The putative catalytic role of higher serotonin bioavailability in the clinical response to exposure and response prevention in obsessive-compulsive disorder
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Objective: Exposure and response prevention (ERP) is effective to treat obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), but the lack of tolerance to the aversion nature of exposure techniques results in a high drop-out rate. There have been reports of a generic stress endurance effect of serotonin (5-HT) in the central nervous system (CNS) which might be explained by suppression of defensive fixed action patterns. Previous studies have proposed that higher baseline 5-HT concentration and slow decrease in concentration during drug treatment of OCD were predictors of good clinical response to 5-HT reuptake inhibitors. The objective of this study was to investigate whether pre-treatment platelet rich plasma (PRP) 5-HT concentration is associated with latency of treatment response and final response to an ERP protocol for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD).

Methods: Thirty adult and treatment-free OCD patients were included in an 8-week, 16-session ERP protocol. 5-HT concentration was determined at baseline and after treatment. Patients with a reduction X 30% on the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) at the end of ERP were defined as responders.

Results: A positive correlation between baseline 5-HT concentration and reduction of symptoms on the Y-BOCS was observed after 4 weeks. Baseline 5-HT concentration was not correlated with clinical response after 8 weeks of ERP, possibly due to the similar though delayed clinical response of patients with lower (compared to those with higher) baseline 5-HT concentration. Patients with higher 5-HT baseline concentration also showed more improvement in depressive symptoms with treatment.

Conclusion: The present results partially support the hypothesis of a stress endurance effect of 5-HT in OCD patients. According to the literature, fast onset responders possibly have more or larger 5-HT containing neurons, higher endogenous 5-HT synthesis or lower monoamine oxidase activity; all these hypotheses remain to be investigated.
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increased bioavailability of 5-HT would boost corrective emotion processing\(^\text{13}\) by elevating the trigger threshold for fixed action patterns (i.e., compulsive responses), enabling different and more adaptive learned behaviors to emerge during ERP. Accordingly, patients with higher amounts of functionally active brain 5-HT would better endure exposure and achieve faster clinical response to ERP.

Pletscher & Laubscher\(^\text{15}\) and Da Prada et al.\(^\text{16}\) have demonstrated the usefulness of using platelets as a model of CNS 5-HT functioning. In fact, over 99% of blood 5-HT is contained in platelets,\(^\text{17}\) and 5-HT concentration in platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is a valid marker of CNS 5-HT bioavailability.\(^\text{18,19}\) Determination of 5-HT in PRP is safer and less invasive than direct cerebrospinal fluid measurements, and cheaper than functional neuro-imaging.\(^\text{20,21}\)

Using whole blood 5-HT concentration, Humble et al.\(^\text{20}\) and Delorme et al.\(^\text{21}\) have proposed a higher baseline 5-HT concentration and a slow decrease in concentration during drug treatment of OCD as predictors of good clinical response to 5-HT reuptake inhibitors (SRIs). However, no studies so far have focused on the possible correlation between 5-HT function (including PRP 5-HT concentration) and clinical response to validated non-pharmacological treatments like ERP in OCD.

The purpose of this study was to investigate whether PRP 5-HT concentration is associated with the latency of clinical response and final clinical response to ERP treatment in OCD patients.

**Methods**

**Participants and procedures**

The study was carried out at Programa Ansiedade (AMBAN), Instituto de Psiquiatria, Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Subjects were recruited from a sample of 88 individuals actively seeking treatment at our anxiety disorders program from February 2005 to July 2006. The inclusion criteria were: 1) meeting DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for OCD; and 2) age between 18 and 65 years. The exclusion criteria were: 1) history of psychotic symptoms or severe depression; 2) previous treatment with ERP techniques; 3) use of any drug that might interfere with 5-HT system or treatment response to ERP (i.e., sibutramine, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor [SSRIs], SRIs, or benzodiazepines) in the 3 previous months. Before inclusion, subjects were screened by experienced psychiatrists during an intake session using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders (SCID-I).\(^\text{22}\) Figure 1 shows the flow chart of patient enrollment.

