ABSTRACT

Although muscle strength, lean mass and bone mineral content/density (BMC/BMD) are consistently reported as major outcomes of resistance training (RT), there is still no agreement on the RT regimen that is capable of achieving this result in men and women of different ages. This study describes the effects of RT on muscle strength, lean mass and bone mineralization, highlighting the relationships between them and analyzing the effectiveness of the RT protocol. Information searches were conducted in open access online academic libraries, using the BMC/BMD indices combined with muscle strength, body composition, and resistance exercises. The results showed changes in BMC/BMD in 72% of the studies published in the last decade. Among these, 77% recommended loads ≥ 80% 1-RM, 61% involved older individuals (> 60 years) and 61% had planning protocols of between 3 and 5 months (~12-20 weeks). The results also highlight muscle strength as a promising index of variations in BMC/BMD, with a moderate to high level of association (r²>0.5), which are specific for men and women in relation to the body region with best responsiveness. Among the studies published in last decade, about 61% had protocols involving only RT, and of these, 82% observed combined changes in BMC/BMD, body composition and muscle strength. This review therefore concludes that RT is important for improving muscle strength, increasing lean mass (whole-body and regional) and preventing risk factors that could impair the mineral integrity of the bone tissue, in individuals of all ages and sexes. Level of Evidence I; Systematic review of Level I RCTs (and study results were homogenous).
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RESUMO

Apesar de a força muscular, a massa magra e o conteúdo/densidade mineral óssea (BMC/BMD) serem sistematicamente relatados como os principais resultados do treinamento resistido (TR), ainda não há acordo sobre o protocolo de TR capaz de promover esse resultado em homens e mulheres de diferentes faixas etárias. O presente estudo descreve os efeitos do TR sobre força, massa magra e mineralização óssea, destacando as relações entre eles e analisando a eficiência do protocolo de TR. As pesquisas das informações foram feitas em bancos de dados acadêmicos de acesso aberto, usando os indexadores “BMC/BMD” combinados com força muscular, composição corporal e exercícios resistidos. Os resultados mostraram mudanças no BMC/BMD em 72% dos estudos publicados no último decênio. Entre estes, 77% recomendaram cargas ≥ 80% DE 1 RM, 61% envolveram idosos (> 60 anos) e 61% tiveram protocolos de planejamento entre 3 e 5 meses (~12-20 semanas). Os resultados também destacam a força muscular como um índice promissor de variações de BMC/BMD, com nível moderado a alto de associação (r²>0.5), que são específicos para homens e mulheres em relação à região corporal com melhor responsividade. Entre os estudos publicados no último décénio, cerca de 61% tinham protocolo que envolveu apenas TR e deles, 82% observaram alterações conjuntas de BMC/BMD, composição corporal e força muscular. Portanto, esta revisão conclui que o TR é importante para melhorar a força muscular, aumentar a massa magra (regional e corporal) e prevenir fatores de risco que podem comprometer a integridade mineral do tecido ósseo, em qualquer faixa etária e de ambos os sexos. Nível de Evidência I; Revisão sistemática de ECRC (Estudos clínicos randomizados e controlados).

Descritores: Densidade óssea; Exercício; Composição corporal; Adulto jovem; Idoso; Fatores sexuais.

RESUMEN

A pesar de que la fuerza muscular, la masa magra y el contenido/densidad mineral ósea (CMO/DMO) se informan sistemáticamente como los principales resultados del entrenamiento resistido (ER), todavía no hay acuerdo sobre el protocolo de ER capaz de promover ese resultado en hombres y mujeres de diferentes grupos de edad. El presente estudio describe los efectos del ER sobre la fuerza muscular, masa magra y mineralización ósea, destacando las relaciones entre ellos y la eficiencia del protocolo de ER. La investigación de las informaciones fue hecha en bases de datos académicos de acceso abierto, usando los indexadores “BMC/BMD” combinados con fuerza muscular, composición corporal y ejercicios resistidos. Los resultados mostraron cambios en el CMO/DMO en 72% de los estudios publicados en el último decenio. Entre estos, 77% recomendaron cargas ≥ 80% 1 RM, 61% involucraron a persona mayores (>60 años) y 61% tenían protocolos de planificación de entre 3 y 5 meses (~12-20 semanas).
INTRODUCTION

Bone mineral content (BMC) is a component of body composition, structuring the fat-free mass (IGM) when associated with lean mass (musculature and viscera). Bone mineral density (BMD), in turn, reflects the integrity of the bone tissue, being an indication of the structural remodeling capacity and, therefore, an index of the risk of propensity to the pathologies and lesions associated with the tissue. At present, the loss of bone tissue integrity by the reduction of the mineral mass does not has been associated only with the aging process or hormonal disorders, but also with the reduction of fat mass (FM) and lean mass (LM), due to dietary interventions for body weight loss and sedentary lifestyle, respectively.

