Effects of nephrectomy on respiratory function and quality of life of living donors: a longitudinal study
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ABSTRACT | Background: A living donor transplant improves the survival and quality of life of a transplant patient. However, the impact of transplantation on postoperative lung function and respiratory muscular strength in kidney donors remains unknown. Objective: To evaluate pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, quality of life and the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) in kidney donors undergoing nephrectomy. Method: This prospective cohort enrolled 110 consecutive kidney donors undergoing nephrectomy. Subjects underwent pulmonary function (using spirometry) and respiratory muscular strength (using manovacuometry) assessments on the day prior to surgery and 1, 2, 3 and 5 days postoperatively. Quality of life (measured by the SF-36) was evaluated preoperatively and 30 days postoperatively. PPCs were assessed daily by a blinded assessor. Results: Donors exhibited a decrease of 27% in forced vital capacity, 58% in maximum inspiratory capacity and 51% in maximum expiratory pressure on the 1st postoperative day (p<0.001) but this improved over days 2, 3 and 5 but had not returned to preoperative levels. Patient quality of life was still impaired at 30 days with regards to functional capacity, physical role, pain, vitality and social functioning (p<0.05) but these parameters improved slowly. None of the patients developed PPCs. Conclusion: Kidney donors submitted to nephrectomy exhibited a reduction in pulmonary function, respiratory muscular strength and quality of life, most of which were improving toward pre-surgical levels.
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Introduction

The preferred treatment for end-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD) is renal transplantation, which is considered a viable and safe procedure¹². Living-donor renal transplantation reduces mortality and improves recipients’ quality of life with low impact on donors¹⁴. The donor’s risk of developing postoperative complications is inherent to the surgical procedure and is sometimes associated with previous comorbidities⁵.⁶.

Donor nephrectomy is considered an upper abdominal surgery (UAS), and several studies have demonstrated that patients undergoing this surgery develop altered respiratory mechanics and pulmonary function, which could lead to pulmonary complications⁶.⁸. Studies assessing the evolution of pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength after UAS reported a 40 to 50% decrease in Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV₁), and respiratory muscle strength⁹-¹³. However, there is relatively little information available on the effects of transplant on pulmonary function in cases of donor nephrectomy.

Postoperative Pulmonary Complications (PPC) are considered one of the major etiologic factors for prolonged hospital stay and mortality, and the incidence rate of PPC varies from 9 to 40% in UAS, depending on the diagnostic criteria used⁷,¹⁴. Chiavegato et al.⁵ reported a low incidence of PPC after assessing two types of nephrectomy incision; however, the patient follow-up period was not sufficient to analyze the clinical repercussions of donation.

The risks for donors are considered low, and Lopes et al.¹⁵ reported an increase in self-esteem, altruism, and improvement in the quality of life of living donors. However, a small proportion of the donors report negative experiences, mainly after
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unsuccessful donation, such as transplant rejection and/or postoperative complications\textsuperscript{15,16}. Although donation has a positive global effect on both the donor and the recipient, the true impact of donation on respiratory function as well as on donor quality of life requires further investigation. With this information, new proposals of clinical management could be better applied by multidisciplinary staff. Therefore, this study aimed to assess postoperative pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength as well as the incidence of pulmonary complications (from 1 to 5 days postoperatively) and the quality of life (at 30 days postoperatively) of kidney donors undergoing nephrectomy.

**Method**

**Participants**

This study is a prospective cohort study that consecutively recruited 124 kidney donors who underwent donor nephrectomy, regardless of the surgical approach (lumbotomy or subcostal), at the Hospital do Rim and Hipertensão – Fundação Oswaldo Ramos, São Paulo, Brazil. The Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP) Ethics Committee, São Paulo, state of São Paulo (SP), Brazil (1127/01), approved this study, and all volunteers provided their signed consent.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

We included participants of both genders, over 18 years of age, who underwent donor nephrectomy. We excluded individuals unable to perform the prescribed physical therapy techniques and measurements, previous pulmonary disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and clinically uncontrolled cardiomyopathy, need for postoperative mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours, death within the first 12 postoperative hours, need for intervention in an organ other than the kidney, and surgical re-intervention.