Fifty-seven patients met all the inclusion criteria. These 57 patients were informed about the study design and the treatment procedures. The protocol consisted of 16 individual ERP sessions (90 minutes each), twice a week for 8 weeks (Table 1). No more than two absences were allowed during the 8-week period. On the third absence the patient was assumed to have dropped out from the study.

After the intake session, a further 27 patients were excluded: 16 began pharmacological treatments qualified as exclusion criteria (benzodiazepines in 5 patients and SSRIs in 11 patients); two patients showed severe depressive symptoms (17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression [HAM-D-17] \(\geq 18\))\(^\text{23}\); and nine patients refused to participate in the study stating the following reasons: non-acceptance of exposure procedures (\(n=5\)), no time availability for two therapy sessions per week (\(n=2\)), and no financial means to commute to the clinic twice a week (\(n=1\)). Thus, 30 outpatients joined the ERP protocol. All ERP sessions and data collection occurred between August 2005 and December 2006.

**Efficacy measures**

A single independent rater (with no additional roles in the trial) blinded to 5-HT measurements conducted all assessments of efficacy measures. Three assessments were performed: 1) before the first ERP session (pretest); at the 4th treatment week (mid-treatment); and in the last session, at the 8th treatment week (end-treatment).

The primary efficacy measure selected for obsessive-compulsive symptoms was the total score of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS).\(^\text{24}\) Patients who were found to have a reduction of 30% or greater in Y-BOCS score were defined as responders, whereas those with a reduction below 30% were defined as non-responders. The HAM-D-17\(^\text{23}\) was used for assessment of depressive symptoms, and the Patient Rated Anxiety Scale (PRAS)\(^\text{25}\) for assessment of anxiety symptoms. Additionally, we measured the impact of treatment on patient functioning using the Sheehan Disability Scale.\(^\text{26}\) All measures have been empirically validated for Brazilian Portuguese and are widely used in clinical trials of psychopathological conditions.

**PRP 5-HT concentration analysis**

Blood was collected 1 week before treatment and upon the final therapy session. Blood was collected in 7.5-mL EDTA tubes. Blood samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 300 x g. PRP was stored at -80°C until dosage. Platelet count was performed using an automated cell counter (Beckman Coulter) for normalization of results. 5-HT concentration was measured with a Shimadzu 10Vp system in conjunction with a fluorescence detector (Shimadzu RF-10A XL). Standards for 5-HT were prepared by dissolving different amounts of 5-HT hydrochloride (Sigma) in plasma.

**Statistical analysis**

Normality assumption was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Pearson’s correlation test was used to verify correlations between PRP 5-HT (baseline and post-treatment concentrations) and treatment response based on the Y-BOCS percentage variation. Patients who were found to have a reduction of 30% or greater in Y-BOCS score were defined as responders, whereas those with a reduction below 30% were defined as non-responders.
Treatment responders and non-responders were compared regarding other psychopathological measures using a t-test for independent samples. Significance was established as alpha = 5% in all cases.

Ethics and protocol approval

The study protocol is in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for research with human subjects and was approved by the Hospital Research Ethics Committee. All participants gave written informed consent.

Results

Attrition

Thirty patients started the treatment. One patient initiated concomitant SSRI treatment during the ERP protocol and was excluded from all analyses. Four additional patients (13.8%) were unwilling to proceed with the exposure sessions and dropped out. Two dropped out after mid-treatment and were included in the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis (n=27) using the last observation carried forward (LOCF). Completer analysis included 25 patients.

Data from one patient was removed from the baseline 5-HT sample analyses due to problems during laboratory platelet extraction. Thus, the sample size for the baseline 5-HT concentration analysis was n=26 on week 4 and n=24 on week 8.

