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**Abstract**

**Purpose** Whether preconception elevated concentrations of thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) compromises reproductive outcomes in patients undergoing assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs) remains unclear. This study therefore compared the reproductive outcomes in patients with TSH concentrations of < 2.5 mIU/L, 2.5–4.0 mIU/L, and 4.0–10.0 mIU/L undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) for in vitro fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

**Methods** This retrospective cohort study evaluated the medical records of all women with measured TSH concentrations who underwent IVF/ICSI between January 2011 and December 2012. The patients were divided into three groups: TSH < 2.5 mIU/L (group 1); TSH ≥ 2.5 and < 4.0 mIU/L (group 2); and TSH ≥ 4 mIU/L and < 10.0 mIU/L (group 3). Patients who were administered levothyroxine for treating hypothyroidism were excluded from the analysis. The primary endpoints were clinical pregnancy, miscarriage, live birth and multiple pregnancy rates.

**Results** During the study period, 787 women underwent IVF/ICSI. Sixty were excluded because their TSH concentrations were unavailable, and 77 were excluded due to their use of levothyroxine. The prevalence of patients presenting elevated concentrations of TSH was 5.07% (using a TSH threshold of 4.0 mIU/L) and of 29.99% (using a TSH threshold of 2.5 mIU/L). Patient characteristics, type of COS, and response to COS did not differ among the three groups, and there were no differences in clinical pregnancy (24.4% versus 25.9% versus 24.2%, \( p = 0.93 \)); miscarriage (17.1% versus 14.3% versus 12.5%, \( p = 0.93 \)); live birth (20.2% versus 22.2% versus 21.2%, \( p = 0.86 \)); and multiple pregnancy rates (27.0% versus 21.4% versus 25.0%, \( p = 0.90 \)) respectively.

**Conclusion** Response to COS, live birth, and miscarriage rates were not altered in women with elevated concentrations of TSH undergoing IVF/ICSI, regardless of using a TSH threshold of 2.5 mIU/L or 4.0 mIU/L. These findings reinforce the uncertainties related to the impact of subclinical hypothyroidism on reproductive outcomes in women undergoing COS for ARTs.
Introduction
Female infertility accounts for 37% of infertile couples, with
25% of these women having ovulatory disorders.1 Women
with thyroid dysfunction may experience ovulatory disor-
ders, menstrual irregularities, infertility and even increased
pregnancy morbidity.2 Elevated concentrations of thyroid
stimulating hormone (TSH) in asymptomatic patients may
also increase the likelihood of pregnancy complications,3–5
neonatal mortality4 and miscarriage.6,7

Until recently, human reproduction societies did not recom-
mend the measurement of TSH concentration in asymptomatic
ovulatory women, but the new guideline published by the
American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) endorses
dosing TSH in infertile women attempting pregnancy.7 The
American Thyroid Association (ATA) recognizes that the preva-
ience of thyroid dysfunction is higher in subfertile women,5
while the Endocrine Society recommends measuring TSH in
patients at “high risk” of thyroid illness, including asymptomatic
infertile ones.3 Furthermore, there is no consensus among
endocrinologists and gynecologists regarding the cut-off
TSH concentrations for patients pursuing pregnancy, whether
< 2.5 mIU/L8 or < 4.0/4.5 mIU/L7,10–14

For patients who will undergo assisted reproduction
techniques (ARTs), the high estradiol levels induced by
controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) may alter thyroid func-
tion by increasing the concentrations of TSH, with hypothy-
roid women being most affected.15–17 Nevertheless, it is
unclear whether the preconceptional concentration of TSH
or the elevations in TSH concentration during COS are rele-
ants in infertile women undergoing ARTs.18 This study,
therefore, has compared reproductive outcomes in patients
with serum TSH concentrations of < 2.5 mIU/L, 2.5–4.0 mL/L,
and 4.0–10.0 mIU/L undergoing COS for in vitro fertiliza-
tion (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

Methods
Study Design
This retrospective cohort study evaluated all women who
underwent IVF/ICSI at the fertility clinic of the university
hospital of the Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto,
Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil, between January 2011 and December 2012. Data were obtained from medical records. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Participants
Women who had undergone IVF/ICSI between January 2011 and December 2012 were eligible if they had serum TSH concentrations evaluated by a third-generation assay reported in their medical records. Patients in treatment with levothyroxine for hypothyroidism were excluded from the analysis.

