Dear Editor,

It is well known that adolescents are more vulnerable to the negative effects of gambling and need to be protected.\(^1\) Recent studies conducted in developed countries using nationally representative samples have shown that 60% to 80% of adolescents gambled in the previous year and 0.9% to 3.1% meet criteria for pathological gambling (PG).

Although the relationship between access to gambling and gambling related problems is not linear, the majority of studies have shown that the easier the access, the higher the risk for problem gambling. Government permissiveness (usually measured by the number of betting modalities legally accepted) and geographical proximity from individuals to gambling places\(^4\) are risk factors contributing to this issue.

In 1993 the Brazilian government approved a federal law that legalized bingo. The aim was to foster sports without diverting money from other priorities. However, loopholes in the law opened the door for electronic bingo and EGMs. At the same time, clandestine EGMs were easily found in bars, restaurants and cafeterias. Nowadays bingo and electronic bingo are once again forbidden. It may be possible to slow the process of gambling expansion, but it is likely to be irreversible since the majority of EGMs operate underground and new poker houses have been opened in different urban sites around the country. Due to the lack of data supporting a better understanding of gambling and its consequences in Brazil, laws will still be predominantly based on economic interests.

Data from the Brazilian National Alcohol Survey, the first study evaluating the prevalence of PG among a nationally representative sample of Brazilian adolescents, are under analysis. A multistage cluster sampling procedure was used to select 3,007 individuals over 14 years of age from the Brazilian household population. Face to face interviews were conducted in respondents’ homes by trained interviewers using a standardized closed questionnaire. A total of 661 participants took part, aged between 14 and 17 years old.\(^4,5\)

Preliminary results surprisingly have demonstrated that, although the prevalence of PG was similar to international studies, 93% (standard error = 1.1%) of Brazilian adolescents denied gambling participation, i.e. only 7% of Brazilian adolescents reported gambling.

This difference could be explained by the fact that in countries where gambling is legalized, young people are highly exposed to gambling and its promotion on a daily basis. However, it is also possible that methodological variations regarding the instrument used in this study might account for some of these differences. Due to time limitations the questionnaire used did not take into consideration specific questions regarding participation in different types of gambling and when this occurred (last month, last year or lifetime).

These findings lead us to two important questions:

1) Which factors other than gambling access are related to PG in Brazil?
2) What will happen to PG prevalence if gambling access and participation keep rising?

A major concern is that a number of factors in our country may negatively influence the relationship between adolescents and gambling. The government runs the lottery, which aside from horse racing is the only legal gambling activity. Colluding with the gambling industry may lower government supervision of betting.\(^6\) Besides, one of the country’s major challenges still is the distribution of wealth, and it is a fact that poverty, unemployment and low income are risk factors for PG.\(^7\) So far there is no public policy regarding the protection of vulnerable individuals and prevention of gambling problems.
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Dear Editor,

Medical and psychiatric practices are shaped by the social and cultural environment in which they occur. Recent articles in scientific and lay publications about the use of drugs by healthy people raised questions on the boundaries of our practice. We need to distinguish between those pharmacological interventions which primarily count as 'therapies' and those which count as 'enhancements'. Therapies aim to treat, cure or prevent diseases; enhancements aim to improve normal skills. Drugs used to treat Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) may improve normal skills. That seems to be the case with stimulants such as methylphenidate. These drugs are also associated with diversion, abuse, dependence and sudden death.

Although discussions about legalization of stimulants use in healthy people at first seemed restricted to the USA, this use is probably occurring also in Brazil. However, rigorous systematic research is lacking. This is still a matter of anecdotal cases in private offices. Some details were changed to prevent patient identification.

Cognitive enhancers and Cosmetic Psychiatry: are we ready? A case-report

Expansores cognitivos e psiquiatria cosmética: estamos preparados? Relato de caso

References