ABSTRACT

Introduction: The individual glucose threshold (IGT) has been used to estimate the anaerobic threshold with low-cost analyses and shorter times. However, the reliability of the glycemic analysis using a portable pharmacy glucose meter has received little attention. Objective: To identify the IGT using a portable glucose meter and to compare it with the ventilatory threshold (VT). Methods: Fourteen active, healthy men (25.9 ± 3.2 years; %BF = 17.9 ± 3.7%) performed an incremental treadmill test. The anaerobic threshold was identified by two different methods: (1) IGT, corresponding to the intensity of the lowest glucose value during the test; and (2) VT, corresponding to the break in linearity of the ventilation curve and an increase in the respiratory oxygen equivalent, without an equivalent increase in carbon dioxide. Results: There were significant differences between VT (9.9 ± 1.2 km/h) and IGT (9.5 ± 1.1 km/h), corresponding to 75.4 ± 9.2 and 72.5 ± 10.4 ¥VO_{2\text{max}}\% respectively. The methods presented high correlation (r = 0.82, p = 0.002) and the Bland-Altman technique showed agreement between IGT and VT, with a mean difference of 0.5 km/h. Conclusion: It was possible to determine the intensity of IGT by the glycemic response in the incremental test using a portable glucose meter. The IGT underestimated the intensity of VT by approximately 0.5 km/h, but with a high correlation and agreement between them. Level of evidence III, Case-Control Study.
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INTRODUCTION

During incremental tests, glucose concentration decreases to the lactate threshold (LT) and then begins to increase. This glycaemic increase after reaching LT is due to higher sympathetic activity that induces a higher rate of glycogenolysis in the liver. Thus, the intensity of the exercise at which the glucose concentration begins to rise during the incremental test is called the Individual Glycaemic Threshold (IGT) and has been observed during incremental tests. The IGT is a methodology used to understand the glycaemic responses in order to determine the anaerobic threshold. In addition, it seems to demarcate an exercise intensity above which glucose production exceeds its uptake, and which does not differ from the ventilatory threshold (VT) and LT identified in healthy young individuals. The method considered gold standard for the evaluation of the anaerobic threshold and aerobic fitness is the analysis of the responses of lactate blood concentrations. Also, it can be determined by ventilatory responses, which has the advantage of not being invasive and widespread used in the prescription of exercise for special groups, however, these evaluations require high-cost devices and it is necessary that exercise physiology experts identify the intensity where the anaerobic threshold occurs. Therefore, in order to find easy to apply and reliable physiological parameters, research has been conducted to study blood glycaemia, aiming at the determination of the anaerobic threshold.

Alternatively, the IGT has been used to estimate the anaerobic threshold with low cost of analysis and also in shorter duration. Previous studies have shown high correlation between IGT and anaerobic threshold, minimum lactate speed, VT onset of blood lactate accumulation. Studies have also shown the importance of this methodology to evaluate and prescribe running trainings, swimming, cycle ergometers and contact sports such as Greco-Roman wrestling.

Rocha et al., evaluated the IGT in soldiers using the portable blood glucometer and observed that this device is sensitive enough to evaluate the blood glucose changes of soldiers submitted to the training, thus, these results open new perspectives for the control of exercise prescription in an accessible and low cost. In view of this, as there is little attention to the reliability of the blood glucose monitoring device by means of the pharmacy glucometer, the objective of the study was to determine the IGT by means of a portable glucometer and to compare it with the VT. The hypothesis was that the portable glucometer can be used to determine the anaerobic threshold with good reliability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The study included 14 physically active men aged 25.9 ± 3.2 years, body mass of 78.4 ± 11.0 kg and fat percentage of 17.9 ± 3.7%. Smokers, anabolic steroid users, known history of cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, diabetes, hypertension, hormone disorder, muscle damage in the last 12 months, nutritional supplementation in the last six months, and negative response to PAR-Q were excluded from the study. All participants were informed in detail about the procedures used and agreed to voluntarily participate in the study, signing a free and informed consent form, in accordance with the ethical principles of research involving humans established in the Helsinki declaration and previously approved by the local ethics committee (CAEE: 494.2009).

