Ocular biometry, mathematical estimation and spherical variation after facectomy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess ocular biometric parameters by optical biometry and to observe a possible spherical refractive difference, as well as its variation based on estimated preoperative calculation and the spherical refraction post cataract surgery by phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implant (IOL). Methods: After reviewing 252 electronic medical records between 2013 and 2014, 117 adult patients (189 eyes) were selected. The patients underwent phacoemulsification with foldable IOL implantation by the same surgeon and were examined by IOLMaster® 500. The IOL power was calculated using the Haigis formula. The Wilcoxon test was applied to identify the existence of significant differences (p<0.05) between the spherical expected refraction (SER) and the final spherical refraction (FSR) of the eyes. Results: There were operated 98 right eyes (OD) and 91 left (OS). A calculation of the variation between FSR and SER indicated that 55% of the OD reached results within ± 0.5 diopters (D) and 89% within ± 1D. With respect to OS, 46% achieved results within ± 0.5D and 78% within ± 1D. Conclusion: Optical biometry is a reliable, predictable and reproducible method to estimate the FSR of both eyes.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar os parâmetros biométricos oculares por meio da biometria óptica e observar uma possível diferença refratométrica esférica, assim como sua variação, baseada no cálculo pré-cirúrgico estimado e na refração esférica pós-cirurgia de catarata pela facoemulsificação com implante de lente intraocular (LIO). Métodos: Foram revisados 252 prontuários eletrônicos entre 2013 e 2014 dos quais foram selecionados 117 pacientes adultos (189 olhos) submetidos à facoemulsificação com implante de LIO dobrável pelo mesmo cirurgião e examinados através do IOLMaster® 500. O poder dióptrico da LIO foi calculado pela fórmula de Haigis. O teste de Wilcoxon foi empregado para testar a existência de diferença significativa (p<0,05) entre o grau esférico esperado (GEE) e o grau esférico final (GEF). Resultados: Foram operados 98 olhos direitos (OD) e 91 esquerdos (OE). Após calculada a variação entre o GEE e o GEF observou-se que 55% dos OD alcançaram resultados dentro de ± 0,5 dioptrias (D) e 89% dentro de ± 1D. Quanto ao OE, 46% alcançaram resultados dentro de ± 0,5D e 78% dentro de ± 1D. Conclusão: A biometria óptica pode ser utilizada como um método confiável, previsível e reprodutível para que seja estimado o GEF de ambos olhos.
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INTRODUCTION

Cataract is the name given to any opacity of crystalline that, despite being a major cause of blindness and visual impairment, not necessarily affect vision compromising labor activities laborais. It is the biggest cause of reversible blindness in Latin America and worldwide (50%), but out of the 45 million blind existing 40% are due to cataract. According to the WHO, the annual incidence of the disease is estimated to be 0.3%, which would represent in Brazil about 550,000 new cases of cataract by year, being characterized as a public health problem.

Cataract is a disease that is curable by restoring the vision of the person operated by a surgical procedure which requires only one intervention and that does not depend on the adhesion of the patient to the use of medication, named facectomia. This surgery to replace the opaque crystalline by a prosthesis called intraocular lens (IOL) can be performed by various techniques, the phacoemulsification being currently the most used one for intraocular lens implantation. With the precision and predictability of this technique, the surgical indication is made earlier, with the only problematic factor being to calculate the IOL, which explains the great importance of ocular biometry.

The ocular biometry is the exam to measure the axial length of the eyeball and its structures, essential for defining the origin of ametropies and composes one of the bases for the calculation of intraocular lenses (IOLs). The biometric accuracy, coupled to improved surgical technique and the development of IOLs, is what approaches the cataract surgery to the refractive procedure nowadays, since besides the cure it can also correct pre-existing ametropies.

Given the above, we aim to assess the ocular biometric parameters by optical biometry. It is possible to observe a possible spherical refractive difference, as well as its variation, based on the estimated pre-surgical calculation and the spherical refraction post facectomy surgery by the phacoemulsification technique with foldable intraocular lens implantation.

METHODS

This is an observational cross-sectional study approved by the ethics and Research Committee (CEP) of the Pontifical Catholic University of Goiás (PUC-Goiás). We reviewed 252 electronic medical records at the Hospital Ver in the city of Goiania in the years 2013 and 2014, of which 117 adult patients (total of 189 eyes) operated for cataract were selected. The sample was randomly selected from the total volume of patients undergoing facectomy with IOL implantation by the same surgeon.

The inclusion criteria were: patients operated at Hospital Ver above 18 years of age who underwent phacoemulsification with foldable IOL implant (brand B-Lens Hanita) by the same surgeon in the years 2013 and 2014, examined by optical biometry (IOLMaster® 500, Zeiss System) by the same ophthalmologist, and with no complications in the surgery.

Patients under 18 years of age, contact lens users, patients with eye diseases and/or previous systemic, and/or eyes which underwent ophthalmological surgery previously did not participate in this study.

Before starting the optical biometry examination with IOLMaster® 500, 1 drop of ophthalmic anesthetic solution (Proparacaine hydrochloride 5 mg/mL) was administered in each eye of the patient, and then 1 drop of ocular lubricant (Carmellose Sodium 5 mg/mL) to regularize the tear film.

The biometric formula used for the calculation of intraocular lens of all samples in this research was Haigis (4th generation).

The post surgical evaluation was 30 days after the cataract surgery, when all subjects in the research had the degree of spherical refraction measured by the same ophthalmologist.

