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Prevalence of and pathways to benzodiazepine use in Brazil: the role of depression, sleep, and sedentary lifestyle
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Objective: This study aimed to determine the prevalence of benzodiazepine (BZD) use in Brazil and to investigate the direct and indirect effects of alcohol consumption, sedentary lifestyle (SL), depressive symptoms (DS), and sleep dissatisfaction (SD) on BZD use.

Methods: The Second Brazilian Alcohol and Drugs Survey (II BNADS) used stratified cluster probabilistic sampling to select 4,607 individuals aged 14 years and older from the Brazilian household population.

Results: The lifetime and 12-month prevalence of BZD use was 9.8 and 6.1%, respectively. Older participants (age 40 and older) and women had higher rates. Alcohol use disorder, DS, and SD were significantly more prevalent in BZD users. The parallel multiple mediator model showed a positive direct effect of alcohol consumption on BZD use, with significant positive indirect effects of SL, SD, and DS as simultaneous mediators leading to higher BZD intake. Other statistically significant indirect pathways were DS alone, SD alone, and all of the above except SL.

Conclusion: The prevalence of BZD use in Brazil is high compared to that of other countries. Knowledge of the main risk factors and pathways to consumption can guide prevention initiatives and underlie the development of better tailored and effective treatment strategies.
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Introduction

Benzodiazepines (BZD) have been extensively prescribed and widely consumed worldwide for over 30 years, despite their addiction potential. Generally regarded as safe, they are prescribed to treat a wide range of disorders and symptoms, such as anxiety and affective disorders, sleep disorders, alcohol withdrawal, violent and aggressive behaviors in psychoses, and neuroleptic-induced disorders,1 as well as other medical conditions, such as muscle relaxation, epilepsy, and as adjuvants for anesthesia.2 However, chronic intake of these drugs is linked to an extensive list of side effects, including dementia,3 cognitive decline, psychomotor disturbances, daytime sleepiness, car crashes, fractures and falls in the elderly, paradoxical reactions, rebound, tolerance, dependence, withdrawal symptoms,1 and, in polydrug users, increased risk of death.4 This large body of evidence has somewhat curtailed indications for BZDs in general practice.5 However, despite an overall decline in prescriptions over the last 20 years,6 misuse of BZDs is still a concern in many high and middle-income countries.7 The Brazilian public health system has implemented a few measures to control illegal sale and overprescription of BZDs; however, these efforts have proved insufficient to reduce consumption effectively.8

BZDs are a generally accepted pharmacotherapy for managing the symptoms of alcohol withdrawal in people with alcohol dependence.9 However, the known synergistic action and cross-tolerance between alcohol and BZDs and the abuse potential of the latter justify their cautious use10 and explains the prevalence of combined alcohol and BZD misuse.11 A similar risk of abuse is found among individuals with sleep disorders, depression,12,13 and sedentary lifestyles (SLs).14 This study aims to investigate the prevalence rates of BZD consumption (BZDC) in a nationally representative sample of the Brazilian population, as well as to establish possible pathways to such use by investigating the role of commonly associated factors, such as alcohol consumption (AC), depressive symptoms (DS), sleep dissatisfaction (SD), and SL. The results may provide valuable information on the dimension of this issue in Brazil and encourage the

development of tailored prevention strategies and treatment policies.

Methods

The research protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, Brazil, and by Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa (CONEP). All subjects provided written informed consent prior to the interview.

Sampling and procedures

The Second Brazilian Alcohol and Drugs Survey (II BNADS) was conducted between November 2011 and March 2012. A multistage cluster sampling procedure was used to select 4,607 individuals aged 14 years and older from the Brazilian household population, including an oversample of 1,157 adolescents (14 to 18 years old). The overall response rate was 77%, and the adolescent oversample response rate was 79%. The sampling process was conducted in three steps: 1) selection of 149 municipalities using probability-proportional-to-size methods (PPS); 2) selection of two census sectors for each municipality, totaling 375 census sectors, also using PPS; and 3) within each census sector, eight households were selected by simple random sampling, followed by the selection of a household member to be interviewed using the closest future birthday technique. One-hour face-to-face interviews were conducted in the respondents’ homes by trained interviewers, using a standardized fully structured questionnaire.

