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Abstract
Objective: As insomnia is highly prevalent, and side effects of medication are well-known, mind-body interventions are increasingly being sought. The objective of this study is to present a narrative review regarding the effects of mind-body interventions for the treatment of insomnia.

Method: A PubMed search was conducted including only randomized, controlled trials in which the main objective was to treat insomnia.

Discussion: Twelve studies were selected. In three of the studies, objective parameters (polysomnography) were analyzed. Mind-body interventions were able to improve sleep efficiency and total sleep time. Most can ameliorate sleep quality; some can reduce the use of hypnotic drugs in those who are dependent on these drugs.

Conclusion: According to the studies we selected, self-reported sleep was improved by all mind-body treatments, among them yoga, relaxation, Tai Chi Chih and music. Cognitive behavioral therapy seems to be the most effective mind-body intervention. Cognitive behavioral therapy was the only intervention that showed better results than medication. However, considering that only five of the twelve studies chosen reached a score of 3 in the Jadad scale, new studies with a higher methodological quality have to be conducted especially in mind-body interventions that belong to the complementary or alternative medicine field.

Descriptors: Sleep; Cognitive therapy; Mind-body therapies; Psychotherapy; Evaluation of the efficacy-effectiveness of interventions

Resumo
Objetivo: Considerando-se que a insônia é altamente prevalente, e os efeitos colaterais das medicações para seu tratamento são bem conhecidos, pesquisas no campo das intervenções mente-corpo têm sido desenvolvidas. O objetivo deste estudo é apresentar uma revisão narrativa sobre os efeitos das intervenções mente-corpo para o tratamento da insônia.

Método: Uma busca pelo site Pubmed foi conduzida incluindo-se apenas estudos controlados e randomizados nos quais o principal objetivo era o tratamento da insônia.

Discussão: Doze estudos foram selecionados. Em três deles, parâmetros objetivos (polissonografia) foram analisados. Vários destes estudos mostraram melhora na qualidade do sono; em grupos de usuários de drogas hipnóticas ocorreu redução no uso das mesmas.

Conclusão: De acordo com os estudos selecionados, todas as intervenções mente-corpo melhoraram ao menos a qualidade subjetiva do sono, dentre elas yoga, T aí Chi Chih e música. A terapia comportamental cognitiva parece ser a mais efetiva destas intervenções e foi a única que mostrou melhores resultados que a medicação. Porém, considerando que apenas cinco dos 12 estudos selecionados alcançaram o escore 3 na escala de Jadad, novos estudos com melhor qualidade metodológica precisam ser conduzidos especialmente dentre as intervenções mente-corpo que estão no campo das práticas complementares ou alternativas.

Descritores: Sonos; Terapia cognitiva; Terapia mente-corpo; Psicoterapia; Avaliação de eficácia-efetividade de intervenções

Introduction
Insomnia can mean different things depending on the clinical picture presented by the subject. Insomnia can be a complaint (related to sleep quantity or quality), a symptom (part of a sleep disorder or of a mental or organic disorder) or a diagnosed
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sleep disorder (primary or secondary), indicating the need for a differential diagnosis process. Some epidemiological studies have attempted to define these distinctions.\textsuperscript{1,3} The DSM-IV describes the essential features of insomnia disorder as follows: a predominant complaint of insomnia that lasts for at least one month and that causes significant distress or daytime impairments in social, occupational or other sectors of daily life.\textsuperscript{1,3,6}

Concerning sleep quality, 25.3\% of the general population were dissatisfied with their sleep, 29.9\% reported insomnia symptoms and 9.5\% met criteria for an insomnia syndrome. While few insomnia sufferers seek professional consultations, many individuals initiate self-help treatments, particularly when daytime impairments such as fatigue become more noticeable.\textsuperscript{7}

Insomnia is associated with increased psychological symptomatology and perceived stress, higher predisposition to arousal and higher impairment of health quality.\textsuperscript{8} Insomnia has a close association with depression and anxiety.\textsuperscript{9}

