Treatment-resistant mood disorders
Transtornos de humor refratários a tratamento
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Abstract
Objective and Method: Mood disorders are the most prevalent psychiatric disorders. Despite new insights and advances on the neurobiological basis and therapeutic approaches for bipolar disorders and recurrent depression, elevated prevalence of recurrence, persistent sub-syndromal symptoms and treatment resistance are challenging aspects and need to be urgently addressed. The objective of this literature review is to evaluate the current concepts of treatment resistance and refractoriness in mood disorders. Results: Genetic factors, misdiagnosis, use of inappropriate pharmacological approaches, non-compliance and biological/psychosocial stressors account for dysfunctions in mood regulation, thus increasing the prevalence of refractory mood disorders. Regarding available treatments, the use of effective doses during an adequate period followed by augmentation with a second and/or third agent, and finally switching to other agent are steps frequently necessary to optimize efficacy. However, in the treatment-resistant paradigm, drugs mimicking standard strategies, which target preferentially the monoaminergic system, can present reduced therapeutic effects. Thus, the search for new effective treatments for mood disorders is critical to decreasing the overall morbidity secondary to treatment resistance. Conclusion: Emerging strategies targeting brain plasticity pathways or ‘plasticity enhancers’, including antiglutamatergic drugs, glucocorticoid receptor antagonists and neuropeptides, have been considered promising therapeutic options for difficult-to-treat mood disorders.
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Resumo
Objetivos e Método: Os transtornos de humor estão entre os transtornos psiquiátricos mais prevalentes. Apesar de novas descobertas e avanços no estudo das bases neurobiológicas e abordagens terapêuticas no transtorno bipolar e depressão recorrente, elevadas taxas de recorrência, sintomas subsindrômicos persistentes e refratariedade terapêutica são aspectos clínicos desafiantes e precisam ser abordados. O objetivo desta revisão da literatura é avaliar os conceitos e critérios de resistência e refratariedade ao tratamento, e evidenciar as principais alternativas terapêuticas para transtornos do humor resistentes aos tratamentos disponíveis. Resultados: Fatores genéticos, erro diagnóstico e de tratamento, não-aderência, e estressores biológicos e psicossociais podem levar à perda de mecanismos regulatórios e a aumento na prevalência de casos de refratariedade nos transtornos de humor. Com relação aos tratamentos disponíveis, o uso de doses apropriadas, seguido por associação com um segundo ou terceiro fármaco, e após, se indicado, a troca de medicação, são etapas necessárias na busca de melhor eficácia. Entretanto, no paradigma de refratariedade terapêutica, tratamentos atuando em sistemas já conhecidos, especialmente monoaminas, frequentemente apresentam limitada eficácia. Assim, a busca por tratamentos mais eficazes para os transtornos de humor torna-se um aspecto chave para diminuir sua morbidade. Conclusão: Estratégias focadas na regulação de vias ativadoras de neuroplasticidade, incluindo agentes antiglutamatérgicos, antagonistas de receptor glucocorticóide e neuropeptídeos, podem representar opções terapêuticas promissoras.
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1 Mood and Anxiety Disorders Program, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
2 Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Correspondence
Rodrigo Machado-Vieira
35 Convent Drive, Bldg 35, Rm 1C-1010
20892-3711 Bethesda, MD, USA
E-mail: machadovieirar@terra.com.br

Financing: None
Conflict of interests: None
Introduction
Mood disorders are the most prevalent psychiatric disorders. Bipolar disorder (BD) is a chronic and severe medical illness, affecting about 1.3% of the population. Also presenting high morbidity, Unipolar depression (UD) is considered a multifaceted illness regarding its phenomenological structure, which includes somatic, cognitive and behavioral changes ranging from states of increased anxiety and psychomotor activity (e.g. anxious depression) to decreased arousal observed during severe melancholic depression. The course of mood disorders is fluctuating, usually displaying a characteristic of longer intervals between initial episodes, with posterior decreased intervals as the illness progresses. Risk factors for the development of mood disorders include genetic vulnerability and pervasive psychosocial stressors, which together can trigger the arousal of full-blown episodes. Interestingly, psychosocial stressors have been shown to trigger initial episodes, without significant influence as precipitating factors in subsequent stressors have been shown to trigger initial episodes, without the arousal of full-blown episodes. Interestingly, psychosocial and pervasive psychosocial stressors, which together can trigger all cases.