Measurements

Sociodemographic characteristics

All main sociodemographic variables were assessed: sex, age, marital status, education, monthly income, employment status, region of the country, and urban or rural living.

Substance use assessment

To ensure confidentiality, questions considered sensitive (such as all those pertaining to substance use assessment) were not asked face-to-face, but rather completed separately by the participant and returned in sealed envelopes to the interviewer at the end of the session.

BZD use was investigated through two questions covering lifetime use and use in the 12 months prior to the investigation. The yes/no questions referred to previous-year and lifetime use of “tranquilizers” and/or “medication used to sleep,” offering as examples a list of six generic and Brazilian trade names of well-known, commonly used BZDs (diazepam, Valium, bromazepam, Lexotan, Somalium, Rivotril) in brackets.

AC, or the number of drinks consumed in a typical day (alcohol intake), was also measured with the assistance of a unit/drinks demonstration chart.

With regard to binge drinking (BD), the definition proposed by the National Advisory Council to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) in 2004 was adopted, i.e., a pattern of drinking that brings blood alcohol concentration (BAC) to 0.08 g/% or above. For the typical adult, this pattern corresponds to consuming four or more drinks for women and five or more drinks for men in about 2 h.15,16 Its occurrence in the year preceding interview was considered.

Finally, DSM-5 alcohol use disorder (AUD) was assessed with the Brazilian version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI 2.1).17 Although this survey predates DSM-5, the questionnaire included a question about craving, which allowed for the creation of a diagnosis covering the 11 criteria included in DSM-5. For the purposes of this study, the presence of two or more criteria in the past 12 months was considered a positive diagnosis of AUD.

Depressive disorder

This was assessed using the Brazilian validated version of the 20-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D).18 Responses ranged from 0 (never) to 4 (most of the time), with a total score ranging from 0 to 80. A score of 16 was considered as the cutoff point indicative of depressive disorder,18,19 and used in the preliminary analysis. The total score, which accounts for both presence and severity of DS, was used in the conditional model equation to estimate its role as a mediator.

Sleep dissatisfaction

The SD assessment is part of the WHOQOL-Bref quality of life instrument, which was developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) and validated in Brazil.20 Respondents were asked how satisfied they were with their sleep in the 2 weeks prior to the interview. Responses ranged from very dissatisfied to very satisfied, on a scale of 1 to 5, and were also included in the conditional model equation to estimate the potential role of SD as a mediator.

Sedentary lifestyle

The SL assessment was extracted from the physical activity scale validated and used in a prospective birth cohort study from Brazil.21 Respondents were asked: “How many days a week have you undertaken any mild physical activity such as: walking, biking, playing sports for fun?,” with responses ranging from 0 to 7.

Statistical analysis

Prevalence estimations accounted for the complex sampling characteristics of the data and were conducted on data weighted to correct for unequal probabilities of selection into the sample. A post-stratification weighting was applied to correct for non-response and to adjust both samples to known population distributions of demographic variables (education, age, gender, and region of the country) according to the 2010 Brazilian Census. Cross-tabulations were used to estimate lifetime and previous-year BZD use by sex for all sociodemographic characteristics. A preliminary multivariate analysis (logistic regression) was...
Conducted to assess the associations between previous-year BZD use and its possible risk factors, using four models of adjustment. Model 1 was adjusted by sociodemographic characteristics alone; Model 2, by sociodemographic characteristics and DS index, Model 3, by sociodemographic characteristics and SD; and Model 4, by sociodemographic characteristics and SL. All weighted prevalence estimations and preliminary multivariate analyses were performed in STATA version 13.0 (Stata Corp., 2013).

