Applicability of the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test to an adult sample in Brazil
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Abstract

Objective: The Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test, which is used to evaluate learning and memory, is a widely recognized tool in the general literature on neuropsychology. This paper aims at presenting the performance of Brazilian adult subjects on the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test, and was written after we published a previous study on the performance of Brazilian elderly subjects on this same test. Method: A version of the test, featuring a list of high-frequency one-syllable and two-syllable concrete Portuguese substantives, was developed. Two hundred and forty-three (243) subjects from both genders were allocated to 6 different age groups (20-24; 25-29; 30-34; 35-44; 45-54 and 55-60 years old). They were then tested using the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test. Results: Performance on the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test showed a positive correlation with educational level and a negative correlation with age. Women performed significantly better than men. When applied across similar age ranges, our results were similar to those recorded for the English version of the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test. Conclusion: Our results suggest that the adaptation of the Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test to Brazilian Portuguese is appropriate and that it is applicable to Brazilian subjects for memory capacity evaluation purposes and across similar age groups and educational levels.
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Resumo

Objetivo: O Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey é um teste mundialmente reconhecido na literatura neuropsicológica que avalia o aprendizado e a memória. Na sequência de um estudo anterior, que apresentou o desempenho dos idosos brasileiros no Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey, este trabalho apresenta o desempenho dos adultos brasileiros no Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey. Método: Uma versão do teste foi desenvolvida com uma lista de alta frequência, com uma ou duas sílabas de substantivos concretos do português do Brasil. Duzentos e quarenta e três (243) indivíduos de ambos os sexos foram alocados para seis faixas etárias (20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-44, 45-54 e 55-60 anos) e avaliados com o Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey. Resultados: O grau de escolaridade e idade mostrou correlação positiva e negativa, respectivamente, com o desempenho no Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey. Conclusão: Nossos resultados sugerem que a adaptação do Teste de Aprendizagem Auditivo-Verbal de Rey para o português brasileiro é adequada e aplicável para avaliação da capacidade de memória de indivíduos brasileiros, com idade e nível educacional similar.
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**Introduction**

The Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) is a useful and easy to perform neuropsychological task based on a wordlist-based learning paradigm. The test measures several components of verbal memory such as immediate recall, verbal learning, susceptibility to both proactive and retroactive interference, retention of information after a certain period of time during which other activities are performed, and recognition memory.

RAVLT was originally developed by Rey and later modified by authors from several countries, where the test has been recognized as a useful tool for diagnosing memory disturbances.\(^3\)\(^5\)

In countries where English is the main spoken language, there are several studies providing parameters for result interpretation\(^4\)\(^7\) when the test is applied to different age groups. In Brazil, normative standards have been developed for the 16 to 89 year-old age bracket.\(^8\) Nonetheless, the cited study adopted a translated version of the wordlist originally used in English speaking countries, without considering both the frequency at which these translated words are used in Brazilian Portuguese and the number of syllables of each word from the lists. Another version used a list of high-frequency, one or two-syllable Portuguese substantives\(^9\) as stimuli to assess the performance of Brazilian senior citizens aged 60 to 89 years.\(^10\) The rationale behind the inclusion of words that frequently appear in Portuguese was that the test would be used to evaluate individuals from all social groups and educational levels. This study aims at assessing how well adults aged 20 to 59 years can perform on this new version of the RAVLT.

**Method**

1. **Participants and procedure**

Two hundred and forty-three individuals from both genders whose ages varied between 20 and 59 years-old were evaluated. Enrollment in the study was made possible through local advertisements placed at two university campuses and one social club. Subjects were distributed into seven age-based groups: 20-24; 25-29; 30-34; 35-44; 45-54 and 55-59 years old. Participants did not refer any cognitive impairment, psychiatric or neurological disturbances, and had not been on any psychotropic medication for at least three weeks. This information was obtained using a semi-structured interview, which was carried out before the memory assessment. All subjects had received at least eight years of formal education.

The RAVLT was applied and corrected according to the same process previously described in Malloy-Diniz et al.\(^10\)

2. **Approval by the Ethics Committee**

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Hospital Felício Rocho, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil (CEP/FR 129/05). All participants signed a consent form declaring that they had been properly informed of the purposes of the study.

3. **Statistical analysis of the results**

After calculating the respective mean and standard deviations, a descriptive statistical analysis was carried out for each measure. A General Linear Model (UNIANOVA) was developed using gender, age group and educational level i.e., elementary school, secondary school (incomplete), secondary school (complete), undergraduate or graduate studies (incomplete) and undergraduate or graduate studies (complete) as factors, and each RAVLT measure as the dependent variable. The performance of each age group was compared to that of another group to look for differences found for each RAVLT cognitive variable. A value of \(p < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant for all tests.

**Results**

The parametric distribution nature was confirmed based on the interpretation of the Skewness and Kurtosis indices for the data’s descriptive analysis. The analysis of the age-group factor showed significant differences in the following RAVLT measures: \(A1 [F = 3.022 (p = 0.007)]; A3 [F = 2.310 (p = 0.034)]; A6 [F = 2.317 (p = 0.034)]; B1 [F = 5.943 (p < 0.001)]; A7 [F = 2.539 (p = 0.021)]; recognition [F = 2.571 (p = 0.019)]\) and learning during the attempts \(F = 2.197 (p = 0.044)\). The other measures didn’t show significant differences, as follow: \(A2 [F = 1.919 (p = 0.078)]; A4 [F = 1.157 (p = 0.330)]; A5 [F = 0.938 (p = 0.468)]; proactive interference \([F = 0.750 (p = 0.610)];\) retroactive interference \([F = 1.682 (p < 0.126)];\) forgetting speed \([F = 0.904 (p = 0.492)]\).

