Investigation of the effects of oral supplementation of arginine in the increase of muscular strength and mass
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral administration of arginine has been associated with physical performance improvement due to probable decrease of muscular fatigue derived from the vasodilatation factor of the nitric oxide over the skeletal muscles. Objective: to evaluate the effects of oral administration of L-Arginine during an exercise program with weights. Methods: 20 male individuals, randomly divided in two groups: A and B, were submitted to eight weeks of training with weights (three times per week). Group A used 3 grams of L-Arginine + vitamin C during the eight weeks and group B used only vitamin C (control group). Results: After eight weeks of training, group A presented body weight values and lean mass significantly higher (p < 0.05), body fat percentage significantly lower (p < 0.05), and strength of lower limbs significantly higher (p < 0.05), while group B did not present significant differences for the same period. Conclusion: Oral administration of arginine associated with a training program with weights increased the stimuli of the exercise to the skeletal muscles level, enabling hence, increase of muscular strength and mass.

INTRODUCTION

Oral administration of arginine has been related with improvement of physical performance due to a probable decrease of muscular fatigue. Such fact would be associated with the vasodilatation promoted by the nitric oxide, resulting in an increase of muscular perfusion, as well as by the decrease of glucose consumption by the skeletal muscles in activity (1). Nitric oxide (NO) is a molecular gas which consists of the covalent ligation between a nitrogen atom and an oxygen atom. Its production in the human body occurs when the L-arginine amino acid is converted into L-citrulline in a reaction catalyzed by the nitric oxide synthetase enzyme (NOS) (2). Since prolonged administration of arginine increases the nitric oxide production, its supplementation has been related with improvement of contraction function of the skeletal muscle (3). Santos et al. 2001 (4) demonstrated improvement of resistance to fatigue in individuals submitted to oral supplementation of arginine (3 g per day) during 15 days.

On the other hand, arginine supplementation can also be associated with improvement in contraction strength through greater synthesis of muscular proteins (5) in periods of more prolonged administration when concomitantly performed with a resisted-exercises program. One may consider the hypothesis that the very effect of perfusion improvement of skeletal muscles contributes to better quality of weight training. As a result to the prolonged time, boosting of the training effects and more remarkable increase of muscular mass and contraction strength are observed.

OBJECTIVE

This study had the aim to investigate the effects of the oral administration of arginine during 8 weeks associated with a weight exercises program.

METHODS

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the UNIFESP, under the resolution number 1188/06. The study’s subjects signed the free and clarified consent form and 20 healthy and non-smoker male individuals, age between 17 and 19 years (mean 17.65 ± 0.8 years), were randomly divided in two groups numerically equal: ARG and CON. They were submitted to eight weeks of a weight training program for lower limbs, with frequency of three weekly sessions, intensity of 70% of maximal load for each muscular group, and training volume of three sets of ten repetitions. The ARG group received oral supplementation of arginine (three grams – single daily dose) associated with vitamin C (1 g/day) and the CON group received only vitamin C (1 g/day).

Prior and post training program plus supplementation, the following variables were measured: weight (kg), muscular mass (Kg), body fat % (Pollock) and bilateral muscular strength of knee flexion-extension (psi) in isokinetic dynamometer (BIODEX® USA).

Statistical analysis

- Paired ‘t’ test.
- ANOVA.

RESULTS

After eight weeks of weight training, we could observe that the ARG group presented statistically significant increase of body weight (66.4 ± 6.1 – 67.84 ± 6.8 Kg), and muscular mass (60.8 ± 6.05 – 62.07 ± 5.9 Kg) (figure 1) and decrease of fat mass (6.02 ± 0.6 – 5.77 ± 0.59 Kg) and body fat percentage (9.45 ± 0.8 – 8.66 ± 0.77) (figure 2), p < 0.05.

The CON group did not present significant differences (figures 3 and 4).

Concerning muscular strength for knee flexion and extension of right (R) and left (L) legs, we could observe that the ARG group presented post values significantly higher in both variables (Flexion R 100.2 ± 9.4 – 108.8 ± 10.2; L 96.5 ± 9.3 – 103.3 ± 10.07; Extension R 184.8 ± 17.4 – 195.8 ± 16.3; L 191.1 ± 18.4 – 199.1 ± 19.1) (figure 5) (p < 0.05).

Statistically significant differences were not observed for such variables in the control group, which presented pre and post body weight in Kg of 70.59 ± 6.88 and 70.9 ± 7.01 respectively; pre and post lean mass in Kg of 64.88 ± 6.4 and 65.07 ± 6.7 respectively; pre fat and post fat mass (Kg) 5.71 ± 0.4 and 5.83 ± 0.42 respec-
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tively; pre and post body fat percentage 8.06 ± 0.7 and 8.13 ± 0.73 respectively; and pre and post muscular strength (psi) of 129.9 ± 13.2 and 129.2 ± 12.9 for right knee flexion; 232 ± 20.01 and 221.5 ± 22 for right knee extension, 114.2 ± 10.8 and 118.7 ± 11.2 for left knee flexion; 222.3 ± 21.4 and 216.1 ± 20.2 for left knee extension, respectively (figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The effect of the oral administration of arginine in the strength increase of lower limbs has been already reported. The hypothesis which this increase occurs in short time has been related with the vasodilator effect of nitric oxide, with consequent increase of muscular perfusion. Schaefer et al. 2002 affirm in their study that arginine supplementation favors the arginine-nitric oxide mechanism triggered by physical exercise, increasing the formation of nitric oxide from arginine.

