Microscopic analysis of opacification in Ioflex® hydrophilic acrylic intraocular lenses
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ABSTRACT

Five cases of intraocular lens (IOL) opacification in patients implanted with the Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL are described. Clinical data in each case was obtained from the patient’s medical record. The five explanted IOLs underwent gross and light microscopic analysis. Selected lenses were processed for further evaluation and multiple sagittal cuts were stained with the alizarin red and von Kossa methods. Light microscope analysis confirmed the presence of deposits on and within the lens, which stained positive for calcium. The reason why calcification has occurred in these cases remains unclear, but surgeons should be aware of this potential late postoperative complication.
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RESUMO

Cinco casos de opacificação de lente intraocular (LIO) em pacientes implantados com a LIO Ioflex® da Mediphacos são descritos. Os dados clínicos dos pacientes foram obtidos a partir dos prontuários médicos. As cinco LIOs explantadas foram enviadas para análise macroscópica e sob microscopia óptica. Algumas lentes foram processadas para análise adicional e múltiplos cortes sagitais foram corados pelos métodos de vermelho de alizarina e von Kossa. A análise sob microscopia óptica confirmou a presença de depósitos na superfície e no corpo da lente, que coraram positivamente para cálcio. A razão pela qual a calcificação ocorreu nestes casos permanece obscura. Entretanto, cirurgiões devem estar atentos para esta potencial complicação tardia.

Descritores: Lentes intraoculares; Implante de lente intraocular; Reoperação; Remoção de dispositivo; Microscopia; Relatos de casos

¹Fundação Altino Ventura – FAV – Recife (PE), Brazil; ²Hospital de Olhos de Pernambuco – HOPE – Recife (PE), Brazil; ³Department of Refractive Surgery, Cole Eye Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland (OH), USA; ⁴Intermountain Ocular Research Center, John A. Moran Eye Center, University of Utah, Salt Lake City (UT), USA.

No author has a financial or proprietary interest in any material or method mentioned.

Financial support: none.

Recebido para publicação em 29/08/2011 - Aceito para publicação em 18/04/2012
INTRODUCTION

Postoperative optic opacification is the leading cause of explantation of hydrophilic acrylic intraocular lens (IOL)(1). The Mediphacos Ioflex® (Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil) IOL is a foldable hydrophilic acrylic single-piece IOL with an optic diameter of 5.7 mm and an overall diameter of 12.5 mm. The material used for the lens manufacture is a copolymer of poly-hydroxyethylmethacrylate with 25% water content. It was first introduced in the market in 2001. No case of IOL opacification has been reported in patients with this lens in articles published in scientific journals indexed in PubMed and SciELO (keywords searched: Ioflex, lens opacification, lens calcification). Although few hydrophilic acrylic IOL designs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be used in the United States(2), they are largely commercialized in other countries.

The purpose of this study was to report the microscopic analysis of five cases of Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL opacification. All IOLs were explanted due to significant visual impairment.

This study was approved by the Altino Ventura Foundation Ethics Committee and conducted at the Altino Ventura Foundation.

Case reports

Case 1

A 72-year-old female had an uneventful phacoemulsification with implantation of Mediphacos Ioflex® IOLs in the right eye (Lot G0718092) on August 2007 and in the left eye (Lot H0731101) on November 2007. The patient had a medical history of hypertension. She presented 29 months after the surgery on the right eye with a decrease in best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in OD from 20/25 on the fifteenth postoperative day evaluation to 20/100. Examination revealed haziness of the IOL (Figure 1). The anterior chamber was quiet, with no signs of inflammation. Her fundus exam was normal. Neodymium:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser treatment was ineffective in removing the opacification from the lens. The IOL was explanted 31 months postoperatively and a hydrophobic acrylic Type 7B (Alcon, Inc.) IOL was implanted in the capsular bag. There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications. The patient’s final BCVA on the right eye was 20/30. The BCVA of OS after phacoemulsification was always 20/20.

Case 2

An 86-year-old male with vascular hypertension was submitted to an uneventful phacoemulsification with implantation of Mediphacos Ioflex® IOLs in the capsular bag of the right eye (Lot C0715102) in August 2007 and of the left eye (Lot J0729351) in December 2007. On evaluation on the thirtieth postoperative day of the surgery in the left eye, his BCVA in OD was 20/30. In the left eye, his BCVA in OD was 20/30 and in OS was 20/25.

