Through artificial neural network, it was proposed the formation of three, seven and nine groups for allocating the genotypes; 86% of the genotypes were classified correctly in the group defined, this classification was consistent with the measured variables and plant phenotypes observed in field experiments (Table 03 and 04).

The percentage of correct and incorrect classification of each group, detected by Anderson’s discriminant analysis, based on the classification proposed by artificial neural network for three groups, as shown in Table 03, should be analyzed as follows: in the main diagonal line is the correct classification percentage for each group. All other fields refer to misclassification. To determine the percentage of misclassification of a particular group, it is necessary to analyze the respective lines. For example, according to the discriminant analysis, the artificial neural network classified 85% of the genotypes correctly in group 1, while 8% and 5% of the genotypes were incorrectly allocated in groups 2 and 3, respectively.

BARBOSA et al. (2011) investigated an accession of Carica papaya L. and found an apparent error rate of 8% when the accessions were grouped by the artificial neural network technique for the first harvest season; 8% for the second harvest season; and 6% for the average harvest seasons. These values were observed by using four groups for the classification accessions of papaya. According to the same authors, the discriminant function has demonstrated great potential to verify the consistency of the grouping proposed by the artificial neural network technique.

SUDRÉ et al. (2006) also found that Anderson’s discriminant function was adequate for the characterization and management of germplasm banks. It proved to be an additional tool to assess the correct classification provided by the multivariate analysis methods used.

MARIOT et al. (2008) studied accessions of M. ilicifolia and M. aquifolium and found an apparent error rate of 10% when the accessions were grouped according to the Tocher method, which was considered adequate by the authors.

The best percentage for the classification of groups was found when three groups were formed, according to the artificial neural network, with a value of 86%. BARBOSA et al. (2011) also achieved a better percentage for the formation of three groups, as proposed by the neural network with a value of 93%, showing that the smaller the number of groups, the higher the reliability of the grouping.

The groups generated by the artificial neural network detected genetic divergence with the formation of heterotic groups, which facilitates the selection of divergent genotypes for breeding through the generation of hybrids, since they allow the selection of genotypes suitable for crosses between different heterotic groups. This procedure increases the chances of obtaining superior genotypes; we are already conducting field experiments that prove this situation.

The number of genotypes representing each
of heterotic pools evaluated, apart from the chosen initial plant for made crosses (PESSANHA et al. 2011), are important issues to be considered to any genetic diversity study using this technique.

Correlations for intra and intergroups were in agreement with MELCHINGER’S (1999) results involving many different studies. Crosses among related genotypes, such as intragroup crosses, have a tight association between genetic distance and mid-parent heterosis for yield characters.

The possibility of selecting superior parents for the breeding programs in *Psidium guajava* L. was corroborated by DINESH and VASUGI, (2010), who found considerable genetic diversity within the species. SANTOS et al. (2011), detected genetic divergence among the 69 accessions analyzed.

The groups formed by the estimate of genetic divergence between the genotypes of the study population allow the use of sexual propagation, through the achievement of self-pollinating strains and/or new hybrids. It allows the selection of new crosses between divergent parents with better agronomic traits, which favors the occurrence of heterotic effect as well as the completion of the alleles in hybrid generation (MOHAMMADI; PRASANNA, 2003).

The concept of heterotic patterns includes the subdivision of the germplasm available in a hybrid breeding program in at least divergent groups for the different populations, which are improved with interpopulation selection. Heterotic patterns have a strong impact in crop improvement because they predetermine to a large extent the type of germplasm used in development breeding population, those approach determine a breeding program over a long period of time (MELCHINGER; GUMBER, 1998).

However, according to BARBOSA et al. (2011) another alternative is to recommend promising genotypes for assessment in sets with other genotypes, so as to investigate the possibility of recommending them with new varieties. In other words, it is advisable not to consider individual genotypes alone, but involve a large number of genotypes, mainly those with agronomic traits of interest.

For the breeding guava program we have possibilities for the selection of new crosses, in order to exploit heterosis and allele diversity, the agronomic performance of genotypes should also be taken into account (number and weight of fruits and high quality traits). This should lead to a better allele complementation, resulting in improved genotype performance in future generations.

Another possibility is to directly recommend promising genotypes (by cloning) for further evaluation together with other guava cultivars, to assess the possibility of their recommendation as new varieties.

Nevertheless, management of germplasm in genetically diverse heterotic groups still offers more advantages for breeding new populations than using divergent parental. Also, we have been using an alternative prediction technique, best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) for segregant population performance (FERREIRA et al 2012), that could be more efficient than use in association than genetic distance measures, when referring to intergroup crosses.

**TABLE 1** - Genotypes of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) grouped by the artificial neural network technique, based on 8 quantitative traits and the formation of three heterotic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 17, 18, 22, 24, 27, 32, 33, 35, 37, 38, 41, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 60, 63, 66, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 80, 82, 85, 87, 89, 91, 99, 101, 102, 103, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 124, 126, 128, 136, 138.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, 25, 31, 34, 36, 40, 42, 43, 44, 50, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 67, 69, 70, 71, 81, 83, 84, 86, 88, 115, 116, 117, 118, 129, 131, 133, 134, 135, 137.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2- Result of discriminant analysis, according to the percentage of correct classification of the heterotic groups proposed by the artificial neural network technique for *Psidium guajava* L.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of groups of artificial neural network</th>
<th>Percentage of correct classification of the groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>86 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>74 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>65 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3- Percentage of correct and incorrect classification of each heterotic group, obtained with the use of Anderson’s discriminant analysis (1958), based on the classification proposed by the artificial neural network technique in three groups, considering 8 quantitative traits evaluated in 138 genotypes of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>85 %</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>91 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>84 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent error rate – 13 %

TABLE 4- Averages of the quantitative variables for the three heterotic groups formed with the use of the artificial neural network technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables¹</th>
<th>GROUPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>240.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>73.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>84.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY</td>
<td>80.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS/AT</td>
<td>23.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIT C</td>
<td>36.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ AFM = average fruit mass; FD = transverse fruit diameter; FL = fruit length; PY = pulp yield; TSS = content of soluble solids; AT = fruit acidity; TSS/AT = ratio between the content of soluble solids and acidity; VIT C = content of ascorbic acid.

CONCLUSION

The methodology of artificial neural networks proved to be both efficient in detecting genetic divergence between individuals evaluated for the eight quantitative traits.

Artificial neural networks in association with discriminant analysis were effective in promoting the formation of heterotic groups in guava.
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