SSRI treatment, with partial or lack of response in 29-46% of demonstrated that not all patients presenting UD benefit from only 28% of patients treated with antidepressants obtained quality of life/long-term prognosis. Despite consistent advances in the pharmacotherapy of mood disorders in the last decade, high rates of treatment-resistant mood disorders are still a challenging aspect. The combined use of different agents is often the therapeutic strategy for “difficult to treat” cases. Recovery from manic or depressive episodes is quite important, and adherence to long-term pharmacological treatment is critical to achieving full recovery. Also, it is critical to provide a clear differentiation between episodes secondary to noncompliance and true treatment-resistance. Treatment-resistance has often been defined as a lack of response to three different pharmacological treatments, using continuously therapeutic doses during a sufficient period. Treatment noncompliance can be better characterized as a risk factor for the development of pharmacological refractoriness. In parallel, response without remission should not be considered as a treatment-resistant condition, but failure to achieve long-term remission (presence of subsyndromal symptoms) has been clearly associated with both increased risk of relapse and significant deficits in domains related to quality of life/long-term prognosis. For instance, it was demonstrated that not all patients presenting UD benefit from SSRI treatment, with partial or lack of response in 29-46% of all cases. Recent data from a multicenter study showed that only 28% of patients treated with antidepressants obtained remission within 10-14 weeks, whereas after 24 weeks, 50% presented remission by using a different antidepressant or adjunctive therapy. Significantly, it has been demonstrated that only half of individuals who responded to an antidepressant achieved complete remission. These findings suggest that full therapeutic effects may take more than 6 months and despite long-term treatment, a considerable number of patients do not present satisfactory improvement. Thus, it is reasonable to suggest that subsyndromal symptoms due to incomplete remission may strongly account for the chronic course and high long-term morbidity observed in mood disorders.

“Difficult to treat” mood disorders
An effective agent for the treatment of mood disorders should present effectiveness in a substantial range of symptoms and clinical presentations, as well as displaying few adverse effects and inducing rapid and sustained therapeutic effects. Considering BD as a complex illness presenting different phases, some agents may be effective only for one phase of the illness. Therefore, polypharmacy is particularly frequent and has been increasingly used for treating persistent subsyndromal symptoms after monotherapy failure. Lithium, valproate and atypical antipsychotics have been considered the first line of treatment for acute mania and maintenance treatment for BD.

