PERCEIVED BARRIERS TO LEISURE-TIME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE BRAZILIAN POPULATION

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to synthesize and analyze scientific evidence of the perceived barriers to leisure-time physical activity (PA) in the Brazilian population. The Lilacs, SciELO, PubMed, ScienceDirect and Web of Science journal databases were reviewed and the number of reports of each barrier to PA, grouped according to the level of determinants of the social ecological model (intrapersonal, interpersonal and environmental) was analyzed. We found 25 studies (11 in adolescents, 8 in adults and 6 in older adults), totaling 62,678 reports of barriers to PA. The studies were conducted in the South (n=15), Southeast (n=7), and Northeast (n=2) regions, and one study also included different regions of Brazil. Overall, about seven out of every 10 reports on barriers to PA involved intrapersonal barriers. Adults and older adults had a higher proportion of reports of intrapersonal barriers (84.8% and 74%, respectively), than adolescents (47.8%). There are still few studies with older adults, children, and in populations of the Midwest and North of the country. The most frequently reported barriers to PA among adolescents were lack of company, lack of social support from family and friends, unsuitable climate and limited access to spaces for PA. Lack of motivation and lack of time were the most frequently reported barriers in adults, whereas in older adults the predominant barriers were lack of motivation and diagnosed disease or physical limitation. PA promotion programs need to take into account the different barriers to PA, since these are specific to age groups. *Level of Evidence II; Systematic Review of Level II Studies.*
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RESUMO

Este estudo teve como objetivo sintetizar e analisar evidências científicas sobre as barreiras percebidas para atividade física (AF) no lazer da população brasileira. Foram revisadas as bases de periódicos Lilacs, SciELO, PubMed, ScienceDirect e Web of Science e analisados o número de relatos de cada barreira para AF, agrupado de acordo com o nível de determinantes do modelo socioecológico (intrapessoal, interpessoal e ambiental). Foram encontrados 25 estudos (11 em adolescentes, oito em adultos e seis em idosos), totalizando 62.678 relatos de barreiras para AF. Os estudos foram conduzidos nas regiões Sul (n=15), Sudeste (n=7), Nordeste (n=2) e um estudo ainda incluiu diferentes regiões do Brasil. No geral, cerca de sete em cada 10 relatos de barreiras para AF foram relacionadas com o nível intrapessoal. Adultos e idosos apresentaram maior proporção de relatos intrapessoais (84,8% e 74,0%, respectivamente), quando comparados com adolescente (47,8%). Ainda são escassos estudos com idosos, crianças e nas populações das regiões Centro-Oeste e Norte do País. As barreiras para AF mais reportadas entre os adolescentes foram falta de companhia, falta de apoio social da família e amigos, clima inadequado e baixo acesso a locais para AF. Em adultos a falta de motivação e a falta de tempo foram as barreiras com maior relato e, em idosos, a falta de motivação e diagnóstico de doença ou limitação física. Programas de promoção de AF necessitam levar em conta as diferentes barreiras para a AF, pois essas se mostram específicas aos grupos etários. *Nível de Evidência II; Revisão Sistêmática de Estudos de Nível II.*

Descritores: Atividade física; Exercício físico; População; Promoção da saúde.

RESUMEN

Este estudio tuvo por objetivo resumir y analizar la evidencia científica sobre las barreras percibidas para la actividad física (AF) en el tiempo libre de la población brasileña. Las bases que se revisaron fueron Lilacs, SciELO, PubMed, ScienceDirect y Web of Science y se analizó el número de informes de cada barrera a la FA agrupados de acuerdo al nivel de los determinantes del modelo socio-ecológico (intrapersonal, interpersonal y ambiental). Se encontraron 25 estudios (11 en adolescentes, 8 en adultos y 6 en ancianos), de un total de 62,678 informes de barreras a la AF. Los estudios se realizaron en el Sur (n=15), Sureste (n=7), Noreste (n=2) y una medida incluye diferentes regiones de Brasil. En general, aproximadamente siete de cada 10 que informaron las barreras a la AF estaban relacionados con el nivel intrapersonal. Adultos y ancianos presentaron mayor proporción de informes intrapersonales (84,8% y 74,0%, respectivamente) en comparación con los adolescentes (47,8%), aunque hay pocos estudios con personas mayores, niños y poblaciones de la región central y norte del país. Las barreras a la AF más reportadas entre los adolescentes fueron la falta de compañía, la falta de apoyo social de amigos y familiares, mal tiempo y bajo acceso a lugares de AF.
INTRODUCTION

An important aspect for the success of individual or community-based programs and interventions promoting physical activity (PA) is the identification of factors that limit the participation and continuation in these programs. Within this context, a critical analysis of perceived barriers to PA may be useful to develop appropriate sociocultural and environmental approaches aimed at promoting a more physically active lifestyle.

