Efficacy of three different methods for side port incision wound sealing
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate wound leakage and bacteria-sized particle influx in differently corneal sealed side port incisions. Methods: Four 1.5mm tunnel squared incisions were created in each of four cadaveric human eyes. In each cornea, one incision was left unsealed, whereas the other three incisions were sealed using a 10-0 nylon suture, cyanoacrylate glue, or stromal hydration, respectively. A Seidel and an India ink test were performed on each eye. During each Seidel test, fluorescein was applied, the IOP increased from 15 to 80mmHg, and the IOP at which each incision started to leak recorded. During each India ink test, ink was placed on the eye and rinsed out with balanced salt solution (BSS). Ink penetration was then measured by planimetry at physiologic conditions and after an IOP plunge from 80mmHg to 0mmHg. Results: Regardless of IOP variations, no leakage or ink inflow was observed through the glued incisions. In contrast, leakage did occur in the other three sealing methods, albeit at significantly different IOP levels in each one (p=0.013). Ink inflow occurred in these sealing methods at physiologic IOP and, to a significantly greater extent, after the IOP challenge (p<0.05). At both of these IOP conditions, the differences in ink influx among these three incision-sealing methods were deemed statistically insignificant. Conclusion: This study showed that glue was more effective at preventing wound leakage and bacteria-sized particle influx than other commonly used methods especially hydrosealing.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar o sinal de Seidel positivo e a penetração de tinta da Índia em incisões corneanas acessórias seladas por diferentes métodos. Métodos: Quatro incisões de 1,5 x 1,5mm foram criadas em cada um dos quatro olhos provindos do banco de olhos. Em cada córnea, uma incisão foi mantida sem manipulação, enquanto que as outras três incisões foram seladas respectivamente com Nylon 10-0, cola de cianoacrilato e hidratação estromal. Foram realizados dois testes: Sinal de Seidel positivo e penetração da tinta da Índia. No primeiro, foi aplicado fluoresceína gotas e a pressão intraocular (PIO) elevada de 15 para 80mmHg. No segundo, a tinta da Índia foi aplicada sobre o olho estudado em duas situações: sob PIO fisiológica e sob variação súbita da pressão, de 80 para 0mmHg. Resultados: Na incisão selada com cola, apesar da variação da PIO, não houve vazamento e nem penetração de partículas de tinta. Por outro lado, o sinal de Seidel foi positivo nas outras três incisões em diferentes níveis de PIO (p=0,013). A penetração da tinta da Índia ocorreu nestas três incisões sob pressão fisiológica e com maior extensão após a variação da PIO (p<0,05). Esta diferença, no entanto não foi considerada estatisticamente significante quando comparadas as incisões entre si. Conclusão: No presente estudo, a cola foi mais eficaz em prevenir Seidel e entrada de partículas do que outro método comumente usado especialmente, hidratação estromal.

Descritores: Endoftalmite; Extração de catarata; Limbo da córnea; Pressão intraocular; Biomecânica

1The Wilmer Eye Institute, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA;
2Biostatistics Center, department of Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Study carried out at Wilmer Eye Institute, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

Received para publicação em 17/1/2013 - Aceito para publicação em 19/9/2013

INTRODUCTION

Cataract is the most common treatable cause of reduced visual acuity and blindness. About 1.7 million cataract surgeries are performed annually on Medicare beneficiaries. Furthermore, as the U.S. population ages, estimates indicate that by 2020, the number of people with visually significant cataracts will grow to approximately 30 million. Hypotony and elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) may follow uncomplicated cataract surgery. Hypotony was reported in patients evaluated in the immediate postoperative period after phacoemulsification. On the other hand, Coleman and Trockel showed that, in the cannulated eye of a conscious human subject, voluntary blinking resulted in pressure spikes of 10 mmHg whereas squeezing of the lids resulted in IOP as high as 80 mmHg.

Integrity of the surgical wound is a crucial factor in the prevention of postoperative infection. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the integrity of three different methods of port incision wound sealing in the cadaveric human eye model subjected to IOP fluctuation.

METHODS

Experimental Setting

Four non-operated cadaveric human eyes were acquired from Tissue Banks International, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. All eyes were stored at 4°C in a moist chamber. Each eye was placed over a styrofoam receptacle and pins were used to fix the remaining conjunctiva in four quadrants. A 25-gauge needle (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) was connected to a balanced saline solution (BSS) bag (Alcon laboratories, Fort Worth, TX) and inserted through the limbus at the 3 o’clock position, relative to the surgeon view, and parallel to the iris plane. A second 25-gauge needle was attached to a digital manometer (Sper Scientific Ltd., China) and inserted into the anterior chamber 180 degrees from the first needle. The BSS bag height was manually adjusted to maintain the IOP between 15 to 18 mmHg before the port incisions were made. Under microscopic imaging (OPMI VISU 200, Carl Zeiss Surgical, Inc., Germany), four side port incisions were performed in each eye. Incisions with a length of 1.5 mm were created with 15º knives (Feather Sterile Lightweight Micro Scalpels 15º, GF Healthy products, Inc., Atlanta, GA). A caliper was used to mark the tunnel length. All incisions were created approximately 1 mm away from the limbus and placed in different quadrants. One of the incisions was left unsealed, whereas the other incisions were sealed with a 10-0 nylon suture (Sharpoint, Surgical Specialties Corporation, Reading, PA), cyanoacrylate glue (Crazy glue, Elmer’s products, Inc., Columbus, OH) or by hydration with BSS, respectively.