In spite of the fact that the concentrations of TSH > 4.0 mIU/L and < 10 mIU/L in asymptomatic patients are classically used to define subclinical hypothyroidism,6,10-14,19 patients with TSH < 4.0 mIU/L were divided into two subgroups (TSH < 2.5 mIU/L and TSH 2.5–4.0 mIU/L). For comparison, three groups were divided: those with TSH < 2.5 (group 1); TSH > 2.5 mIU/L and > 4.0 mIU/L (group 2); and TSH > 4.0 mIU/L and < 10.0 mIU/L (group 3).

COS, Oocyte Retrieval, Fertilization and Embryo Transfer
Menstruation was programmed with combined oral contraceptives administered in the previous menstrual cycle. All women were subjected to COS monitored by transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS)20 according to one of the following protocols:

In the standard long protocol, gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists (leuprolide acetate 0.5 mg/day, Lupron, Famar L’Aigle, Saint-Remy-Sur-Avre, France) were introduced during the mid-luteal phase of the previous cycle, followed by 150–300 IU/day of gonadotropins (Gonal-F, Merck Serono, Geneva, Switzerland or Puregon, Organon, Oss, Holland) for the first six days. Subsequently, the daily dose of gonadotropins was adjusted according to follicular growth.

In the flexible antagonist protocol, gonadotropins (150–300 IU/day) were administered for the first six days, with a subsequent daily dose adjusted according to follicular growth. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonists 0.25mg/day (Ganirelix, Orgalutran, Organon, Dublin, Ireland, or Cetrorelix, Cetrotide, Merck Serono, Geneva, Switzerland) were introduced on the day the average diameter of the largest follicle was ≥ 14 mm.

In the clomiphene citrate (CC) (Clomid, Medley, Campinas, Brazil, or Indux, EMS, Campinas, Brazil) plus gonadotropins (Menopur, Ferring GmbH, Kiel, Germany) plus antagonist protocol, offered to women with a low antral follicle count (AFC ≤ 6),21 CC (100 mg/day) was administered for the first five days. Gonadotropins (150 IU/day) were administered on days 2 and 4, and daily after day 6. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonists were introduced when the average diameter of the largest follicle was ≥ 14 mm.

Recombinant (Ovidrel, Merck Serono, Modugno, Italy) or urinary (Choriomon, IBSA Institut Biochimique, S.A., Lausanne, Switzerland) human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) was administered when at least two follicles measuring 18 mm in diameter were present. Oocytes were retrieved 34 to 36 hours after hCG administration, and the luteal phase was supported by micronized progesterone, 600 mg/day (Utrogestan, Besins Manufacturing Belgium, Drogenbos, Belgium). Cycles were suspended due to poor response when no follicle reached a diameter of 10 mm after ten days of COS or after 4 to 5 days of additional treatment without any follicle measuring at least 18 mm in diameter.22

The obtained oocytes were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 and 95% humidity for 2–3 hours, and later on denuded by hyaluronidase. Mature oocytes were subjected to ICSI 3 to 4 hours after oocyte retrieval. Fertilization was evaluated 16 to 18 hours after the ICSI and defined as the presence of two pronuclei and two polar bodies.

Embryo quality was assessed 43 to 45 hours after the ICSI (day 2 embryos with 4 symmetrical blastomeres of normal size, < 10% of fragmentation, without multinucleation, were considered of top quality), or 67 to 69 hours after the ICSI (day 3 embryos with 8 symmetrical blastomeres of normal size, < 10% of fragmentation, without multinucleation, were considered of top quality).23

The rate of clinical pregnancy was defined as the number of patients with embryos exhibiting a heartbeat on TVUS 4 to 5 weeks after embryo transfer divided by the number of cycles × 100. The miscarriage rate was defined as the percentage of patients with clinical pregnancy who could not continue a pregnancy at 20 weeks of gestation. The live birth rate was defined as the percentage of patients with clinical pregnancy who delivered a live birth. Multiple pregnancy rates were defined as the percentage of patients with clinical pregnancy who delivered more than one live birth.

