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Tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis with retrograde intramedullary nailing: 29 patients’ clinical and functional evaluation
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate clinically and functionally the pos-operative results of patients submitted to tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis for the treatment of traumatic arthropathy and neuropathy.

Methods: Retrospective study of 29 patients undergoing ankle arthrodesis with intramedullary retrograde nail. All patients were evaluated for fusion time, AOFAS and VAS scores, satisfaction, and complications of surgery. The mean follow-up was 36 months (range 6–60 months).

Results: The union rate was 82%, and the consolidation occurred on average at 16 weeks (10–24 weeks). The pos-operative AOFAS score improved in 65.5% (average of 57.7 on neurological cases and 75.7 on cases post-traumatic) and VAS score improved 94.1% (average of 2.3 on neurological cases and 4.2 on post-traumatic cases), and 86% of patients were satisfied with the procedure performed. Complications occurred in 11 patients (38%), including pseudoarthrosis (17.24%), infection (17.24%), material failure (13.8%) and fracture (13.8%).

Conclusion: Tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis with retrograde intramedullary nail proved to be a good option for saving the ankle joint, with improvement of clinical and functional scores (AOFAS = 65.5% and VAS = 94.1%).

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Artrodese tibiotalocalcaneana com haste intramedular retrógrada: avaliação clínica e funcional de 29 pacientes

RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar clínica e funcionalmente o pós-operatório de pacientes submetidos à artrodese tibiotalocalcaneana para o tratamento das artropatias traumáticas e neurológicas do tornozelo.
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**Materials and methods**

This is a retrospective study with a convenience sample of 29 patients with arthrosis of ankle and subtalar joints by traumatic and neurological causes. The mean age was 41.3 years (13–72), and 15 patients (51.7%) were male and 14 (48.3%) females. Regarding etiology, 15 patients had post-traumatic arthropathy (55.2%), and in 13 (44.8%) the lesion had neurologic causes (Charcot arthropathy, sequelae of cerebral palsy and polio). The average follow-up time was 36 months (6–60) after the arthrodesis.

The surgical technique employed, from January 2005 to January 2011, was tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis with retrograde intramedullary nailing of the ankle. The surgical technique follows a protocol with the patient in the lateral position. By a lateral access port of 10 cm, an osteotomy is made at right angles to the resection of distal fibula. The joint surfaces of the talus and distal tibia are decorticated by this access. A medial access is used to facilitate joint debridement and placement of the talus and the medial malleolus. The surgeon removes minimal amounts of bone to prevent shortening of the limb. A medial access is used to facilitate joint debridement and placement of the talus, with the medial malleolus. To make the fixation with the intramedullary nail, the surgeon makes an incision at the junction of middle and distal thirds of the fat pad of the heel. The foot is held in the desired position; then the surgeon passes a guide wire through the calcaneus and the talus to reach the center of the tibia. The position is checked in the image intensifier and then the surgeon proceeds with the milling. Usually, we use the 12 mm-nail and the milling is done up to 11 mm. After the removal of the intramedullary guide wire, the locking screws are inserted percutaneously with the drill guide. We use two medial screws into the tibia for the proximal locking, and one screw into the talus and calcaneus for the distal locking. This procedure does not allow the Shank's dynamisation, because it results only in static locking. The procedures were performed by the surgery of the foot and ankle staff, who are members of the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hospital das Clínicas, Federal University of Goiás (UFG-DOT-HC). The study was approved by the ethics committee of the HC-UFG.

The patients were requested to fill the questionnaires of the American Orthopedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) and to a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) preoperatively. According to the AOFAS criteria, the patient can be classified with a poor (0–69), fair (70–80), good (80–90) or excellent (90–100) function. The VAS criterion classifies pain as absent (0), mild (1–3), moderate (4–6), high intensity (7–9) and intolerable (10). Patients classified as AOFAS’ poor function (less than 69) and severe
VAS (between eight and 10) were selected preoperatively for the arthrodesis procedure. After surgery and after six months of evolution, the same patients answered again to the questionnaires (AOFAS and VAS). Later, we divided the cases into two groups, according to the etiology of the arthrosis (traumatic or neurological) and evaluated the following variables: time for the consolidation, smoking habits (more than 20 cigarettes/day), patient satisfaction and post-operative complications. The joint fusion was assessed by radiographs of the ankle (anteroposterior [AP] and lateral views) (Fig. 3A and B) and have been considered as a pseudoarthrosis in cases in which there were no signs of bone healing and osseous trabeculation in the AP and lateral views up to six months (24 weeks) after surgery.

The statistical analysis was descriptive and analytical, using Fischer’s exact and chi-squared tests and comparing qualitative variables by frequency. The database is stored in the Microsoft Excel program and analyzed using SPSS version 15.0.

Results

In the evaluation of the AOFAS questionnaire in the post-operative period, we noted improved scores, when compared with the values in the preoperative period, since our findings were 34.5% poor (10 cases), 20.7% fair (six cases), 34.5% good (10 cases), and 10.3% excellent (three cases) (Table 1). When analyzed by etiology, the post-operative AOFAS for traumatic cases (mean 75.7) was 21.2% better vs. neurological cases (57.7) (Fig. 4).

Regarding the VAS questionnaire (Table 2), in the post-operative period we found 48.3% mild (14 cases), 44.8%
Table 1 – Comparison between AOFAS criteria in pre- and post-operative period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOFAS</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Post-operative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 – Comparison between AOFAS criteria post-operatively according to etiology (traumatic vs. neurological).

![Figure 4: Comparison between AOFAS criteria post-operatively according to etiology (traumatic vs. neurological).](image)

Fig. 5 – Post-operative complications of tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis.