Thirty-three months later he returned referring a progressive decrease in the left eye’s visual acuity. He presented with a 20/200 BCVA in this eye and 20/30 in OD. On examination IOL’s optic and posterior capsule opacification was noted. The eye had no inflammatory signs. A posterior capsulotomy was done with Nd:YAG laser, without vision improvement. The IOL was explanted 35 months postoperatively. A dense fibrous tissue was connecting the lens haptics to the bag. The haptics were cut to facilitate IOL’s removal, the optic was explanted successfully and the haptics were left in the eye. An anterior vitrectomy was done and a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) OP-72 (Mediphacos, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) IOL was implanted in the sulcus. There were no intraoperative complications. On the first postoperative day, the eye had mild inflammatory signs and the IOL was centered. Postoperative outcome evolved with secondary glaucoma treated medically, later requiring trabeculectomy. One month after this procedure, BCVA in the left eye was 20/400 and the IOP was 10 mm Hg.

Case 3

In September 2007 a 53-year-old female with severe non-proliferative diabetic and hypertensive retinopathy was submitted to phacoemulsification with uneventful implantation of a Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL in the right eye (Lot H0731101). There were no intraoperative complications. At the moment of the surgery, the patient had hard exudates in the macula and few microaneurysms in the right eye. In the left eye she had mild nuleosclerosis. Pan-retinal photocoagulation and an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide were done in the right eye three and two years prior to cataract surgery, respectively.

One month after phacoemulsification she presented with hard exudates in the macula and clinically significant macular edema. She was submitted to grid pho-
tocoagulation. Her uncorrected vision after the laser was 20/60. Two months later a mild fibroglial proliferation was observed superiorly to the optic nerve and there were hard exudates temporally to the macula. Superior retinal photocoagulation and focal laser were done in OR.

Twenty-two months after surgery, her uncorrected visual acuity in the right eye was 20/30. She had no macular edema or macular exudates. However, thirty months postoperatively she presented with an uncorrected vision on the right eye of 20/150. Biomicroscopy revealed IOL opacification. The patient was submitted to a Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy, but her uncorrected visual acuity did not improve and one month later had decreased to 20/400.

The IOL was explanted seven months later. A dense fibrous tissue was connecting the lens haptics to the bag. The haptics were cut, the optic was removed successfully and the haptics were left in the eye. A posterior vitrectomy was done. A hydrophobic acrylic Type 7B (Alcon, Inc.) IOL was implanted in the ciliary sulcus.

Two months after IOL exchange, the uncorrected and corrected visual acuity in the right eye was 20/60. During fundus exam, macular edema was noted.

**Case 4**

A 79-year-old female had an uneventful phacoemulsification with implantation of a Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL in the capsular bag of the left eye (Lot H0731101) on December 2007. The patient had a medical history of hypertension and diabetes, and an ocular history of glaucoma (cup-to-disc ratio of 0.9 OU), controlled with timolol maleate 0.5% and travoprost 0.004%.

She presented 33 months later with a decrease in BCVA from 20/30 on the thirtieth postoperative day evaluation to counting fingers at 4 meters. Biomicroscopy revealed IOL opacification. The eye had no signs of inflam-
The IOL was explanted 39 months postoperatively and a hydrophobic acrylic Type 7B (Alcon, Inc.) IOL was implanted in the capsular bag. There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications. The patient’s BCVA 1 month after IOL exchange on the left eye was 20/80.

**Case 5**

A 78-year-old male was submitted to a phacoemulsification with uneventful implantation of a Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL in the right eye (Lot J0726349) on september 2007 and in the left eye (Lot G0726242) on october 2007. The patient had a medical history of hypertension and diabetes. There were no intraoperative or immediate postoperative complications. His fundus exam was normal.

He presented 30 months after the surgery in the left eye with a decrease in this eye’s BCVA from 20/20 on the thirtieth postoperative day evaluation to 20/150. BCVA in the right eye was preserved. Ocular examination only revealed haziness on the left IOL’s surfaces. Vision did not improve after Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. The IOL in the left eye was explanted 41 months postoperatively and a PMMA OP-72 (Mediphacos, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) IOL was implanted in the sulcus. This eye’s final BCVA was 20/30.

