A SIMPLIFIED WAY OF DETERMINING THE DIRECTION OF A SINGLE-CUT OSTEOTOMY TO CORRECT COMBINED ROTATIONAL AND ANGULAR DEFORMITIES OF LONG BONES
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ABSTRACT

The direction of the obliquity of the cut for performing single-cut osteotomy to correct combined angular and rotational deformities is difficult to determine. The appropriate obliquity, i.e. whether clockwise or anticlockwise in relation to the perpendicular to the bone axis, is usually determined through trials using plastic bone models to imitate the deformity, or on bananas, on which different simulations can be made. This is very confusing and difficult. In this study, we propose a table, with entries for angular and rotational deformities and the affected side. The correct obliquity of the cut in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis is directly indicated in the table. A step-by-step review of the preoperative planning of the single-cut osteotomy is also presented, with emphasis on the proposed contribution.
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INTRODUCTION

Correction of combined rotational and angular deformities can be done very elegantly by means of single-cut osteotomy, performed at the apex and in the plane of the deformity, through simply sliding the cut surfaces across each other. In this manner, maintenance of extensive contact between the osteotomy is achieved, which facilitates fixation and consolidation.[1-4]

The first report and the theoretical basis for this technique were presented by D’Aubigné and Deschamps[1], for proximal osteotomy of the femur, with the aim of making angular corrections together with rotational corrections.

Figure 1 shows an example simulating angular and rotational deformity of the femur in a plastic bone, which can be corrected by means of single-cut osteotomy (Figure 2).

Sangeorzan et al[5] determined the correct obliquity of the cut that would be required to enable simultaneous exact correction of the angular and rotational deformities, through simple alignment of the bone and sliding the osteotomy surfaces across each other. Through developing a mathematical formula and inputting different magnitudes of angular deformity and rotational deformity to be corrected, these authors constructed a diagram (Figure 3).

This diagram provides the angle of inclination of the cut in relation to the diaphyseal axis of the bone in the plane of the deformity. The zero “curve” (0°) on the graph, on the y axis, would correspond to a cut that was exactly perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis (Figure 3). A cut in this direction would only enable correction of a rotational deformity, though sliding the osteotomy surfaces across each other. It can also be seen from the graph that the greater the inclination of the cut is, the greater the angular correction and the smaller the rotational correction obtained will be.
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Consequently, the closer to transversal to the diaphyseal axis that the cut is made, the greater the rotational correction and the smaller the angular correction obtained will be, through sliding the cut surfaces across each other. The greater the inclination of the cut in relation to the plane transversal to the diaphyseal axis is, the greater the angular correction and the smaller the rotational correction will be. Therefore, there should be an ideal obliquity for the osteotomy cut, which would make it possible to simultaneously correct the two errors existing in a given patient, through simply sliding the osteotomy surfaces across each other and thereby rectifying the bone deformity.

However, the diagram described by Sangeorzan does not include one important parameter: the direction of the obliquity of the cut, i.e. whether it is clockwise or anticlockwise in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis, at the osteotomy site. If a wrong decision were made in this respect, thus inverting the obliquity, this would imply not only failure to correct the rotational error, but also in reality increasing it, even though it would still be possible to correct the angular deformity (Figure 4).

In the example shown in Figure 4, two models with the same deformity were cut using the same degree of obliquity, as indicated in Sangeorzan’s diagram(5), but in opposite directions. In both models, angular correction was possible. In the model in which the direction of the obliquity was right, the excessive anteversion was also corrected. On the other hand, in the model in which the obliquity was erroneously inverted, the anteversion was inadvertently increased.

The method generally recommended for determining the direction of the obliquity is to use bananas as models for the patient’s deformed bone. The natural angular deformity of the banana is positioned such that it is similar to the patient’s deformity, paying attention to the cardinal points (proximal/distal; medial/lateral)(6). A process of making clockwise and anticlockwise oblique cuts in relation to the long axis of the

---

**Figure 1** - Plastic bone imitating an angular deformity (A: varus; and B: antecuratum) and a rotational deformity (C: increased anteversion and internal rotational error) in a right femur.

**Figure 2** - The same model as in Figure 1, after correction using a single cut and sliding the cut surfaces across each other, with a cut performed using the correct obliquity. It can be seen that both deformities (angular and rotational) were corrected at the same time.

**Figure 3** – Sangeorzan’s diagram (from Sangeorzan et al.5). The true angular deformity (x axis) and the rotational deformity to be corrected (y axis) are plotted, thus obtaining curves corresponding to different cut obliquities. The curve that comes closest to the patient’s coordinates indicates the cut obliquity to be used.