Systematic reviews have demonstrated an inverse relationship between the perception and number of barriers and levels of PA. Furthermore, the perceived barriers to PA can vary between age groups and according to the context of people's lives, as demonstrated in several cross-sectional studies on adolescents, adults, and older adults. These differences may be explained, at least in part, by social, cultural, and environmental characteristics such as norms and local culture. Differences between age groups and genders may occur in the frequency of reported barriers, as well as in the domains or type of PA barriers. For example, socioecological models consider that multiple factors can influence PA, including intrapersonal, interpersonal and environmental variables. In this respect, there was high economic and social inequality in Brazil, and important variability may exist in the distribution of PA barriers across the domains of the socioecological model. It is therefore important to know the barriers to PA in different groups and contexts.

Considering the great territorial extension of Brazil and its cultural and economic diversity, one can expect important differences in perceptions between men and women and between different age groups. In this regard, knowledge of the barriers to PA in the Brazilian population is fundamental to develop PA promotion strategies in the country. Therefore, the aim of this study was to synthesize and analyze the available evidence on perceived barriers to PA in the Brazilian population.

METHODS

Search strategy

This systematic review followed the standard procedures described in the literature. We systematically reviewed articles published in peer-reviewed journals indexed in the Lilacs, SciELO, PubMed, Science Direct, and Web of Science electronic journal databases. Qualitative and quantitative studies reporting the results of barriers to PA (prevalence and/or reports), which involved samples of the Brazilian population and were published in Portuguese or English, were included. The search was limited to articles published between January 2000 and September 2016.

Reviews, opinions, letters to the editor, books, book chapters, research reports, dissertations, and theses were excluded from the analysis. Studies on PA barriers that only included population samples with special conditions or investigated in clinical studies (e.g. individuals with heart disease, HIV, and cancer) were also excluded. Search terms/keywords related to PA and barriers to PA were used and only studies involving samples of the Brazilian population were included. The search terms were combined using the Boolean operators “AND” and “OR”. The following syntax and search terms were used: (“motor activity” OR “locomotor activity” OR “physical activity” OR exercise OR sports OR walking) AND (barriers OR “perceived barriers” OR facilitators OR adherence OR adhesion OR abandonment) AND (Brazil OR Brazilian).

The search terms were selected from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), Descriptors of Health Sciences (DeCS), and scientific articles on the topic.

Selection of studies and extraction of information

The PRISMA Statement was used for selection of the studies. The search comprised three stages, reading the titles, abstracts and full texts, which were performed independently by two researchers. A third opinion was obtained in the case of divergence. After selection of the articles, the following information was extracted: author, year of publication, place of study, age range, size and sex of the sample, sample selection method, study design, type of study, data collection method, and instrument used to measure barriers to PA. The information was also extracted independently by two researchers.

Analysis of studies

Since the barriers to PA reported can vary according to individual characteristics, the analysis was stratified by age group (children and adolescents, adults, and older adults) and sex (female and male) according to the categories of PA determinants in the socioecological model. In this model, the determinants of PA are divided into intrapersonal (psychological: cognitive aspects, beliefs, motivation; biological: sex, age, genetic factors, health conditions), interpersonal (social support from family and friends, social and cultural norms), environmental (social, built, and natural environment), and organizational level (transport system, media, local policies, economic aspects of the country). This classification was based on evidence indicating multiple levels of influence on a behavior.