Fisher LSD post-hoc analysis revealed that, for the A1 variable, the 20-24 and the 25-29 age groups outperformed the 35-44, 45-54 and 55-59 age groups. The same occurred for B1, but the 30-34 age group performed significantly worse than the younger groups in this variable. For variables A3, A6 and recognition, only the group aged 55-59 presented different results compared to that of other groups. For A7, with the exception of the 45-54 age group, all groups presented different results compared to the 55-59 and 35-44 age group was also different from the 45-54 group. As far as the earning during the attempts measure, the 35-44 group outperformed the 25-29 and 55-59 age groups, and the 45-54 group outperformed the 25-29 group.

In all age groups, men performed significantly worse in A6 \([F = 6.451 (p = 0.012)];\) proactive interference \([F = 5.176 (p = 0.024)];\) and learning during the attempts \([F = 3.930 (p = 0.048)];\) with respect to the other measures, no difference was seen between men and women.

We found only an interaction between age group and gender in forgetting speed \([F = 2.292 (p = 0.036)];\)

The analysis of the educational-level factor showed significant differences in A1 \([F = 2.581 (p < 0.038)];\) and B1 \([F = 4.281 (p = 0.002)];\) Considering the A1 trial, Fisher LSD post-hoc analysis revealed that subjects with incomplete undergraduate or graduate studies performed better than subjects from both the complete and incomplete elementary and secondary school groups. Considering the B1 trial, subjects who had completed their undergraduate studies performed better than those who had either fully or partially attended only elementary and secondary schools. We found interaction between age group and educational level in the B1 trial \([F = 1.540 (p = 0.038)];\)
The results for the different age groups, according to gender and age, are described in Table 1.

Discussion

In general, our results are consistent with reports that age is the most influential demographic feature in RAVLT performance. However, this influence is weaker in the groups aged 20-39 and stronger in the groups aged 40-59.2,11 In our study, most measures (A3, A6, A7, recognition) showed differences only between the oldest group (55-59) and the other groups, except in the case of A7, where the performance of the 50-55 group was different from that of the 35-54 group. In A1 and B1, however, the two youngest groups outperformed the groups above 35 years of age, and in B1, the group aged 25-29 also outperformed the 30-34 age group.

Our results, therefore, suggest that age has a different impact on memory variables depending on one's stage in life. The variable learning during the attempts showed interesting results since the best performance was seen in the groups aged 35-44 and 45-54. These findings suggest that these groups performed worse than the younger groups in A1, however, it seems that their performance in trials does compensate this handicap.

As recommended by Geffen et al., proactive and retroactive interference, as well as forgetting speed, were calculated using quotients rather than differences. No differences in results were seen among age groups regarding these quotients, which is consistent with the results reported by other authors,5,12 thus indicating that age has little influence on these abilities.

The influence of the educational level was limited to A1 and B1 trials. A recent study conducted with a Brazilian adult sample suggesting that the articulatory loop of the working memory could be particularly affected by educational level found similar results for A1.13 This study also found a relationship between educational level and performance in A1, but not in B1. These discrepancies could be justified by the use of different age groups, as well as different ranges of educational level in relation to our sample. Another study identified a certain connection between educational level and performance in all RAVLT measures analyzed, except learning.14 Nonetheless, in a recent meta-analysis carried out by Mitrushina et al., educational level didn't substantially contribute to test performance.15 The relationship between educational level and other variables, such as age and intelligence, should be contemplated by future studies on RAVLT performance.

According to our results, gender also influenced performance in the RAVLT. Women outperformed men in A6, retroactive interference, and learning during the attempts. In the literature, there are reports that women either outperformed men or that women outperformed men in A6, retroactive interference, and learning during the attempts. In our results, gender also influenced performance in the RAVLT. Women outperformed men in A6, retroactive interference, and learning during the attempts. This study also found a relationship between educational level and performance in A1 and B1. These discrepancies could be justified by the use of different age groups, as well as different ranges of educational level in relation to our sample. Another study identified a certain connection between educational level and performance in all RAVLT measures analyzed, except learning.14 Nonetheless, in a recent meta-analysis carried out by Mitrushina et al., educational level didn't substantially contribute to test performance.15 The relationship between educational level and other variables, such as age and intelligence, should be contemplated by future studies on RAVLT performance.

Forgetting Speed = A7/A6. Recall (recognition memory) = correct answers identified when the individual correctly identifies that the word belongs/does not belong to list A - 35 (total distracting words).
Our findings point out to the existence of certain discrepancies between our study and that of Malloy-Diniz et al. Since we divided our subjects aged between 18 and 59 into seven different age groups, this study’s sample was better characterized compared to the previous study. Furthermore, differently from the previous study, women performed better in this study than men in certain test sets (A6, retroactive interference and learning during the attempts). Considering that Malloy-Diniz et al. used a smaller sample, this difference could be explained on the basis of this study’s sample size.

A limitation of this study is that our subjects have a high level of formal education, and that subjects with psychiatric and neurological symptoms were excluded. As a result, we could expect our sample to have a better cognitive performance compared to that of the overall population.

Studies using subjects from different socio-economic backgrounds, as well as other clinical populations are being performed to contribute with more information about this test’s validity.
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