However, it is also known that the arginine administration may be related with a second effect associated with muscular strength and mass, the protein synthesis.

The significant increases of lower limbs strength as well as lean mass in the ARG group found in this study, suggest that the argin-
The possible explanation for the lack of increase of muscular mass and isokinetic strength of lower limbs in the individuals of the control group must be related with the fact that this group did not improve the weight training quality when associated only with vitamin C ingestion. Since the training program performed during the study’s period had already been applied to the athletes for a previous time of 12 weeks, totalizing hence a period of 20 weeks with no frequency, intensity and/or training volume alteration, it would not be expected to find a very significant increase of muscular strength or mass derived from it.

In addition, concerning the apparent strength difference between the ARG and the CON groups, it was not statistically significant (p > 0.05 single t test). Concerning strength in the isokinetic test, we also observed a tendency in the control group to present higher values which are not statistically significant either. Once strength is expressed in absolute value, the tendency to heavier weight in the CON group is reflected by a tendency of higher strength in isokinetic exercise obtained by Santos et al. 2004[4]. Moreover, the results of the present study corroborate the findings by Flakoll et al. 2004[4] who when supplementing women with arginine for 12 weeks, found a significant increase in muscular strength, lean mass, protein synthesis and functionality, reaffirming that arginine supplementation promotes protein synthesis, resulting in strength and muscular mass increase.

Figure 6 – Maximal extension and flexion strength of the lower limbs pre and post 8 weeks of training in the individuals of the control group. There was no statistically significant difference.

The possible explanation for the lack of increase of proteins synthesis due to the interaction of its effects with resisted exercise ones. This effect at short term alone could partly answer for the strength increase in isokinetic exercise obtained by Santos et al. 2004[4]. Moreover, the results of the present study corroborate the findings by Flakoll et al. 2004[4] who when supplementing women with arginine for 12 weeks, found a significant increase in muscular strength, lean mass, protein synthesis and functionality, reaffirming that arginine supplementation promotes protein synthesis, resulting in strength and muscular mass increase.

Nevertheless, there is still an issue to be better investigated. Is there really an anabolic effect occasionally associated with greater release of nutrients (the muscles are able to produce energy for a longer time) and of oxygen (avoiding and/or delaying the anaerobioses process); while they favor the clearance of toxic substances accumulated during physical activity practice, making the muscular recovery process easier. Therefore, it seems important to associate the arginine consumption to the resisted-exercises programs.

A literature review conducted by Maréchal and Gailly 1999[15] suggests that the nitric oxide effects over the muscle fibers may be classified in two groups: direct action and mediated by the cyclic guanine monophosphate (cGMP). In the former group, the nitric oxide acts directly over the proteins leading to depression of the isometric strength, decreasing the velocity of the resisted or not resisted contractions, glycolysis and mitochondria breathing. The effect over the release of the calcium channels varies, being inhibiting in low and exciting in high concentrations. The general consequence of the direct effects of the nitric oxide is the break of the muscular contraction and its metabolism. In the latter group, the NO effects are mediated by the cGMP – responsible for the straight muscles relaxing, as well as for mediating the hormonal effects (such as the growth hormone – GH), inhibiting the endothelium release (vasoconstrictor) and leading to velocity increase of muscular shortening during contractions with or without overload; maximal mechanical power; initial strength development; fusion tetanic frequency; glucose consumption; glycolysis and the mitochondrial breathing; and decrease of the titanic relaxing and contraction and the calcium release stimulus-associated. All these effects have as consequence the increase of power and muscular mechanics, similarly to the slow fibers transformation in fast ones.

The regeneration and growth of the muscle fibers injured during physical activity depend on the cells activation-satellite. The genes responsible for the production of these cells are activated between 3-6 hours after the beginning of the physical activity, and 3 extra hours are needed so that the genes regulator of the muscular activity are also expressed. The expression of these genes releases the growth factor and, 24 hours later, activates the synthesis of factors and muscular growth. Nitric oxide is responsible for mediating the cells activation – satellite, accelerating the process[17].

Apparently, all the physical activity-related metabolic processes are improved and boosted with the use of arginine, once better blood perfusion at muscle level occurs, providing a greater release of nutrients (the muscles are able to produce energy for a longer time) and of oxygen (avoiding and/or delaying the anaerobioses process); while they favor the clearance of toxic substances accumulated during physical activity practice, making the muscular recovery process easier. Therefore, it seems important to associate the arginine consumption to the resisted-exercises programs.

Nevertheless, there is still an issue to be better investigated. Is there really an anabolic effect occasionally associated with greater release of nutrients (the muscles are able to produce energy for a longer time) and of oxygen (avoiding and/or delaying the anaerobioses process); while they favor the clearance of toxic substances accumulated during physical activity practice, making the muscular recovery process easier. Therefore, it seems important to associate the arginine consumption to the resisted-exercises programs.
CONCLUSION

Oral administration of L-Arginine (3 g/day) seems to boost the effects of weight training, providing greater strength and muscular mass gain besides contributing to the decrease of body fat percentage.
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