In general terms, resistance or resistive types of physical exercise tends to induce BMD changes due to mechanical stress on the bones, evidencing the exercise potential in the maintenance of BMC/BMD with aging. The role of aerobic exercise at moderate intensity (ie: walking) is to induce BMC/BMD changes by increasing the gravitational load on the skeleton. However, the results show small effective changes and speculate that higher intensity exercises would bring greater benefits to prevention/treatment of disorders associated with BMD reduction, although there are no conclusive studies on the exercise intensity most suitable. In turn, resistance exercise has prescriptions that are effective for the regulation of BMD, which includes exercises with high loads: 12 to 15 RMs or 70-80% 1RM.

Although the relationship between muscle strength and BMC/BMD has been evidenced, it is assumed that between young, active, or athletic individuals with intact bone health, increased strength does not play a decisive role to further increments in bone structures. This assumption is in line with the demonstration of the existence of a setpoint, in addition to which the mechanical stimulus does not result in gains in bone mass. This setpoint, in turn, is one of the main foundations of the “mechanostat” theory, which governs the Utah paradigm for skeletal physiology, relating mechanical stress to bone remodeling, within a limit of normality for mass/density, which has been used to justify the lack of cause and effect relationship between strength and/or MM associations to BMC/BMD of adult individuals enrolled in regular sports training programs.

Thus, although muscle strength and LM are considered as dominant mechanical stimuli, BMC/BMD are also related to other non-mechanical stimuli (metabolic or hormonal), which modulate both bone and muscle metabolism, or simply modulate responsiveness of bone tissue (BMC/BMD variations) to mechanical stress. Therefore, this systematic review aims to describe the fundamentals of the cause-effect relationship between the patterns of changes in LM and muscle strength with variations of BMC/BMD, sustaining the mechanical effect of resistance exercise as a modulating factor of the activation of the bone remodeling system, and able to adjust the balance for bone mass gain directly and independently of age, sex and bone health status.
strength, in any combination including BMC/BMD. Also, approaches involving strategies of food control and supplementation was admit.

Were adopt as exclusion criterion: (1) texts that did not addressed the effect of resistance exercise, (2) animal research and engineering trials, (3) texts outside the Sports Science area, clinical pathology and rehabilitation, or individuals under drug therapy, and (4) texts without English version.

RESULTS
A total of 39,147 references indexed by the BMC/BMD descriptors were sampled. Of these, 178 references met eligibility for addressing BMC/BMD in a context of intervention with resistive exercise in the last decade (Figure 1A). From the inclusion/exclusion criteria, 60 references were analyzed, of which 30% (18 studies) presented interventions with resistance training (RT), published in the last five (Table 1) or ten years (Table 2). Of these, 50% has training planning between 3-5 months (~12-20 weeks), and the others between 6 and 48 months. Regarding the characteristics of the population, 33% of these studies involved women and 38% involved a mixed population, and in 55% of the studies the participants was > 60 years old. Regarding the prescription of resistence exercise, ~78% used high intensity of load (≥ 80% 1RM and ≤ 8 maximum repetitions). The changes of BMC/BMD were observe in 72% of the 18 studies on Tables 1 and 2. In these studies, 77% prescribed loads ≥ 80% 1RM, 61% involved elderly (> 60 years) and 61% planned intervention between 3-5 months (~12-20 weeks). Only one study (5%) reported the presence of blacks among participants. Among the studies highlighted in Tables 1 and 2, approximately 61% applied only RT, and of these 82% observed changes of BMD/BMC together with changes of body composition and muscle strength. Those studying RE combined with aerobic exercise amounted 16.7%, of which 66.7% observed changes in BMD/BMC, body composition and muscle strength. Finally, the studies using RT combined with the impact exercise (jump and variations) are 22.2%, from which 75% showed improvements in BMD/BMC, body composition and muscle strength.

