ABSTRACT

Total knee arthroplasty complications related to the prosthetic material are very rare, except for polyethylene wear. We report the case of a 58-year-old woman who came to the emergency service of our hospital with a periprosthetic tibial fracture (Mayo Clinic type I). Careful examination showed that this fracture was concomitantly associated with a tibial stem fatigue fracture. The prosthesis and the stem were sent to an independent biomechanics laboratory for evaluation. A finite-element CAD system was used to make a reconstruction, so as to assess whether there had been any manufacturing defect and what the causes of the event might have been. After evaluation of several hypotheses, it was concluded that the fracture in the prosthetic material had been caused by overloading at the plate/stem transition zone secondary to previous bone failure (fracture). From the evaluation of this case, the need to make appropriate assessment of bone mineralization can again be emphasized. In cases of doubt, a longer stem should be used.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1984, Mendes et al described a baseplate fracture of the tibial component of total knee arthroplasty\(^1\), a case that is not unique in literature\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\), although in monoblock tibial components (base and single stem). However, due to market requirements, many companies sell modular tibial components with the base and tibial stem separate, with assembly executed upon deployment. These solutions produce an increase in the concentration of loads\(^5\) in the transition zone, which, according to manufacturers, is below the resistance limit of the material. Among periprosthetic bone fractures of the knee, the tibial fracture is equally rare\(^6\). This case reports a phenomenon of association of two different fractures (bone and metal) simultaneously in the same patient, a fact that to the best of our knowledge does not appear reported in literature.

CLINICAL FACT

A 58-year-old female patient, active agricultural laborer, was referred to the emergency service of our hospital. She had a history of varus deformity of the right knee, which had been progressing for about six months. She denied the presence of associated pain or any previous trauma. She walked with the aid of a Canadian crutch, as she understood that there was slackening of knee resistance force. In her medical history she referred to osteoarthrosis of the right knee and menopause at 45 years of age without the use of hormone replacement therapy. Total knee replacement had been performed two years previously at another hospital institution (Performance type total knee replacement, Biomet Europe) because of the knee arthrosis. Reducible varus of the right knee of 20° and a range of motion of 100° (100°-0°-0°) were reported in the physical examination.
A knee radiograph was taken at the same time (Figure 1) and the patient was admitted to our clinic with a diagnosis of Mayo Clinic type IB tibial peri-prosthetic fracture\(^3\). During surgical preparation and planning there was a more careful observation of the images, with detection of a tibial stem fracture at the tibial stem/baseplate transition (Figure 1). In view of these new data, the decision was made to conduct a review with deployment of total knee arthroplasty. In the perioperative period and after extraction of the tibial component the stem fracture was confirmed unequivocally (Figure 2). Both components and stems were replaced, and a tibial wedge (P.F.C. Sigma TC3 – Depuy Orthopaedics – Warsaw-IN) was included (Figure 3). The postoperative period elapsed without incidents.

**Analysis by the finite element method**

Various questions were brought up after the patient was treated:

- Was the stem fracture secondary to the bone fracture?
- Can the stem fracture have caused instability responsible for the bone fracture?

The extracted total knee replacement implant was sent to a biomechanics laboratory in an attempt to answer these questions. Two finite element models were then developed in order to quantify the tension forces in the stem in a model of the normal knee without deformity and in a model with varus deformity resembling that found in the patient, with the end of the stem in contact with the lateral tibial cortex, as observed in the radiograph (Figure 1). These models were planned taking into account the patient’s weight (80 kg), the tibial geometry and the geometry of the implants before the fracture as well.

The stress level observed in each one of the models was compared with the fatigue limit of the material that forms the stem and is supplied by the manufacturer. To build the finite element model according to the patient’s specificity, she underwent frontal and lateral radiographs and a computed tomography, with the presumptive model of the tibia before (Figure 4) and after the varus deformity having been built in a CAD model (Catia, Dassault Systèmes, France). As the arthroplasty was cemented, a cement mantle model was created. The set of 3D models created (bone, tibial component, cement) was converted automatically into a model of finite elements using CATIA software (Catia, Dassault Systèmes, France). The finite element mesh was built with 4-node elements. The properties of the cortical and spongy bone were calculated by means of tomography\(^7\). The properties of the arthroplasty and bone cement materials considered were provided by the manufacturers (Table 1), assuming that they are homogeneous, isotropic and with linear elasticity.

The number of elements of the evaluated set was 134,952 for the “normal alignment model” and 128,410 elements in the “model with varus deformity” (Figure 5). The nonlinear finite element analysis was carried out using the ABAQUS program (6.7-1) for
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Figure 2 – Total knee replacement with tibial stem fracture.

Figure 3 – Total knee replacement implanted.
CATIA V5 (Providence, USA). The cement-implant, implant-bone and implant-polyethylene insert interface zones were considered with a specific algorithm.

Two load simulations were executed to evaluate forces at the stem level. The first case was a load corresponding to 45% of the gait cycle on the load side just before impulsion by the hallux, with an axial force corresponding to three times the patient’s body weight (3 x 80 kg), distributed over the tibial plate asymmetrically (60% medial and 40% lateral), also considering in this configuration the forces exerted by the patellar tendon (Table 2) according to the patient’s weight (80 kg).