![Figure 5: Post-operative complications of tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis.](image)

Discussion

The treatment of patients with arthritis, pain and deformity of the ankle and subtalar junction is still a challenge and is extremely difficult to get excellent results. The main surgical goals of the tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis are to reduce pain and promote a stable and plantigrade foot with good function for deambulation. This is a procedure with high risk of complications. However, in recent years the procedure has gained acceptance as an option for saving the tibiotarsal and subtalar joints (Table 3).

In the last decade, a number of studies reporting complications and high rates of nonunion (4–24%) was published. Nonunion is not uncommon, mainly in cases of surgical re-approaches, as previously reported by Kim et al. Chou et al. reported union in 86% of their patients with a mean of 19 weeks (12–65). Boer et al. published a minimum consolidation period of 12 weeks, with a mean of 20.4 weeks (12–72). Medicino et al. obtained 95% of fusions at approximately 4.1 months (17 weeks). Niinimäki et al. reported radiographic signals of fusion in 26 (76%) of 34 patients after 16 weeks. Pelton et al. reported 88% of fusion at a mean period of 3.7 months (16 weeks). Hammett et al. achieved complete fusion of the arthrodesis in 88.46% of their series of 52 patients in about four months (17 weeks). We obtained a fusion rate of 82.7% in our 29 patients at a mean time of 3.6 months (16 weeks) – findings similar to the average observed in the literature.

In 2007, Smith et al. prospectively analyzed AOFAS and VAS criteria in 10 patients. These authors found a significant increase of these criteria, with a preoperative AOFAS of 39 (range 14–51) that increased to 69 (range 51–91) post-operatively. VAS was also evaluated prospectively and ranged from 8.3 points in the preoperative period to 2 points after the operation. In our series of 29 cases, we also observed a favorable evolution for AOFAS and VAS criteria. The AOFAS of moderate (13 cases), and 6.9% severe (two cases) – an improvement of 94.1% (average of 2.3 in neurological cases and 4.2 in post-traumatic cases). The rate of satisfaction with the procedure was 86% (25 of 29 patients). Of the 29 patients, 12 were smokers (41.4%).

Of the 29 patients, the arthrodesis consolidation was radiographically confirmed in 24 (82%), and five (17.2%) had nonunions. The average healing time was 16 weeks (10–24). Although we observed a trend toward an association between smoking and nonunion (pseudoarthrosis more frequent in smokers) and between nonunion and neurological etiology, no statistical significance was observed, probably because of the small sample size.

Regarding complications, 11 patients (38%) developed some kind of complication. Of those, five had more than one type of complication. So, in 29 patients, 18 complications occurred: 5

Table 2 – Comparison of Visual Analog Scale for pain in pre- and post-operative period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Post-operative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAS, Visual Analog Scale.
all our cases evaluated was poor preoperatively; after surgery, the mean was 69 points (range 12–96 points). VAS has also evolved considerably, from a severe pain preoperatively in 100% of cases, to a mean of 3.5 points. Only two patients (6.9%) remained with severe pain post-operatively.

Other authors also used in their series the AOFAS criteria, but only after the surgery. This was the case of Boer et al., with a mean of 70 points in this regard. Hammett et al. obtained an average of 63 points. Chou et al. found a mean of 66 points. In our study, the mean score by AOFAS’ criteria was 69 points (range 12–96).

In the series of Boer et al. there was only one complication. The patient had sensory loss on the dorsum of the foot and radiolucency at the nail entry point. Niinimäki et al. reported 15% of complications in 34 patients, four post-operative infections (two patients in need of implant removal) and one case of venous thromboembolism. Smith et al. reported 20% of complications (nonunions) and related this occurrence to smoking.

Patient satisfaction with the procedure was also one criterion assessed in our study; when compared with data in the literature, there was discordance of findings. Chou et al. found 87% satisfaction with the post-operative result. In their series, Hammett et al. reported 82% satisfaction. Boer et al. reported 92% satisfaction of their patients. Niinimäki et al. obtained 90% satisfaction. In our study, 25 of 29 patients (86.2%) were satisfied with the treatment.

## Conclusion

In our study we found a favorable evolution of AOFAS criteria, of 65.5% (mean of 57.7 in neurological and 75.7 in post-traumatic cases) and of VAS, of 94.1% (mean of 2.3 in neurological and 4.2 in post-traumatic cases) in the patients assessed. Despite the incomplete improvement of pain, most patients (86%) were satisfied with the end result.
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### Table 3 – Comparison of results among studies in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Pat. Nr.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Union rate</th>
<th>Time for consolidation (weeks)</th>
<th>AOFAS</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chou et al.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>53 (19–79)</td>
<td>26 (12–168)</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>19 (12–65)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammett et al.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57.1 (25–81)</td>
<td>34 (8–37)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>17 (13–39)</td>
<td>63 (13–84)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelton et al.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54 (32–88)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boer et al.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57.6 (22–82)</td>
<td>51 (12–84)</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>20.4 (12–72)</td>
<td>70 (32–86)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niinimäki et al.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>57.5 (25–77)</td>
<td>24 (6–43)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>16 (6–45)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.6 (48–78)</td>
<td>14.7 (12–18)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>69 (14–51)</td>
<td>2 (0–7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendicino et al.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>56 (33–81)</td>
<td>19.8 (8–42)</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41 (13–72)</td>
<td>36 (6–60)</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>16 (10–24)</td>
<td>69 (16–96)</td>
<td>3.5 (0–6)</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:***

*VAS, Visual Analog Scale.
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