Medical Termination of Delayed Miscarriage: Four-Year Experience with an Outpatient Protocol

Terminação médica de gravidez inviável do 1° trimestre: quatro anos de experiência com um protocolo de tratamento em ambulatorio
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Abstract

Purpose To evaluate the efficacy of an outpatient protocol with vaginal misoprostol to treat delayed miscarriage.

Methods Retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data on women medically treated for missed abortion with an outpatient protocol. The inclusion criteria were: ultrasound-based diagnosis of missed abortion with less than 10 weeks; no heavy bleeding, infection, inflammatory bowel disease or misoprostol allergy; no more than 2 previous spontaneous abortions; the preference of the patient regarding the medical management. The protocol consisted of: 1) a single dose of 800 µg of misoprostol administered intravaginally at the emergency department, after which the patients were discharged home; 2) clinical and ultrasonographic evaluation 48 hours later – if the intrauterine gestational sac was still present, the application of 800 µg of vaginal misoprostol was repeated, and the patients were discharged home; 3) clinical and ultrasonography evaluation 7 days after the initiation of the protocol – if the intrauterine gestational sac was still present, surgical management was proposed. The protocol was introduced in January 2012. Every woman received oral analgesia and written general recommendations. We also gave them a paper form to be presented and filled out at each evaluation.

Results Complete miscarriage with misoprostol occurred in 340 women (90.2%). Surgery was performed in 37 (9.8%) patients, representing the global failure rate of the protocol. Miscarriage was completed after the first misoprostol administration in 208 (55.2%) women, with a success rate after the second administration of 78.1% (132/169). The average age of the women with complete resolution using misoprostol was superior to the average age of those who required surgery (33.99 years versus 31.74 years; \( p = 0.031 \)). Based on the ultrasonographic findings in the first evaluation, the women diagnosed with fetal loss achieved greater success rates compared with those diagnosed with empty sac (\( p = 0.049 \)).
Introduction

Non-viable first trimester intrauterine pregnancy is the most common complication of early pregnancy. It accounts for 20% of all pregnancies, and may present as delayed miscarriage (fetal loss and empty sac), spontaneous or incomplete miscarriage. The approach to early pregnancy failure can be either surgical (dilation and suction curettage), medical (with misoprostol or mifepristone) or expectant. Traditionally, the surgical treatment was the first option in cases of incomplete and delayed miscarriage. Moreover, we have now evidence that supports more conservative approaches to early pregnancy loss, namely medical treatment and expectant management.\(^2,3\) In a meta-analysis in which medical treatment was compared with expectant management, the former was 2.77-fold more likely to induce complete evacuation of conception products.\(^4\)

Over the years, many studies observed the efficacy and acceptance of misoprostol as a treatment option for incomplete and delayed miscarriage,\(^3,5,6\) and as an option in outpatient management protocols, with success rates ranging from 77.3% to 92.7%.\(^5,7–9\)

The efficacy of the medical treatment with prostaglandins depends on both the dose and route of administration. Hence, the vaginal administration of misoprostol seems to be more effective than the oral administration, and has less side effects.\(^10\)

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of an outpatient protocol for the medical management of delayed miscarriage with vaginal misoprostol.

Methods

Patient Selection and Outpatient Protocol

We performed a retrospective analysis of the women medically treated with misoprostol for delayed miscarriage (fetal loss and empty sac) in an outpatient protocol. Data was collected prospectively using a paper form that was presented and filled out at each evaluation.

The women enrolled in this study were diagnosed either occasionally on a routine ultrasound scan, or when they were admitted to the emergency department because of early bleeding in pregnancy. The ultrasound findings considered...
necessary for the diagnosis of delayed miscarriage were one of
the following: an irregular intrauterine gestational sac with
\( \geq 25 \) mm of diameter and no embryo and/or no yolk sac; an
intrauterine gestational sac or an embryo with an abnormal
growth upon ultrasound over a minimum of one week; or an
intrauterine gestational sac with an embryo with a crown-
rump length \( \geq 7 \) mm and no cardiac activity observed.