The second case was identical to the first, but with application of axial load only on the medial plate (simulation of severe case of varus deformity).

The von Mises stress forces (Figure 6) were evaluated in both cases. The applied stress forces can be observed in Figure 7, in both situations tested (before and after varus deformity observed upon admission to the emergency department). The maximum value of the von Mises stress forces before the varus deformity was 27.2MPa, having risen to 54.3MPa in the simulation of varus deformity. In both cases, the maximum value was found in the medial transition zone between the tibial baseplate and the stem.

The location found in the finite element model in the tibial stem corresponds to the fatigue fracture zone found in the arthroplasty stem implanted in the patient in question. However, in any of the simulations, the values reached were below the stress force limit of the titanium alloy used in the arthroplasty (160MPa in 10 million cycles).

However, it should be stressed that simple varus alignment did not alter the maximum tension zones, but doubled them instead. In addition, we should keep it in mind that the simulation did not consider, due to technical impossibility, loads in more extreme values with the varus deformity, namely in agricultural labor.

**DISCUSSION**

Tibial component stress fractures from total knee arthroplasty are very rare, as demonstrated by Chatterji et al(8), who described several possible causes. The same can be said of tibial periprosthetic bone fractures(9).

Scott et al(4) postulated that the varus implantation of the tibial component of a total knee arthroplasty increases the concentration of loads with their asymmetric distribution, and can cause a metal fatigue frac-

---

**Table 1** – Characteristics of materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Modulus of elasticity (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tibial baseplate and stem</td>
<td>Titanium 110</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene insert</td>
<td>Polyethylene 0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>PMMA 2.28</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** – Times considered.

| Force/time          | Designation     | Value  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial (MF) + (LF)</td>
<td>(60% medial + 40% lateral)</td>
<td>2,100 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal-external time</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>7 N.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar tendon</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>670 N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4** – (A) Total knee replacement with tibial fracture – (B) Perioperative – (C) At the laboratory.
ture. Also the implantation of the tibial component in external rotation was identified as responsible for excessive loads in tibial components, specifically in total condylar III knee\(^\text{10}\) arthroplasties, as well as in the polyethylene fitting zone\(^\text{5,11,12}\).

The work of Maquet\(^\text{13}\) demonstrated that, in the static position, varus knee deformity produces the exponential growth of loads transmitted to the medial tibial plate, not only through the increase of the lever arm but also through the decrease of the load-bearing surface. However, Johnson \textit{et al}\(^\text{14}\) and Harrington\(^\text{15}\) report that this increase is mainly in the orthostatic position, since during gait, there is a passage of the load-bearing surface to the medial zone, and, as such, the load increase is not as intense. The simulations with finite element models showed that a varus deformation increases stress values in the medial tibial plate \(1.7\) times below the fatigue resistance value of the bone structure involved, and can justify the strong association between tibial periprosthetic fracture and poor axial alignment\(^\text{16}\).

From the biomechanical point of view, the transition zone between the tibial stem/keel and the tibial baseplate constitutes a weak point with potential risk of fatigue fracture due to the concentration in this zone of all the load forces transmitted by the pros-
thesis, a situation exacerbated in cases of modular tibial components such as in applied arthroplasty. In this case, we went on to study the patient’s local conditions, paying attention to bone mass, weight and height of the patient as well as the type of arthroplasty implanted through the finite element method. Analysis by the finite element method is an engineering tool whose use is becoming increasingly frequent in the calculation and design of implants and that can become important in cases such as the one described here, as it allows us to simulate the local conditions of implantation of an arthroplasty, contributing to the understanding of possible causes of failure or mistakes committed. The tests showed that the zones of greatest stress are concordant with the alterations observed in the prosthesis removed from the patient, although the maximum load peak was lower than the fatigue limits of the material indicated by the manufacturer, both in the correct position and in the position presented in the patient’s initial assessment. However, it should be noted that the maximum peak of stress doubled from one position to the other, which leaves open the possibility that the patient may have reached the fatigue limits, since she was an agricultural laborer and this work entails intense efforts, and above all, very heavy loads and objects for transportation (sometimes between 50 and 100 kg). In the radiograph obtained upon admission to our hospital, it is not possible to identify poor initial alignment, and we were unable to obtain the immediate postoperative radiograph, but we believe that the varus positioning of the tibial component is secondary to the fracture that will have occurred first and was not identified. Since the patient continued to work and only noticed progressive varus deformity, the bone collapse may have permitted the varus positioning found on the date of admission to our unit, and, taking into account the patient’s type of work, the repeated loads changed significantly, having possibly arrived at or even surpassed the fatigue limit of the titanium alloy, secondarily provoking a fatigue fracture of the material.

Although the cause of a potential fracture risk cannot be attributed to the design of the arthroplasty, this case alerted our attention to this possibility in extreme situations such as the one described here, and was the basis of our decision not to use monoblock tibial plate having abandoned the modular option.

CONCLUSION

This type of case shows the need for clinical and radiographic control of patients for early detection of alterations such as that described.
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