Chronic insomnia affects approximately 9\% to 12\% of the population.\textsuperscript{4,10,11} Hypnotic drugs are generally prescribed to patients with insomnia. The frequency with which sleep medication is prescribed vary widely.\textsuperscript{12,13} Prescription rates of anxiolytic, hypnotic and sedative medications increased sharply over the last half decade, and zolpidem is increasingly prescribed for insomnia for the general population. Gender differences in prescription rates reflect the higher prevalence of anxiety and sleep disorders in women. The frequent use of these drugs in elderly people warrants further exploration because of the concomitant increased risks of mortality and morbidity.\textsuperscript{15}

A meta-analysis of studies on sedative hypnotics (which typically include benzodiazepines) has shown that these drugs caused a number of side effects when used repeatedly for as little as a few weeks. Side effects included habituation, physical and psychological dependency, daytime psychomotor and cognitive impairment, daytime drowsiness or anxiety, iatrogenic sleep disturbance (involving suppression of slow-wave sleep and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep) and rebound insomnia upon the abrupt discontinuation of sedative hypnotic therapy.\textsuperscript{16}

In addition, the use of non-pharmacological interventions has been increasing in the general population. According to a nationwide government survey, approximately 38\% of U.S. adults aged 18 years and over and approximately 12\% of children use some form of complementary or alternative medicine (CAM). CAM is a group of diverse medical and health care systems, practices and products that is not generally considered part of conventional medicine. Complementary medicine is used together with conventional medicine, while alternative medicine is used in place of conventional medicine. Integrative medicine combines conventional and CAM treatments for which there is evidence of safety and effectiveness.\textsuperscript{17}

Mind-body interventions use a variety of techniques designed to enhance the mind’s capacity to affect bodily function and symptoms. Some techniques that were considered CAM in the past have become part of the mainstream [for example, patient support groups and cognitive behavior therapy (CBT)]. Other mind-body techniques are still considered CAM, including meditation, prayer, mental healing and therapies that use creative outlets such as art, music or dance.\textsuperscript{16}

It is hypothesized that mind-body interventions reduce sympathetic nervous system activity and increase parasympathetic nervous system activity, thereby restoring homeostasis in the balance of sympathetic/parasympathetic function. Mind–body techniques, including the relaxation response and cognitive restructuring, have been shown to be effective for the treatment of chronic insomnia.\textsuperscript{17} Mind-body interventions involving cognitive restructuring in the treatment of sleep maintenance in older adults with insomnia were more effective in the long term as compared to pharmacotherapy. The effects of pharmacotherapy were essentially limited to the period during which patients were taking the drug.\textsuperscript{18}

Use of a mind-body intervention can be combined with pharmacotherapy in the treatment of insomnia. Studies examining the relative advantages of CBT and pharmacotherapy have found that improvements may be achieved more quickly with drug treatment; however, improvements are more sustained with CBT. Combining modes of treatment is not necessarily superior to monotherapy because long-term effects can vary between patients. Deciding which treatment to initiate first, or whether to run treatments concurrently, depends on factors such as the nature of the insomnia, treatment history, comorbid conditions, acceptability of treatment to the patient and treatment cost or availability.\textsuperscript{19}

The objective of this study is to present a narrative review about the effects of mind-body interventions on the treatment of insomnia.

Method

A PubMed search was conducted by cross-referencing the terms (“mind-body” or “relaxation” or “mindfulness” or “yoga” or “tai chi” or “meditation” or “MBSR” or “prayer” or “mental healing” or “art” or “music” or “dance” or “hypnosis” or “biofeedback” or “imagery”) and (“insomnia”). The search was limited to English language articles published between 2000 and 2009. We found 156 articles in this search and then selected randomized, controlled trials in which the main objective was to treat insomnia. Secondary insomnia was not considered in this review (for example, insomnia in cancer patients or insomnia related to alcoholism).

