Diagnosis and treatment of hyperfiltering blebs
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To report on a new treatment for hyperfiltering bleb as well as its diagnostic criteria. Methods: Twenty eyes with hypotony due to hyperfiltering bleb caused by trabeculectomy with mitomycin C were treated with bleb resection. The diagnosis of hyperfiltering bleb followed these criteria: intraocular pressure lower than six mmHg (Goldmann tonometer); no inflammation in the anterior segment; presence of an elevated and/or diffuse and avascular bleb with or without microcysts; negative Seidel test; no ciliochoroidal detachment found with ultrasound biomicroscopy. We registered the following data pre and post operatively: type of glaucoma, visual acuity, bleb aspect and fundoscopic findings. A successful resolution of hypotony was achieved when intraocular pressure ranged from six to 14 mmHg with or without antiglaucomatous medication. Results: At a minimum follow-up of 19 months ocular hypotony had been reversed in all eyes. At the last exam, intraocular pressure varied from eight to 14 mmHg in 18 (90%) eyes; 12 (66.7%) eyes had no medication and six (33.3%) used antiglaucomatous medication. In two (10%) eyes, another trabeculectomy was necessary to control intraocular pressure. Hypotonic maculopathy developed preoperatively in seven eyes and was reversed after bleb resection. Visual acuity improved in 15 (75%) eyes but did not change in five (25%). Conclusion: Bleb resection is a safe and adequate treatment for ocular hypotony due to hyperfiltering bleb. It also restores vision in a considerable number of patients. The diagnosis of hyperfiltering bleb must follow rigorous criteria.

Keywords: Trabeculectomy/adverse effects; Mitomycin/therapeutic use; Ocular hypotension; Treatment outcome.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Relatar os resultados de uma nova técnica para o tratamento de bolsa hiperfuncionante, assim como seus critérios diagnósticos. Métodos: Vinte olhos (20 pacientes) com hipotonia ocular causada por bolsa hiperfuncionante após trabeculectomia com mitomicina C foram tratados com ressecção da bolsa. O diagnóstico de bolsa hiperfuncionante obedeceu aos seguintes critérios: pressão intraocular inferior a seis mmHg (tonometria de Goldmann); ausência de inflamação do segmento anterior ocular; presença de bolsa fistulante elevada e/ou difusa e avascular com ou sem microcistos; teste de Seidel negativo; ausência de descolamento ciliocoroidal ao exame de UBM. Foram registrados no pré e pós-operatório: o tipo de glaucoma, a acuidade visual, o aspecto da bolsa e os achados oftalmoscópicos. A resolução da hipotonia foi alcançada quando a pressão intraocular variou de seis a 14 mmHg sem ou sob medicação antiglaucomatosa. Resultados: No seguimento mínimo de 19 meses, a hipotonia ocular foi revertida nos 20 olhos. No último exame, a pressão intraocular variou de oito a 14 mmHg em 18 (90%) olhos; 12 (66,7%) olhos sem medicação e seis (33,3%) olhos sob medicação tópica. Em dois (20%) olhos foi necessário nova trabeculectomia para controle da pressão intraocular. Maculopatia hipotônica foi diagnosticada no pré-operatório em sete olhos e foi revertida em todos eles após a ressecção da bolsa. A acuidade visual melhorou em 15 (75%) olhos e não se alterou em cinco (25%). Conclusão: A ressecção da bolsa é eficaz no tratamento da hipotonia ocular consequente a sua hiperfunção. Esse procedimento também recupera a visão num considerável número de pacientes. O diagnóstico de bolsa hiperfuncionante deve obedecer a critérios rigorosos.

Descritores: Trabeculectomia/efeitos adversos; Mitomicina/uso terapêutico; Hipotensão ocular; Resultado de tratamento

INTRODUCTION

Trabeculectomy has proved effective in reducing intraocular pressure (IOP) and delaying the progression of glaucoma1-4. This surgery can bring benefits such as improvement in quality of life resulting from the reduction or total discontinuation of medications. It may even lead to lower and safer IOP levels, particularly for patients in an advanced stage of glaucoma5. In cases of refractory glaucoma, antimetabolites are used to improve trabeculectomy results. However, antimetabolites can cause complications such as postoperative ocular hypotony, which may be associated with shallow or a flat anterior chamber, ciliochoroidal effusion, cataract and maculopathy6.

To date, the correct diagnosis and ideal treatment of hyperfiltering bleb have not been conclusively established.

Intrablend bulbar blood injection6-9, free conjunctival autografts10, free conjunctival patch11, fascia lata12, Nd:YAG laser13,14, compression suture15, and wide-diameter contact lenses16-18 have all been used to treat ocular hypotony with varied degrees of effectiveness, thereby proving a lack of consensus on this subject.