DISCUSSION
Age, Sex and bone remodeling: fundamental theoretical interrelationships with body composition and exercise

It has been observed that BMD reduction is positively associated with age, showing rates of 0.6%, 1.1% and 2.1% of loss, respectively, for the age groups between 60-69, 70-79 and ≥80 years.5 These changes often culminate with osteoporosis, but tend to be minimized by regular physical activity and maintenance of MG and LM patterns.5,56-58 When analyzing the association between aging, decline in physical activity, and BMC and LM reductions, Proctor et al.6 observed that between 20 and 80 years of age there is a trend of reduction in physical activity between 34-38% for women and men, while reduction in LM (18-17%) and BMC (16-30%).

In the study by Proctor et al.6 this decline was quantified. For these authors, BMC/BMD declines 30% between 20 and 80 years in women, but only 16% in this same age range among men. The reduction in LM is 18% and 17%, and physical activity is 34% and 38%, respectively among women and men in the same age range described above. That is, men tend to maintain a more stable LM-BMD ratio in fat-free mass composition when compared to women, but the mobility and vitality of men are more susceptible to BMC/BMD and LM reductions, impacting maintenance of physical activity levels more than in women.37

However, among women, after the menarche and with the predominance of estrogen secretion on growth and sex hormones, there is a stabilization of bone mineralization and LM, concomitant with the accumulation of FM, which is in line with the observation that young women tend to maintain healthy BMC/BMD levels with the increase of LM.39 Therefore, these authors conclude that the level of physical activity may be a potentially better factor than variations in sex hormones to explain the modulation of BMD in both regions exposed to body weight (i.e.: hip and spine), and those not exposed (i.e.: bone radio), since exercise is able to modify LM.

Table 1 - Resistance Training (RT) and bone mineral density response (BMD) intervention studies, over last 5 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>RT Protocol</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cunha et al. (2018)40</td>
<td>Whole-body BMD</td>
<td>RT: 1 set vs. 3 sets, 10-15 RM, 1-2 min. rest between sets, 3 weekly sessions for 12 weeks. Progression: 2-5% and 5-10% for UL and LL.</td>
<td>62 Women (osteosarcopenic) 680 ± 4.3 years</td>
<td>None of the ST protocols altered whole-body BMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huovinen et al. (2016)41</td>
<td>Hip, femoral neck e trochanteric BMD</td>
<td>RT: 3 sets, 8-15 repetitions, 50-85% 1RM, 3 weekly sessions for 16 weeks</td>
<td>37 Women between 68-78 years (low strength fitness)</td>
<td>Alteration of 6% hip BMD, by the change 10.7% inter-trochanteric BMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson et al. (2015)25</td>
<td>Whole-body, lumbar e femoral neck BMD</td>
<td>RT: 5 sets, 5 repetitions, 80-85% 1RM, 2 weekly sessions for ~32 weeks + impact exercises.</td>
<td>28 Women &gt; 60 years (T-score &lt; -1.0 hip/vertebrae)</td>
<td>Alteration of 0.3% and 1.6% BMD femoral neck and hip in group ST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villealet et al. (2017)42</td>
<td>Whole-body, lumbar e hip BMD</td>
<td>RT in progression of 1-3 sets, 65-85% 1RM, 3 weekly sessions for 26 weeks.</td>
<td>141 Men ~55%; and Women ~65% &gt; 65 years (~4% blacks e ~31% Hispanics)</td>
<td>Non-significant changes in BMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beavers et al. (2017)43</td>
<td>Lumbar, left hip, femoral neck e trochanter BMD</td>
<td>RT: 3 sets, 70% 1RM, 10 repetitions, 3 weekly sessions for ~20 weeks.</td>
<td>123 (Men ~33% and Women ~67%) 65-79 years (~81% white), osteopenia in ~53%</td>
<td>Alteration of hip and femoral neck BMD in ST group + Diet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen et al. (2017)44</td>
<td>Arms, legs, vertebrae e pelvis BMD</td>
<td>RT: 1 set, ~100 repetitions (4-5 min. exercise), 20% 1RM, 2 weekly sessions for 12 weeks + 1 session for another 12 weeks.</td>
<td>20 (Men e 14 Women) between 28-65 years</td>
<td>Alteration of BMD: 4% arms, 8% legs, 6% pelvis e 4% vertebrae.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunes et al. (2017)45</td>
<td>Whole-body, lumbar, hip e femoral neck BMD</td>
<td>RT: 2 weekly sessions with 8-10 RM (rest: 1 min) + 1 session 3-5 RM (rest: 2 min.) cu 13-15 RM (rest 45s), for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>35 Men &gt; 60 years</td>
<td>Alterations in lumbar and hip BMD in the group without supplementation of vitamins C + E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolam et al. (2015)46</td>
<td>Lumbar, hip e femoral neck BMD</td>
<td>RT: 2 sets, 12 repetitions with 60% 1RM, 2 weekly sessions + 2 sessions of impact exercises (different volumes) for ~36 weeks.</td>
<td>42 Men ≥ 50 years</td>
<td>Non-significant BMD changes in relation to the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinton et al. (2015)47</td>
<td>Whole-body, lumbar e hip BMD</td>
<td>RT: 6-week cycles, progressing every two weeks: 3-5 sets, 3-10 repetitions, 50-90% 1RM, 2 weekly sessions, for 12 months.</td>
<td>38 Men between 25-60 years</td>
<td>Alteration in whole-body (0.6%), lumbar (1.3%) and hip (0.8%) BMD in 6 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunha et al. (2015)48</td>
<td>Whole-body, arms, legs e trunk BMC</td>
<td>RT: 3 sets, 15 repetitions with 60% 1RM, 3 weekly sessions for 12 weeks. Load progression (70-80% 1RM, 6-12 repetitions), every 4 weeks.</td>
<td>18 Boys aged 10-12 years</td>
<td>Changes in whole-body, arms, legs and trunk BMC with greater effect in the ST group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosti et al. (2014)49</td>
<td>Lumbar and hip BMD</td>
<td>RT: 4 sets, 3-5 repetitions with 85-90% 1RM (rest: 2-3 min.), 3 weekly sessions for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>29 Women 18 - 27 years</td>
<td>Alterations in lumbar and hip BMD of 2.2% and 1%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence of the association between body composition and bone remodeling