The inclusion criteria were: ultrasound-based diagnosis of
delayed miscarriage with gestational age based on ultra-
sound fetal measurement \( < 10 \) weeks; no heavy bleeding;
no signs of infection; no more than 2 previous spontaneous
miscarriages; no history of misoprostol allergy; no history of
inflammatory bowel disease; and the preference of the
patient regarding the medical management. For patients
with delayed miscarriage with \( \geq 10 \) weeks of gestation or
with 2 or more previous spontaneous miscarriage, inpatient
management was recommended.

The women meeting these criteria were treated according
to the following outpatient protocol: a single dose of 800 µg of
misoprostol administered intravaginally at the emergency
department, after which the patients were discharged
home; clinical and ultrasonography evaluation 48 hours later
and, if the intrauterine gestational sac was still present,
a second dose of 800 µg of misoprostol was administered
intravaginally, and the patients were discharged home – if no
gestational sac was observed, no further evaluation was
performed; clinical and ultrasonographic evaluation 7 days
after the initiation of the protocol for those who received
a second dose of misoprostol – if the intrauterine gestational
sac was still present, surgical management was proposed. Oral
analgesia was prescribed to every woman, and they received
written general recommendations. They were instructed to
return if any of the following symptoms occurred: heavy
vaginal bleeding, intense vomiting, abdominal cramps, or
temperature \( > 38.5^\circ C \). The patients also received a paper
form to be presented and filled out at each evaluation.

If any of the following clinical findings were present,
the women were considered not eligible to enroll on the
protocol: exuberant vaginal bleeding and/or hemoglobin
\( < 10 \) mg/dL; signs or symptoms of infection; inflammatory
bowel disease; allergy to misoprostol; recurrent miscar-
rriages; and ultrasound suspicion of gestational trophoblastic
disease or ectopic pregnancy.

The criterion used to document success after the medical
management was the absence of the gestational sac upon
transvaginal ultrasound.

Written informed consent was not required because this
protocol was introduced in our department as a routine
standard practice. The present study was approved by our
ethics committee.

Evaluating Outcomes

The main outcome evaluated was the global success rate of
this protocol, represented by women achieving a complete
miscarriage with the medical treatment. The success of the
protocol was defined by the absence of the gestational sac
upon a transvaginal ultrasound evaluation. We also analyzed
the success rate achieved with each misoprostol application.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance was determined for the categori-
cal variables with the Chi-squared \( (\chi^2) \) test, and for the
continuous variables, we used standard parametric tests;
\( p < 0.05 \) considered statistically significant. The Statistical
analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for The
Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY,
US) software, version 21.0.

Results

A total of 377 women diagnosed with delayed miscarriage met
the inclusion criteria and were elected to enroll on this
outpatient protocol. The average age of the women enrolled
was 33.8 years. We obtained information about parity regard-
ing 321 women: 167 (52%) were nulliparous, 135 women
(42.1%) were in their first pregnancy, and 32 (10%) had already
had at least 1 previous abortion, spontaneous or not. The
remaining 154 (48%) had had at least one live birth. According
to the ultrasonographic criteria, 23.5% were diagnosed with
empty sac, with a mean diameter of 23 mm, and 76.5% were
diagnosed with fetal loss, with a mean crown-rump length
(CRL) of 12 mm. The women diagnosed with fetal loss were
older (34.12 years versus 32.64 years, \( p = 0.048 \)) than the ones
diagnosed with empty sac (\( \text{Table 1} \)).

Complete miscarriage with the medical treatment was
observed in 340 (90.2%) women. Surgical treatment was
performed in the remaining 37 women (9.8%), representing
the global failure rate of the medical treatment (\( \text{Table 2} \)).

The miscarriage was complete after the first administration
of misoprostol in 208 (55.2%) women, with a success rate after
the second administration of 78.1% (132/169) (\( \text{Table 2} \)).

