Evaluation of quality of life in adults with chronic health conditions: the role of depressive symptoms
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Abstract
Objective: The negative impact of depressive symptoms on quality of life has been the focus of increasing attention, yet this relation remains unstudied in samples from developing countries. The objective of this study was to determine whether the occurrence of depressive symptoms is associated with impaired quality of life and whether this association remains significant after adjustment for some variables. Method: A convenience sample was selected and the measures used were the WHOQOL-100, to assess quality of life, the Beck Depression Inventory, to screen for depressive symptoms, and the Economic Classification Criterion – Brazil, to evaluate socioeconomic status. Results: One hundred nineteen healthy adults (community) and 122 adult patients (tertiary hospital) from Brazil were assessed. Depressive symptoms were negatively correlated with all the domains of quality of life, even after statistical control for age, socioeconomic status, and presence of chronic health conditions. Socioeconomic status was positively correlated with the social relationships and environmental domains of quality of life. Conclusion: Our findings indicate that depressive symptoms and socioeconomic status are important elements affecting the relationship between chronic health conditions and quality of life in Brazil.
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Introduction
The presence of depressive symptoms in patients with clinical diseases has been extensively described in the literature. There are reports of higher prevalence rates of depression in these patients as compared with the general population, ranging between 18% and 83%, depending on the research methodology and medical condition under study.¹ ¹ The presence of one or more chronic medical conditions increases the prevalence of current and lifetime depression in between 5.8% and 9.4%, and 8.9% and 12.9%, respectively.⁵

Depressive disorders have been implicated in the worsening of quality of life (QOL) and physical health, impaired ability to perform daily life activities⁶,⁹ poor treatment compliance, and higher morbidity and mortality rates.¹⁰,¹₈
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Depressed individuals present long-lasting problems in multiple domains of functioning and well-being, which may be equal to or even greater than those caused by other chronic medical conditions. There is evidence that depression is associated with impaired social functioning, and that improvement in depression is related to improved work capacity.

Some researchers have suggested that even in the absence of a diagnosis of depressive disorder, the occurrence of depressive symptoms is related to impairment in the QOL of individuals suffering from chronic health conditions. Accordingly, it seems correct to assume that subsyndromal depression may affect the QOL in Brazilian primary care patients. The impact of depressive symptoms insufficient to characterize a diagnosis of depressive disorder on healthy individuals remains unstudied.

Estimates of the prevalence of depression in Brazil show considerable variation between the different regions of the country, ranging from less than 3% in São Paulo and Brasília to 10% in Porto Alegre. A Brazilian study found that depressive symptoms can predict mortality in medical inpatients and there is also evidence suggesting that the frequency of depressive symptoms is associated with poor social conditions.

The objectives of the present study were 1) to evaluate the association between depressive symptoms and QOL as assessed by the WHOQOL-100 in healthy and clinically ill patients; and 2) to evaluate whether the association between QOL and depressive symptoms persists after statistical adjustments for age, presence of chronic health conditions, and socioeconomic status. We hypothesized that depressive symptoms would be significantly associated with impaired QOL in adults regardless of the presence of chronic health conditions.

Method

Participants were recruited from the wards and the outpatient clinic of a university hospital, as well as from the community of the city of Porto Alegre, Brazil, during a three-month period. Porto Alegre is the capital of the southern State of Rio Grande do Sul. The State's economy is largely based on agribusiness and its capital has a population of around two million people, mostly descendants of European immigrants.

A convenience sample was selected, both in the case of patients and healthy individuals. The snowball technique was used to select the group of healthy individuals (each selected individual indicated another participant). This technique is usually implemented when searching for individuals who are not directly accessible to researchers.

1. Inclusion criteria for the patient group

Adult (≥ 18 years of age) inpatients from the different clinical and surgical specialties wards and from the outpatient clinic of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre who agreed to participate in the study.

2. Inclusion criteria for the healthy group

Adults (≥ 18 years of age) living in the geographical area close to the hospital without any clinically detectable health conditions. Individuals providing affirmative answers to any of the questions below were excluded from the sample:

1. Do you have any chronic diseases?
2. Are you currently using any regular medication?
3. Have you seen a physician or health professional during the last month (except for preventive care, such as a gynecological check-up)?

