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ABSTRACT. The Marine Controlled Source ElectroMagnetic (MCSEM) method is a geophysical tool for the detection of resistive targets in the subsurface of the oceanic floor. In this work we model MCSEM data in one-dimensional environments, including variations in the resistivity of the ocean water, as horizontal layers of uniform resistivity. Such variations can arise from the influence of marine currents, temperature gradients or any other source of influence on the water salinity. We study the effect of such variations on the MCSEM data. Our results show that the interpretation can be strongly influenced, especially for normalized data. We see that changes in the water resistivity have a similar effect on the data as changes in the water depth, and that both affect the attenuation of the so called “air-wave”.
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RESUMO. O Marine Controlled Source ElectroMagnetic (MCSEM) é um método geofísico para a detecção de camadas resistivas contidas abaixo do assolarho oceânico. Neste trabalho nós modelamos os dados do MCSEM em um ambiente unidimensional, incluindo variações na resistividade da água do mar, na forma de camadas de resistividades uniformes. Estas variações na resistividade da água podem surgir devido à influência de correntes marítimas, gradientes de temperatura ou mudança na salinidade da água. Nós estudamos o efeito destas variações nos dados do método MCSEM. Nosso resultados mostram que a interpretação pode ser fortemente influenciada, principalmente quando são analisados os dados normalizados. Vimos que as mudanças na resistividade da água têm um efeito sobre os dados similar àquele de mudanças na profundidade da lâmina d’água, sendo que ambos influenciam na atenuação da chamada “air-wave”.
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INTRODUCTION

The Marine Controlled Source Electromagnetic method has gained importance to the oil and gas industry for the possibility of detecting hydrocarbons directly in the sea bed under deep waters. The method has been used to detect resistive targets in the conductive environment under the sea. In a typical MCSEM survey, an electric dipole is towed near the sea bed, over an array of receivers placed on the sea floor. This transmitter generates a signal that travels through the water and penetrates the rock formations, where it is partly reflected by the interfaces between regions of different resistivities (Fig. 1). The receivers measure reflected signal, together with the field coming directly from the source plus the field reflected on the air-water interface. The measured electric field amplitudes and phases are affected by the presence of resistive structures, such as a salt intrusion, carbonates, volcanics, and reservoir rocks filled with oil or gas.

As the field propagates through the sea water, it can be significantly affected by changes in the resistivity, which is fundamentally determined by salinity and temperature of the water. The relationship between the resistivity, salinity and temperature is complicated. The temperature near the surface of the sea can be very different from that at the bottom. The higher the temperature, the higher is the rate of evaporation on the surface, which can affect the salinity. Temperature also increases the saturation point of the salt solution, which means that warmer water can hold more dissolved salt then cold water. Higher salinity means a greater number of charge carriers (ions) in the solution, which causes resistivity to decrease. Temperature also affects the mobility of the charge carriers (ions) in the solution. Increase in temperature causes the ion mobility to rise, which also brings the resistivity down.

In previous works, the ocean has always been modeled as a uniform layer, with a resistivity of 0.3Ω·m. Possible variations in the water resistivity due to non uniformity in salinity and temperature are mentioned by Constable & Smrka (2007), however we find no model for those variations in the literature of the method.

In this work we simulate MCSEM data in 1D environments with the ocean water modeled as a sequence of uniform horizontal layers.

The measured electromagnetic field is strongly influenced by the propagation through the ocean water. Our results show how even that narrow range of variation in the water resistivity is enough to alter the data sufficiently to influence their interpretation, by changing its attenuation of the field in the water.

1D MODELING

The sea water is usually described as a homogeneous layer with a 0.3Ω·m resistivity (Chave et al., 1991; Eidesmo et al., 2002; Constable & Weiss, 2006). However, variations in the sea water resistivity are always present in a greater or lesser extent. As a simple first approximation to assess the extent of that effect, we model the ocean as a sequence of homogeneous layers with different resistivities. Was chosen the resistivity model in the PANGEA data bank (Schulz, 2001) which better represent the Brazilian sea.

To calculate the fields we model the source as a Dirac delta function located in the deepest water layer. We follow the method described in Nabighian (1991), with the reflection coefficients suitably altered in order to include the reflections on the interfaces above the dipole source.

One fundamental feature of the propagation of the electromagnetic field is the attenuation by its interaction with the conductive medium due to the conversion of electromagnetic energy into heat. The attenuation is described by the parameter known as the skin depth (δ), which is defined as the distance after which the plane wave field is attenuated by a factor 1/e, e being the base of the natural logarithms. For a homogeneous, non magnetic medium, the skin depth is approximated by

\[ \delta = \frac{503.29}{\sqrt{\rho f}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \rho \) is the electrical resistivity of the medium and \( f \) is the frequency of the field.

When we allow the resistivity to vary, we are in fact changing the skin depth, or the attenuation of the fields propagating through the water. We interpret our results in the light of those changes in the rates of attenuation.

RESULTS

We present curves for the magnitude and phase of the fields as functions of the source-receiver offset. When we measure the electric field in the same direction as the dipole moment we have the in-line (field purely radial) array and when we measure the fields in a direction perpendicular to the dipole moment we have the broadside (field purely azimuthal) array. The curves for those arrays have different characteristics as they measure different components of the fields.