Variables and Data Sources
For most of the assessed parameters, the unit of analysis was a woman who started COS. However, the unit of analysis was pregnant women for subjects who presented miscarriage, live birth, and multiple pregnancies. The primary endpoints of this study were clinical pregnancy, miscarriage, live birth and multiple pregnancy rates. The following parameters were assessed: age, body mass index (BMI), duration of infertility, indication for ICSI, baseline AFC, number of retrieved oocytes, and number of mature oocytes (MII). The characteristics of COS included duration, total dose of recombinant follicle stimulating hormone (r-FSH), GnRH agonist versus antagonist protocols, and cycles with CC. Other parameters included number of suspended cycles due to poor response, numbers of cycles with embryo transfer and top quality embryo transfer, as well as numbers of cycles with oocyte and embryo cryopreservation, and number of positive pregnancy tests.

Potential Sources of Bias
In order to avoid selection bias, we considered eligible all women starting COS for IVF/ICSI during the study period who had TSH serum concentrations in their medical records, and that were not using levothyroxine. For patients undergoing more than one cycle during the study period, only data from the first cycle was included. All included women were analyzed.
**Statistical Analyses**

The number of women undergoing COS for IVF/ICSI during the study period who fulfilled the eligibility criteria determined the sample size. The normality of distribution of continuous variables was analyzed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were summarized as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and compared among groups by ANOVA. Continuous variables without normal distribution were summarized as median (interquartile range) and compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests. Binary data were presented as ratio and proportion, and compared by Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) tests. The level of significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (SPSS, version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, US).

As an additional analysis, the power of this study to detect differences of 10% in clinical pregnancy and live birth rates among women with TSH < 2.5 mIU/L and TSH ≥ 2.5 mIU/L was determined.

**Results**

**Participants**

During the study period, 787 women underwent IVF/ICSI in our clinic. Sixty patients were excluded due to the absence of TSH measurements, and 77 were excluded because they were using levothyroxine for the treatment of hypothyroidism. All 650 women were followed until a negative pregnancy test or the end of pregnancy.

**Descriptive Data**

The prevalence of patients presenting elevated concentrations of TSH was of 5.07% (using a TSH threshold of 4.0 mIU/L) and 29.99% (using a threshold of 2.5 mIU/L). Of the 650 included patients, 455 (70.0%) had TSH < 2.5 mIU/L, 162 (24.92%) had TSH concentrations of 2.5–4.0 mIU/L, and 33 (5.07%) had TSH > 4.0 mIU/L and < 10.0 mIU/L (Table 1).

None of the patients had TSH concentrations > 10.0 mIU/L. The time between TSH measurement and the cycle was reported in Table 1. Indications for ICSI included ovariary disorders (2.92%); endometriosis (15.69%); male infertility (31.12%); tubal factor (10.15%); combined factors of subfertility (29.07%); and unexplained infertility (12.46%) (Table 1).

The three subgroups were similar in age, weight, height, BMI, duration of infertility, indications for ICSI and AFC (Table 1). The distribution of COS protocols did not differ significantly among the three groups (Table 2).

**Main Results**

Parameters of ovarian response were similar in the three subgroups, including total dose of r-FSH used during the COS, length of COS, number of retrieved oocytes, and number of mature oocytes (MII) (Table 2). Moreover, there was no significant difference in cycles suspended due to poor response, cycles with embryo transfer, cycles with top quality embryo transfer, cycles with oocyte cryopreservation and cycles with embryo cryopreservation (Table 3). Similar reproductive outcomes were observed among these three subgroups, including clinical pregnancy rates, live birth rates, and implantation rates.