Similarly, the therapeutics of Bipolar Depression is a challenging and critical topic and has been also associated with high rates of treatment-resistant cases. The use of antidepressants in Bipolar Depression is not clearly established. The combination of antidepressant and mood stabilizers is widely utilized, but the proper dose and duration of treatment for different agents have not been clearly defined. Although showing considerable efficacy in Bipolar Depression, antidepressants can trigger polarity switch and mood swings, thus increasing the risk of rapid cycling and refractory mood disorders. In general, it has been proposed that antidepressants, lamotrigine or topiramate (combined with a mood stabilizer) comprise first-line treatments for bipolar I depression. For instance, in a large (n = 191) double-blind, placebo-controlled study, lamotrigine demonstrated superior antidepressant efficacy compared to placebo after 7 days. Topiramate has also presented antidepressant efficacy in treatment-resistant bipolar-depression, rapid-cycling, acute mania and mixed episodes. Also, the use of a combination strategy with antidepressants and an atypical antipsychotic has been advocated, but there is a lack of convincing data demonstrating that the combination is more efficacious than the use of an antidepressant alone. Many approaches have been proposed for treatment-resistant BD. Surprisingly, only psychotherapies have been specifically validated by large-scale controlled trials as add-on treatment to pharmacological agents. Over the last decade, specific psychotherapeutic approaches have been studied, including group psychoeducation, family-focused treatment (FFT), cognitive therapy (CT) and interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT). In common, these approaches are tested in instance, in a large (n = 191) double-blind, placebo-controlled study, lamotrigine demonstrated superior antidepressant efficacy compared to placebo after 7 days. Topiramate has also presented antidepressant efficacy in treatment-resistant bipolar-depression, rapid-cycling, acute mania and mixed episodes. Also, the use of a combination strategy with antidepressants and an atypical antipsychotic has been advocated, but there is a lack of convincing data demonstrating that the combination is more efficacious than the use of an antidepressant alone. Many approaches have been proposed for treatment-resistant BD. Surprisingly, only psychotherapies have been specifically validated by large-scale controlled trials as add-on treatment to pharmacological agents. Over the last decade, specific psychotherapeutic approaches have been studied, including group psychoeducation, family-focused treatment (FFT), cognitive therapy (CT) and interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT). In common, these approaches are tested in order to validate the effectiveness of approaches under a specific structure and proposed target outcomes, including decrease in number of episodes and subsyndromal symptoms, enhanced treatment compliance and improved social functioning. In acute mania, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies have shown that olanzapine and risperidone in combination with lithium or valproate induced superior improvement compared to a mood stabilizer alone. Overall,
Neural plasticity is characterized as the brain's ability to produce adaptive responses by generating new neural connections secondary to internal and external stimuli or injury. Recent findings have reconceptualized the pathophysiological basis of mood disorders. In this new paradigm, it is proposed that certain experiences, learning and genetic basis can physically change brain structure and affect behavior. A direct involvement of dysfunctions on synaptic plasticity and cellular resilience pathways in the pathophysiology of mood disorders has been suggested. The relevance of studying neuroplasticity in mood disorders is based on the fact that this integrative process is critically involved in the major risk factors for mood disorders: genetic vulnerability and psychosocial stressors. Altered neurotrophins levels have been widely reported, as well as opposite rescue effects on its levels after pharmacological treatment and clinical improvement. In fact, long-lasting structural and functional changes on brain through alterations in genomic expression involve diverse neural substrates and systems, which in the last decade have been proposed to be related to the course, outcome and therapeutics of mood disorders. Diverse mood stabilizers and antidepressants have been shown to improve neural plasticity and synaptic connectivity by acting in key modulatory circuits related to cell survival and stress regulation.

Promising biochemical and molecular targets for mood stabilizers and antidepressants involve regulatory proteins of neurotrophic cascades such as Bcl-2, CREB/BDNF, GSK3B and ERK/MAP22. For instance, lithium, valproate and diverse antidepressants appear to increase gray matter and activate several neurotrophic signaling cascades, which in turn, directly regulate neurobiological and cognitive processes, such as dendritic/synaptic formation, neurogenesis, learning and memory.

Clinical and preclinical studies have demonstrated the possible involvement of BDNF and its receptor trkB (tyrosine kynase B) in antidepressant-like and mood-stabilizing effects. Olanzapine, risperidone and clozapine have demonstrated both acute anitmanic and mood stabilizing effects, with stronger evidence for olanzapine and also considering the limited use of clozapine due to its potential risk for inducing agranulocytosis (Table 1).