Incremental Test Protocol

The test was elaborated according to Bentley et al, which presents norms for the accomplishment of maximum tests. The test started at a speed of 5 km/h and every 2 minutes the speed was increased by 1 km/h until exhaustion. The following criteria for interrupting the test were adopted: (i) voluntary fatigue of the participant; (ii) the achievement of plateau in the consumption of oxygen; (iii) achieve maximum heart rate, calculated by the formula 220 – age; (iv) reach a value greater than 1.1 of the respiratory quotient. The test was considered valid when two of the five interruption criteria described above were achieved. The determination of the anaerobic threshold was performed by means of plasma glucose concentrations and ventilatory parameters.

Glycaemic threshold

The collection of a blood sample of approximately 0.7 to 3.0 μl was performed on the index finger in the last 10 seconds of each stage, from 6 km/h. Glucose concentration was determined with the use of a portable glucometer acquired in pharmacy (Breeze2, Bayer). The IGT was determined by means of the glucose concentration kinetics during incremental test, being defined as the exercise intensity and oxygen consumption (VO2) in which the glucose concentration reached the lowest value immediately before starting to increase.

Ventilatory threshold

The expired gases were measured continuously using the gas analyser (Meta lyser II, Cortex) throughout the test. The calibration of the gas analysis equipment was performed before each test according to the manufacturer’s manual. The results obtained in the last 60 seconds of each stage were considered for the identification of ventilatory parameters. The highest VO2 obtained in voluntary exhaustion was considered VO2max. VT was identified by the breakdown of linearity of ventilation (VE) and carbon dioxide production (VCO2) and increase in the respiratory equivalent of oxygen (VE / VO2) without the concomitant increase in the respiratory equivalent of carbon dioxide (VE / VCO2). The method of determination was performed by visual inspection with the determination of two experienced evaluators. The results were compared between the evaluators and, when discrepancies greater than 5% occurred, the graphs were re-evaluated. The mean value presented by the two evaluators was assumed as the VT, represented by physical effort intensity (km.h⁻¹) and VO2.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All analysed variables presented normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk). Student’s t-test for dependent samples was used to compare velocity and VO2 between IGT and VT. Correlation and agreement between IGT and VT was performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient and Bland-Altman analysis, respectively. The software used was SPSS version 17.0 (Somers, NY, USA) with significance level of p ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

The VO2max of volunteers was 49.1 ± 5.3 ml.(kg.min)⁻¹ and the maximum speed reached during the incremental test was 13.7 ± 1.1 km.h⁻¹. From the 14 participants in the study, it was possible to observe the IGT in 12 individuals and the VT in 13 subjects. Thus, the comparisons between IGT and VT were performed only with 11 volunteers, those who were allowed to simultaneously identify the anaerobic threshold by the two methodologies.

Figure 1 shows the determination of the VT and IGT for an assessed individual. As can be seen, the glucose curve is “U” shaped and the speed corresponding to the lowest glucose concentration was considered the IGT (9 km.h⁻¹). The ventilatory threshold was determined by the linearity of the LV curve (9 km.h⁻¹).

Table 1 shows the velocity and VO2 corresponding to IGT and VT. As can be observed, the velocity and VO2 corresponding to the IGT were significantly lower (p = 0.025 and p = 0.041, respectively) in relation to the maximum speed reached during the incremental test. This glycaemic increase after reaching LT is due to higher sympathetic activity that induces a higher rate of glycogenolysis in the liver. Thus, the intensity of the exercise at which the glucose concentration begins to rise during the incremental test is called the Individual Glycaemic Threshold (IGT) and has been observed during incremental tests. The IGT is a methodology used to understand the glycaemic responses in order to determine the anaerobic threshold. In addition, it seems to demarcate an exercise intensity above which glucose production exceeds its uptake, and which does not differ from the ventilatory threshold (VT) and LT identified in healthy young individuals. The method considered gold standard for the evaluation of the anaerobic threshold and aerobic fitness is the analysis of the responses of lactate blood concentrations. Also, it can be determined by ventilatory responses, which has the advantage of not being invasive and widespread used in the prescription of exercise for special groups, however, these evaluations require high-cost devices and it is necessary that exercise physiology experts identify the intensity where the anaerobic threshold occurs. Therefore, in order to find easy to apply and reliable physiological parameters, research has been conducted to study blood glycaemia, aiming at the determination of the anaerobic threshold.