**Figure 4** - In the example shown in Figure 4, two models with the same deformity were cut using the same degree of obliquity, as indicated in Sangeorzan’s diagram(5), but in opposite directions. In both models, angular correction was possible. In the model in which the direction of the obliquity was right, the excessive anteversion was also corrected. On the other hand, in the model in which the obliquity was erroneously inverted, the anteversion was inadvertently increased.
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banana is then begun, with careful observation of the direction in which the distal segment rotates when the curvature of the banana is corrected by sliding the cut surfaces across each other. The correct inclination is selected, i.e. the one that produces the correct direction of rotation needed to correct the patient’s erroneous rotation (internal or external, according to the case).

More recently, Meyer et al(7) developed a very complex instrument for determining the inclination of the cut. According to these authors, the reason why they developed their apparatus was that the “problem with calculated angles is to understand the orientation of the osteotomy plane, as the correct plane may be angulated either proximally or distally to the transverse plane and be oriented either clockwise or counterclockwise. This may be confusing and may promote errors since there is no uniform or standardized way for the look-up tables to address the sense of rotation or angulation”. Even with their geometrical instrument, it seems to be difficult to determine the direction of the cut, because the instrument needs to be sterilized and cannot be positioned close to the bone because of the soft tissues.

Christian(8) proposed that a three-dimensional model of the deformity should be created to simulate the two different possibilities, and to test the correction achieved through the calculated angles. This method implies that plastic bone models need to be available: these are previously sectioned at the level of the deformity, and a deformity similar to that of the patient is created in the process of joining the segments together with epoxy resin. An additional limiting factor is the difficulty in understanding the deformity presented by the patient in question and in reproducing this in the model.

The issue that we wanted to address in the present study was therefore: how can the correct direction for the obliquity of the single cut be determined? In other words, whether it should be made clockwise or anticlockwise in relation to the diaphyseal axis.

We also present a step-by-step guide to planning and carrying out this difficult osteotomy procedure, because we judge that the literature is insufficient in this respect. We believe that this systematized approach will greatly facilitate performing the osteotomy.

Preoperative planning for single-cut osteotomy

The preoperative planning for performing single-cut osteotomy includes six important steps.

The first step is to determine the true angular deformity and the plane of the deformity. For this, the rectangle rule is used(⁴).

The radiographic views generally used for assessing the deforming (i.e. anteroposterior (AP) and lateral views) correspond to projections in orthogonal planes of the true deformity. Thus, what is seen on radiographs is not the true deformity but, rather, projections of the deformity in orthogonal planes.

The sides of a rectangle can be regarded as pro-
jections of the diagonal onto orthogonal planes. By analogy, if a rectangle were constructed with sides of lengths proportional to the angles measured on the AP and lateral radiographs, the length of the diagonal would be proportional to the true deformity. Hence, a rectangle is constructed in which the sides are represented in units of length (cm, mm or inches), proportionally to the angles measured (in degrees) on the AP and lateral radiographic views. The length of the diagonal of the rectangle thus constructed is then measured, and this measurement of length is transformed into degrees, using the same conversion rule used for the sides of the rectangle. In this manner, the magnitude in degrees of the true angular deformity is obtained. In addition, the angles that the diagonal forms with the sides of rectangle thus constructed are measured: these are the angles that the plane of the deformity makes with the AP and lateral planes (Figure 5). These reference points are of great importance for correctly locating the plane of the deformity during the operation, given that the osteotomy has to be made along this plane.

The second step is to estimate the rotational error that is to be corrected. This is usually done from tomographic slices through the proximal and distal regions of the bone. The measurements thus obtained are compared with the opposite side, or with population-based angle data when the opposite side is also abnormal.

The third step is to determine the angle of obliquity of the osteotomy cut. For this, Sangeorzan’s diagram is used (Figure 1). The rotation to be corrected and the true angular deformity are plotted on the diagram and the curve that comes closest to the intersection of the coordinates is ascertained. The curve thus selected indicates the obliquity of the cut in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis, in the plane and at the apex of the deformity.

The fourth step is to determine the plane and apex of the deformity during the operation.

The plane of the deformity can be determined in two ways during the operation:
1) From the rectangle (Figure 5), as mentioned earlier, using the angles that the diagonal forms with each “plane” (AP and lateral). The plane of the deformity is then established during the operation, based on the angles measured in the rectangle;
2) It can be done much more easily, when possible, by observing the deformity on an image intensifier screen when the limb or the radius is rotated. There will be one position within this rotation, at which the deformity disappears from the screen. At this position, the radius is exactly in the plane of the deformity (Figure 6A).

The apex is the vertex of the deformity (the most salient point of the convexity) in the plane of the deformity. It is the center of the deformity. The osteotomy should be performed in the plane and at the apex of the deformity.