The instrument used in this review to assess the quality of randomized, controlled trials was the Jadad scale, which analyzes the adopted criteria of randomization, allocation concealment (blinding) and monitors losses and/or deletions occurred.\textsuperscript{20} The Jadad scale is a numerical scale ranging from 0 to 5 in which 0 indicates null methodological quality, 1 indicates very low methodological quality, 2 indicates low methodological quality, 3 indicates regular methodological quality, 4 indicates good methodological quality and 5 indicates very good methodological quality.
Table 1 - Twelve articles which focus on the effects of mind-body interventions on the treatment of insomnia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Form of treatment</th>
<th>Main outcomes/Jadad scale score (JSS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vincent and Lewicky (2009)</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Adults with chronic insomnia</td>
<td>Online treatment (psychoeducation, sleep hygiene, and stimulus control, etc.) or waiting list *</td>
<td>The treatment produced improvements in the primary end points of sleep quality, insomnia severity and daytime fatigue, dysfunctional beliefs about sleep. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwin et al. (2008)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Older adults with moderate sleep complaints</td>
<td>Tai Chi Chih** or health education</td>
<td>Tai Chi Chih condition showed significant improvements in the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), habitual sleep efficiency, sleep duration and sleep disturbance. JSS 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmat et al. (2008)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Students with sleep complaints</td>
<td>Classical music, audiobook or no intervention</td>
<td>Music statistically significantly improved sleep quality as measured by the PSQI and depressive symptoms. Other groups did not improve in these parameters. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soffing et al. (2008)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Insomniac hypnotic-dependent older adults</td>
<td>Cognitive-behavioral intervention-CBI (relaxation, stimulus control or sleep hygiene) or placebo</td>
<td>CBI showed significant improvement compared with placebo for: sleep onset latency, wake time after sleep onset and sleep efficiency and clinically meaningful improvement. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sivestien et al. (2006)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Older adults with chronic primary insomnia</td>
<td>CBT (sleep hygiene, sleep restriction, stimulus control, cognitive therapy and relaxation), 7.5-mg zopiclone each night or placebo medication</td>
<td>CBT group spent much more time in slow-wave sleep and spent less time awake during the night as compared with other groups. Total sleep time was similar in all three groups; at six months, patients receiving CBT had better sleep efficiency than did those taking zopiclone. JSS 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manjunath and Telles (2005)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Geriatric population</td>
<td>Yoga, ayurvedic herbal preparation or waiting list group</td>
<td>The yoga group showed a significant decrease in the time taken to fall asleep, an increase in the total number of hours slept and in the feeling of being rested in the morning after six months. Other groups: no significant changes. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al. (2004)</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>Long-term hypnotic drug users</td>
<td>CBT-treated sleep clinic group and no additional treatment control group</td>
<td>CBT improves sleep quality, reduce hypnotic drug use and health-related quality of life and persists for at least one year among the more treatment-adherent patients. JSS 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waters et al. (2003)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Chronic insomnia</td>
<td>Progressive relaxation + cognitive distraction (PMR/CD), sleep restriction + stimulus control (SR/SC), flurazepam or a sleep hygiene (SHE).</td>
<td>Results indicated that PMR/CD had a greater effect on sleep onset than did SR/SC and SHE. SR/SC had a greater effect on sleep maintenance than did PMR/CD, while MED was better than the other treatments. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al. (2003)</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>Long-term hypnotic drug users</td>
<td>CBT-treated sleep clinic group or no additional treatment control group</td>
<td>At follow-ups, long-term hypnotic users with chronic sleep difficulties treated with CBT reported significant reductions in sleep latency, improvements in sleep efficiency and reductions in the hypnotic drug use. JSS 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallesen et al. (2003)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Older adults with insomnia</td>
<td>Sleep hygiene plus stimulus control or sleep hygiene plus relaxation tape (after a period of non-intervention for half of the sample).</td>
<td>No significant changes were observed during the waiting-list period. Effects of treatment were greater for nocturnal measures as compared to daytime measures and non-targeted behavior (medication use). There were no differences in treatment effects for the two interventions. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinger et al. (2001)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Persistent primary sleep-maintenance insomnia</td>
<td>CBT, progressive muscle relaxation training or a sham behavioral intervention</td>
<td>CBT was effective for reducing dysfunctional beliefs about sleep than other treatments and these changes endured throughout the follow-up period. JSS 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichstein et al. (2001)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Older adults with insomnia</td>
<td>Relaxation, sleep compression or placebo desensitization</td>
<td>All treatments improved self-reported sleep but objective sleep was unchanged. Sleep compression was most effective. JSS 2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Waiting list: no treatment; ** a westernized and simplified version of Tai Chi.
Results