**Experimental design**

All donors were assessed one day before surgery and on the 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 5\textsuperscript{th} postoperative days. The assessments included anthropometric data, physical examination, pulmonary function (using spirometry), respiratory muscle strength (using manometry) and quality of life (using the SF-36). Chest x-rays were performed in the preoperative period and on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} postoperative day. All donors received preoperative instructions about the surgery and the importance of coughing, walking, and respiratory physical therapy. During the postoperative period, the donors were submitted to physical therapy, which consisted of 15 repetitions of diaphragmatic breathing and another 15 repetitions associated with active mobilization of the upper limbs, in addition to walking under the supervision of a physical therapist every day, from admission until hospital discharge. The physical therapy sessions were performed once a day, with an average duration of 15 minutes per session. Before performing the exercises, pain was assessed using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS)\textsuperscript{17}. In cases of pain intensity equal to or greater than five, the donors received medication according to the institution’s protocol. Postoperative pulmonary complications were recorded during the first 30 postoperative days. Thirty days after hospital discharge, the donor returned for outpatient assessment of his/her quality of life (SF-36 questionnaire)\textsuperscript{18}.

**Assessment methods**

*Pulmonary function*: Spirometry was executed with a portable spirometer, previously calibrated (Spirobank\textsuperscript{®}, Italy), using the technical criteria proposed by the American Thoracic Society guidelines for pulmonary function tests\textsuperscript{19}.

*Respiratory muscle strength*: This parameter was assessed by the Maximum Inspiratory Pressure (MIP) and Maximum Expiratory Pressure (MEP), which were obtained by using a manometer (MTR, Brazil), with a scale ranging from 0 to 300 cmH\textsubscript{2}O. Three measurements were taken with the patient seated at 60-second intervals, and the highest value was the recorded score. Both measurements were obtained from functional residual capacity (FRC)\textsuperscript{20}. MIP was obtained by asking the patient to breath calmly and then to inhale as much as possible. Simultaneously, the inspiratory limb of the unidirectional valve was occluded. MEP was recorded by asking the patient to breath calmly and then to exhale as much as possible. At this moment, the unidirectional valve was occluded. The mouthpieces used for recording respiratory muscle strength contained a minimal hole, of approximately 2 mm, to exclude facial muscle strength and to increase the reliability of the measurements\textsuperscript{20}. The percentage of the predicted values was calculated using the equations proposed by Neder et al.\textsuperscript{21}.

*Postoperative pulmonary complications*: PPCs were characterized by the chest x-ray performed on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} postoperative (PO) day and were analyzed by the lung specialist of the institution based on the PPC diagnostic criteria proposed by Pereira et al.\textsuperscript{22} On the
30\(^{th}\) PO day, the presence of PPC was assessed by consulting the medical records.

**Quality of life:** This parameter was assessed using the SF-36 questionnaire, was given to the subjects by the principal investigator in the preoperative period and on the 30\(^{th}\) postoperative day. This validated questionnaire\(^ {18} \), with a total score of 100 points, included the investigation of 8 domains with 11 questions related to functional capacity, limitations due to physical aspects, pain, general health status, vitality, social aspects, emotional aspects and mental health. Higher scores reflect a better quality of life.

**Statistical analysis:** We sampled this study by convenience. Descriptive statistics were expressed as mean and standard deviations for continuous variables and as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. After confirming the normal distribution of the data using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov’s test, one-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to compare the mean values between the preoperative period and the postoperative period (1\(^{st}\) and 5\(^{th}\) postoperatively), and Tukey’s post hoc test was applied whenever differences were found. Quality of life was analyzed using Student’s t-tests. A 5% level of significance was adopted for all comparisons. Data were analyzed using the SigmaStat 3.2 software (San Jose, USA).