It is well established that indicators of body constitution, such as LM and FM (total or regional), are relevant factors in the prevention of disorders of bone metabolism, including pathologies such as osteoporosis.50–52 Admittedly, LM exerts a mechanical stimulus capable of favoring bone mineralization, while FM seems to exert an indirect influence by the modulation of hormones such as estrogen, leptin and insulin, which stimulate the deposition of minerals in the bone.53–55

Proctor et al.56 showed high and significant correlations between MM and BMC for males (r=0.77) and females (r=0.74). The study by Lee et al.1 corroborated this association, concluding that MM is a significant and independent determinant of total and regional bone mineral mass, presenting low coefficients (r<0.5) but significant (p<0.01) for associations between appendicular mass (kg) and total BMD, pelvic and forearm. These associations between body composition and bone mineral mass were also observed by Makovey et al.57 in populations of both sexes in different age groups. According to the results of these authors, both LM and FM positively influence BMC, with explanatory potential of 52% for the variances between LM and BMC and of 20% between FM and BMC. Among older women, non-obese, with and without osteoporosis, both LM and FM try to associate with BMC (r=0.379, p<0.001) and BMC at the same region, due to hormonal and mechanical stimulus delivered by greater muscle mass activity.58 However, this regionalization of the stimulus is more evident among men than women. In the study of Guimarães et al.59, involving young adult women, the total body LM variable showed higher associations (r = 0.55 to 0.93) with total and regional BMC/BMD (i.e., lower and upper limbs, hip, and thoracic and lumbar vertebrae) than the upper limb LM (r = 0.57 to 0.88) and lower limbs (r = 0.53 to 0.87). Although the effect of regional variables on body composition was not analyzed by Chaves et al.,60 the authors had already observed the potential of total body MM in determining variations in femoral colony BMC (r = 0.44) among elderly women (60–70 years), leading the authors to emphasize the benefit of musculature to postmenopausal bone tissue.

On the other hand, among men, Guimarães et al.61 observed a greater potential of regional LM in determining BMC/BMD of specific body sites. This potential highlights the importance of LM of lower and upper limbs that show associations both to local (LM vs. BMC/BMD from the same region, with r = 0.70 to 0.86) and remote body regions (LM vs. BMC/BMD from different body regions, ranging from 0.60 to 0.83).

In addition, Xiang et al.62 demonstrated that BMC for the whole-body, or specific regions such as the spine and hip, is positively influenced by physical activity and mediated by the variation of total LM and FM in the body, regardless of age and height, including the postmenopausal phase, when compared to those women with lower indexes of these parameters and in the same phases of life. These authors concluded, therefore, that LM is a mediator with effect between 19% and 48% on variations of BMC of the whole body and regions like spine and hip, in addition to being associated positively (0.112 to 0.759) with physical activity. In relation to NG, these authors describe a negative effect (-0.524 to -0.940) on BMC variations (22% to 33%), when mediated by the level of physical activity. Therefore, these authors suggest that postmenopausal women are at risk for the development of osteoporosis when they have low levels of physical activity.