As a reference for the analysis of our results, we will use a model formed by a homogeneous water column 1000m deep, with a resistivity of 0.3Ω·m, over an 1.0Ω·m infinite homogeneous
ous medium into which may be superimposed a resistive layer 100m thick and 100Ω.m, at a depth of 1,000m from the sea floor. Energizing this canonical model we will have a source located 50m above the sea floor, with a frequency of 0.5Hz.

The effect of resistive targets on the field values is subtle in the MCSEM method. In order to make that effect more apparent it is customary to show the field magnitudes normalized by the data from a reference receiver, which supposedly is in a position where there is no influence of the resistive target (Røsten et al., 2003; Johnstad et al., 2005; Maaø et al., 2007). In theoretical studies this normalization is made with field values calculated for a model with a homogeneous earth.

For small off-sets, the receivers register mainly the direct field from the source, which does not depend on the rock layers. The influence of the resistive targets is relatively stronger in intermediate off-sets and farther away from the source the dominant effect is from the so called air-wave, which is generated in the air-water interface and is the same regardless of the resistivities in the rocks below. In this way, the normalized curves show peaks whose position and intensity depend on the relative influence of the fields arriving in the receivers from the water and from the sea floor.

In an exercise to show the limits of the variations of the fields due to the water resistivity we show the results for a model with a homogeneous water layer with three different resistivity values: 0.2Ω.m, 0.3Ω.m and 0.4Ω.m. Those values will be used in our next models.

Figure 2 shows the results for these first models. As the water resistivity falls, the attenuation of the field in the water becomes stronger, which means that the skin depth gets smaller. The relative influence of the rock layers on the measured data is then increased and the air-wave starts to dominate the response in a position farther from the source. These effects appear clearly in the phase curves, and also in the position of the peaks of the normalized curves.

The effects of varying the water resistivity are more intensely felt in the model with the homogeneous background than in the one with the resistive layer. As a result, if the water is more conductive the peaks in the normalized curves are higher for the same earth model (Fig. 2-f).
Figure 2 – Results from the model with one homogeneous water layer, at a frequency of 0.5Hz. (a) Model; (b,c) Electric field magnitude for the Radial and Azimuthal mode; (d,e) Phase in the Radial and Azimuthal mode; (f,g) Normalized field in the Radial and Azimuthal mode.
Figure 3 – Results from the model with the non-homogeneous sea water with four layers, at a frequency of 0.5Hz. (a) Model; (b,c) Electric field magnitude for the Radial and Azimuthal mode; (d,e) Phase in the Radial and Azimuthal mode; (f,g) Normalized field in the Radial and Azimuthal mode.
If the water resistivity is higher the opposite effects are observed: the influence of the rock layers is less strong and the peaks in the normalized curves are lower and closer to the source position.

The ocean water can be more conductive than the 0.3 \( \Omega \cdot m \) of the canonical model if the surface temperatures are high enough, as is the case in low latitude areas. The PANGEA data bank (Schulz, 2001) gives us a resistivity profile of 0.174 \( \Omega \cdot m \) near the surface with a temperature of 27.77°C and 0.303 \( \Omega \cdot m \) at the bottom with 4.60°C, at a latitude: 2.2050, at a longitude: −35.1817.

Our model with a non homogeneous ocean is shown in Fig. 3. As we build this model with lower resistivities, the fields from the air-water interface are more attenuated and the resistive layer has a stronger effect on the data than in the case of the homogeneous 0.3 \( \Omega \cdot m \) sea. Accordingly, the anomaly in the normalized curve is increased, as we can observe in Figure 3-f.g. Also notice the shift in the peak anomaly, showing that the air-wave starts to dominate the curve farther from the source than in the case of the homogeneous sea.

Other parameters that alter the relative effect of the air-wave are the frequency and the water depth. One interesting point is that variations in the ocean depth affect the curves in a similar way as the variations in the water resistivity whereas frequency variation shows quite a different outcome.

The deeper the water, the less influence is felt from the air-wave and its dominance starts farther from the transmitter, so the anomaly peak in the normalized curves are shifted to the right in our graphs, as shown in Figure 4-a,b. Notice that in shallow waters, the anomaly peaks are lower, as was the case with the more resistive water. We interpret this by the same reasoning: the fields that arrive in the receiver through the water are more strongly attenuated when they arrive in the receivers. In a more conductive water, the target layer response is enhanced in the measured signal, so that its associated anomaly tends to be higher than that in a less conductive water.

It is common practice to measure the resistivity profile of the ocean when surveying, so that information is readily available and should always be part of the interpretation process.

When one needs to model MCSEM data to help in the analysis and interpretation one should always include the true resistivity profile of the water in the models, so that any normalization results in reliable data.

Our next step in this research will be to perform inversion in data from 1D and 2D environments. Those are tasks where it will be critical to work with the correct water resistivity variation. The use of a uniform water column in an interpretative model will lead to solutions that are far from the correct geoelectric structures that generates the data.
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