The surgical approach to hyperfiltering bleb dates back to the 1960s19-22. In 2004 we published a five-case pilot study of ocular hypotony23 in which criteria for the correct diagnosis of hyperfiltering bleb and its treatment were established.

The purposes of this study are: 1) to report results of treatment in a series of patients with ocular hypotony caused by hyperfiltering bleb who underwent bleb resection; 2) to substantiate criteria23 for the correct diagnosis of hyperfiltering bleb.

METHODS

Twenty eyes (20 patients) with ocular hypotony after trabeculectomy with mitomycin C (MMC) were diagnosed with hyperfiltering bleb (HB) after being examined by the same doctor (SC). Five eyes in this paper were included in a smaller pilot study previously published.23 This study was performed according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the Federal University of Minas Gerais. All patients were informed of the purposes of the study and gave informed consent. The diagnosis of HB was made with the following criteria: IOP lower than six mmHg23 in the anterior segment; elevated and/or diffuse and avascular bleb with or without microcysts (Figure 1A); negative Seidel test (absence of aqueous humour leakage in the Seidel test, even after slight compression of the ocular globe); no ciliochoroidal detachment (CCD) found on the ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) carried out in the superior, inferior, nasal and temporal quadrants. Once criteria had been fulfilled, we attempted to normalize the IOP with 1% atropine (one drop every 12 hours) and 0.1% dexamethasone (one drop every six hours) for at least 30 days24. As clinical treatment failed to reverse ocular hypotony, all patients were submitted to HB resection by the same surgeon (SC).
Surgical procedure

Each patient underwent peribulbar block anesthesia with 2% lidocaine (2 ml) and 0.75% bupivacaine (4 ml) associated with 0.1 ml of hyaluronidase 200 UI. After inserting a lid retractor, HB resection was performed by means of an incision using a #15 scalpel blade around the perimeter on the junction between the avascular bleb and the normal conjunctiva. Afterwards, the surgeon proceeded with a large fornix-based divulsion of the normal conjunctiva using blunt scissors. Finally, the healthy conjunctiva was anchored on the cornea’s periphery with four to five separate 10.0 nylon buried sutures (figure 1B). Patients were released with a patch after application of an antibiotic plus corticosteroid ointment. No complications occurred. Postoperatively, patients used an association of ofloxacin and 0.1% prednisolone (three to four drops a day until the disappearance of inflammation). Sutures were removed under topical anesthesia at a slit-lamp one month after surgery. A successful resolution of hypotony was achieved when IOP ranged from six to 14 mmHg with or without antiglaucomatous medication. Only patients with a minimum 19-months postoperative follow-up were included. We registered: the operated hypotonic eye, age, gender, race, glaucoma type, the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), IOP, number of prior antiglaucomatous surgeries, including the latest one in

Figure 1: (Patient 1) A - Elevated and avascular bleb (HB) following trabeculectomy with MMC; B - Aspect of the bleb (10th postoperative day) following HB resection

Figure 2: A - Hypotonic maculopathy (streaks and choroidal folds) (patient 1) demonstrated by retinography; B - OCT image showing the aspect of a hypotonic maculopathy (patient 12); Note the severity of retinal and choroidal folds and the increase of retinal thickness
which MMC was used, bleb aspect, the Seidel test result, fundoscopic and UBM findings, medications used after surgery and complications.

**RESULTS**

Fifteen (75%) patients were male and five (25%) female. Thirteen (65%) patients were mulatto and seven (35%), white. The average age was 35.5±19.03 years (six to 85). Patients had the following types of glaucoma: congenital glaucoma: seven (35%) eyes; open-angle glaucoma: six (30%) eyes; traumatic glaucoma: three (15%) eyes; uveitic glaucoma: two (10%) eyes; late-onset congenital glaucoma: one (5%) eye and pigmentary glaucoma: one (5%) eye.

Preoperatively, the BCVA in hypotonic eyes was ≥0.05 in six (30%) eyes and e’0.05 in 14 (70%) eyes (Table 1). The average preoperative IOP was 1.28±1.1