**Table 1** Characteristics of the patients included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 TSH &lt; 2.5 mIU/L (n = 455)</th>
<th>Group 2 TSH 2.5–4.0 mIU/L (n = 162)</th>
<th>Group 3 TSH 4.0–10 mIU/L (n = 33)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/L)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–1.9)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.7–3.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (4.1–4.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between TSH dosage and cycle (months)</td>
<td>8 (4–18)</td>
<td>8 (3–13)</td>
<td>6 (1–12)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>35 (31–38)</td>
<td>35 (32–38)</td>
<td>35 (31–39)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of subfertility (months)</td>
<td>60 (38–92)</td>
<td>54 (30.3–86)</td>
<td>60.5 (36.3–91.8)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>64 (57–73)</td>
<td>64 (57.9–72.3)</td>
<td>64.7 (53.3–74.1)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.58–1.67)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.59–1.68)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.6–1.65)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 (21.6–27.4)</td>
<td>24 (21.9–27.3)</td>
<td>24.2 (21.2–27.3)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>10 (6–18)</td>
<td>10 (6–17)</td>
<td>12 (6–18)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes of subfertility</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulatory factor</td>
<td>15 (3.3)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>71 (15.6)</td>
<td>28 (17.3)</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Factor</td>
<td>136 (29.9)</td>
<td>46 (28.4)</td>
<td>11 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal factor</td>
<td>42 (9.2)</td>
<td>20 (12.3)</td>
<td>4 (12.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined factors</td>
<td>136 (29.9)</td>
<td>43 (26.5)</td>
<td>10 (30.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained</td>
<td>55 (12.1)</td>
<td>22 (13.6)</td>
<td>4 (12.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AFC, antral follicle count; BMI, body mass index; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Notes: TSH, age, duration of subfertility, weight, height, BMI and AFC are reported as median (interquartile range). $p$ determined by either Kruskal-Wallis or Chi-squared test.
groups, including clinical pregnancy, live birth, miscarriage, and multiple pregnancy rates (► Table 3).

Additional Analysis
The present study had a power of 80% to detect a difference of 10% in clinical pregnancy rates and live birth rates among women with TSH < 2.5 mIU/L and ≥ 2.5 mIU/L.

Comment
This study showed that women undergoing COS for ARTs had similar reproductive outcomes, including live birth, clinical pregnancy, miscarriage, and multiple pregnancy rates, regardless of serum TSH concentrations (< 2.5 mIU/L; 2.5–4.0 mIU/L; and 4.0–10.0 mIU/L). The response to COS, as evaluated by the total dosage of FSH, the duration of COS and the number of retrieved and mature oocytes, was also similar among these groups.

Concentrations of TSH > 4.0 mIU/L were considered altered in the present study, reflecting concerns regarding overdiagnosis and overtreatment when the threshold of 2.5 mIU/L is chosen. Moreover, there is insufficient evidence that TSH concentrations between 2.5 and 4.0 mIU/L affect

Table 2 Characteristics of controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) and parameters related to ovarian response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 TSH &lt; 2.5 mIU/L</th>
<th>Group 2 TSH 2.5–4.0 mIU/L</th>
<th>Group 3 TSH 4.0–10 mIU/L</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COS protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonist, n (%)</td>
<td>292 (64.2)</td>
<td>108 (66.7)</td>
<td>21 (63.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonist, n (%)</td>
<td>100 (22)</td>
<td>32 (19.8)</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC + Agonist, n (%)</td>
<td>63 (13.8)</td>
<td>22 (13.6)</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose of FSH (IU)</td>
<td>1650 (1,200–2,325)</td>
<td>1,600 (1,213–2,225)</td>
<td>1,427 (1,206–1,856)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of COS (days)</td>
<td>9 (8–11)</td>
<td>9 (8–11)</td>
<td>9 (8–10.8)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of retrieved oocytes</td>
<td>5 (3–10)</td>
<td>6 (2–10)</td>
<td>5 (3–9)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mature oocytes (MII)</td>
<td>4 (2–7)</td>
<td>4 (2–7.5)</td>
<td>3.5 (2–6)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension due to poor response, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (4.2)</td>
<td>5 (3.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CC, clomiphene citrate; COS, controlled ovarian stimulation; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; IU, international units; MII, mature oocytes in metaphase II; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Notes: Total dose of FSH, length of COS, suspension due to poor response, oocytes retrieved, and mature oocytes reported as median (interquartile range).

Table 3 Reproductive outcomes of assisted reproduction cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 TSH &lt; 2.5 mIU/L</th>
<th>Group 2 TSH 2.5–4.0 mIU/L</th>
<th>Group 3 TSH 4.0–10 mIU/L</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycles with fresh embryo transfer, n (%)</td>
<td>369 (81.1)</td>
<td>136 (84)</td>
<td>24 (72.7)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles with fresh top quality embryo transfer, n (%)</td>
<td>214 (47)</td>
<td>75 (46.3)</td>
<td>14 (42.4)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles with oocyte cryopreservation, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (4.4)</td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles with embryo cryopreservation, n (%)</td>
<td>183 (40.2)</td>
<td>63 (38.9)</td>
<td>12 (36.4)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive pregnancy test, n (%)</td>
<td>133 (29.2)</td>
<td>52 (32.1)</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pregnancy rate, n (%)</td>
<td>111 (24.4)</td>
<td>42 (25.9)</td>
<td>8 (24.2)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live birth rate, n (%)</td>
<td>92 (20.2)</td>
<td>36 (22.2)</td>
<td>7 (21.2)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage rate, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (17.1)</td>
<td>6 (14.3)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy rate, n (%)</td>
<td>30 (27)</td>
<td>9 (21.4)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Notes: Clinical pregnancy rate: number of patients with embryos exhibiting a heartbeat on transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) 4 to 5 weeks after embryo transfer divided by the number of cycles × 100.