The mean age of the women who achieved complete
resolution with the medical treatment was superior to
the mean age of those who required surgical procedures
(33.99 years versus 31.74 years; \( p = 0.031 \)) (\( \text{Table 3} \)). The success of the medical treatment was not different between
nulliparous and multiparous women (\( p = 0.732 \)).

According to the ultrasonographic findings in the first eval-
uation, the women diagnosed with fetal loss achieved greater
success rates with the medical treatment when compared with
those diagnosed with empty sac (\( p = 0.049 \)) (\( \text{Table 3} \)).

The mean diameter of the gestational sac and the mean CRL

\[ \text{Table 1: Study population characteristics} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years)</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous ((n = 321))</td>
<td>167 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st pregnancy (n = 135)</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 1 ) abortion (n = 32)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity ( \geq 1 )(n = 321)</td>
<td>154 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty sac ((n = 375))</td>
<td>88 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational sac diameter (mm)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal loss ((n = 375))</td>
<td>287 (76.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-rump length (mm)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were not statistically different between the cases with and without success of the medical treatment (23 mm versus 19 mm, \( p = 0.194 \); 12 mm and 13 mm, \( p = 0.733 \) respectively). This difference was also observed when considering only the first administration of misoprostol \( (p = 0.014) \), but that was not the case for the second administration of misoprostol.

In terms of complications that may arise from this approach, we could obtain information from 329 cases (87.3%). There was one case of major vaginal bleeding after the first dose of vaginal misoprostol, which was treated with an emergency surgical management (uterine aspiration), with no need of blood transfusion to stabilize the patient. A case of infection treated with surgery and oral antibiotics was diagnosed one week after initiating the medical treatment during the second routine visit to the emergency department. The evolution of both cases was favorable, with no more complications observed, and a global complication rate of 0.61%.

### Discussion

#### Main Findings and Interpretation

In 2005, a study concluded that 400 µg of misoprostol self-administered intravaginally every 4 hours, up to a maximum dose of 1,200 µg, had a success rate of 91%, and it was a safe treatment option.\(^8\) In the same year, the efficacy, safety, and acceptability of this treatment were assessed in a large, randomized trial that concluded that treatment with 800 µg of vaginal misoprostol is a safe and acceptable approach, with a success rate of \( \sim 84\% \). Two years later, 75 women were treated for delayed miscarriage with 800 µg of vaginal misoprostol plus 2 additional doses of 400 µg each to take orally the following day: 77.3% of them achieved complete medical evacuation with this treatment.\(^7\) Recently, in April 2017, a comprehensive review and meta-analysis\(^12\) concluded that the administration of misoprostol is an effective method to solve missed abortion, and both 600 µg of sublingual misoprostol or 800 µg of vaginal misoprostol may be good choices as a first dose. However, they state that the ideal dose, medication interval, and the safety of waiting at home need further research.

In this study, we present the results of an outpatient protocol for the medical treatment of delayed miscarriage, and we observed success rates comparable to those of the most recent studies on this topic. A 2012 study compared the effectiveness and adverse effects of 800 µg and 600 µg of vaginal misoprostol every 24 hours for 2 days in an outpatient setting, with the authors concluding that 600 µg was as effective as 800 µg in inducing complete uterus evacuation after missed abortion (with success rates of 87.8% and 90.6% respectively; \( p = 0.18 \)).\(^5\) Moreover, this proved to be a safe treatment, with few adverse effects. Our success rate (90.2%), which includes both women receiving doses of 800 µg and 1,600 µg (if two doses were needed), is similar to that observed in the literature with the same dose of misoprostol.\(^5\)

Another study reported the prospective outcomes of the medical management of delayed miscarriage in an Australian cohort composed of 264 women. Doses of 400 µg or 800 µg of misoprostol were administered intravaginally, at presentation, and repeated the following day if the products of conception were still there. With a success rate of 78%, this was considered an effective, safe and acceptable treatment.\(^8\) The discrepancy observed between theirs and our results may be explained by the success criteria used, as in their study they used an endometrium thickness \( > 30 \) mm as an indication for surgery.

