ABSTRACT

Introduction: One of the main problems faced by strength and conditioning coaches is the issue of how to objectively quantify and monitor the actual training load undertaken by athletes in order to maximize performance. It is well known that performance of explosive sports activities is largely determined by mechanical power. Objective: This study analysed the height at which maximal power output is generated and the corresponding load with which it is achieved in a group of male-trained track and field athletes in the test of countermovement jump (CMJ) with extra loads (CMJEL). Methods: Fifty national level male athletes in sprinting and jumping performed a CMJ test with increasing loads up to a height of 16 cm. The relative load that maximized the mechanical power output \( P_{\text{max}} \) was determined using a force platform and linear encoder synchronization and estimating the power by peak power, average power and flight time in CMJ. Results: The load at which the power output no longer existed was at a height of 19.9 ± 2.35, referring to a 99.1 ± 1% of the maximum power output. The load that maximizes power output in all cases has been the load with which an athlete jump a height of approximately 20 cm. Conclusion: These results highlight the importance of considering the height achieved in CMJ with extra load instead of power because maximum power is always attained with the same height. We advise for the preferential use of the height achieved in CMJEL test, since it seems to be a valid indicative of an individual’s actual neuromuscular potential providing a valid information for coaches and trainers when assessing the performance status of our athletes and to quantify and monitor training loads, measuring only the height of the jump in the exercise of CMJEL.
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RESUMO

Introdução: Um dos principais problemas enfrentados pelos treinadores de força e condicionamento físico é como quantificar e monitorar objetivamente a carga real de treinamento realizada pelos atletas para maximizar o desempenho. Sabe-se que o desempenho de atividades esportivas explosivas é grandemente determinado pela potência mecânica. Objetivo: Este estudo analisou a altura em que a potência de saída máxima é gerada e a carga correspondente em que é atingida em um grupo de esportistas do sexo masculino que praticam atletismo no teste de salto com contramovimento (SCM) com cargas adicionais (SCMEL). Métodos: Cinquenta atletas de nível nacional de corrida de velocidade e salto realizaram o teste SCM com cargas crescentes até a altura de 16 cm. A carga relativa que maximizou a potência de saída \( P_{\text{max}} \) foi determinada usando uma plataforma de força e um codificador linear de sincronização e estimada por potência máxima, média e tempo de vôo no SCM. Resultados: A carga em que a potência de saída já não existia foi na altura de 19,9 ± 2,35, em relação a 99,1 ± 1% da potência de saída máxima. A carga que maximiza a potência de saída em todos os casos foi aquela em que o atleta salta em altura de aproximadamente 20 cm. Conclusão: Esses resultados salientam a importância de considerar a altura atingida no SCM com carga adicional, em vez de com potência, porque a potência máxima sempre é obtida com a mesma altura. Aconselhamos a preferencial uso da altura atingida no teste SCMEL, uma vez que parece ser um indicador válido da potência neuromuscular real do indivíduo, fornecendo informação para treinadores e preparadores físicos ao avaliar o desempenho de nossos atletas e quantificar e monitorar as cargas do treinamento, medindo a altura do salto no exercício de SCMEL.
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RESUMEN

Introducción: Uno de los principales problemas que los preparadores físicos enfrentan es el hecho de cómo objetivamente cuantificar y monitorear la carga de entrenamiento real utilizada por los atletas con el fin de optimizar el rendimiento. Es bien conocido que el rendimiento en actividades deportivas de carácter explosivo está determinado en gran medida por la potencia mecánica. Objetivo: Este estudio analizó la altura en la que se genera la máxima potencia y la carga correspondiente con la que se consigue, en un grupo de deportistas de atletismo del sexo masculino entrenados en el test de salto con contramovimiento (SCM) con cargas progresivas (SCMEL). Métodos: Cincuenta atletas hombres velocistas y saltadores de nivel nacional realizaron el test de SCM, incrementando las cargas hasta la altura de 16 cm. La carga relativa con la que se alcanzó la máxima potencia \( P_{\text{max}} \) se determinó utilizando una plataforma de fuerza sincronizada con un codificador lineal y estimando la potencia mediante la potencia pico, la potencia media y el tiempo de vuelo en el SCM. Resultados: La carga con la que la potencia máxima no más existía fue en la altura de 19,9 ± 2,35, con referencia al 99,1 ± 1% de la potencia máxima. La carga con la que se alcanza la potencia máxima en todos los casos ha
INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems faced by strength and conditioning coaches is the issue of how to objectively quantify and monitor the actual training load undertaken by athletes in order to maximize performance. Traditionally, coaches have shown great interest in those tests that enable them to predict athletic performance. In particular, it is well accepted that vertical jump and squat exercise is a good predictor of muscle strength, and therefore are used as standard tests of athletic performance.

It is well known that performance of explosive sports activities is largely determined by mechanical power. Mechanical power can be defined as the rate at which force (F) is developed over a range of motion (d), in a specific period of time (t) \[ P = \frac{F \cdot d}{t} \], or as force multiplied by velocity \( v \) \[ P = F \cdot v \], each of which is influenced by the intrinsic properties of muscle, such as length-tension and speed-strength relationship. The power development and its effect on performance has always been studied by researchers of sports performance.