**Laboratory findings**

The explanted lenses were sent to the Intermountain Ocular Research Center (John A. Moran Eye Center, University of Utah, USA) in the dry state. Each lens underwent gross examination and light microscopy. Gross analyses were performed and photographs were taken for documentation using a Cyber-shot DSC-F707 (Sony, CA, USA). Light microscopy was performed using a BX40 light microscope (Olympus, Japan) and photomicrographs were taken with a DP20 digital camera (Olympus, Japan) attached to the light microscope.

Gross examination of the explanted IOLs showed a whitish discoloration of the specimens. Microscopic examination showed dense deposits forming an almost continuous crust, mostly on the anterior surface of the optic component. Confluent deposits were arranged in convoluted irregular areas forming a “cerebriform” pattern. Small granular deposits were observed in areas outside of the crust. The deposits were most confluent along linear areas. Multiple, small, granular deposits were also generally observed within the optic and haptics of the lenses, close to the surface. Some peripheral areas of the optic were relatively clear of surface and substance deposits/granules (Figures 2, 3 and 4).

In case 1, pits, corresponding to Nd:YAG laser application, were observed in the optic component. In case 3, there were brown deposits on the lens surface consistent with iris pigments.

Selected lenses underwent further examination. Two sagittal cuts were performed at the optic component of the lenses in cases 1 and 4 to obtain an optical cylinder. In case 1, the cylinder was processed and multiple sagittal cuts were stained with the von Kossa method for calcium. In case 4, the cylinder and the remainder of the lens were
directly stained with alizarin red for calcium.

Analysis of the sections obtained from the lens in case 1 under the light microscope confirmed the presence of calcium deposits on and within the lens, which stained dark brown with the von Kossa method. Analysis of the optical cylinder of the lens in case 4 showed the calcium deposits stained in red by the alizarin red. They were present on the surface of the lens and close to the surface, at different depths within the optic component (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

IOL calcification is a sight-threatening complication of lens implantation. Nd:YAG laser treatment is ineffective in removing the calcified deposits from the lenses, as seen in most of our cases. The only effective treatment to restore vision is explantation and exchange of the calcified IOL.

Calcification on the surface and in the substance of the lens in hydrophilic acrylic IOLs has been well documented. However, to the best of our knowledge this is the first peer-reviewed report on opacification of the Mediphacos Ioflex® IOL. A previous study analyzing an opacified hydrophilic acrylic AcquaSense® (Ophthalmic Innovative International, USA) IOL described the presence of calcium deposits on the surface and within the substance of the IOL optic and haptics. Similarly to this and other studies regarding hydrophilic lenses, microscopic examination of the five Ioflex® IOLs revealed that the opacification was due to calcium granular deposits on the surface and within the optic and haptics of the lenses. Two histochemical methods for calcium detection were used in these cases, and both of them yielded positive results, confirming the calcified nature of the deposits. The deposits were most confluent along linear areas, probably corresponding to marks caused by forceps during the folding process.

Calcification of hydrophilic acrylic lenses seems to have a multifactorial origin. Factors related to IOL manufacture and packaging, surgical techniques, adjuvants, as well as patient metabolic and ocular conditions, may be involved. The formation of calcium deposits seems to depend both on the material of the IOL and on the local chemical microenvironment of the aqueous humor. Groh et al. described a possible association between IOL calcification and the metabolic disturbances in diabetes. The level of phosphorus in the aqueous humor of diabetic patients, particularly those with proliferative diabetic retinopathy, is significantly higher than normal individuals, which may lead to opacification of hydrophilic acrylic IOLs. A previous study reported bilateral hydrophilic IOL opacification in a diabetic patient. In the present study, case 3 had severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy and cases 4 and 5 also had diabetes. Interestingly enough, in case 5, only 1 of the lenses exhibited calcification. Both surgical implantations were performed within 1 month by the same surgeon, using the same solutions. This may suggest that local conditions of supersaturation, either in the vicinity of the surface of the IOLs or within their substance, may promote salts development by diffusion of calcium/phosphate ions, as suggested in the study by Gartaganis et al.

Additionally, all cases had arterial hypertension. Other studies have described IOL calcification in patients with hypertension. However, not all patients with IOL calcification have underlying systemic diseases and not all cases operated for bilateral cataracts with implantation of the same IOL type have bilateral lens opacification, as seen in cases 1, 2 and 5. The IOLs in each of these cases came from different lots, which might have had different susceptibilities to develop the complication. Previous papers have described IOL calcification in patients with ocular diseases, such as uveitis and asteroid hialosis (this latter in relation to silicone IOLs). Besides diabetic and hypertensive retinopathy in case 3, none of our cases had other past ocular inflammatory diseases.