**Table 1**

Demographic and clinical data of 20 hypotonic eyes before and after HB resection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient #/Eye</th>
<th>Age/Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Glaucoma</th>
<th>BCVA before</th>
<th>BCVA after</th>
<th>IOPb before</th>
<th>IOP after</th>
<th>Fundoscopy before</th>
<th>Fundoscopy after</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/LE</td>
<td>21/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Uveitic</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>12 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/RE</td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>Inviable</td>
<td>C/D=0.8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/RE</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 (WM)</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/LE</td>
<td>50/F</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/LE</td>
<td>11/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>CF 0.5 m</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 (WM)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/LE</td>
<td>10/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>CF 1 m</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 (W/O)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/RE</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/LE</td>
<td>75/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (WM)</td>
<td>Invisible</td>
<td>(after TREC)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/LE</td>
<td>30/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>CF 0.3 m</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>10 (WM)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/RE</td>
<td>15/M</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (W/O)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/RE</td>
<td>13/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/RE</td>
<td>22/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Late-onset</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/LE</td>
<td>38/F</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Pigmentary</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>12 (W/O)</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/LE</td>
<td>73/M</td>
<td>Mullato</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/LE</td>
<td>50/M</td>
<td>Mullato</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>C/D=0.9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/RE</td>
<td>12/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>8 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/RE</td>
<td>66/F</td>
<td>Mullato</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (WM)</td>
<td>C/D=0.8</td>
<td>C/D=0.8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/LE</td>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>Uveitic</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>12 (WM)</td>
<td>C/D=1.0</td>
<td>C/D=1.0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/RE</td>
<td>85/F</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>Hypot mac</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/LE</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>10 (W/O)</td>
<td>C/D=1.0</td>
<td>C/D=1.0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HB: hyperfiltering bleb; BCVA: best corrected visual acuity; IOP: intraocular pressure; RE: right eye; LE: left eye; M: male; F: female; Hypot mac: hypotonic maculopathy; LP: light perception; HM: hand movements; W/O: without medication; WM: with medication; CF: counting fingers; C/D: cup/disc ratio; m: meter; POAG: primary open angle glaucoma; TREC: trabeculectomy

Figure 3: Elevated and slightly anemic bleb 91 months after HB resection (patient 1); Note the healed conjunctiva over the periphery of the cornea
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Complete removal of conjunctiva, followed by resuturing or reinforcing the sclera flap.

In this paper, diagnosis of ocular hypotony was not based on the surgeon’s clinical judgment. We considered only patients whose ocular hypotony was caused by HB based on definitive criteria. Other authors have mistakenly reported a positive Seidel test as an indication of HB causing ocular hypotony. In our opinion, they commit a grave error since that HB is a combination of factors.

It is important to point out that 10 (50%) out of 20 patients in this study were young (ranging from six to 25 years old). Young patients are known to be refractory to trabeculectomy without MMC. However, they seem to be refractory to trabeculectomy with MMC in other hospitals.

This study confirms, through the analysis of a great number of patients, the criteria we established for correct diagnosis of HB. In a recent study which reported the outcomes of surgical bleb revision due to complications of trabeculectomy, diagnosis of hypotony was based on the surgeon’s clinical judgment. The eyes varied combinations of symptoms and signs and the authors did not perform UBM for the diagnosis of hypotony without leakage. Revision surgery consisted of conjunctival dissection with or without excision of the conjunctiva, followed by resuturing or reinforcing the sclera flap.

In this paper, diagnosis of ocular hypotony was not based on the surgeon’s clinical judgment. We considered only patients whose ocular hypotony was caused by HB based on definitive criteria. Other authors have mistakenly reported a positive Seidel test as an indication of HB causing ocular hypotony. In our opinion, they commit a grave error since that HB is only diagnosed with a negative Seidel test.

Hyperfiltering bleb is one cause of ocular hypotony after trabeculectomy with MMC. It is characterized by an elevated and/or diffuse and avascular bleb, a negative Seidel test, no anterior chamber inflammation and no signs of CCD seen on the UBM.

The cause of ocular hypotony due to HB is controversial. In our opinion, a combination of factors exists. A reduction of aqueous humor is possible due to the toxic effects of MMC on ciliary processes along with HB. In relation to the diagnosis of ocular hypotony, we must acknowledge that hyperfiltration is subclinical. Hyperfiltering bleb causing ocular hypotony after trabeculectomy with MMC can only be diagnosed with certainty after other causes of hypotony have been eliminated, such as: a positive Seidel test (aqueous humor leakage through the bleb), presence of inflammatory signs in the anterior chamber (anterior uveitis) and CCD detected by UBM.

This study confirms, through the analysis of a great number of patients, the criteria we established for correct diagnosis of HB. In a recent study which reported the outcomes of surgical bleb revision due to complications of trabeculectomy, diagnosis of hypotony was based on the surgeon’s clinical judgment. The eyes varied combinations of symptoms and signs and the authors did not perform UBM for the diagnosis of hypotony without leakage. Revision surgery consisted of conjunctival dissection with or without excision of the conjunctiva, followed by resuturing or reinforcing the sclera flap.

