Gait characteristics of younger-old and older-old adults walking overground and on a compliant surface

Características da marcha de idosas jovens e muito idosas em solo estável e sobre superfície complacente
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Abstract

Background: Walking across unstable surfaces disturbs normal stability and efficient strategies must be used to avoid falls. This study identified age-related changes in gait during unstable surface walking. Method: Eight healthy younger-old adults (YOG, mean age, 68.6 years) and eight healthy older-old adults (OOG, mean age, 82.1 years) were assessed. Both groups performed the Timed Up and Go Test (TUG) and walked on a rigid and on a compliant surface while kinematic data were obtained. Results: The OOG needed more time to complete the TUG test compared to YOG (F1,14=5.18; p=0.04). The gait speed, stride length and vertical displacement of the foot were similar for both groups, but they were slower (F1,14=5.64; p=0.03) when walking on the compliant surface. The knee and hip range of motion on the sagittal plane (F1,14=191.9; p<0.001 and F1,14=36.4, p<0.001, respectively) increased on the compliant surface but no group effect was found. The displacement of upper trunk on the frontal plane was similar between groups (F1,14=2.43; p=0.14) and conditions (F1,14=1.15; p=0.3). The OOG had greater displacement of the pelvic segment on the frontal plane than the YOG (F1,14=4.9; p=0.04) mainly for the compliant surface. Conclusion: Older-old individuals have slower TUG test and greater displacement of the pelvic segment on a compliant surface. More challenging tasks and/or environment should be used for gait assessment and intervention of older adults with risk of falls.
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Resumo

Contextualização: Caminhar em superfícies instáveis perturba a estabilidade corporal, e estratégias eficientes devem ser utilizadas para evitar quedas. Objetivo: Identificar alterações da marcha relacionadas ao envelhecimento durante a caminhada em superfície instável. Método: Oito idosos jovens sadios (GIJ, idade média, 68,6 anos) e oito idosos muito idosos sadios (GMI, idade média, 82,1 anos) foram avaliados. Ambos os grupos realizaram o Teste Timed Up and Go (TUG) e andaram sobre uma superfície rígida e uma complacente, enquanto dados cinemáticos foram registrados. Resultados: O GMI levou mais tempo para completar o TUG quando comparado ao GIJ (F1,14=5,18; p=0,04). A velocidade, o comprimento do passo e o deslocamento vertical do pé foram similares entre os grupos, e ambos foram mais lentos (F1,14=5,64; p=0,03) ao andar sobre a superfície complacente. A amplitude de movimento do joelho e do quadril no plano sagital (F1,14=191,9; p<0,001 e F1,14=36,4, p<0,001, respectivamente) aumentaram na superfície complacente, mas nenhuma diferença entre os grupos foi encontrada. O deslocamento do tronco superior no plano frontal foi similar entre os grupos (F1,14=2,43; p=0,14) e condições (F1,14=1,15; p=0,3). O GMI teve maior deslocamento do segmento da pelve no plano frontal do que o GIJ (F1,14=4,9; p=0,04), principalmente na superfície complacente. Conclusão: Indivíduos muito idosos são mais lentos no TUG e apresentam maior deslocamento do segmento pélvico na superfície complacente. Tarefas e/ou ambientes mais desafiadores deveriam ser usados para avaliação da marcha e intervenção em idosos com risco de quedas.
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Introduction

Walking across unstable surfaces is a common daily life situation. Changes in surface compliance disturb normal dynamic stability. Compliant surfaces cause an inability to use the kinesthetic information accurately and make a mechanical perturbation on gait. In this way, people must use efficient strategies for avoiding falls and injuries. Although the causes of falling are multiple and complex, a critical factor is the ability to maintain stability and respond to perturbations during walking. Walking is considered a complex motor skill derived from the interaction of multiple sensorimotor and cognitive processes, which are commonly impaired in frail older adults, increasing the risk of falls and mobility disability.

Studies describing how older adults manage the task of controlling balance show age-related poorer performances in more demanding tests conditions, such as standing with eyes closed or in tandem position, and increased area and speed of center of pressure, assessed through a force platform. This increase showed to be more pronounced after 60 years in a sample aged from 30 till up to 80 years. Differently from static balance, during walking both the base of support and the center of mass are moving. The ability for developing strategies to keep balance during walking in a challenging environment and on different surfaces with young and aged healthy individuals has been described in previous studies. To ensure the dynamic stability, the central nervous system tries to minimize the vertical movement of the body center of mass by changes in lower limbs movement pattern. The swing limb is placed under the falling center of mass to keep forward stability as well as a combination of lateral trunk control and lateral placement of the feet to preserve lateral stability.