More recently, in 2014, a randomized control trial with 154 women assessed the differences between outpatient and inpatient treatments with vaginal misoprostol. Doses of 800 µg of misoprostol were administered intravaginally every 8 hours to a maximum of 3 doses. The overall success rate was of 92.7%, and a higher success was observed with the outpatient treatment compared with the inpatient treatment, even though this was not statistically significant. Moreover, the side effects and complications were similar between the two groups.\(^9\) The higher success rate may be a consequence of the higher dose of misoprostol (a total of 2,400 µg) administered within a shorter interval.

Our results are consistent with reports from previous studies in terms of effectiveness. The differences observed in the success rate may be, in part, explained by the criteria used to classify the treatment as successful. In our study, we used absence of gestational sac upon transvaginal ultrasound as the criterion to document success after the medical management of delayed miscarriage. This is associated with the highest short- and long-term success rates, and also with mild symptoms following the

---

**Table 2** Results observed for the treatment of delayed miscarriage with vaginal misoprostol in an outpatient setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment (success)</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1st dose of misoprostol</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2nd dose of misoprostol</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery (treatment failure)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Success and failure rates in treating delayed miscarriage with vaginal misoprostol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failure</th>
<th>( p^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous</td>
<td>150 (89.8%)</td>
<td>17 (10.2%)</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity ( \geq 1 )</td>
<td>141 (91.6%)</td>
<td>13 (8.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty sac</td>
<td>74 (84.1%)</td>
<td>14 (15.9%)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal loss</td>
<td>264 (92%)</td>
<td>23 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational sac diameter (mm)</td>
<td>( \bar{x} = 23 )</td>
<td>( \bar{x} = 19 )</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-rump length (mm)</td>
<td>( \bar{x} = 12 )</td>
<td>( \bar{x} = 13 )</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(^* p < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant.
treatment with vaginal misoprostol. However, other studies used endometrial cavity < 15 mm thick as the criterion for success. The occurrence of major side effects was rare (0.61%), which is in agreement with previous findings that considered vaginal misoprostol a safe treatment. We did not collect information about the long-term safety of the treatment, but according to a study a recent study, vaginal misoprostol does not impair future fertility.

In this study we achieved a greater success rate with the medical treatment among the women diagnosed with fetal loss when compared with those diagnosed with empty sac. Other researchers have also observed this difference and suggested that it would be reasonable to divide first trimester miscarriages into different groups according to the ultrasonography findings, because they have different success rates.

Strengths and Limitations

This study assessed the efficacy of vaginal misoprostol for first trimester delayed miscarriage according to the protocol described before. We also searched for adverse effects after the initiation of the medical protocol, with only one case of infection and one of vaginal bleeding leading to surgical treatment. Our findings are concordant with previous studies that concluded for the safety of this drug when administered vaginally, with only minor adverse effects (diarrhea, nausea, fever and crampy abdominal pain), none of them life-threatening. In the randomized control trial by Zhang et al., nausea was observed in 53% of the sample, vomiting in 20%, diarrhea in 24%, and abdominal pain in 99%, with hemorrhage and pelvic infection being rare (1% or less). We did not have any case of gastrointestinal side effects, and this may be due to the prophylactic prescription of antiemetic drugs and painkillers.

Conclusion

In summary, our study shows that vaginal misoprostol for the outpatient medical management of delayed miscarriage is an effective and safe option in the majority of the cases, reducing the number of women subjected to surgical procedures and the consequences of such an approach. Moreover, it combines the advantages of being performed in an outpatient setting (with lesser costs and more comfort for the patients), with the reassurance that the misoprostol administration is performed by doctors, not depending on the patients’ skills, and enabling an evaluation between the administration of the doses of misoprostol.
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