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample

Of the 28 patients who had their baseline 5-HT concentration analyzed, 16 were female (57.1%), 17 were single (60.7%), 13 had a college degree (46.4%), and 14 were working at the time of the study (50%). Mean age (± SD) was 39.5±10.4 years.
Considering all the 30 patients who initiated the trial, the mean age at onset of obsessive symptoms was 15.9±8.6 years, 16.4±8.7 years for compulsive symptoms, 24.6±10.2 years for perception of impairment, and 34.5±12.2 years for treatment seeking. The most common psychiatric comorbidity was depression (n=3), followed by social phobia (n=2), simple phobia (n=2, one patient with animal subtype, one with claustrophobia) and bipolar spectrum disorder (n=1).

Only three patients had undergone previous SRI or SSRI treatment.

Efficacy analysis

Considering the 25 completers, 17 (68%) patients responded to treatment (reduction of 30% or greater in Y-BOCS score), whereas 8 (32%) did not respond. Responders showed higher decreases in generalized anxiety (PRAS) (t = -2.88 p = 0.01) and family disability (t = -3.75 p = 0.01) severity scores (Sheehan Scale), whereas no differences were found between the groups regarding the change scores recorded for work and social disability (Sheehan Scale) and depressive symptoms severity (HAM-D-17).

The two drop-out patients who were included in the ITT analysis did not respond to treatment. Therefore, 10 (37%) patients were considered as non-responders, whereas the number of responders remained the same (17 patients, 63%) as that of the completer analysis (95% confidence interval [95%CI] 0.45-0.81). The finding of more pronounced decreases in generalized anxiety (t = -2.64 p = 0.01) and family disability (t = -3.05 p = 0.01) severity scores detected by completer analysis was maintained in ITT analysis.

PRP 5-HT concentration and clinical outcome

Baseline 5-HT concentration was not correlated with clinical improvement (i.e., percentage Y-BOCS change from baseline) after 8 weeks of ERP (r = -0.29; p = 0.14). Nevertheless, higher baseline 5-HT concentration correlated with more pronounced decrease in Y-BOCS scores (percentage change from baseline) after 4 weeks of ERP (r = -0.42; p = 0.03) (Figure 2). A correlation between higher baseline PRP 5-HT concentration and decrease in depression symptom severity (HAM-D-17) was found after 8 weeks, both in completer (r = -0.42; p = 0.04) and ITT samples analyses (r = -0.45; p = 0.02) (Figure 3).

Table 2 summarizes the descriptive statistics for baseline 5-HT and Y-BOCS variations.

Discussion

The relatively broad range of clinical response rates reported in the literature (60 to 83%) for ERP might be explained by differences in the response criteria adopted by each study. Although most studies have used percent reduction in the Y-BOCS as the clinical response criteria, the cutoff point for response has varied from 20 to 50% reduction in Y-BOCS score. A review\textsuperscript{27} focusing on this issue has proposed that a reduction ≥ 30% in Y-BOCS score after treatment appears to be optimal for determining clinical improvement. This cutoff point has thus been
employed as a standard definition of treatment response in order to facilitate cross-study comparisons. Thus, despite of a relatively large confidence interval for percent of responders (95%CI 0.45-0.81), the ERP efficacy in this trial can be considered average compared with the rate of clinical response reported by Lewin et al.3

Similar findings were obtained with completer and ITT analysis. This suggests the absence of attrition bias. Responders had higher decreases in generalized anxiety and family disability severity scores (completer and ITT analysis). These results were expected, considering that anxiety habituation is the focus of exposure exercises and OCD rituals are often related to family disability. Possibly, the lack of difference between groups regarding work and social disability is due to the fairly low rate of participants working during treatment period (50%).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating PRP 5-HT concentration as a predictor of outcome in patients treated with psychotherapy. In the studied sample, OCD patients with higher baseline PRP 5-HT concentration experienced faster onset of clinical response (at 4 weeks) to ERP. Interestingly, baseline 5-HT concentration was not correlated with clinical response at week 8, in spite of sustained clinical improvement of all patients that responded to ERP at week 4. The main hypotheses are: 1) the better clinical response in higher baseline 5-HT concentration patients would be sustained at week 8 in a larger sample; or 2) despite increased stress endurance of those patients with higher baseline 5-HT, this advantage might have been overcome by other non-biochemical factors (e.g., good therapeutic alliance). Other larger sample and well-designed studies are needed to confirm these hypotheses. In addition, we observed that higher baseline 5-HT concentrations also predicted decrease of depressive symptoms after 8 weeks of ERP.