Alternatively, the IGT has been used to estimate the anaerobic threshold with low cost of analysis and also in shorter duration. Previous studies have shown high correlation between IGT and anaerobic threshold, minimum lactate speed, VT onset of blood lactate accumulation. Studies have also shown the importance of this methodology to evaluate and prescribe running trainings, swimming, cycle ergometers and contact sports such as Greco-Roman wrestling.

Rocha et al., evaluated the IGT in soldiers using the portable blood glucometer and observed that this device is sensitive enough to evaluate the blood glucose changes of soldiers submitted to the training, thus, these results open new perspectives for the control of exercise prescription in an accessible and low cost. In view of this, as there is little attention to the reliability of the blood glucose monitoring device by means of the pharmacy glucometer, the objective of the study was to determine the IGT by means of a portable glucometer and to compare it with the VT. The hypothesis was that the portable glucometer can be used to determine the anaerobic threshold with good reliability.
VT. When the comparison was performed in relation to \( \% \text{VO}_2 \max \), the IGT also presented significantly lower values (\( p = 0.040 \)) in relation to the VT.

The two methodologies for determining the anaerobic threshold presented a high correlation (\( r = 0.82, p = 0.002 \)) with each other. The Bland-Altman technique showed a concordance between the IGT and VT methods, both in exercise intensity and in \( \text{VO}_2 \) reached at the anaerobic threshold (Figure 2).

The bias ± 95% of the concordance limits for the comparisons between the IGT and VT velocities was 0.5 ± 1.3 km.h\(^{-1}\) between the \( \text{VO}_2 \) corresponding to IGT and VT was 1.5 ± 5.0 ml (kg.min\(^{-1}\))\(^{-1}\), with only one individual outside the limits of agreement for the two analyses.

### DISCUSSION

The present study compared the use of glycaemia determined in a portable glucometer to identify the maximum incremental anaerobic threshold in young, healthy and physically active individuals with ventilatory parameters, which are already widely reported in the literature. It was possible to determine the IGT for approximately 85% of the studied sample, however, the intensity and oxygen consumption in IGT was lower than the values determined by the VT technique. Despite this difference, the intensity differed by only 0.5 km.h\(^{-1}\) and a high correlation and agreement between the methods used.

During the incremental test used in our methodology, the glycaemia progressively decreased to an intensity that, when reached, occurred an increase. The glycaemic response was similar to that described by other authors during incremental aerobic exercise in running\(^5,15\) and cycle ergometer\(^16\), intensity that corresponded to the anaerobic threshold.

In addition, it has been shown that the glycaemic response can identify the anaerobic threshold when incremental tests are performed after induction of lactic acidosis in running\(^1,17\), cycle ergometer\(^16\) and swimming\(^9\), both in individuals with athletes in non-athletes. In the present study, although the glycaemic response was similar to other authors, the IGT intensity did not coincide with the intensity of the VT, presenting an anaerobic threshold response determined by ventilatory parameters. However, the difference between the techniques was very small, which in practice may not represent a relevant difference for training prescription in individuals aiming to improve aerobic performance for health purposes. It is necessary to emphasize that the glucometer used in this research was a portable glucometer and widely available in the pharmaceutical market, with readings with greater error margins than scientific glucometers, such as those used in previous research\(^5,15,16\).