Standardized Seidel Test (outflow)

After the wounds were sealed, a drop of fluorescein (Angiofluor™ Lite 10%, Alliance Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Richmond, TX) was applied over the corneal surface. IOP was raised from the preset 15-18 mmHg to 80 mmHg by increasing the infusion bottle’s height. The pressure at which each incision started to leak was recorded.

India ink test (inflow)

IOP was reset to 20 mmHg and drops of waterproof India ink (Parker Quink, Parker Pen Co., Janesville, WI) were applied to the corneal surface and spread over all incisions. The corneal surface was rinsed with BSS and cleaned using a Weck-Cel sponge to maximize incision visualization. Photographic documentation was obtained using a digital imaging system attached to the surgical microscope (Medilive 3 CCD Advance Digital Camera, Carl Zeiss Surgical, Inc., Germany). Drops of India ink was then reapplied to the cornea and the IOP increased to 80 mmHg and then abruptly decreased to 0 mmHg by decreasing the BSS bottle’s height. The ink was rinsed out once again and a second digital image was recorded (figure 1). The extent of India ink influx into the incisions was measured by planimetry using digital imaging software (AxioVision, Carl Zeiss, Inc., Germany).

Statistical Analysis

Multiple linear regression models with generalized estimating equations (GEE) and robust estimator of variances were used to compare the changes in the length of India ink ingress among the different incision types. The models used seven indicator variables for the incision types stratified into pre-IOP and post-IOP variation groups, and accounted for the correlation among the measures taken from the same eye. The log-rank test was used to compare the IOP levels at which the different types of incisions leaked. Nominally, two-sided p-values were used and were considered statistically significant if p<0.05. Data analysis was performed using STATA version 11.0 (Stata Statistical Software: College Station, TX 2010).

RESULTS

Seidel Test - Outflow

None of the wounds showed leakage at physiologic pressures. As the IOP was increased from physiologic pressure to 80 mmHg, leakage was first observed in the hydrosealed wound, followed by the sutureless wound, and lastly the sutured wound. None of the four incisions sealed with glue showed any observable leakage, regardless of the IOP. Thus, the data from the glued wounds was omitted in the statistical analysis. The log rank test showed that the IOP at which leaking occurred was significantly different among the three remaining sealing methods (p=0.013, table 1).

India ink Test

Inflow test at physiologic IOP

At physiologic IOP, the glued incision completely prevented India ink inflow. In contrast, inflow was observed in the other three incisions. India ink particles travelled the farthest in the sutured incisions (0.218 mm ± 0.129), followed by the sutureless (0.178 mm ± 0.053), and finally by the hydrosealed incisions (0.13 mm ± 0.019). However, the difference among these three groups was not statistically significant.
Surgical wound integrity is an important factor in the prevention of postoperative infection. A survey performed by Leaming in 2003, depicted the practice styles and preferences of ophthalmologists in the United States with regard to cataract incisions. Clear corneal incisions (CCIs) were adopted by 72% of respondents (6). Preference for sutureless wounds varied in accordance to surgical volume. 84% of physicians who perform 1 to 5 surgeries per month and 95% of physicians who do more than 51 cataract surgeries per month opted for sutureless wounds. Our study replicated postoperative IOP variations and suggests that these lead to a significant increase in the linear distance of bacteria-sized particle influx in sutureless incisions.

Side port incisions can be used alone, however they are typically used as auxiliary incisions to aid intraocular manipulation. Common incision sealing methods include suturing, hydrosealing, and gluing (8,11). Each of these methods has their respective advantages and disadvantages. Reported complications related to sutured wounds include: tissue distortion with subsequent astigmatism, focal abscesses, epithelial erosions, corneal ulcers, wound dehiscence, and giant papillary conjunctivitis (8,12,19). In a survey of members of the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery (ASCRS), Leaming showed that sutures were used in less than 15% of cases by 62% of physicians and in more than 26 of cases by 9% of participants (9). Interestingly, an experimental study performed by May et al. suggested that suturing a corneal incision might paradoxically increase the linear distance of influx by bacteria-sized particles (10). Our study, in congruence with May et al., resulted in an observed increase in the length of ink influx in sutured incisions in comparison to those left unsutured.