Quantitative studies (those using questionnaires or standardized scales to identify the number of barriers for each age group) and mixed qualitative-quantitative studies (those using content analysis, focus groups, and semi-structured interviews as a method for data collection and analysis) were included. For the analysis of quantitative studies, the absolute number of individuals that reported each barrier was considered the unit of analysis. Finally, the selected articles were evaluated regarding the quality of internal validity and criteria to establish causality using an adapted scale. Among the 27 original items, only 16 were used for analysis because they were related to observational (cross-sectional) studies. The following items were evaluated: quality of description of the hypotheses/objectives; quality of description of the outcome to be measured; characterization of the sample; quality of description of the exposures of interest; discussion of the main confounding factors; providing estimates of random variability for the main findings; reporting the actual probability found; selection and inclusion of representative samples; analysis of the main results; adequacy of the statistical tests used to measure the main outcomes; exposures without classification errors; accuracy of the instruments used for the main outcomes; adequate adjustment for the main confounding factors; adequacy of the statistical power to detect a significant effect at a significance level of 5%. The score of the scale ranges from 0 (worst) to 17 (best) (one of the items has weight 2). The absolute quality index was defined as the sum of items and the relative quality index as the ratio of the sum of items divided by the total number of items in the scale.

Descriptores: Actividad física; Ejercicio físico; Población; Promoción de salud.
RESULTS

Systematic search results

The initial search identified 1,407 titles (118 duplicates). After reading the remaining titles (1,289 = 100%), 1,161 (90.9%) were excluded since they were not related to the subject. Next, the abstracts were read and 105 (9.1%) articles were excluded because they did not include barriers to PA. Finally, 23 papers (1.9%) were selected for reading the full text. Seven new studies were identified in the reference lists of these articles and included. After reading, five articles were excluded because they did not contain barriers to PA. Twenty-five (2.1%) studies published between 2004 and 2016 were thus included (Figure 1).

Characteristics of the studies

The studies included the reports of 62,678 subjects aged 10 to 90 years. The sample size ranged from 25 to 46,981 participants. Most studies were conducted in the Southern (n = 15), Southeastern (n = 7) and Northeastern (n = 2) regions and one study comprised different regions of Brazil. No studies conducted in the Midwest or Northern region of the country were identified. There was a larger number of studies involving adolescents (n = 11), followed by adults (n = 8) and older adults (n = 6). The method of data collection were questionnaires/scales in most studies (n = 21) and only four used focus groups. Regarding the quality of the studies, about half the articles (56.0%) met at least 70.0% of the criteria used. Further information about the characteristics of the studies is given in Table 1.

The studies involving adolescents (n = 11) were published between 2004 and 2015, with 8,350 participants aged 10 to 19 years. A total of 23,005 reports comprising 23 different types of barriers to PA were included. Nine studies involving adults (20-65 years) published between 2006 and 2016 were identified. Most of these studies were conducted in the Southern region (n = 6), one study was conducted in the Northeastern region, and one involved a sample from the capitals of Brazil. The studies included 53,637 adults and reported 15 barriers to PA. For the elderly population, six studies were published between 2008 and 2016, which investigated 691 older adults (60-90 years). Three of these studies were conducted in the Southeastern region. Questionnaires were the main method of data collection (n = 4), followed by focus groups (n = 2). Overall, 15 barriers to PA were reported in these studies (Table 1).

Perceived barriers to physical activity according to socioecological determinant

Figure 2 shows the barriers to PA according to the determinants of PA in the socioecological model and age group. In general, most reports are classified as intrapersonal (68.9%) in all age groups, followed by environmental (17.5%) and interpersonal (13.6%).

With respect to age group, the proportion of intrapersonal barriers was higher among adults and older adults (84.8% and 74.0%, respectively) when compared to adolescents (47.8%). On the other hand, adolescents reported a higher proportion of interpersonal barriers (28.1%) and environmental (24.1%) barriers than adults and older adults (adults: 5.1% and 10.1%; older adults: 7.8% and 18.2%, respectively) (Figure 2).

Finally, we found no studies analyzing barriers related to global or organizational determinants.

Analysis of the reports according to sex (Figure 3) showed a similar sex distribution of barriers at each level of the socioecological model in adolescents and older adults. However, in adults, the proportion of intrapersonal barriers was higher among women compared to men (92.1% versus 81.7%, p < 0.05), while the proportion of PA barriers at the environmental level was higher among adult men compared to women (12.7% versus 4.8%, p < 0.05).
Main types of barriers to physical activity in the Brazilian population

Figure 4 shows the proportion of the main barriers to PA according to sex, age group, and socioecological determinant. The proportion of barriers related to the lack of motivation (lack of motivation and laziness), lack of social support (lack of companionship and lack of support from family and friends), and climate and access factors (inappropriate climate and lack of places and facilities) was higher in adolescents. Interestingly, girls more frequently reported barriers related to the lack of motivation (not liking to exercise, lack of motivation, laziness, and preference for other leisure-time activities) than boys.