**Results**

One hundred twenty-four donors were assessed, 110 (88%) of whom were included in the study; 14 (12%) did not undergo surgery due to issues related to the recipient. The general characteristics of the included patients are presented in Table 1.

Among the 110 included donors, 72 (65%) were female, 25 (22.7%) were smokers, 22 (20%) were former smokers, and 8 (7.3%) consumed alcohol. Among the donors, one (0.9%) had been treated for heart failure, one had (0.9%) diabetes mellitus, and nine had (8.2%) hypertension. All patients were stable and controlled (Table 1).

**Pulmonary function**

On the pulmonary function assessment, the results revealed a 27% decrease in FVC on the 1\(^{st}\) postoperatively (p<0.001) with a gradual recovery; the FVC was decreased by approximately 9% on the 5\(^{th}\) PO day when compared with the preoperative values (p>0.05; Table 2).

When analyzing FEV\(_1\), a 31% and 12% decrease on the 1\(^{st}\) and 5\(^{th}\) PO days were observed (p<0.001), respectively, with a gradual return to the preoperative values (Table 2).

The analysis of the FEV\(_1\)/FVC ratio also revealed a 6% decrease on the 1\(^{st}\) PO day (p<0.001), returning to values very close to the preoperative values on the 5\(^{th}\) PO day (p>0.05; Table 2).

**Respiratory muscle strength**

Respiratory muscle strength assessment revealed a 58% decrease in the mean MIP on the 1\(^{st}\) PO day (p<0.001; Table 3), with gradual recovery; the values on the 5\(^{th}\) PO day were similar to the preoperative ones (p>0.05; Table 3). MEP revealed a 51% and 81% decrease on the 1\(^{st}\) and 5\(^{th}\) PO days, respectively (p<0.001; Table 3).

---

**Table 1.** General characteristics of the 110 kidney donors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42.21±9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68.6±13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>1.61±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>26.23±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical duration (minutes)</td>
<td>105.04±21.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kg=kilograms; cm=centimeters; kg/m\(^2\)=kilograms per square meter; BMI=body mass index; min=minutes.

**Table 2.** Pulmonary function variables preoperatively and on the 1\(^{st}\), 2\(^{nd}\), 3\(^{rd}\) and 5\(^{th}\) postoperative days for kidney donors (mean±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE</th>
<th>1(^{st}) PO</th>
<th>2(^{nd}) PO</th>
<th>3(^{rd}) PO</th>
<th>5(^{th}) PO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>3.57±0.81</td>
<td>2.64±0.74*</td>
<td>2.90±0.76</td>
<td>3.11±0.81</td>
<td>3.24±0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pred</td>
<td>103.19±12.62</td>
<td>76.33±15.93*</td>
<td>83.72±4.43</td>
<td>89.71±14.04</td>
<td>93.76±15.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1) (L)</td>
<td>2.96±0.83</td>
<td>2.04±0.6*</td>
<td>2.27±0.63</td>
<td>2.48±0.69</td>
<td>2.60±0.68*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pred</td>
<td>99.32±12.97</td>
<td>69.76±15.82*</td>
<td>76.25±17.67</td>
<td>84.91±15.23</td>
<td>89.17±4.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)/FVC (%)</td>
<td>81.47±7.47</td>
<td>77.17±9.29*</td>
<td>78.59±9.39</td>
<td>80.02±8.96</td>
<td>80.68±7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pred</td>
<td>100.03±9.53</td>
<td>94.68±11.72*</td>
<td>95.47±14.89</td>
<td>98.37±11.21</td>
<td>98.92±9.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE=preoperative day; PO=postoperative day; FVC=forced vital capacity; L=liters; FEV\(_1\)=forced expiratory volume in one second; pred=predicted.

*p<0.05 comparison with PRE.
Pulmonary complications and pain

The chest x-ray indicated that 35 (32%) patients exhibited basal lamina atelectasis without clinical change; the findings were thus not considered pulmonary complications.