Evidence of the association between muscle strength and bone remodeling

Strength and muscle mass have been considered as mechanical stimuli with a dominant effect on bone mass (BMC) or bone density...
(BMD) variations, and related to other non-mechanical stimuli (metabolic or hormonal), able to modulate not only bone metabolism and muscle, but also the responsiveness of bone tissue to mechanical stress, especially among young and adults of both sexes.16-19,41

For Matsui et al.51 the reduction of knee extensor strength is associated with femoral colon osteopenia between 763 males and 476 females, ranging in age from 40 to 81 years, in a 6-year longitudinal study. These authors also demonstrated that participants who already had osteopenia in the lumbar vertebrae developed osteoporosis with reduced strength of knee extensors, but the effect was significant only among men. Thus, the authors concluded that quadriceps strength should be developed to avoid the loss of local bone mass (by direct action of mechanical stress on the bone) or remote (by the systemic action of the physical activity on the bone metabolism).

Regarding the type of exercise, Guimarães et al.40 observed local and remote associations between maximal strength in multi-articular resistance exercises and BMD among men of university age (~ 25 years). According to these authors, the strength for large upper limb muscles (right and upper-right supine muscles) are not only good indicators of upper limb BMC/BMD (r ~ 0.57 to 0.72), but also BMC/BMD of lower limbs and sites susceptible to osteoporosis as bones of the hip region (r ~ 0.36 to 0.47). These authors also demonstrated that the strength for lower limb muscles (leg-press 45°) also exhibits local effect (BMC/BMD of lower limb, r ~ 0.42 to 0.46) and remote (BMC/BMD upper limb and body, r = 0.35 to 0.60), but with reduced potential relative to the upper limbs. Among females, maximal strength in mono and multi-articular exercises for lower limbs (i.e.: extensor chair and leg press 45°) were better indicators associated to BMC/BMD, both for the region engaged in the exercise (local effect, r = 0.67 to 0.78) and for others regions of the body, as well as, for whole body (remote effect, r = 0.53 to 0.85), when compared to associations evidenced for maximal strength in upper limbs (i.e: bench press), with local effect only, but not negligible (r = 0.75 to 0.84).41 In addition, the change in leg-press and knee extension muscle strength were associated to changes in femoral BMD (r = 0.37 0.46 p.<0.01) for people of different ages and both sexes.52

Effects of resistance training on body composition, muscle strength and bone remodeling

Resistance training has recognized prescriptions able to modulate bone mineralization, which is recommend to be performed with high loads, two to three sets per exercise for three times a week for 4 to 12 months, with load intensity ranging from 50 to 80% of 1-RM, and engaging upper-limbs (MS) and lower-limbs (ML) to achieve an increase of BMD up to 3.8%, or prevented significant reductions (~ 2.5%), when compared to no-exercise persons.11,14,22,33

A classic example of this potential for RT is the study of Nichols-Richardson et al.14, involving young women in unilateral, high-load training program for a period of 5 months, in which improvements in BMD were observed in different body regions for both trained and non-trained body segment, highlighting the changes of whole-body BMD (+ 0.4% control and + 0.6% trained) and BMD in femur (0.5% control and 1.2% trained). However, it is not all the studies that show changes in BMC and BMD due to resistance exercise. For Chilibeck et al.53, a 20-week RT for 30-year-old women was sufficient to increase muscle strength (by ~ 70%), LM (by ~ 10%), but BMC and BMD for whole-body and different body regions have not changed. Neither in the study by Fujimura et al.54 were changes found in BMC or BMD for whole-body or specific body regions after a 4-month RT program planned with loads between 60-80% 1RM.

On the other hand, among premenopausal women (35-45 years), the study of Winter-Stone55 investigated an increase in femoral BMD in groups trained for 12 months with: (a) high-impact exercises for lower limbs, and (b) high-impact exercises for lower limbs combined with resisted upper limb exercise. Among postmenopausal women at risk of osteoporosis, there was an increase in body and femoral BMD after a 11-month training program involving impact (staircase: 60 to 85% maximal heart rate) and contractile tension stimulus (load intensity referring to 8 to 12-RMs)32. Among middle-aged men (54-61 years), Huuskonen et al.56 observed an increase in femoral BMD in 3.8%, after 4 months of resistance exercises planned with three weekly sessions and load between 5 and 15 RM.