Also in the fourth step, a Kirschner wire is inserted at the apex and in the plane of the deformity (Figures 6A and B). It is used as a reference point for tracing out the osteotomy (Figure 6C) and also serves as a support for the saw blade at the time of performing the osteotomy.

The fifth step is to establish the direction of the obliquity of the cut, i.e. whether it is clockwise or anticlockwise in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis, at the apex of the deformity. This is the point at which the table that we have devised comes into action.
Table 1 was developed to answer the question of whether the osteotomy should be performed with its obliquity inclined in the clockwise or anticlockwise direction. This table was developed and validated by trial and error, using banana models, plastic models of bone deformities and cases operated previously. Through inputting the side affected and the rotational error to be corrected, Table 1 directly indicates the direction of the inclination that the path of the osteotomy should have, starting from the zero position (perpendicular to the axis of the bone, seen at the apex and in the plane of the deformity; Figure 6B). If the clockwise direction is indicated, the path should be inclined in the clockwise direction from the zero position.

The approach taken towards the deformity is generally through its convexity. Exceptionally, when the apex is in a difficult-to-access position, the approach taken may be through the concavity of the deformity. In the latter situation, the K wire is inserted in the plane of the deformity and at the center of the deformity, but through the concavity and seeking the apex, which is at the opposite side. The difference in this case is that the direction of the inclination (clockwise or anticlockwise) should evidently be the opposite of what is indicated in our table.

The sixth step is to trace out the path of the osteotomy during the operation. The perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis in the plane of the deformity and at the apex of the deformity is the zero reference point for determining the obliquity of the cut indicated in Sangeorzan’s diagram (5).

In the plane of the deformity (i.e. when the deformity disappears from the screen), a line is traced out on the bone, going along the K wire and inclined in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis, at the angle indicated by Sangeorzan’s diagram. Clockwise inclinations will be paths inclined in the clockwise direction in relation to the zero position (Figure 6C).

The osteotomy is then performed along the path traced out, using the K wire as the support for the saw blade.

The bone is aligned through alignment maneuvers and by sliding the cut surfaces across each other. Fixation is then performed using the method that is most appropriate for the case (intramedullary nail or plate).

**DISCUSSION**

The novel feature of the present study is the proposal for a table to determine the obliquity of the single cut. The decision regarding the angle of obliquity derived from estimates in accordance with the proposal of Sangeorzan (5) is straightforward. The difficulty is in deciding whether the obliquity should be clockwise or anticlockwise, in relation to the perpendicular to
the diaphyseal axis, as seen at the apex and in the plane of the deformity. A wrong decision in this respect implies increasing the rotational error, instead of correcting it.

Meyer et al. developed a complex geometrical tool with this purpose. However, they admitted that the “problem with calculated angles is to understand the orientation of the osteotomy plane, as the correct plane may be angulated either proximally or distally to the transverse plane and be oriented either clockwise or counterclockwise. This may be confusing and may promote errors since there is no uniform or standardized way for the look-up tables to address the sense of rotation or angulation”. The difficulty in acquiring the tool, the difficulty in understanding and using it, the sterilization process that is required and the difficulty in bringing it close to the bone at the time of the surgery are points of concern.

The ideal solution would be to have plastic models available for reproducing the deformity (prototypes constructed according to each patient’s deformity). Such models would be used to test different osteotomy procedures based on angles obtained from Sangeorzan’s diagram, with the aim of checking the correct direction for the obliquity. However, using these models is extremely costly and is inaccessible for the vast majority of surgeons.

The exercise using bananas requires great experience and a high level of spatial intelligence on the part of the surgeon, and has the potential to cause great confusion.

These are the reasons why we are proposing a table from which the information can be obtained easily and securely.

The table presented was validated by testing it on plastic models of deformed bone, on bananas and on cases operated previously, and also on cases operated more recently.

The possibility that the approach taken towards the deformity might have to be through the concavity, and consequent consideration of the side to be operated and its repercussions with regard to determining the direction of the obliquity of the cut, were not previously dealt with in the literature.

We believe that the table described here (Table 1) provides a simple and secure means of determining the small detail of whether the obliquity should be clockwise or anticlockwise in relation to the perpendicular to the diaphyseal axis, as seen at the apex and in the plane of the deformity. Nevertheless, this detail is of crucial importance for carrying out single-cut osteotomy to correct combined angular and rotational deformities.

CONCLUSION

We also believe that the step-by-step description of single-cut osteotomy, as described here, which has not been available in the literature, will simplify the planning and execution of this difficult procedure for those who wish to make use of this elegant and efficient manner of correcting mixed angular and rotational deformities.
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