Thus, the difference in the intensity of the anaerobic threshold between the techniques used can be justified due to the greater margin of error of the glucose values determined by the portable glucometer. The margin of error can also explain the fact that in 14% of the sample it was not possible to determine IGT. Although it presents a greater margin of error than portable, Rocha et al\(^11\) demonstrated that this type of device was sensitive enough to identify the changes caused by training in the glycaemic response, since IGT showed a shift to the right after a period of 30 and 60 days of military training. Dellavati et al., when using a portable blood glucose meter, concluded in their study that there is agreement between the methods of determining the anaerobic threshold also in patients with type 2 diabetes. Simões et al\(^6\) also demonstrated that, in addition to the similarity between the intensity of the VT and IGT, there is a high correlation and agreement between VT and IGT in diabetics and non-diabetics. The high correlation and agreement was also observed in the present study, with an equal correlation (\( r = 0.82 \)) to the study of Simões et al\(^6\) and only one individual outside the limits of agreement.
with a bias of 0.5 km·h⁻¹, which can be considered an irrelevant difference for the practical application. The difference of 0.5 km·h⁻¹, according to the Bland-Altman plot, is a consistent error, that is, the measurement error of the portable glucometer seems to be constant, which allows to identify changes in glycaemic response to training, as stated by Rocha et al.¹⁸. Sotero et al.¹⁰ analysed whether the running speed corresponding to the IGT identified by the minimum glucose protocol could predict the maximum stable phase of lactate. They concluded that the velocity corresponding to the minimum glucose can predict the maximum lactate steady state.

In an attempt to justify IGT, several studies confirm that the anaerobic threshold is identified at an exercise intensity above which metabolic acidosis and elevated blood glucose concentration occur.¹⁸,³⁶. Considering that the anaerobic threshold represents the point of supplementation of the energy supply by the anaerobic system, the IGT determines the intensity of the exercise in which the elevation of blood glucose concentration occurs, which can be justified by the predominance transition of metabolic pathways. Simões et al. and Rose and Richter.¹⁸ reported that the initial downward response of the glycaemic curve is due to increased phosphorylation of proteins related to glucose uptake by skeletal muscle, resulting in a greater amount of type 4 glucose transporters (GLUT4) to the cell membrane. From intensities corresponding to approximately the anaerobic threshold, the increase of glycemia is due to a greater adrenergic activity, inducing hepatic glycogenolysis as well as greater gluconeogenic activity mediated by glucagon. These mechanisms of glycemic control are exacerbated at high intensities, mainly from the anaerobic threshold, and may explain the glycaemic response at increasing intensities.¹⁵. In addition, Rose and Richter¹⁸ reported that during intense exercise inhibition of the enzyme hexokinase occurs, limiting the influx and phosphorylation of glucose in muscle cytoplasm. Lastly, Sahlin¹⁹ also demonstrated that glycogen phosphatase and phosphofructokinase enzymes control the degradation of glycogen and glucose. During exercise above the anaerobic threshold, the intramuscular pH falls, which inhibits the action of these enzymes and also leads to a decrease in glucose uptake. Thus, the IGT demarcates a transition from the predominance of glucose uptake to the production of glucose stimulated by catecholamines and glucagon during high intensity exercises.

Considering the results obtained, the use of portable pharmacy glucometer to measure the anaerobic threshold through the glycaemic response can be considered a fast methodology, low cost, easy to acquire equipment and applicable to daily training, helping both practitioners of physical activity and coaches to prescribe proper aerobic training. However, the lack of comparison of the IGT with the gold standard for determining the anaerobic threshold that is through blood lactate dosages should be considered a limitation of the study, leading to more cautious conclusions regarding the generalization of the results. In addition, it will be important to apply this type of low-cost and easy-to-acquire methodology to different populations, especially people with diabetes, in whom glycaemic response to exercise is key to training prescription.

CONCLUSIONS

It was possible to determine the IGT intensity by means of the glycaemic response in an incremental test with the use of portable pharmacy glucometer. The IGT underestimated in approximately 0.5 km/h the intensity of the VT, however, with high correlation and agreement between them. These results open new perspectives for the control of training prescription in an accessible and inexpensive way.
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