The studies highlighted in Boards 1 and 2 provide updated results corroborating the effects of RT on bone remodeling. Studies that showed a greater effect of RT on BMC/BMD reported changes between 6 and 8%12,22,32. These studies encompassed a varied population as to age, sex and training protocols, using only resistance exercises, but with different loading intensities, with the exception of the Petersen et al.24 study, which included exercise for cardiovascular endurance on a bicycle. For Petersen et al.24, the use of low loads (20% 1-RM) associated to the high number of repetitions showed similar effectiveness to other studies that employed intensity between 50-95% 1-RM.21,32. Additionally, the study of Almstedt et al.32 which analyzed the alterations by sex, indicated that among men the effects were more pronounced. For these authors, this could be explained to the differences in hormonal response, initial muscle strength level and food intake in men than women, making the response of BMC/BMD different from the stimulus of RT.

Even, it cannot be state that the effects of RT on BMC/BMD would vary according to the type of exercises and amount of muscle mass engaged in exercise, since only the study of Huovinen et al.21 used multi-joint exercises exclusively. Meanwhile, the studies of Petersen et al.24 and Almstedt et al.32 included only small muscle exercises in the protocol, as well as exercises for pelvic region. Indeed, training programs planned with exercises for the different body parts tend to be effectiveness for general conditioning proposes, with no risk to constraints bone remodeling of specific sites such as hip and lumbar vertebrae, for those were recommended to approach the specificity from impacting exercises (jumps and variations) and exercises for lower limbs (such as squatting and ground lifting).29

Another part of studies inserted in Board 1 and 2 observed positive effects of 1 to 2% in average for the changes of BMC/BMD, as it be from isolated RT protocols, or combined with impact or cardiovascular endurance exercises using weight-bearing exercises (running, for example). In these studies can be also highlight the fact that RT applied to the population of different age groups and both sexes obtained a similar magnitude of effect on BMC/BMD, no matter the protocol duration (12 to 24 months)35, the frequency (2 vs. 3 weekly sessions), the load (80 vs. 40% 1-RM)11, the schedule of training progression to alter overload (linear vs. ondulatory)34, or even the variation of series (2 vs. 3 series)20, and finally by the way to perform resistance exercise (circuit vs. tradicional)30.

It is also noted that the combination of impact exercises with RT for a period between 8 and 12 months did not demonstrated to be more effective strategy than the isolated RT to change BMC/BMD. For Hinton et al.27 the changes in whole-body and regional BMD in middle-aged men after resistive and impact exercise training were different from baseline, but similar between groups, with the exception of hip BMD that altered most with the RT. However, for Liang et al.33, no changes were observed between trained groups (resistance and impact) with control group, involving young adult women. Perhaps, the absence of changes on whole-body and regional BMD in the study of Liang et al.33 can be explained by the planned volume of jumps, which may interfere with the percentage of BMD changes in the hip and femoral trochanter, as observed by Bolam et al.26 in a population of middle-aged and elderly
men. Even because of the intensity of the load used in the RT, which requires loads between 80-85% 1-RM for multi-joint exercises in upper and lower limbs to significantly change the BMD of the lumbar vertebrae and femoral neck, according to the study of Watson et al.22

In fact, when reviewing the results of BMD from studies including women in per- and post-menopausal period (~50-75 years), planning interventions between 6 and 24 months and used impact exercises alone, or in combination to RT (example: 2-3 series, 6-8 repetitions at 70-80% 1-RM, coupled with step exercises or jump on boxes) were observed positive increments between 1% and 2% for the lumbar region and femoral neck.23,24 However, it was emphasized that RT (example: 2 sets, 8 repetitions at 80-100% 1-RM, and 2 weekly sessions) did not present the same effectiveness when employed alone, but it was enough to avoid reductions (increase ≤1% trained group vs. reductions of up to 2.5% control group).25,26

However, the biological importance of these small changes, or even the unchanged of BMC/BMD values in response to RT can be understood by the fact that men and women up to 50 years of age have a BMC/BMD decline rate of 0.3 to 1.1% per year, which increases considerably among women after 50 years.27,28 Furthermore, the majority of the studies highlighted in Tables 1 and 2 show moderate (~10%) to high (> 80%) changes of muscle strength in association to the low changes (~ 2 to 5%) in lean mass, being that sure for groups of elderly people of both sexes26,27,28.
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