The search is summarized in Table 1. Twelve articles were identified which matched our inclusion criteria. Subjects mainly belonged to the following two categories: older adults or hypnotic-dependent patients. In order to review the efficacy of mind-body interventions that were not mainstream, “sleep hygiene” and “CBT” were not terms directly searched in this review, however, they were the most frequently used forms of mind-body treatment for insomnia which matched our inclusion criteria.

Only two studies compared mind-body interventions with medication. In one of these studies, CBT showed better results than zopiclone;25 in the other study, flurazepam was superior to progressive muscle relaxation plus cognitive distraction (PMR/CD), sleep restriction and stimulus control (SR/SC) or sleep hygiene education.28 Most of the studies compared mind-body intervention with no treatment (waiting-list control). However, two studies showed that mind-body interventions can improve sleep quality of long-term hypnotic drug users.24,27 In one of these studies users demonstrated a reduced consumption of these drugs.27

Discussion

1. Polysomnography (PSG)

One aspect that must be discussed with regard to the cited articles is the way in which results were measured. Diagnosis of insomnia is based on clinical reports. We can measure the results of interventions through questionnaires or through objective findings such as PSG. In this review, three of the twelve studies (clinically controlled and randomized) used PSG to measure results of interventions. In one of the studies objective sleep was unchanged after relaxation, sleep compression or placebo desensitization, although all treatments improved subjective sleep quality.32 Nevertheless, in another study, CBT showed better sleep efficiency in PSG than in patients taking zopiclone. In the same study, the CBT group exhibited an increase in sleep stages 3 and 4 and a decrease in time awake during the PSG as compared to the zopiclone or placebo groups.25 In another study, cognitive changes were correlated with improvements noted on both objective (PSG) and subjective measures of insomnia symptoms, particularly within the CBT group.31

2. Questionnaires

Other studies used questionnaires to evaluate outcomes of therapeutic interventions. Using specific questionnaires for insomnia, such as insomnia severity or the PSQI, online treatment, psychoeducation, stimulus control instruction, sleep restriction, relaxation training and classical music were all found to lead to improvements in these two measures.21,23 Subjects in the Tai chi group showed improvement in the PSQI.22

A reduction in sleep latency, an increase in sleep efficiency (calculated using questionnaire responses) and a significant reduction in the frequency of hypnotic drug use in the CBT group were observed.29 In another study, the CBT group (as compared to sleep hygiene or placebo) showed improvements in the following parameters: sleep onset, wake time after sleep onset and sleep efficiency (calculated using the PSQI).24 CBT was effective for reducing dysfunctional beliefs about sleep and changes were associated with other positive outcomes in insomnia treatment.31 Yoga led to an increase in the number of hours of sleep and in the feeling of being rested in the morning, but the waiting-list control group (no intervention) and the ayurveda (a herbal preparation) group did not show increased hours of sleep.26

A sleep diary was used to evaluate a group exposed to sleep hygiene with a stimulus control, and it was compared to that of a group exposed to sleep hygiene and a relaxation tape. The authors observed that the effects of both treatments were greater for nocturnal measures as compared to daytime measures. However, there were no differences in treatment interventions when compared one to another.30

3. Follow-up

One important aspect to analyze is the follow-up. In one study of maintenance insomnia, 25.8% of subjects demonstrated clinically significant improvements at the six-month follow-up in both interventions (sleep hygiene + stimulus control vs. sleep hygiene + relaxation tape). Changes from pretreatment to follow-up were generally significant, showing that the treatment gains were stable and long-lasting.30