All patients reported no preoperative pain, with a zero score on the visual analog scale (VAS). On the 1st PO day, the median value of pain was 5 (Min=0 and Max=10; p<0.001), with a gradual decrease in pain over the postoperative period, reaching a median of 1 on the 5th PO day (Min=0 and Max=7; p<0.001).

Quality of life

When analyzing the 110 donors, differences were observed in most of the domains of the SF-36 questionnaire, when comparing the preoperative and postoperative periods. There was a worsening in the domains of functional capacity, limitations due to physical aspects, pain, vitality and social aspects (p<0.05; Table 4). The values for the domains general health status, emotional aspects and mental health were similar to those observed in the preoperative period (p>0.05; Table 4).

Discussion

This study demonstrated that patients undergoing nephrectomy exhibited decreased pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength on the 1st PO day compared with the preoperative period, with a gradual return to preoperative values on the 5th PO day; pulmonary complications were not observed. With regards to quality of life, there was no significant difference in the general health status, emotional aspects or mental health; there was, however, a decrease in functional capacity, limitations due to physical aspects, pain, vitality and social aspects at 30 days.

In the present study, less respiratory function impairment in healthy donors who underwent nephrectomy was observed compared with other open upper abdominal surgeries. The present findings demonstrate that FVC and FEV₁ decreased by approximately 30% on the 1st PO day; these data differ from those found in other upper abdominal surgeries in which the variables were typically reduced by approximately 50%. In addition, FVC returned to preoperative values on the 5th PO day, which normally occurs seven or more days after surgery. Corroborating these data, Lunardi et al. observed a

| Table 3. Respiratory muscle strength of kidney donors preoperatively and on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 5th postoperative days (mean±SD). |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                 | PRE            | 1st PO         | 2nd PO         | 5th PO         |
| MIP (cmH₂0)     | 61.78±18.95    | 36.15±9.97*    | 41.60±11.69    | 50.82±16.99    | 59.62±18.82   |
| % pred          | 61.52±16.93    | 36.15±9.14*    | 41.56±10.67    | 50.37±14.64    | 59.04±15.77   |
| MEP (cm H₂0)    | 71.05±20.73    | 36.59±12.54*   | 43.65±15.44    | 49.74±15.25    | 58.00±18.62*  |
| % pred          | 68.73±17.55    | 35.53±10.66*   | 42.35±13.20    | 48.38±13.01    | 56.26±15.41*  |

PRE=postoperative day; PO=postoperative day; MIP=maximum inspiratory pressure; MEP=maximum expiratory pressure. *p<0.05 comparison with PRE.

| Table 4. Quality of life preoperatively and 30 days postoperatively among the 110 kidney donors (mean±SD). |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Dimension       | Preoperative   | Postoperative  |
| Functional capacity | 91.09±10.03    | 77.32±20.53    | 0.001*         |
| Physical role   | 92.07±19.72    | 46.22±43.57    | 0.001*         |
| Pain            | 81.02±17.54    | 64.29±22.63    | 0.001*         |
| General health  | 87.71±14.21    | 90.27±10.87    | 0.26           |
| Vitality        | 54.51±12.69    | 74.63±20.32    | 0.003*         |
| Social function | 93.90±11.20    | 77.74±22.45    | 0.001*         |
| Emotional role  | 95.94±13.32    | 89.53±20.05    | 0.09           |
| Mental health   | 85.46±11.69    | 80.29±19.13    | 0.75           |

*p<0.05.
24% decrease in the maximum inspiratory capacity in patients undergoing laparoscopic UAS.