The power is the product of force and velocity. The absolute velocity is slightly modified, and only explains significantly speed-and-power-with which loads are executed when they are low or very low. Moreover, the movement velocity at which a load is moved is, closely and positively related to the difference between applied force and the force that represents itself to overcome resistance. Therefore, the most important determinant of power is applied force.

It is obvious that an increase in strength may lead to an increased power output. According to Stone et al., maximum strength is related to power production and that increases in maximum strength may lead to an increased in power production. One possible explanation may be due to the increase of maximum strength at a given absolute load that may represent a relative load (%RM) lower, that as the work of Gonzalez-Badillo et al. this lower percentage can be shifted at a higher speed, with a subsequent increase in power for this load.

The question of which is the load that generates the maximal power output \( P_{\text{max}} \) has been the subject of much debate in the exercise sciences for many exercises. The question of which is the relative load (%RM) that produce the \( P_{\text{max}} \) has been one of the most controversial topic for researches. The percentages of 1RM with which the maximum power is achieved are not clear, and, according to different authors, it has been found considerable variability from 10 to 80% of 1RM in the relative loads that produce the \( P_{\text{max}} \).

There is still much research on the study on the production of maximum power and optimal load at which is achieved. A greater understanding of these issues can provide valuable information for monitoring and dose of training.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study that has undertaken a detailed examination of the load that generates the maximal power output \( P_{\text{max}} \) in CMJ and CMJ with external loads tests (CMJEL). Thus, the aim of this investigation was to determine the height at maximal power output is generated and the corresponding load with which is achieved in a group of trained male track and field athletes.

METHODS

The present study used a cross-sectional experimental design to examine the load that maximizes the mechanical power output in CMJ in a group of trained male track and field athletes. All testing was carried out during two competitive track and field seasons. Each athlete participated in national and international events during this period and had experience with resistance training. Consequently, all the athletes were highly trained and familiar with the testing exercise.

Fifty men national level athletes in sprinting and jumping volunteered to take part in this study. Data are expressed with mean ± SD (age 25.4 ± 4.5 years, weight 75.5 ± 7.3 kg, height 179.9 ± 5.6 cm; body fat percentage 9.9 ± 2.3%). A total of two hundred tests of CMJEL were performed. No physical limitations or musculoskeletal injuries that could affect testing were reported. All participants were informed in detail about the content of the study, its objectives, potential risks and benefits, and they all signed informed consent prior to participation. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Pablo de Olavide University.

Participants were familiar with the testing procedures because they had been performing the exercises as part of their normal training routine. After standardized warm-up, participants performed 3 maximal CMJ trials on a Smith machine while standing on a portable force platform (Isonet, JML, Madrid, Spain). The bar of the Smith machine had a linear transducer attached to it (Isocontrol, JML, Madrid, Spain), which was synchronized with the force platform.

The force platform was connected to a portable computer and recorded data at a sample rate of 1.000 Hz. The rotary encoder of the linear transducer recorded the position and direction of the bar to maintain contact between the bar and shoulders. Three minutes of rest were provided between each trial to minimize the likelihood of fatigue.

Initially, it was reported that relatively light loads such as 30% of maximum isometric force or maximum muscle shortening velocity maximized power output. However, more recent research conducted using multi-joint dynamic muscular actions in isoinertial conditions, has found considerable variability (20-80% 1RM) in the relative loads that produce the \( P_{\text{max}} \).
an 8-contact electrode segmental body composition analyzer (Tanita BC-418, Tanita Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

All 50 subjects performed CMJ<sub>EL</sub> test with increasing loads until jump height was less than 16 cm. All subjects performed the tests in the same conditions, so in this case there were no situational variables. The tests were performed during a session for each of the groups. Each group had a maximum of 6 subjects with the objective of the recovery time were 3 minutes. The total duration of the test was scheduled for two hours. The measurement was carried to a group per day, given that the time slot, from 18:00 to 20:00, was the same for each group. Countermovement Jump. A CMJ was used in order to maximize stretch-shortening cycle activity and to assess explosive strength of the lower extremity muscles. The CMJ test was performed using an infrared timing system (Optojump, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). During the CMJ, the subject was instructed to rest his hands on his hips while performing a downward movement followed by a maximal effort vertical jump. All subjects were instructed to land in an upright position and to bend the knees following landing. Five jumps were made, separated by approximately one minute of rest. Removed the two extreme values (the best and the worst) and the average was calculated with the other three jumps, and the average value was used for the subsequent statistical analysis. Countermovement Jump with External Load (CMJ<sub>EL</sub>). CMJ test was followed by a CMJ with extra load test. The test was performed in a Smith machine (Multipower Fitness Line, Peroga, Spain) that allows a smooth vertical displacement of the bar along a fixed pathway was used for all tests. Two warm-up jumps were made with the first load test; the subjects rested two minutes and after started the test. A progressive loading test was made, each of the established loads was set with the Smith machine. The test began with a load of 17-kg, and the weight was increased in 10-kg increments. The test ended when the subject jumped a height of less than 16 cm. This height was used because jumps lower than that progressively decrease the reliability of the jump and it decreases the risk of injury.