3. Measures

WHOQOL-100: designed by the World Health Organization to evaluate QOL, this questionnaire is a cross-cultural self-report instrument comprising six domains: physical, psychological, level of independence, social relationships, environment, and spirituality.

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI): a self-report instrument that screens for depressive symptoms and that has been validated and translated into Portuguese.34,35

Economic Classification Criterion - Brazil: this instrument evaluates socioeconomic status (SES) by considering consumption habits and level of education.

The Ethics Research Committee of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre has reviewed and approved the research protocol (98-253).

4. Statistical analysis

The following analyses were performed: Student’s t-test to compare means; Pearson’s Chi-square with Yates’ correction to compare the proportions of the categorical variables, when necessary; Multiple Linear Regression to adjust for the differences found between patients and healthy individuals in regard to the dependent (WHOQOL-100 domains) and independent (age, SES, BDI score, and presence of a chronic health condition) variables.

The level of significance was set at 5% for all tests, including Multiple Linear Regression. The statistical software used was SPSS 14.0.

Results

The total sample comprised 241 adults. The general characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1. The most frequently reported health problems were hypertension (18%), heart diseases (15.6%), neoplasm (13.1%), diabetes (13.1%), emphysema/asthma/bronchitis (11.5%), autoimmune diseases (8.2%), and kidney diseases (8.2%).

Depressive symptoms were more common in the patient group than in healthy individuals, as assessed using the BDI mean scores.

Patients and healthy individuals were divided according to the presence of BDI scores suggestive of depressive disorder (≥ 9). A statistically significant higher percentage (47.5%) of depressive syndromes was found in patients as compared with healthy individuals (16%, x² = 27.62; DF = 1; p = 0.0001). This difference resulted in an odds ratio of 4.8 (95%CI: 2.6; 8.4) for the patient group.
in relation to the healthy individuals.

Discussion

Depressive symptoms were negatively correlated with all the domains of QOL, even after adjusting for age, SES, and presence of chronic health conditions. It is important to highlight that the presence or absence of chronic health conditions appears to have a more modest association with QOL when compared to the occurrence of depressive symptoms. Our findings indicate that depressive symptoms and SES are the main elements affecting the relationship between chronic health conditions and QOL.

Patients were found to have worse QOL scores in all domains except spirituality.

After the use of a regression model, BDI scores presented statistically significant negative correlations with all the WHOQOL-100 domains: physical ($r^2 = 0.33; \beta = -0.39; p < 0.0001$), psychological, ($r^2 = 0.39; \beta = -0.59; p < 0.0001$), level of independence ($r^2 = 0.49; \beta = -0.38; p < 0.001$), social relationships ($r^2 = 0.25; \beta = -0.46; p < 0.001$), environment ($r^2 = 0.28; \beta = -0.34; p < 0.0001$), spirituality ($r^2 = 0.13; \beta = -0.33; p < 0.001$), and general QOL ($r^2 = 0.38; \beta = -0.48; p < 0.05$). The presence of a chronic health condition appeared as a significant negative factor in the physical ($r^2 = 0.33; \beta = -0.32; p < 0.0001$) and level of independence ($r^2 = 0.49; \beta = -0.40; p < 0.001$) domains, in addition to general QOL ($r^2 = 0.38; \beta = -0.21; p < 0.05$). SES appeared as a significant positive factor in the social relationships ($r^2 = 0.25; \beta = 0.17; p < 0.001$) and environment ($r^2 = 0.28; \beta = 0.29; p < 0.0001$) domains.

**Chi-square test with Yates' correction**

**Student's t test to compare means of independent samples**

**Pearson's Chi-square test**

*Highest socioeconomic class according to the Economic Classification Criterion – Brazil, 2006*
and for a fairly specific instrument to screen for depressive symptoms (BDI). We believe that, with this, we performed an adequate separation between the results related to the different constructs.

The design of our study does not allow for the establishment of causal relationships. Based on the findings presented, we cannot state whether poorer QOL determines the occurrence of depressive symptoms or vice-versa. Only longitudinal studies can answer this question. Even so, the present investigation reinforces the importance of detecting and treating depressive symptoms in clinical patients in order to preserve their QOL, as well as to prevent health impairments associated with high levels of depressive symptoms.