Conditional modeling

The conditional analysis was performed using PROCESS (processmacro.org - macro version 2.14.) installed in SPSS version 21. PROCESS is a computational procedure that implements moderation or mediation analysis, or a combination thereof, in an integrated conditional process model (i.e., mediated moderation and moderated mediation). The framework used for this path analysis is similar to the approach described by Edwards & Lambert.22 The hypothesis was to determine whether the direct association between AC and BZD use could be mediated by DS, SD, and SL. To test this hypothesis, we adopted the parallel multiple mediator (PMM) model from the Conditional Process Analysis algorithms. AC was considered the predictor (X), BZD use the outcome (Y), and DS (M1), SL (M2), and SD (M3) as mediating effects. All models were calculated as weighted linear composites of scale items. The mediations were conducted to estimate the effect of the three mediators in the relation between AC and BZD intake, using the product of coefficients method.23 For the serial mediation analysis, the total effect of X on Y is equal to the direct effect of X plus the sum of the three specific indirect effects of the three mediators. All mediation effects were estimated in PROCESS using a maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) and 10,000 bootstrap draws to obtain confidence intervals (CI) for the indirect effect. All mediation models were evaluated using multiple indices of model fit: a nonsignificant chi-square statistic, comparative fit index (CFI) values > 0.95, and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) values < 0.08.24

Results

Descriptive analysis of BZD use

The nationwide lifetime and 12-month prevalence of BZD use was 9.8 and 6.1%, respectively. Women presented higher rates than men for both lifetime use (13.2 vs. 6%) and use in the previous year (8.6% vs. 3.4%), respectively; the 12-month prevalence reached nearly 15% among divorced/separated women and > 12% among women aged 40 to 59 years. The urban population and the South and Central-West regions presented the highest rates of consumption in the previous year (Table 1).

Risk factors for BZD use: preliminary analysis

The sociodemographic factors associated with previous-year consumption of BZD were female gender (odds ratio [OR] 2.5, 95%CI 1.5-4.2, p = 0.001) and two age groups: 40 to 59 years old (OR 4.0, 95%CI 1.6-9.8, p = 0.002) and 60 years or older (OR 3.7, 95%CI 1.4-10.0, p = 0.009) (data not shown in the tables). Nearly one in five BZD users had AUD (17.7%), compared to 8.6% in the overall sample, and AUD was significantly associated with BZD use (OR 3.1, 95%CI 1.7-5.7, p = 0.000). Depression was prevalent in 53% of BZD users compared to 25.1% of the general population, and was also associated with BZD use (OR 3.1, 95%CI 1.9-5.1, p = 0.000). SD was reported by 34.2% of BZD users versus 10.3% of the overall sample; it was also significantly associated with use (OR 4.6, 95%CI 3.0-7.0, p = 0.000) (data not shown in the tables).

When adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics, BD and AUD predicted BZD use in the previous year. Problematic alcohol use (BD and AUD) remained associated with BZD use in all models of adjustment tested. SL was not associated with BZD use, and the model adjusted by SL did not alter any of the previous significant associations (Table 2).

Path analysis model

Due to the strong associations between alcohol use (BD and AUD) and BZD use seen on the preliminary multivariate analysis, the PMM model was designed considering AC (this time as a continuous variable of amount consumed in a typical day) as a predictor, and the remaining risk factors added to the model as possible mediators (SD, DS index, and SL). The results showed that there is a significant direct effect of AC on BZD use, and the path considering all mediators in parallel was significant (indirect path 4). Three other paths were also valid, described below and in Figure 1.

\[ \text{Indirect path 1: AC} \rightarrow \text{SD} \rightarrow \text{BZDC} \]
\[ \text{Indirect path 2: AC} \rightarrow \text{SD} \rightarrow \text{DS} \rightarrow \text{BZDC} \]
\[ \text{Indirect path 5: AC} \rightarrow \text{DS} \rightarrow \text{BZDC} \]