In our study, hydrosealing has been shown to seal cataract incisions more effectively than the sutured and sutureless methods. However, literature suggests that the stromal edema caused by hydrosealing lasts as little as 15 minutes in operated eyes and thus is considered a transient solution (13,17). Chee et al. performed intraoperative stromal hydration in an attempt to seal leaking incisions. However, they observed that if the incision was overly manipulated, leakage persisted regardless of the hydrosealing (9). One explanation for this vulnerability is due to non-intentional stripping of Descemet membrane detachment than without hydration (16). Furthermore, stromal hydration after an intraocular procedure has been reported to hide an incompetent valve (20).

The use of glue to seal corneal incisions is currently an active area in ophthalmologic research. A variety of sealants have been reported in the literature. Fibrin sealants are made of plasma derivatives and reproduce the end of the coagulation cascade. However, there is a concern regarding the risk of viral transmission due to their composition and preparation (8,21). Adhesives such as Biodendrimer (22), N-Butyl-2-Cyanoacrylate (23), and 2-Octyl-Cyanoacrylate (24) have been shown to be effective in wound sealing. However, it is suggested that these adhesive substances may cause adverse effects including increased inflammation, neovascularization, and foreign body sensation (25,26).

In our ex vivo study the glue-sealed incisions were successful in preventing both the outflow and influx of ink particles be-

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal type</th>
<th>IOP at which leaking was observed (mmHg)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glue</td>
<td>NL, NL, NL,NL</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutureless</td>
<td>70, 72, 72, NL(*)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroseal</td>
<td>78, 75, 72, 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture</td>
<td>76, 80, NL, NL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*)NL: No leak; P: value was calculated from the log-rank test comparing the sutureless, hydroseal and the suture methods

---

Figure 2: Changes in length of India ink inflow into the side port incision in three different wound sealing methods (sutureless, hydroseal and suture) before and after intraocular pressure challenge, in cadaveric human model.

---

**DISCUSSION**

Surgical wound integrity is an important factor in the prevention of postoperative infection. When leakage from a corneal incision is observed, the surgeon may elect to seal the wound. Common incision sealing methods include suturing, hydrosealing, and gluing (8,11). Each of these methods has their respective advantages and disadvantages.

Inflow test after IOP challenge

Subsequent to the IOP variation, the glue-sealed incisions remained completely resistant to India ink inflow and no particles were observed in the incision sites. On the other hand, India ink influx was observed among the other three incision-sealing methods and was significantly greater when compared to the same methods at physiologic IOP (figure 2). Among these, the highest ink penetration post-IOP challenge was observed in the hydrosealed (0.342mm ± 0.157) sutured (0.287mm ± 0.196) and sutureless incisions (0.262mm ± 0.107), respectively. However, this change in length of ink penetration was not statistically significant.

---

fore and after the IOP challenge. These results strengthen the study by Degorcija et al. that demonstrated the potential application of ocular adhesives in ophthalmologic procedures (20). Our study also showed that after the IOP challenge the hydrosolated, sutured, and sutureless incisions showed a significant increase in the length of India ink penetration when compared with values observed at physiologic IOP. In addition, this study suggests that a lack of leakage during the intraoperative period may not guarantee that the incision is resistant to the influx of bacteria-sized particles.

The current study has some inherent weaknesses that may affect its applicability to clinical practice. Firstly, the statistical power of our results is limited by the small sample size. Secondly, results obtained using cadaveric eyes cannot be directly applied to a live model. One reason is the fact that it is difficult to avoid corneal edema due to the lack of functioning endothelial cells. Thus, it is possible that our results do not accurately portray the efficacy of the studied sealing methods, especially for hydrosaling of the wound. Thirdly, there is no guarantee that the leaking patterns observed with varying IOP conditions in cadaveric eyes will resemble those of live eyes. Lastly, the corneal incisions studied in this experiment were not subjected to the repeated entry of surgical instrumentation and wound manipulation that tends to occur during cataract surgery. Future studies that take these considerations into account are necessary to verify the behavior of these different methods of corneal sealing.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study suggests that corneal hydration and other currently utilized sealing-methods may not be as effective as previously thought. According to our results, glue seems to confer the greatest resistance to leakage and bacteria-size particle influx.

**Grant in the acknowledgement:** This work supported in part by an unrestricted apart from Research to Prevent Blindness (New York, NY) Inc. to The Wilmer Eye Institute and by a non-restricted gift from Mr. Paul Peck

Data analyses support provided by grant #EY01765.

**REFERENCES**


**Author correspondence:** Fabiana Kimie Kashiwabuchi, MD
R. Independência, n° 3358
Zip Code 15010-110 – São José do Rio Preto (SP), Brazil
Phone: +55 (17) 981760100 – Fax: +55 (17) 3214-4452
Email: fabikimie@yahoo.com.br