**Conclusion**

We could conclude that the presence of depressive symptoms in this Brazilian sample had a deeper impact on QOL than did the presence of clinical conditions. Moreover, we could ascertain that socioeconomic conditions must be taken into account when studying QOL in Brazilian samples.

---

**Table 2 - Comparison of WHOQOL-100 domains between groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHOQOL domains</th>
<th>Chronic health conditions</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>t-test/DF</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 122 Mean (SD)</td>
<td>N = 119 Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>50.02 (15.10)</td>
<td>64.25 (13.64)</td>
<td>-7.67/239</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>62.72 (12.25)</td>
<td>69.10 (11.82)</td>
<td>-4.11/239</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence level</td>
<td>55.76 (19.43)</td>
<td>80.24 (11.25)</td>
<td>-12.01/239</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Relationships</td>
<td>87.68 (13.97)</td>
<td>72.67 (12.43)</td>
<td>-2.93/239</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>56.91 (10.90)</td>
<td>63.48 (10.42)</td>
<td>-4.78/239</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>71.33 (18.93)</td>
<td>68.96 (20.49)</td>
<td>0.93/239</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General QOL</td>
<td>68.18 (12.32)</td>
<td>79.29 (12.04)</td>
<td>6.97/239</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t-test for independent samples

---

particularly in developing countries like Brazil, where social differences are striking.\textsuperscript{28,29,30,31,32} When assessing clinical patients in Brazil, clinicians and policy makers must be aware that a share of the patients’ suffering may stem from poor socioeconomic conditions, and thus interventions should not be strictly focused on the relief of symptoms by the use of medication, since the data indicate that it is important to implement planned psychosocial interventions.\textsuperscript{37}

An important potential limitation of our study is the recruitment method used. Although the use of the snowball technique could be a source of bias, a case-control study on drug abuse supported the idea that this technique can produce valid findings.\textsuperscript{40}

There is an ongoing discussion in the literature regarding the relationship between the QOL and depression constructs. Some authors have even suggested that they are redundant constructs.\textsuperscript{41} Empirical data suggest that, although interconnected, these constructs behave differently with regard to treatment response.\textsuperscript{42} For instance, the use of the WHOQOL-100 to assess QOL after eight weeks of treatment with antidepressants showed improvement in all QOL domains, but there was only a moderate correlation between the perceived changes in QOL and the changes in depression.\textsuperscript{43,44}

The confusion between constructs described above is reflected in the selection of instruments to evaluate QOL and depressive symptoms in research settings. In this study, we opted for a comprehensive scale (WHOQOL-100) to assess the distinct domains of QOL that are not necessarily linked with depression, and for a fairly specific instrument to screen for depressive symptoms (BDI). We believe that, with this, we performed an adequate separation between the results related to the different constructs.

The design of our study does not allow for the establishment of causal relationships. Based on the findings presented, we cannot state whether poorer QOL determines the occurrence of depressive symptoms or vice-versa. Only longitudinal studies can answer this question. Even so, the present investigation reinforces the importance of detecting and treating depressive symptoms in clinical patients in order to preserve their QOL, as well as to prevent health impairments associated with high levels of depressive symptoms.

**Conclusion**

We could conclude that the presence of depressive symptoms in this Brazilian sample had a deeper impact on QOL than did the presence of clinical conditions. Moreover, we could ascertain that socioeconomic conditions must be taken into account when studying QOL in Brazilian samples.

---

**Table 3 - Correlations* between WHOQOL-100 domains and main variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Physical</th>
<th>Psychological</th>
<th>Independence level</th>
<th>Social relationships</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Spirituality</th>
<th>General QOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.29***</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI score</td>
<td>-0.39***</td>
<td>-0.59***</td>
<td>-0.38***</td>
<td>-0.46***</td>
<td>-0.34***</td>
<td>-0.33***</td>
<td>-0.48***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic health conditions</td>
<td>-0.32***</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.49***</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted correlations (Beta) by Multiple Linear Regression Models
** p < 0.05
*** p < 0.001
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