With respect to respiratory muscle strength, a 40% decrease in MIP and a 47% decrease in MEP was observed on the 1st PO day, with a tendency to return to baseline values on the subsequent postoperative days; these data are similar to those previously described in the literature.7,9 Several possibilities have been suggested in the literature to justify the change in respiratory function and muscle strength during the postoperative period, such as decreasing pain, choice of surgical incision site, duration of anesthesia and surgery, but the primary cause is reported to be diaphragmatic mobility dysfunction due to the reflex inhibition of the phrenic nerve.6,7,9 However, continued advances and improvements in the surgical techniques, in addition to the presence of a multidisciplinary team providing care to the patient, seems to contribute to the low pulmonary involvement observed in this study.13

Intriguingly, we observed no PPCs; however, 35% of patients exhibited radiological findings that could indicate the presence of, or potential for, such complications. Although the incidence of pulmonary complications in UAS has been reported to range from 9 and 40%,7,9 we believe that this variation occurred due to the divergent PPC diagnostic criteria.7,9 In the present study, rigorous criteria was used to define PPCs, a fact that might explain the difference in the present findings when compared with previous studies.7,10 Interestingly, other studies conducted at the same Institution reported an incidence between 7 and 24% for similar upper abdominal surgery.4,29 A possible explanation for this finding is that the present sample consisted of young, healthy participants and that advancements and improvements in preoperative care have occurred throughout the years, including physical therapy, that contribute to PPC prevention.15,30

Although nephrectomy was performed by conventional open surgery, our results are similar to those found in patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy.25,26 Studies have suggested that laparoscopic surgery is associated with decreased analgesia, reduced hospital stay, and early return to preoperative conditions.25,26 A recent systematic review,25 however, demonstrated that when comparing laparoscopic and open surgeries, the incidence of general complications such as reoperation, early graft loss and delayed graft function are similar in both procedures. Ferrario et al.26 assessed 46 donors undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy and, as in the present study, found no postoperative complications during the hospital stay; however, although our sample underwent open nephrectomy, shorter durations of surgery (105.04±21 vs. 170±45 minutes) and of hospital stay (3 vs. 5±1 days) were observed.

When analyzing quality of life, the present study showed differences in functional capacity, limitations due to physical aspects, pain, vitality and social aspects following surgery, corroborating the previous findings of other authors15,16 of the negative impact of donation on the physical and social characteristics of patients, mostly women in the same age group as the present study (mean age between 40 and 50 years). However, there were no changes in general health status, emotional aspects or mental health, and this fact could mean that personal and emotional satisfaction for organ donation is truly present.13 Although donors exhibit changes in pain and physical and functional capacity, studies suggest that donors return to pre-donation levels of daily living activities within a short time15,16; however, there is no consensus on when exactly this occurs. Although the present study assessed quality of life 30 days after donation, changes in certain domains might have been due to the surgery itself, similar to any other procedure of this magnitude.

An important difference in the present study was that all patients underwent respiratory physical therapy once a day in the pre- and postoperative periods. Information regarding the surgical incision, the importance of coughing, early walking and physical therapy exercises was provided during the preoperative period. In the postoperative period, respiratory exercises were performed under supervision. Because the study was performed in a university hospital with growing care difficulties and poor pre- and postoperative care, the authors expected to observe greater repercussions on pulmonary function and strength associated with postoperative complications. However, unlike other surgical patients, the present sample consisted of healthy participants who had a previous awareness of the importance of physical therapy and the fact that physical therapy was performed under supervision might explain the present findings.

This study has some limitations. First, all patients underwent physical therapy postoperatively, and because there was no control group, the authors could not determine whether physical therapy contributed to the favorable outcomes observed in the present study. Second, the chest x-rays used for PPC assessment were analyzed by a single lung specialist. Third, quality of life was assessed at 30 days following the institutional practice routine; however, the authors believe a longer follow-up period is required to observe if the quality of life improves over time.
• Conclusion

The results of the present study suggest that donors undergoing nephrectomy and under physical therapy care exhibit reduced pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength on the 1st PO day, with gradual return to preoperative values by the 5th PO day, and do not suffer pulmonary complications. At 30 days, the quality of life reflects that patients still did not returned to preoperative levels. This happened probably due to the functional capacity and limitations due to physical aspects, pain, vitality and social aspects. However, general health status, emotional aspects and mental health remain unchanged.
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