Importantly, early establishment of a correct axis I diagnosis is also critical in preventing long-term deleterious effects. A delay in starting proper treatment for mood episodes as well as the use of inappropriate approaches after establishing an incorrect diagnosis (e.g., the use of antidepressant monotherapy for mixed states) may also account for a poor outcome. Similarly, early identification of relevant axis I comorbidities is critical when evaluating “difficult to treat” cases17 (Table 2). Substance abuse impacts negatively on short- and long-term outcome, by modifying both treatment response and adherence.18 O’Connell et al. observed that patients presenting higher number of prior episodes before initiating lithium treatment were at higher risk for treatment-resistance.19 Interestingly, BD subjects presenting initial mania followed by a depressive episode seemed to respond better to lithium compared to rapid cyclers and those presenting initial depressive episodes, which has been associated with a relatively higher rate of treatment-resistance.20 Also, discontinuation of lithium treatment has shown to predict a poorer outcome and a subsequent worse response, even when lithium is reintroduced.20 The switch rate to mania was 25% in bipolar patients on placebo or lithium plus an antidepressant, compared with 50% observed in those treated with tricyclic antidepressants. Taken together, it is observed that incorrect diagnosis and therapeutic approaches, in special those involving the use of antidepressants, put off early initiation of correct treatment and may worsen the short and long-term outcome of BD by shortening cycle lengths and increasing treatment-resistance. In the next sections, we aim to provide an overview of emerging therapeutic strategies for the treatment of refractory mood disorders (Table 1).
effects. Heterozygous BDNF null mice presented insensitive to antidepressants and hyperactivity, aggressiveness and enhanced startle response, suggesting that BDNF release and trkB signaling are necessary to induce antidepressant-like effects. Correspondingly, different antidepressant agents have been shown to increase the expression and release of BDNF in hippocampus, as well as increased prefrontal cortex BDNF expression has been observed in patients taking antidepressants compared to unmedicated subjects. In BD, BDNF levels have been shown to decrease in mania, also presenting a significant positive correlation with severity of episode. Also, BDNF activates three important signaling cascades related to induction of neurotrophic effects: the ERK/MAP kinase, PI-3-kinase/Akt, and phospholipase C, which have been associated with the neurobiology of BD. Chronic treatment with lithium and valproic acid showed to up-regulate ERK phosphorylation pathway and genetic manipulations on BDNF -ERK kinase pathways have been shown to generate diverse behavioral changes associated with mood regulation. Similarly, CAMP-CREB, the final pathway for ERK, plays a direct role in neuroplasticity, cell survival, and behavioral regulation by modulating hormones, growth factors and synaptic plasticity pathways, which showed to be decreased in UD and up-regulated after chronic antidepressant treatment. CREB also induces a direct regulatory effect related to the BDNF-induced antidepressant effects. CREB stimulates BDNF production, which in turn, induces CREB phosphorylation. Currently, CREB itself is still not a suitable target for pharmacotherapy and there are no direct CREB agonists or antagonists available. In the future, direct CREB-regulated molecules might represent potential targets for the development of new effective therapeutics in mood disorders.

Similarly, recent data have also demonstrated positive regulatory effects on cellular signaling neurotrophic induced by the neuroprotective protein Bcl-2, which was shown to be a relevant target on mood regulation. Bcl-2 has been intrinsically associated with mitochondrial activity, calcium levels and oxidative stress parameters, which have been shown to be involved in the pathophysiology of BD. Moreover, lithium and valproate consistently increased Bcl-2 mRNA levels, whereas chronic stress, a major risk factor for mood disorders, presented opposite effects on its expression. Also, inactivation of the proapoptotic protein GSK-3β induced antimanic and antidepressant effects in animal models. Interestingly, downregulation of GSK-3β expression has been associated with the molecular effects induced by lithium and antidepressants. This complex process may be well characterized as a progressive dysfunctional bio-behavioral interaction leading to arousal of mood episodes and potential treatment resistance (see Table 2). Based on these findings, a neuronal dysregulation leading to impaired neuroplasticity and cellular resilience has been proposed to display a critical pathophysiological role in the mood regulation. In this context, agents targeting on plasticity pathways have been considered emerging therapeutic options for severe and refractory BD and UD, including several classes of potential “plasticity enhancers” such as NMDA antagonists, inhibitors of glutamate-release, AMPA potentiators (AMPAkines), glucocorticoid receptor antagonists and neuropeptides.

Stress and glucocorticoids: potential targets for treatment-resistant BD and depression

Since three decades ago, a hyperactive hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis has been associated with the pathophysiology of mood disorders. Depressive syndrome occurs in more than 50% of patients presenting Cushing's syndrome or under treatment with exogenous corticosteroids. This major “stress pathway” begins in the hypothalamic lateral ventricular nucleus, which, by releasing corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), stimulates the production of adrenocorticotropin-releasing hormone (ACTH) in the pituitary. Sequentially, ACTH induces the production of glucocorticoids such as cortisol. A hyperactive HPA axis activates the CRF pathway and induces glucocorticoids hypersecretion, potentially leading to injury and atrophy on hippocampal neurons (which express high levels of receptors for glucocorticoids). Interestingly, glucocorticoids were found to decrease the expression of BDNF in the hippocampus, which may possibly explain the inhibitory effects induced by corticosteroids at the neuroplasticity pathways.