The crystalline deposition on IOLs can be divided into two general time frames: intraoperative or shortly postoperative versus late postoperative. Our patients had late postoperative IOL calcification. The mean period between phacoemulsification and patient presentation with decreased vision was 31 months, with minimum being 29 and maximum 33 months. The literature shows that this mean period varies from 16.4 to 35.3 months, depending on the case series.

When comparing the visual acuity before and after IOL opacification, we noticed that all patients lost more than three Snellen lines in visual acuity. In a previous study of 12 patients with calcified IOL, twenty percent of the patients lost more than three Snellen lines in visual acuity, 46.7% lost less than three Snellen lines in visual acuity and 13.3% maintained the same visual acuity. In case 3, during a one-month period the uncorrected visual acuity decreased from 20/150 to 20/400 on the right eye. This demonstrates the progressive nature of the process of calcification in the Ioflex® lenses, which was also previously described in another hydrophilic IOL.

The mean period between first surgery and explantation of lenses was 36.8 months, with minimum being 31 and maximum 41. After explantation of the opacified IOL and implantation of a new lens, four of our cases gained more than three Snellen lines of visual acuity and one lost a line. The patient who lost a line had secondary glaucoma after the IOL exchange. In another study from Brazil, one of twelve patients who had IOL explantation due to calcification exchanged with a PMMA IOL lost more than 3 Snellen lines of visual acuity. He had a decompensation of proliferative diabetic retinopathy and neovascular glaucoma.

There were no intraoperative complications in all cases presented. In cases 2 and 3, a dense fibrous tissue was connecting the haptics of the lens to the bag. In these cases, to avoid complications, the haptics were cut and only the optic of the lens was removed, as previously described in other studies. Yu et al. reported poste-
rior capsule rupture and zonule dehiscence during ex-
plantation of opacified IOLs, which were adequately
managed, not affecting visual recovery\(^1\).

In the postoperative period of the opacified IOL
explantation one of our cases had a secondary glaucoma,
with an increase in IOP that was only controlled with a
trabeculectomy. This patient’s second IOL was placed
in the sulcus. Former studies have described other postop-
erative complications, such as posterior capsule opaci-
fication, ciliary macular edema, retinal detachment, cho-
roidal hemorrhage and endophthalmitis\(^{11,34}\).

Although hydrophilic acrylic IOLs have higher
uveal biocompatibility resulting in less postoperative
inflammation than other IOLs\(^{18}\), potential complications
such as late opacification have to be considered. From
2006 to 2007, we placed approximately 4,000 Ioflex\(^\text{®}\)
lenses. To date, opacification occurred in only five cases.

On May 10, 2011, Mediphacos sent a report to the
Brazilian Society of Laser and Surgery in Oph-
thalmology (http://www.bloss.com.br/site/default.aspx)
summarizing their investigation on the problem of
Ioflex\(^\text{®}\) calcification. According to the manufacturer,
they started receiving sporadic and isolated reports
on Ioflex\(^\text{®}\) opacification starting in 2009, related to
some lots distributed between 2007 and 2009 (Lots
starting with: A09, A10, B09, C09, D09, E09, F09,
G09, H08, H09, I08, I09, J08, J09, K08, K09, L08, L09).Surface analysis apparently confirmed the presence
of calcium/phosphate precipitation, as well as the pres-
ence of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) on the surfaces
of the analyzed lenses. PDMS was found to come from
the packaging of these IOL lots, manufactured during
the period in question. A study by Guan et al. has al-
ready demonstrated a possible role of silicone com-
ounds interacting with long-chain saturated fatty ac-
ids present in the aqueous humor (myristic, palmitic,
stearic, arachidic, and behenic) on the calcification
process of the Hydroview IOL\(^{19}\).

Mediphacos withdrew the lenses from these lots from
the market and the packaging was changed. However,
the opacified lenses from our patients are from different lots.
Thus, the presence of PDMS in the packaging of some lots
does not explain the lens opacification in our patients.

In conclusion, this is the first report on opacifica-
tion of the Mediphacos Ioflex\(^\text{®}\) IOL describing micro-
scopic findings. The opacification of the five lenses was
due to calcium deposits. Although this IOL should not be
discredited based on the occurrence of these calcifi-
cation cases, surgeons should be aware of this potential late
postoperative complication and further investigation on
the causes of opacification is needed.
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