Discussion

Our findings show that nearly one in 10 Brazilians reported use of BZDs in their lifetime, with previous-year consumption rates of 6.1% in the sample studied. First, it is relevant to mention that our estimation of current users is consistent with that of other countries, such as Canada (4%)25 and France (7.5%).26 Even though we lack trend comparisons, it is also pertinent to explore other (yet not nationally representative) estimations in the country. Consumption appears to have increased since the previous such survey conducted in Brazil, in 2004, which reported an estimated prevalence of 5.6% for current BZD use.27

In agreement with our findings, BZDC increased with age in other national and international surveys.28-30 However, our findings showed that consumption after age 60 was lower than among those in the 49-59 age stratum, a somewhat surprising fact, as older individuals are described as the leading group of BZD users in many studies.25,26 Corroborating our finding, another study showed that long-term use of sedatives increased between 2004 and 2013 driven largely by increased use among middle-aged adults31. It also bears stressing that lifetime BZDC among
adolescents (2.7%) was lower than previously reported in a Brazilian study (5%) and in a European study (5.6%). This prevalence of BZD use was higher among women than among men, which is consistent with other international and Brazilian research. This difference was even greater when adjusted for sociodemographic variables plus AC. This could be due to the fact that women tend to search for mental health care more frequently and have a higher prevalence of anxiety disorders, depression, and insomnia. Therefore, they may be prescribed BZDs more often than men.

According to the PMM model proposed in our study, AC was found to have a significant direct effect on BZDC. This relationship was mediated by DS, SL, and SD, affecting BZDC indirectly. There are several possible reasons why AC could increase the odds of BZDC as proposed in the pathway model.
the model. Perhaps the most evident is the fact that alcohol and BZDs share common mechanisms of action, which leads to cross-tolerance. Individuals who are dependent on BZDs may opt to use alcohol during deprivation states. Furthermore, this class of medication is used for the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome. This phenomenon suggests the need for an integrated approach for the treatment of both conditions in clinical settings.

Among all variables tested as mediators, DS and SD led to sufficient but not necessary pathways in the mediation of the effect of AC on BZDC. AUDs have been previously reported as risk factors for development and severity of depression. Likewise, depressive disorders have been identified as risk factors for alcohol disorders. BZDs are commonly combined with different treatment approaches for depressed patients, often to relieve symptoms such as insomnia or anxiety. However, continued BZD use could lead to tolerance, leading to a decrease in its efficacy in depressive states, thus enhancing the risk of dependence; awareness of this fact must be raised.

SD was an important mediator in the model proposed in this study. SD mediated the effect of alcohol on BZDC alone, and was also part of three of the four indirect pathways tested. This role of SD was expected, as moderate and high AC is known to be related to changes in sleep architecture. In turn, BZDs are often used in the treatment of sleep disorders. When non-pharmacological treatment is not provided, the risk of BZD misuse is a common concern.

Our study suggests the importance of delivering combined approaches to tackle insomnia and depressive states. Further, clinicians should encourage non-pharmacological approaches to sleep disorders, such as physical activity. Efforts to address SLs can also reduce DS, improve sleep satisfaction, and indirectly decrease BZDC, all of which impact one another in a bidirectional manner.

A few limitations of this study must be highlighted. First, although we used nationally representative data, our survey had a cross-sectional design, which precluded any causal inferences. Our inferences with regards to the pathway models take into consideration parallel mediators, which, unlike serial mediation models, do not follow a temporal order. Even though confidence intervals were considered acceptable, the small sample size must be taken into account, particularly when interpreting estimates of BZD use among subgroups.

Our findings estimate that there are over 13 million BZD users in Brazil. A combination of lack of effective policies to tackle overprescription, the illegal market of BZDs, and insufficient efforts to educate the population on
BZD-associated risks may play an important role in this scenario. Knowledge of users’ sociodemographic characteristics and pathways to consumption are necessary steps for the establishment of appropriate clinical and policy interventions.
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