In adults, women reported the lack of motivation, lack of companionship and lack of financial resources as the main barriers, while men more frequently reported the lack of time and social and structural aspects (lack of safety, lack of places and equipment for PA). Among older adults, the lack of motivation as the main barrier to PA in men calls attention. In older women, the barriers were related to physical limitations, presence of diseases, lack of companionship, and lack of safety (Figure 4).
the need to improve the quality of research, especially that involving adults, and 33.6% in studies involving older adults, demonstrating the scale used. This percentage was 54.5% in adolescents, 75.0% in studies, slightly more than half (56.0%) met at least 70% of the items served, regardless of age group (Figures 2 and 3). This finding agrees with other studies demonstrating that the most frequently reported barriers to PA are associated with individual factors. This result is important because it suggests that strategies designed to promote PA need to consider individual aspects such as preferences and the level of complexity of the activities. For example, by performing preferred and easier activities, individuals increase their perception of competence and motivation, with positive effects on adherence to PA programs.

Finally, some quantitative studies use questionnaires containing the original items, but do not report information about the quality of the measures. Therefore, qualitative studies may also identify new items to be included in questionnaires on barriers to PA.

A predominance of perceived intrapersonal barriers to PA was observed, regardless of age group (Figures 2 and 3). This finding agrees with other studies demonstrating that the most frequently reported barriers to PA are associated with individual factors. This result is important because it suggests that strategies designed to promote PA need to consider individual aspects such as preferences and the level of complexity of the activities. For example, by performing preferred and easier activities, individuals increase their perception of competence and motivation, with positive effects on adherence to PA programs.

In addition, PA is a behavior that is susceptible to the interaction of personal, social, environmental and socio-political factors, and changes in any of these factors can therefore positively influence the others. Thus, a broader approach to PA barriers is required since individual variables are insufficient to understand the complexity of this behavior.

The main barriers identified among adolescents were the lack of companionship, lack of social support from family and friends, inadequate climate, and limited access to places for PA (Figure 4A). Social support and encouragement of PA are strongly associated with higher levels of PA. Within this context, promoting activities and facilities that increase social interaction, such as skating rinks and sports courts, seems to be an important alternative to increase PA levels in this population group. On the other hand, family support, either financial/resources or emotional, can be important to provide access to activities and places and to increase confidence and motivation for PA. The barriers related to social support were similar for boys and girls, but their proportion was higher among girls. This finding may explain in part the decline in PA levels observed among girls after adolescence and suggests the need to pay greater attention to this subgroup. No studies involving children were found, which demonstrates a clear lack of knowledge on the topic. Early childhood is fundamental for positive reinforcement of habits and behaviors. In addition, available evidence suggests low levels of PA in children. Taken together, these findings highlight the need to improve the quality of research, especially that involving children and the elderly. Another important finding was the higher proportion of barriers to PA at the intrapersonal level, a fact observed in all age groups. However, differences in the proportions were found between groups, with a higher proportion of intrapersonal barriers in adult women compared to adult men.

There is a lack of evidence for barriers to PA from major regions of the country, with no studies being available for the Northern and Midwest regions. Research in these regions would be important considering that their population accounts for approximately 15% of the national population and that the rates of insufficient levels of PA are considerable. This paucity of evidence may be related at least in part to a poor research capacity, which is confirmed by the small number of postgraduate programs in these regions. In this respect, increasing the attention of already established programs and research groups to this topic as well as the expansion of programs in these regions can contribute to reduce this knowledge gap. Furthermore, most studies used quantitative methods to investigate barriers to PA. Although these studies are important to establish the magnitude and distribution of PA barriers, investment in qualitative studies is necessary to unravel aspects not included in the questionnaires used and to determine the significance of these aspects for each population group. Almost all quantitative studies identified in the literature use questionnaires that contain items adapted from instruments developed in other countries. Despite adequate reliability, these instruments may be less relevant to the context of the country. Finally, some quantitative studies use questionnaires containing the original items, but do not report information about the quality of the measures. Therefore, qualitative studies may also identify new items to be included in questionnaires on barriers to PA.

A predominance of perceived intrapersonal barriers to PA was observed, regardless of age group (Figures 2 and 3). This finding agrees with other studies demonstrating that the most frequently reported barriers to PA are associated with individual factors. This result is important because it suggests that strategies designed to promote PA need to consider individual aspects such as preferences and the level of complexity of the activities. For example, by performing preferred and easier activities, individuals increase their perception of competence and motivation, with positive effects on adherence to PA programs.