Dysfunctions in glucocorticoid receptors (GR) metabolism have been shown to induce an ample range of biological stresses, which can in turn precipitate both depressive and manic symptoms in susceptible subjects. Neurobiological findings have demonstrated that hyperactive HPA axis precipitates the arousal of depressive symptoms, mostly due to elevation in cerebrospinal fluid CRF levels. Taking into account the strong evidence supporting the involvement of dysfunctions in the HPA axis in the “stress-induced” pathophysiological basis of mood disorders, clinical and preclinical studies have investigated the therapeutic effects of drugs exerting regulatory effects on HPA axis, including GR and CRF antagonists. GR antagonists have shown to block the harmful effects of hypercortisolemia, mostly due to blockade at GR receptors. GR antagonists have been demonstrated to induce an acute antiglucocorticoid effect, which may generate a negative feedback in the HPA axis. For instance, mifepristone (RU-486), a non-selective antagonist of the GR receptor, has been shown to possess antidepressant and antipsychotic effects in psychotic depression. In bipolar depression, clinical use of mifepristone (600 mg/day) presented not only improvement in depressive symptoms compared to placebo, but also induced improvement in cognitive functioning. Also, inhibition of glucocorticoid synthesis and release has been considered a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of major depression. Treatment with glucocorticoid synthesis inhibitors (GSIs), such as ketoconazole and metyrapone, has demonstrated to significantly improve depressive symptoms in clinical and pre-clinical studies. In a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, metyrapone showed efficacy as adjunctive treatment in major depression, accelerating the onset of antidepressant action. Ketoconazole also showed to reduce cortisol levels in major depression, but these preliminary results need replication.

The CRF family of neuropeptides and receptors has been shown to significantly modulate systems related to mood regulation, such as emotionality, locomotor activity, sleep-wake cycle and aversive processes. CRF1 receptor antagonists have demonstrated therapeutic antidepressant-like effects. The pyrrolopyrimidine compound antalarmin presented a decrease in the stress-induced CRF-stimulated corticotrophin release. It also demonstrated to block the stress-induced inhibition of exploratory and sexual behaviors. Similarly, the adrenal steroid dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) acts as a precursor to the sexual hormones, such as testosterone and estrogen, and...
clinical studies have demonstrated its antidepressant efficacy during major depressive episodes. Clinical use of DHEA (30-90 mg/day for 4 weeks) has been shown to induce antidepressant effects in dysthymia and depression. In addition, elevated cortisol/DHEA ratio was correlated to higher scores for anxiety, anger, depression and hostility in treatment-resistant psychotic patients. Thus, available data support the hypothesis that dysfunctional HPA axis is a hallmark of major depression, but the precise mechanism by which glucocorticoids may generate these deleterious effects on the brain is not fully elucidated, but is proposed to involve enhanced glutamatergic signaling and inhibition of glucose transport.

Taken together, recent findings support the notion that GR can play a relevant role in the therapeutic response in mood disorders, potentially associated with regulatory effects targeting at plasticity pathways.

Glutamatergic strategies for treatment-resistant mood disorders

Glutamatergic metabolism has been implicated in the modulation of synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory and increased glutamate neurotransmission activates a range of signaling cascades associated with mitochondrial energy metabolism. Interestingly, the presence of both elevated calcium levels and mitochondrial dysfunction has been described in BD, suggesting indirectly, an additional role for glutamatergic metabolism in the pathophysiology of BD. Consequently, experimental therapeutics for mood disorders have been implemented to reduce glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, which results in strong neuroprotective effects in an ample range of preclinical paradigms.

NMDA receptors (NMDAr) are the most widespread ionotropic receptors and represent the primary target for glutamatergic agents in the treatment of mood disorders. The original observations date back to the 1960s, based on reports of mood-elevating effects induced by D-cycloserine (an NMDAr partial agonist). AMPA receptors (AMPAr) mediate fast glutamate signaling and critically regulate calcium metabolism, plasticity and oxidative stress. At the same time, elevated calcium levels and increased oxidative stress take place during this condition. Positive allosteric modulators of AMPA receptors (AMPAkines) were recently shown to have antidepressant-like effects in diverse models, as well as enhanced cognitive activity by increasing hippocampal BDNF expression. In one study, the AMPAkine Ampalex induced faster antidepressant response (improvement in the first week) compared to fluoxetine. Recently, positive allosteric modulators of AMPA receptors (AMPAkines) have been developed and are undergoing clinical investigation for diverse psychiatric and neurological disorders, including piracetam, benzothiazides (cyclothiazide), benzylpiperidines (CX-516, CX-546) and barylpropylsulfonamides (LY392098, LY404187 and LY503430).