In this paper, diagnosis of ocular hypotony was not based on the surgeon’s clinical judgment. We considered only patients whose ocular hypotony was caused by HB based on definitive criteria. Other authors have mistakenly reported a positive Seidel test as an indication of HB causing ocular hypotony. In our opinion, they commit a grave error since that HB is only diagnosed with a negative Seidel test.
more prone to ocular hypotony and other serious retinal complications when MMC is used, particularly hypotonic maculopathy, as was seen in patients 1, 5, 7, 12 and 16. In one paper in which nine patients had undergone primary trabeculectomy with MMC, the authors reported chronic hypotonic maculopathy in five (55.6%) eyes. The patients were relatively young, two were 18 years old in a group where the average age was 33.2. This average is higher than that of the five young patients with hypotonic maculopathy in this study. The prevalence of hypotonic maculopathy was very high if taken into account the fact that, except for one (patient 12), the patients had refractory glaucoma. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find other alternatives for the treatment of refractory glaucoma in young patients. We suggest that MMC not be used for primary trabeculectomy in children with congenital glaucoma nor in young patients with late-onset congenital glaucoma or open-angle glaucoma. If MMC is indispensable, minimum concentrations should be used for a short period of time and placed far from the scleral flap as Khaw established. The patients’ follow-up must be done on a regular basis in order that complications be detected in adequate time.

The present study demonstrates that HB resection yields satisfactory results in cases of ocular hypotony caused by hyperfiltration. The other options for treatment of ocular hypotony due to other causes, such as conjunctival patch, fascia lata patch, compression suture, or conjunctival advancement with bleb preservation have not presented the successful results we have obtained. This may be due to factors that are inherent to each technique but the failure of a correct diagnosis for HB is the most serious. Establishing the true cause of hypotony is indispensable for choosing the best procedure for its treatment. Hypotony may also be caused by aqueous humor leakage through the bleb (positive Seidel test), inflammatory reaction in the anterior chamber (anterior uveitis) and primary CCD. In the presence of a positive Seidel test, along with performing the bleb resection, it is necessary to attach a scleral patch on the place where the bleb was present in order to protect the newly forming bleb and thus prevent recurring leakage. Intense anterior chamber inflammation must be treated with cycloplegic (1% atropine, one drop every 8 hours) associated with the use of corticosteroid (0.1% dexamethasone or betamethasone or 1% prednisolone one drop every 6 hours) and, sometimes, systemic corticosteroid. If a primary or secondary CCD is diagnosed through UBM and conventional clinical treatment fails, we can try to suture the ciliary body or drain the suprachoroidal fluid while simultaneously injecting a viscoelastic substance into the anterior chamber. An HB resection provides elimination of the very thin and necrotic conjunctiva and restoration of the bleb with potentially healthy tissue (figure 2). This reverses ocular hypotony and is completely different from what occurs when the conjunctival advancement technique is used to preserve the bleb.

Hypotonic maculopathy was reversed in all seven patients (table 1). It must be made clear that the reversion of choroidal folds and maculopathy due to ocular hypotony is fully dependent on the correct diagnosis and adequate treatment of its cause. This occurred in patients 1, 4, 7, 12 and 19 at three, four, two, three and two months respectively after the diagnosis. On the other hand, in patients 5 and 16 who had a long time of hypotonic maculopathy (more than two years in the former and one year and six months in the latter), the IOP was normalized by HB resection. However, there was little improvement in BCVA of the patient 5 and the BCVA of the patient 16 remained the same despite the reversion of maculopathy. These patients also had nystagmus and amblyopia. Therefore, at the last examination only three out of the eight patients with congenital glaucoma had normal or nearly normal visual acuity. This may be due not only to functional changes (nystagmus, amblyopia, etc.) resulting from the refractory nature of congenital glaucoma, but also because of the delay of procedures for the reversion of ocular hypotony (four years for patient 2; five years for patient; two years for patient 5 and one year and six months for patient 16). However, patients 6, 11 and 12 (congenital glaucoma), for whom the time span between the diagnosis of ocular hypotony and the resection of the HB was respectively six, four and three months, presented a significant BCVA improvement. Patient 8 also had substantial eyesight improvement after a phacoemulsification and implantation of an intraocular posterior chamber lens.

The chorioretinal changes in ocular hypotony can sometimes occur rapidly, unlike what takes place in the development of open-angle glaucoma. The amount of time of the presence of ocular hypotony may be responsible for a bad prognosis.

**CONCLUSION**

Bleb resection is an effective and safe procedure, capable of reversing ocular hypotony caused by HB. It also restores vision in a considerable number of patients. The diagnosis of HB must follow rigorous criteria. The results of this study substantiate the criteria for the correct diagnosis of a HB after trabeculectomy with mitomicin C.
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