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ABSTRACT

Objective: To report the initial results from the use of a new technique for fixation of bone grafts in uncontained tibial bone defects in patients undergoing total knee prosthesis implantation. Methods: Six patients with severe varus deformity of the knee who, after cuts and ligament balancing had been performed, still presented bone deficiencies that reached the edge of the tibial cut and compromised the implant stability, underwent a new fixation technique. Results: Five of the patients had good clinical results, with integration of the graft within 12 weeks. One patient presented clinical complications with wound dehiscence and implant exposure, which evolved to the need for implant removal and knee arthrodesis. Conclusion: Support osteosynthesis as a graft fixation method is a viable option for treating tibial bone deficiencies. The proposed technique certainly needs further studies for its validation.
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INTRODUCTION

Bone defects, both in primary arthroplasty and in revision, are an important challenge for knee surgeons with regard to implant fixation, with the aim of establishing a stable and long-lasting bone-implant interface(1-6). Radiological evaluation using AP and lateral radiographs is known to underestimate the bone loss(2,3). The use of oblique radiographs and, possibly, axial computed tomography may be necessary(2).

The current methods for repairing such defects include the use of structural allografts, impaction of morselized bone grafts, autografts and fixation using screws or Kirschner wires, bone cement (in defects of up to 10 mm) and metal wedges added to the implant(3). Wedge use is well documented, and Brand et al(4) demonstrated that the incidence of radiolucency...
was up to 25% with wedge use, 3.5 years after the
operation. In the case of young patients and con-
tained defects, Cuckler preferred to use autografts
coming from the resected femoral condyles(4). Rein-
forcement with allografts is indicated in cases of large
bone defects, severe trauma and, most commonly,
 knee arthroscopy revisions(6,7). When the defect is
not excessively large, another option is to use an
autologous iliac graft, which is easy to obtain and
presents little morbidity(1,7).

The options for treating defects show problems
such as non-integration and/or migration of the graft,
cement fatigue and aseptic loosening of the pros-
thesis. So far, no ideal treatment for this relatively
common problem has been presented(8).

When the bone defect reaches the margin of the
plateau, a situation known as an uncontained bone
defect, there is a tendency for the graft to escape. In
these cases, the use of a containment belt seems to
be appropriate. This is the basis for our idea. This
is therefore a new proposal for treating such defi-
ciencies, through fixation of the graft using support
osteoosynthesis that acts as a containment belt, in or-
der to avoid expulsion of the graft to the periphery
of the defect.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

We reviewed the cases of six patients with bone
deficiencies of type T2A according to the AORI
classification. These patients had undergone total
primary knee arthroplasty performed by the senior
authors (CAJP and MKJ), between January 2007 and
February 2009, and had presented significant bone
loss, giving rise to an uncontained bone defect that
reached a significant fraction of the margin of the
tibial plateau. The patients were assessed using AP,
lateral and oblique radiographs of the knee (Figure 1),
along with a panoramic AP radiograph of the lower
limb, to evaluate the mechanical axis.

The early postoperative stage was conducted rou-
tinely, with the use of unfractionated heparin for 21
days and physical antithrombotic measures (elastic
stocking and physiotherapy). Return visits were ar-
 ranged for the first, fourth and eighth weeks after
the operation, and thereafter every two months, until
graft integration was achieved. Weight bearing was
limited to touching the ankles, until there were indi-
cations of bone integration.

Surgical technique

The transverse tibial incision for the defects of the
medial plateau was done with the aim of resecting as
much as possible of the bone of the medial plateau,
while still allowing good bone support at the sides,
so as to minimize the preexisting tibial defect that
was to be filled.

In the remaining defect, the eburnated bone was
revived using curettage and perforated many times
using a 2.5 mm drill bit. The receptor area was pre-
pared and then the bone graft that fitted into the de-
fect best was chosen. The graft chosen usually came
from the condyle on the side of the deepening, since
it would naturally be molded to the region. The graft
was reduced and repeatedly tested until it fitted ap-
propriately over the area of the defect. It was then
fixed provisionally using 0.6 mm Kirschner wires. If
any places were still not covered by the graft, these
were completed with spongy bone obtained from the
femoral and tibial incisions (Figures 2A and 2B).

After this provisional fixation, a one-third tubular
AO plate was molded, containing five to eight ori-
fices, depending on the size of the defect. The plate
needed to encompass the entire defect and enable
fixation with one to two anterior screws and one to
two posterior screws, so as to ensure graft stability
in the receptor area and avoid its shearing off to the periphery (Figures 2C and 2D).

**DISCUSSION**

Biological solutions have obvious advantages in relation to solutions using special implants, particularly for young patients who might subsequently require revision surgery\(^{1,4,9-12}\). Reconstruction using bone is a good option, regardless of whether it is autologous or homologous. However, the technique for making choices should take into account the patient’s activity, the extent of bone loss and the surgeon’s experience with the technique\(^{13}\).

In our view, the technique proposed here has the advantage of providing mechanical support for the graft that is placed in the bone defect, thereby avoiding its escape through failure of the edge of the tibial cortical bone. The access is simple and only requires sufficient detachment of the soft tissue from the edge of the bone to accommodate the plate. In closing the operation site, care needs to be taken to do a good repair on the soft tissue above the plate, involving the gastrocnemius, posterior capsule and popliteus, with greater exploration of the posterior structures, without significant additional devitalization.

Associating the osteosynthesis with graft placement may also diminish the bone resection in the tibial incision, through making it viable to place the graft when the cortical edge is absent (Figure 3).

The stability provided only by the Kirschner wires and screws was not shown to be sufficient after a careful manual test. Moreover, the anchorages for the screws are rarely secure, which motivated us to add a support plate with a containment belt.

**RESULTS**

The mean follow-up among the cases was 27.1 months (minimum of nine and maximum of 35 months). Among the six cases operated, we observed complications in one case. This patient presented advanced arthrosis, secondary to rheumatoid disease, and had not be able to walk over the past four years. After the operation, there was a problem of dehiscence of the surgical wound and necrosis of a large area of skin and subcutaneous tissue, which required surgical cleaning, a local flap from the medial gastrocnemius and several sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Even after all the rescue measures, the patient presented chronic infection and had to undergo implant removal in order to control the infection. We judged that this complication had not come from the graft fixation technique but mainly from the comorbidities and complications inherent to the severity of the case.

As radiological signs of graft integration became visible, total weight-bearing was progressively allowed. Full weight-bearing was generally achieved after six months.
CONCLUSION

We are aware of the limitations of our study, which was a limited series of cases. Except for a single poor result, which we ascribed to unfavorable patient-related preoperative factors, all the cases had good results. This allows us to recommend the technique described here.

However, a study with a greater number of cases and long-term follow-up is necessary in order to reach conclusions that are more secure.
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