**Statistical Analyses**

Standard statistical methods were used for the calculation of means and standard deviations (SD). Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to determine between-subject reliability of jumping tests. T-Tes paired was used to detect differences between loads. Relationship between relative load and power output was studied by fitting second-order polynomials to data. Significance was accepted at the $P < 0.05$ level.

**RESULTS**

In this study CMJ showed good reliability: Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) of 0.97, Confidence Interval (95% CI: 0.93 to 0.98) and Coefficient of Variation (CV) of 2.5% (Table 1). The mechanical power is dependent on the external load 24. The optimal relative load at which maximum power is attained in the CMJ<sub>EL</sub> was unloaded 25,26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Power (% of maximum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>46.8 ± 4.75</td>
<td>86.1 ± 5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31.3 ± 3.87</td>
<td>87.1 ± 4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.2 ± 3.32</td>
<td>92.3 ± 4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>25.6 ± 4.12</td>
<td>94.1 ± 3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>22.6 ± 3.03</td>
<td>96.5 ± 3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.4 ± 3.44</td>
<td>97.7 ± 2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>20.1 ± 2.93</td>
<td>97.5 ± 1.63**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>19.9 ± 2.35</td>
<td>99.1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>17.5 ± 2.23</td>
<td>97.4 ± 1.89**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.91</td>
<td>97.4 ± 1.89**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The asterisks indicate significant differences between the P<sub>max</sub> (load for 77-kg) and the previous and the next loads (load for 67-kg and 87-kg). ** $p<0.01$.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study highlight the importance of considering the relative power and its corresponding height in CMJ with extra loads test when assessing the physical state and athletic performance of elite track and field athletes. The main finding of this investigation was that the maximum power load when assessing athletic performance in elite athletes in CMJ<sub>EL</sub> test was achieved with a load with which an athlete jump a height of approximately 20-cm, independently of absolute value in power output. Surprisingly, to the best of our knowledge, this is something that no previous research had noticed. The present study also adds to previous research by corroborating that, independently the procedures for measuring power, referring these procedures by force platform, force platform and lineal encoder synchronization and estimating the power by the flight time in CMJ, the load that maximizes power output in all cases has been with which load than an athlete jump a height of approximately 20 cm, and this provides evidence that there is a clearly defined point in the load spectrum that maximizes power output in CMJ<sub>EL</sub> test approximately from 19 cm to 21 cm.

To our knowledge, this is first study to do this type of analysis, ie, there is much literature that analyzes the maximum power load as a % of the RM in the squat 13,18 but none has done an analysis which studies the loads that can achieve a height at which maximum power is attained. The mechanical power is dependent on the external load 25. The optimum relative load at which maximum power is developed, expressed as a percentage of one repetition maximum (1RM) is different for each exercise 25. Focusing on the exercise of CMJ<sub>EL</sub>, Baker et al. 13 observed the load at which maximum power was achieved was 30-40% for men and 30-50% RM for women in the exercise of CMJ<sub>EL</sub>. Cormie et al. 14 collected the maximum mechanical power during a squat jump was obtained with the body weight of the subject itself, which is about 30% of the maximum dynamic strength from it. Several studies found that the load at which maximum power is attained in the CMJ<sub>EL</sub> was unloaded 25,26.
The problem is the procedure for assessment, ie Cormie et al. measured the peak power and in our study we measured average power, so it becomes more difficult to make comparisons between studies.

By contrast, Stone et al. recorded that the optimum load to achieve maximum power in squat jump and CMJEL was 10% RM, but keep in mind that in this work the lowest charge made was this. Another study by Harris et al. found that the maximum power for both the peak power (PP) and for average power ($P_{avg}$) was attained with loads of 21.6 ± 7.1% and 39.0 ± 8.6% of the RM in the squat, respectively. So this may explain in part the lack of unanimity in the results with loads of 21.6 ± 7.1% and 39.0 ± 8.6% of the RM in the squat, respectively. The need to have access to an easily administered test that will allow assessment of the athlete without actually measuring the sport performance. This study, for the ease of the test, represents one approach to assessing the physical state of elite track and field athletes that might satisfy this need. The present study is expected to contribute to the field of exercise science by allowing a more rational characterization and monitoring of the resistance training stimulus for track and field athletes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These results highlight the importance of considering the height achieved in CMJ with extra load instead of power because the maximum power always is attained with the same height. We advocate for the preferential use of height achieved in the CMJEL test since it seems to be a better indicative of an individual’s actual neuromuscular potential. Improvement in jumping ability is a major training goal for many sports, and CMJ is a well-recognized training exercise used to achieve this. In individual sports such as track and field, athletes must improve jump performance to achieve better personal best records.

These findings have important practical applications for the prescription and monitoring of training load in resistance training, making it possible to: For coaches and trainers, monitoring of the vertical jump height under various load conditions can be used as a specific evaluation of the features observed in sport that maximum power is reached to the load with which it reaches a height of about 20 cm in CMJ and facilitates control and scheduling of training loads.
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