The data from the medical records were typed in the software Microsoft Excel® and analyzed using the software package SPSS 21.0 (Statistical Package for Social Science Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) for Windows®.

The normality test of Kolmogorov Smirnov was used to evaluate if the continuous variables (number of lens, spherical degree expected, final spherical degree and difference between the expected and final spherical degrees) showed normal distribution. These variables were presented as mean, standard deviation, median and 95% confidence interval.

The Wilcoxon test was used to test the existence of significant difference between the spherical and the expected degrees (estimated by optical biometry with the IOLMaster® 500) and spherical degree in each eye after surgery.

All tests considered a 95% confidence level, meaning it was considered significant p < 0.05.

RESULTS

The sample was composed by a total of 117 patients with an average age of 68.02 years (SD ± 10.53), and 69 (59%) were female and 48 (41%) male. Of the 189 operated eyes of the sample, 98 (52%) were right eyes and 91 were left eyes (48%).

Of all continuous variables studied for both eyes just the final spherical degree of the left eye and the difference between the expected and final spherical degrees of the left eye showed normal distribution. The other variables did not show normal distribution, since they all had p < 0.05. (Table 1)

There was statistically significant difference in the comparison between the expected spherical degree and the final spherical degree in the right eye (p = 0.012), but not in the left eye (p = 0.259). (Table 2)

After calculated the variance between the expected spherical degree and the final spherical degree of the 189 eyes operated, we found that 55% of right eyes (50 eyes) achieved results within ± 0.5 D; 89% (81 eyes) achieved results within ± 1D and 97% (88 eyes) achieved results within ± 2D. As for the left eye, 46% (45 eyes) achieved results within ± 0.5D; 78% (76 eyes) achieved results within ± 1D and 96% (94 eyes) achieved results within ± 2D. (Figure 1)

DISCUSSION

Senile cataract has a higher incidence in the population over 50 years. It is the most common type of cataract, and a leading cause of demand of patients to ophthalmologist services to have their vision and quality of life restored. In the present study, the average age of the patients operated was 68.02 years (SD ± 10.53), which is consistent with the literature that describes
Table 1
Distribution of variables: number of lens, spherical degree expected, final degree expected and difference between the final and the expected spherical degrees of both eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>N.I</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. lens RE</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.4±4.1</td>
<td>21.0 (19.6-21.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. lens LE</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20.1±3.6</td>
<td>20.5 (19.4-20.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. sph. degree RE</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.09±0.22</td>
<td>0.12 (0.05-0.14)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. sph. degree LE</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.12±0.23</td>
<td>0.14 (0.08-0.17)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final sph. degree RE</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.31±0.75</td>
<td>0.25 (0.16-0.46)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final sph. degree LE</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.25±0.86</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07-0.43)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff. final and exp. sph. degrees RE</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.55±0.51</td>
<td>0.46 (0.45-0.65)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff. final and exp. sph. degrees LE</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-0.12±0.87</td>
<td>-0.16 (-0.30-0.87)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test: Kolmogorov Smirnov

Table 2
Comparison between the results of the expected spherical degree and the final spherical degree in the right and left eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Median (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp. sph. degree RE</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.12 (0.05-0.14)</td>
<td>0.012(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final sph. degree RE</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.25 (0.16-0.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. sph. degree LE</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.14 (0.08-0.17)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final sph. degree LE</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.86</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07-0.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test: Wilcoxon; *significant
Sph: spherical, Exp: expected, RE: right eye, LE: left eye

Figure 1: Distribution of eyes as to the percentage varying between the expected spherical degree and the final spherical degree within ± 0.5 diopters (D); ± 1D and ± 2
an estimated prevalence of 2.5% between 40 and 49 years, 6.8% between 50 and 59 years, 20% between 60 and 69 years, 42.8% between 70 and 79 years, and 68.3% in over 80 years.\(^{18}\)

During this research we followed the recommendations for good results in cataract surgery described in the literature:\(^{19}\) standardization of biometric equipment used for axial length measurement and keratometry, use of optical biometry (IOLMaster® 500), seamless facetectomy and with the foldable intraocular lens implant in the capsular bag (“in the bag”), appropriate use of the formula of 4th generation for the calculation of IOL and optimization of their constants.\(^{19}\)

After calculated the variance between the expected spherical degree and the final spherical degree of the sample, we found that 55% of right eyes achieved results within ± 0.5 D; 89% achieved results between 0 and ± 1D, and 97% achieved results between 0 and ± 2D. As for the left eye, 46% achieved results within ± 0.5D; 78% achieved results between 0 and ± 1D and 96% achieved results between 0 and ± 2D. (Figure 1) These data demonstrate good reproducibility and an acceptable index of reliability for the cataract surgery performed and for the biometry method used.

The guidelines of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists Cataract Surgery say that the most important thing in biometry is to achieve excellent results.\(^{20}\) They must be calculated by the biometric error prediction, that is, the difference between the equivalent final spherical degree and the equivalent spherical calculated expected (target refraction), which may be represented in terms of percentage of eyes with 0.5 to 1.0D of target refraction calculated expected (target refraction), that is, the difference between the equivalent final spherical degree and the equivalent spherical biometric error prediction, that is, the difference between the

The optical biometry may be used as a reliable, predictable and reproducible method, so that the final spherical degree of the patient is estimated. We need more high-impact epidemiological studies to corroborate the results found.
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