In another study, the positive outcomes of CBT appeared to be robust over time, persisting for at least one year among more treatment-adherent patients.27

Patients who practised yoga for six months felt rested in the morning based on a rating scale. This was not observed in the ayurvedic herbal group or in the waiting-list group.26

4. General comments

According to the studies we selected, all mind-body treatments improved self-reported sleep. However, some treatments, like relaxation or sleep compression, did not lead to improvements in objective sleep.32

Considering the side effects of hypnotic drugs, especially in elderly patients, research on mind-body interventions in hypnotic drug dependents is very relevant.33 Both studies on this topic showed that these interventions can ameliorate sleep quality and reduce the use of hypnotic drugs.24-27 These results offer an important example of how mind-body interventions can help allopathic medicine.

A cost-effective method for treating insomnia is an online intervention that includes psychoeducation, sleep hygiene, stimulus control instruction, sleep restriction treatment, relaxation training, cognitive therapy and help with medication tapering. Online treatment produced improvements in the primary end points of sleep quality, insomnia severity and daytime fatigue. This online treatment also produced significant changes in pre-sleep cognitive arousal and dysfunctional beliefs about sleep. This is a promising modality of mind-body intervention even though the lack of an active control limited the conclusions that could be drawn from this study.31
Sleep hygiene is the most frequently cited intervention in these articles. It is presented along with other interventions like CBT or an active control. Considering that many insomniacs present “bad” habits before sleep, these instructions per se can change the quality of sleep.

CBT seems to be the most complete and effective mind-body intervention, including relaxation, other behavioral techniques and cognitive therapy. Considering that insomniac patients have difficulty falling asleep because of multiple factors (for example, intrusive thoughts, dysfunctional beliefs, anxiety, stress and physical discomfort), the components of CBT can improve at least some of these factors. CBT was the only intervention that showed better results than medication. Half of the studies reviewed tested the effects of CBT on insomnia. The other studies focused on therapies such as music, Yoga, Tai Chi Chih (a westernized and standardized version of Tai Chi, consisting of 20 simple and separate movements), relaxation and sleep hygiene.

On one hand, only five of the twelve chosen studies reached a score of 3 on the Jadad scale. That is, only five studies attained regular methodological quality. On the other hand, we must consider that it is difficult to control mind-body interventions using the same criteria as medical interventions (for example, the blindness criterion). Analyzing mind-body interventions using the same rules of methodological quality as for medical interventions can lead to the misinterpretation that mind-body intervention studies are not “good science.” In some cases, researchers are doing the best science that can be done when interventions include involvement with the clients or patients. These human qualities, including empathy, beliefs, humanization of the environment and attendance are required more and more in the health care system. Another scale for evaluation must be developed to look at the methodological quality of these interventions.

Conclusion
In this review, studies presented different instruments used in the evaluation of the outcome of interventions for insomnia. Subjects were selected differently in each study. Different therapies were analyzed; in addition, the time of treatment varied from one study to another. However, some important implications for practice and for research are presented below.

1. Implications for practice
Collectively, mind-body therapies were able to improve sleep quality in terms of subjective and/or objective findings. Among these studies, the more methodologically sound studies showed that CBT could improve insomnia symptoms. CBT seems to be the most complete and effective mind-body intervention, and was the only intervention that showed better results than medication. Only a few studies about mind-body interventions that are not mainstream (CBT or sleep hygiene) reached the inclusion criteria for this study. Among them yoga, tai chi chih, relaxation and music presented positive results in the treatment of insomnia symptoms. Research into practices that belong to CAM generally presented lack of adequate randomization or control and could not be included in this review.

2. Implications for research
Considering that only five of the twelve studies chosen reached a score of 3 on the Jadad scale, researchers in the mind-body field should discuss the best methodological control that can be attained in these studies. In the future, more precise methodological scales to evaluate the quality standards of these interventions should be developed.
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