Physical functioning tests have showed significant aged-related differences for older adults. Clinical balance and mobility tests, such as the Timed Up and Go test (TUG), are used to evaluate balance performance, to recognize any functional decline and to predict risk of falls. Gait speed is considered a good measure of overall walking performance because it reflects energetic efficiency, muscle strength, balance control and endurance. Slower walking can predict hospitalization, survival, and falls in older adults. In a sample of 34,485 community-dwelling older adults aged 65 years or older from 9 cohort studies the average gait speed was 0.86 m/s (Standard Deviation = 0.22 m/s). Slower gait speed is associated with increased risk of falls. Each 0.10 m/s decrease in gait speed was associated with a 7% increased risk for falls.

It is not clear if gait performance is more strongly affected under challenging situations, like compliant surfaces, due to the age-related deterioration upon motor control systems when compared with customary walking. It is suggested that there is an increased difficulty in implementing rapid adjustments to overcome the increased demand with aging. The maximal double step length test used to evaluate the dynamic balance in the elderly was significantly correlated to age. When walking on compliant surfaces, older and young adults decreased velocity and increased cadence. A recent study observed an exacerbated decline in gait speed and medio-lateral control of the hip, which is explicitly evident during challenging walking. To effectively walking on compliant surfaces, individuals with Parkinson’s disease (PD) increased their stride length, step width, walking velocity and toe clearance and reduced the cadence. They also increased the vertical and medio-lateral head and pelvis displacement. These changes were different between fallers and non-fallers PD individuals.

The analyses of age-associated changes in gait pattern among older adults are not fully explored and may bring important insights for assessment, functional training and fall risk interventions. In the present study gait parameters in the form of spatiotemporal measurement and physical functioning tests were investigated to determine age-associated changes in gait during unstable surface walking. We hypothesized that age-related (younger old adults vs. older old adults) changes in gait performance were more pronounced for unstable surface (compliant surface) walking compared with a rigid surface (overground) walking.

Method

Participants

Sixteen female older women aged between 65 and 90 years participated in this study. They were able to walk for at least 5 meters without any external support or assistance and none of them had any associated neurological, orthopedic, cardiovascular or psychiatric conditions, dementia or pain. They were not taking medications that could affect balance or were insulin dependent. In addition, all were sedentary (e.g. did not perform physical exercises more than twice a week for 30 minutes) and did not have one or more falls in the last year. The experimental procedure was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all participants gave approved written consent prior to participation. All procedures were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Cidade de São Paulo (UNICID), São Paulo, SP, Brazil, (protocol number PP13252044).
Participants were allocated into two groups based on their age. One group was composed by eight women aged between 65 and 75 years (younger-old group, YOG), and the other was composed by eight women with 80 years old and more (older-old group, OOG).

Procedure

All participants answered a questionnaire about their fear of falling (Falls Efficacy Scale-International, FES-I) and performed one trial of the TUG test after familiarization with the test. Participants, dressed in black clothing, walked barefoot, along 5-m walkway (width: 1.2 m and height: 0.1 m) at self-selected speed and returned to the initial position on five consecutive trials on overground (rigid surface) and on soft gym mats (height: 5 cm, density: 33 kg/m³ - compliant surface) placed on the walkway.

Data analysis

Movement kinematics was recorded at 60 Hz with three synchronized video cameras (Panasonic PV-GS35). Passive markers were bilaterally placed on the acromion process, posterior superior iliac crest, greater trochanter, femoral epicondyle, lateral malleolus and the point between the head of the second and third metatarsal. These markers were later digitized and reconstructed using the gait analysis software (APAS – Ariel Performance Analysis System – Ariel Dynamics Inc). The data were low-pass filtered at 10 Hz (third order, dual pass Butterworth). For the calibration, the position of a set of 12 markers were recorded and used for the reconstruction of the real coordinates by the direct linear transformation (DLT). The average root-mean-square error of the two dimensional reconstruction was 2.5 mm. Further data analyses were performed using custom computer algorithms written in IGOR Pro (Wavemetrics Inc.). Two gait cycles were defined (one starting with the left foot and other with the right heel contact) and their corresponding data sets were reduced to 200 points. The vertical displacement of the foot was calculated using the metatarsal markers to estimate foot clearance. Gait speed was calculated from the stride length and cycle time, which were also determined from the kinematic data. Ankle, knee and hip joint range of motion during stride in the sagittal plane was calculated. The displacement of upper trunk (defined by the angle formed between the line along the right and left acromion and the horizontal axis) and the pelvic (defined by the angle formed between the line along the right and left posterior superior iliac crest and the horizontal axis) segments in the frontal plane were also computed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in Statistica for Windows® (version 5.1 StatSoft, Inc., 1998). The data homogeneity and normality were confirmed by Levene and Kolmogorov-Smirnov Tests, respectively. Socio-demographic data, TUG Test and FES-I scores were compared by Student-T tests. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were used for the between-group comparisons (young-old and older-old adults) and conditions (rigid and compliant surfaces), with the last factor considered as repeated-measure. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of YOG and OOG groups and the results of temporal and spatial gait parameters. All participants were able to complete the task without falls and did not report any problem with walking on compliant surface. The OOG was significantly older than the YOG. There
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![Figure 1. Average angular displacement of ankle, knee and hip joints in the sagittal plane for both groups (YOG and OOG) walking on the rigid (R) and compliant (C) surfaces.](image-url)
Discussion