In addition, PA is a behavior that is susceptible to the interaction of personal, social, environmental and socio-political factors, and changes in any of these factors can therefore positively influence the others. Thus, a broader approach to PA barriers is required since individual variables are insufficient to understand the complexity of this behavior.

The main barriers identified among adolescents were the lack of companionship, lack of social support from family and friends, inadequate climate, and limited access to places for PA (Figure 4A). Social support and encouragement of PA are strongly associated with higher levels of PA. Within this context, promoting activities and facilities that increase social interaction, such as skating rinks and sports courts, seems to be an important alternative to increase PA levels in this population group. On the other hand, family support, either financial/resources or emotional, can be important to provide access to activities and places and to increase confidence and motivation for PA. The barriers related to social support were similar for boys and girls, but their proportion was higher among girls. This finding may explain in part the decline in PA levels observed among girls after adolescence and suggests the need to pay greater attention to this subgroup. No studies involving children were found, which demonstrates a clear lack of knowledge on the topic. Early childhood is fundamental for positive reinforcement of habits and behaviors. In addition, available evidence suggests low levels of PA in children. Taken together, these findings highlight the need to improve the quality of research, especially that involving
give priority to studies investigating barriers to PA in this group. However, given the complexity of measuring barriers in children, it is important to conduct exploratory studies using innovative and mixed methods, such as combining parent reporting and direct observation. This complexity may explain to some extent the lack of studies found in this review.

A large number of barriers were observed in adults, with the main barriers being the lack of motivation and lack of time (Figure 4B). Women more frequently reported intrapersonal barriers than men. These results corroborate the findings of international studies. The lack of motivation has been evaluated as a possible barrier associated with a decrease in the level of PA. Bowles et al. demonstrated a significant association between this barrier and the level of PA, while Genkinger et al. found no significant association. Thus, further investigation is needed. In an attempt to engage people in health promotion initiatives and to encourage an active lifestyle or behavior change, different alternatives should be designed to reach the maximum number of individuals possible, always considering the particularity of each group with regard to barriers or facilitators, the applicability and effectiveness of the intervention, and cultural aspects of the place. With respect to lack of time, a recent review shows that this variable is linked to an excessive number of family commitments and household duties and excess demands and workload, and can actually be an important barrier. Within this context, it is important to understand that lack of time is not simply an excuse not to perform PA. Apparently, most people have difficulties organizing their activities within the time available. Thus, strategies to promote PA should increase the opportunities for PA in all contexts (home, work, transportation, and leisure) to facilitate the inclusion of this behavior in daily activities.

In older adults, the main barriers reported were the lack of motivation, diagnosis of disease, or physical limitation. Lack of motivation has also been reported as an important barrier for older adults in the international literature. An important finding of the present study was that, in contrast to the adolescent and adult groups, in older adults, the lack of motivation barrier was more frequently reported by men. The development of strategies for older men to remain motivated during the transition from adulthood to old age may be an important focus as this motivation seems to decrease over the years. In addition, the presence of diseases and limitations that were frequently reported as barriers to PA are related to the aging process itself. Elderly patients with musculoskeletal disorders and individuals with existing diseases have low levels of PA. However, it should be emphasized that participation in PA programs is associated with improvements in health status, increased social contact and disease prevention in older adults, which may become a facilitating factor and enable individuals to overcome these barriers. The existence of diseases does not necessarily prevent the participation in PA programs, while participation can preserve the autonomy of older adults and improve their quality of life.

One limitation of this study is the fact that it did not select studies published in non-indexed journals of the databases. Thus, it is possible that studies involving the populations analyzed were not included. However, the databases searched here are those most used in studies on the topic, in addition to requiring a blind peer-review process for the evaluation of articles, thus establishing minimum scientific quality criteria.

In conclusion, there is growing interest in the study of barriers to PA, but few studies have investigated these barriers in the Brazilian population, especially older adults, children and populations from the Midwest and Northern regions of the country. Intrapersonal barriers continue to be the barriers most frequently reported by the Brazilian population and include the lack of companionship, lack of social support from family and friends, inadequate climate and limited access to places for PA in adolescents; lack of motivation and lack of time in adults, and lack of motivation and diagnosis of disease or physical limitation in older adults. Physical activity promotion programs must take into account the different barriers to PA since they were found to be specific for each age group.
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