Another class of glutamatergic agents, called glutamatergic modulators, has been tested for the treatment of BD and UD, apparently producing therapeutic by increasing AMPA to NMDA throughput. Riluzole and lamotrigine, have been shown to induce of glutamate release and activation of neurotrophic factors, which has been considered critical to achieve antidepressant effects in treatment-resistant major depression and bipolar depression. Riluzole is a neuroprotective and neurotrophic agent exerting its anti-glutamatergic effects through the inhibition of voltage-dependent sodium channels in neurons and by reducing glutamate, whereas lamotrigine exerts its pharmacological effect mostly due to inhibition of excessive presynaptic release of glutamate. Riluzole showed to significantly improve depressive symptoms as measured by the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) on weeks 3 through 6 for all patients. Also, an 8-week open-label clinical trial with riluzole in 14 patients presenting bipolar depression showed a significant improvement as an add-on treatment to lithium. The response and remission rates at week 8 for the intent-to-treat analysis were 50%.

Likewise, NMDAr antagonists appear to have antidepressant effects in refractory depression. In humans, ketamine, a noncompetitive NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist, showed significant efficacy in the treatment of major depression. Ketamine acts by antagonizing the NMDAr and thus preventing excess Ca influx and cellular damage. In double-blind, placebo controlled clinical trials with chronically depressed subjects, single doses of ketamine produced fast and sustained antidepressant effects, which persisted for a week following infusion. Also, ketamine induced significant antidepressant effects in animal models of depression. Other studies have tested the possible therapeutic role of several NMDA antagonists, including felbamate and zinc. Felbamate (2-phenyl-1,3 propanodiol dicarbamate) is an anticonvulsant and neuroprotective agent, which presents stimulant-like effects in patients with epilepsy. Studies have described positive reports with felbamate on mood modulation, based on findings of improved alertness, attention, concentration and social function. In children taking felbamate, improved attention, alertness and initiative were reported. Also, Zinc is a potent inhibitor of the NMDA receptor, and a growing body of evidence suggests the involvement of zinc metabolism in the pathophysiology of mood disorders, with potential therapeutic effects for depressive episodes. Studies have described low serum zinc levels during depressive episodes and that zinc reduces depressive-like symptoms in diverse animal models of depression. In addition, similarly to antidepressant drugs, treatment with zinc increased cortical BDNF mRNA levels. These data indicate a critical and complex role for the interaction between zinc and NMDA receptor complex in the mechanism of antidepressant treatment and support the involvement of glutamatergic pathways in the antidepressant action.

Other therapeutic options for treatment-resistant mood disorders

The clinical use of cytokines and neuropeptides might also represent new potential targets for the development of novel pharmacological treatments for mood disorders. Recently, a receptor-specific nonpeptidergic galanin GAL3 receptor antagonists (SNAP-37889 and SNAP-398299) have shown antidepressant properties, but still need to be confirmed by controlled clinical studies. Despite lacking replication in additional controlled studies, phenytoin, oxcarbazepine, levetiracetam, topiramate and high doses of thyroid augmentation may have therapeutic effects in BD (either mania, bipolar depression or maintenance therapy), and may also represent promising augmentation therapies for refractory BD. Other effective options for treatment-resistant cases include MAO inhibitors. Somatic treatment also may play a role in the therapeutics of treatment-resistant depression, including vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), and deep brain stimulation (DBS).
Final remarks
Recent findings have led to a reconceptualization of the pathophysiological basis of mood disorders. The hypothesis that abnormalities in neuronal resilience and plasticity may determine the onset of these illnesses has gained momentum. The development of new effective pharmacological treatments for mood disorders may provide a better outcome for many patients by preventing deleterious effects on biological and psychosocial functioning. Strategies focusing on the study of small molecule agents and their regulatory effects on multiple candidate genes for cellular plasticity, such as growth factors, MAPK cascades, and the Bcl-2 family of proteins are promising targets for future studies. Agents capable of reversing mitochondrial-induced impairments on energy metabolism and cellular plasticity, acting as “plasticity enhancers”, such as antiglutamatergic and antiglucocorticoid drugs, may also be considered promising targets for the development of new effective therapeutics for these devastating illnesses.
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