This study analyzed the gait pattern of sixteen older adults when walking on a rigid and on a compliant surface. Overall, no significant differences were observed on temporal and spatial gait parameters as well as on the ranges of motion of ankle, knee and hip joints between groups. In addition, the results of upper trunk and pelvic displacement suggested a group difference only for the pelvic movement. Interestingly, this difference seemed to be more accentuated when participants walked on a challenging environment (i.e., compliant surface) than on the rigid surface. Therefore, these results suggested that the poorer performance in the TUG test observed in the old-older group when compared to younger-old group could be explained by difficulties regarding standing up and turning rather than just walking.

Walking in a compliant surface affects gait speed and requires a different joint coordination pattern. Challenging
environment was able to differentiate between fallers and non-fallers older groups with peripheral neuropathy\textsuperscript{24,25}. In general, the gait on a compliant surface requires a more dynamic movement of the trunk and the lower limbs\textsuperscript{1}. The observed increased flexion of the knee and hip joints is probably to prevent tripping by maintaining toe clearance and to ensure safe forward progression. These results were similar in young adults walking over surfaces of different compliances\textsuperscript{8}. As the surface compliance increases, the knee and hip flexion become higher after the toe-off and the toe elevation is maintained. Probably it represents a compensatory mechanism for keeping balance control during the challenging environment.

Age-related differences were found in frontal plane kinematics. These differences were already described for balance corrections and may be more representative of situations which induce falls in older population\textsuperscript{26}. Inability to adequately control the body motion in the frontal plane can result in the lost of balance resulting in a sideways falls which is the most important risk factors for hip fractures among frail elderly\textsuperscript{27}. Support-surface motion perturbations are more destabilizing in the frontal plane and the onset latencies in gluteus medius muscles delayed with age\textsuperscript{28}. The higher displacement of the pelvic segment for the older-old group probably indicates less stability of the lower trunk with age. Frontal plane corrections maybe require a more complex coordination of muscle responses between the left and the right side and a greater demand on the processing requirements of the nervous system. It was observed a decline in gait speed and in medial-lateral hip-generative mechanical work expenditure with age and the rate of decline was steeper for walking at fast speed revealed a lower trunk control during challenging dynamic balance tasks\textsuperscript{29}. The ability to restrain lower trunk movements following disturbances imposed by environment may be a key determinant to the ability to avoid a fall\textsuperscript{30}. In spite of the relatively small sample size, which is a limitation of this study, it is noteworthy that it was found a marginal interaction effect of the compliant surface on the OOG. Other studies using a larger sample should investigate this point.

The result of TUG test used to assess the dynamic balance of the participants was significant different between groups. In fact, a descriptive meta-analysis described that the average time spent to perform the test increases with age\textsuperscript{31}. Our results showed similar trend of increased time with aging. Although significant differences in the TUG test performance were found between the analyzed groups, the gait speed and the mean stride length were similar between groups. No differences between ages were found in studies comparing gait parameters of young and elderly groups on irregular surfaces with normal vision condition\textsuperscript{32} like the present study.

Taken all these data together, it is possible to suggest that for categorizing age-related differences in healthy non-fallers older adults in relation to gait it may be necessary to use challenging tasks and/or environment. The prevalence of environmental risks in this population is high\textsuperscript{33} and may influence their behavior. Older adults adopts a more cautious method of negotiating obstacles\textsuperscript{34}. The differences between the younger- and older-old groups became more evident in the TUG test and when walking over complaint surface. The TUG test is a mobility test, which encompasses walking, transfer and changing direction as fast as possible and the complaint surface increases the balance demand for walking. This aspect should be considered for assessment and intervention in this population. The complaint surface may offer a functionally relevant task and a tool to impose a challenge for older groups.
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