Miscarriage rate: number of patients who miscarried divided by the number of patients who had clinical pregnancy × 100.

Live birth rate: number of patients who delivered a live birth divided by the number of patients who had clinical pregnancy × 100.

Multiple pregnancy rates: number of patients who delivered more than one live birth divided by the number of patients who had clinical pregnancy × 100.

p determined by Chi-squared test.
pregnancy and miscarriage rates. Data to support treating patients with TSH concentrations between 2.5 mIU/L and 4.0–4.5 mIU/L are scarce, and only a few studies have evaluated the association between periconceptional TSH concentration and pregnancy outcomes among euthyroid patients. In the present study, clinical pregnancy, miscarriage, and live birth rates were similar when 2.5 mIU/L and 4.0 mIU/L were used as TSH cut-off values, which was consistent with previous findings. Regarding miscarriage, the ASRM’s new guideline points that there is evidence that TSH > 4.0 mIU/L is associated with an increase in miscarriage rates. As seen in the present study, Karmon et al found similar clinical pregnancy and delivery rates among women with TSH concentrations of 0.4–2.49 mIU/L and 2.5–4.99 mIU/L undergoing intrauterine insemination.

Guidelines of the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) and the ATA, and those of the Endocrine Society recommend that infertile patients undergoing ARTs must be treated with levothyroxine to maintain TSH concentrations < 2.5 mIU/L. In this study, 24.92% of women undergoing IVF/ICSI had TSH concentrations between 2.5 and 4.0 mIU/L. Although retrospective studies have shown that untreated women were at an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, few well-designed studies have evaluated whether these patients would have benefited from levothyroxine treatment. Moreover, until very recently there was no consensus about measuring TSH in asymptomatic infertile women. In the present study, reproductive outcomes were not compromised in women with TSH >2.5 and < 10 mIU/L undergoing ARTs. Nothing can be said about reproductive outcomes in patients presenting TSH concentrations above 10.0 mIU/L, once none of the included patients in this study presented them.

Although human reproduction societies have reported that subfertile women are no more likely to have thyroid disease, the Endocrine Society has stated that the prevalence of thyroid disease is 1 to 43% higher in subfertile patients. In our study, the prevalence of patients presenting concentrations of TSH between 4.0 and 10.0 mIU/L was of 5.07% (33/650). If the threshold of TSH is lowered to 2.5 mIU/L, it will result in a prevalence of 30%, more than a 5-fold increase.

Our study is limited by its retrospective design. Serum TSH concentrations were not available in ~8% of the women who underwent ARTs in the study period. However, until very recently, there was no consensus about the routine assessment of TSH concentrations for asymptomatic infertile patients according to the treatment guidelines for ARTs. In the present study, the TSH concentrations were measured by ultra-sensitive methodology, but once the assays were obtained by reviewing medical records, it was not possible to mention information regarding the coefficient of variation of the assays. Ideally, for each patient, TSH concentration should have been assessed more than once to exclude laboratory errors or transient elevations of TSH to make an adequate diagnosis. Free thyroxine concentrations were not available in the medical records of the majority of the patients in this cohort, but in asymptomatic patients with mildly elevated TSH concentrations the yield of this test is low. Our findings cannot be generalized, but they may encourage large prospective studies evaluating the potential impact of TSH concentrations on reproductive outcomes after ARTs.

In conclusion, response to COS, live birth, and miscarriage rates were not worse in women with elevated TSH concentrations (2.5–10.0 mIU/L) undergoing IVF/ICSI. These findings reinforce the uncertainties related to the impact of elevated concentrations of TSH on reproductive outcomes in women undergoing COS for ARTs, and the need for well-designed prospective studies evaluating this important issue.
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