The higher baseline concentration of 5-HT in patients with faster onset of clinical response found in this sample is consonant with the results reported by both Humble et al.20 and Delorme et al.21 regarding whole blood 5-HT concentration measurements and SRIs treatment. These similarities suggest a role of 5-HT in regulating the timing of improvement following both pharmacological and behavioral treatments. Conversely, despite previous reports of similar post-treatment effects of both SRIs and ERP on normalization of glucose metabolism in successfully treated OCD patients,28 we did not observe a decrease in 5-HT concentration as reported by Humble et al.20 and Delorme et al.21

Humble et al.20 and Delorme et al.21 hypothesized that a more active 5-HT transporter in faster onset responders would explain the higher 5-HT platelet concentration and faster decreases following SRI treatment. However, in the present study, in which there was no pharmacological manipulation of the 5-HT system, we hypothesize that patients with faster onset of response had a higher baseline pool of bioavailable 5-HT resulting from the presence of more or larger 5-HT containing neurons, higher endogenous 5-HT synthesis or lower monoamine oxidase activity, all of which remain to be investigated.

The present study results are in accordance with Graeff’s5,29 postulated roles of 5-HT in modulating the brain defense systems. 5-HT would enhance learned responses to distal threat expressed by the forebrain while inhibiting unconditioned responses (e.g., primitive fixed action patterns) to proximal threat expressed by the periaqueductal gray matter (PAG).

According to this model, higher 5-HT bioavailability would enhance emotional processing (i.e., habituation) during exposure, while undermining defensive fixed action patterns such as rituals in threatening situations.5 Conversely, an intrinsically reduced 5-HT bioavailability
might impair the tolerance of some individuals to aversive states such as those induced by exposure exercises, restraining the learning of alternative skills to cope with aversive situations without eliciting primitive fixed action patterns, such as stereotyped compulsive rituals.30

In view of this reasoning, the prediction that patients with more bioavailability of 5-HT would endure ERP treatment better and show faster and stronger clinical improvements was partially supported by the present study results. Alternatively, and as a study limitation, partially diverging results between the present study and previous ones using SRIs might be explained by the lack of a control group (without treatment) and the relatively small sample size. Nevertheless, it is presently difficult to enroll larger samples of adults who are not users of SRIs. This is true even in large urban OCD treatment centers; it is increasingly more difficult to find non-pediatric OCD patients not currently using SRIs and without a history of exposure-based treatments.

Although our findings suggest that higher baseline 5-HT concentration predicts faster clinical onset of response to ERP for OCD symptoms and improvement of depressive symptoms, it is too soon to start dosing PRP 5-HT concentration before treating OCD patients with ERP. Given the pioneering scope and the small sample size of this study, more studies, ideally with larger samples and using SRIs, are needed. Nevertheless, if these findings are confirmed, PRP 5-HT concentration may be a promising, cheap, reliable and feasible predictor of outcome to exposure-based treatments in OCD.
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Table 2: Descriptive statistics (intention-to-treat sample).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline 5-HT (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Y-BOCS % variation (0-4)</th>
<th>Y-BOCS % variation (0-8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>326.08</td>
<td>-20.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error of mean</td>
<td>54.50</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>277.90</td>
<td>26.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1,018.00</td>
<td>96.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>62.75</td>
<td>-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1,080.75</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile 25</td>
<td>150.46</td>
<td>-45.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>196.05</td>
<td>-15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile 75</td>
<td>543.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-HT = serotonin; Y-BOCS = Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale.

* One patient was removed from the baseline 5-HT sample analyses due to problems during laboratory platelet extraction. Therefore, baseline 5-HT analysis included 26 patients.

† Only two patients had higher Y-BOCS scores after treatment, with 8.33 and 22.73% increases in Y-BOCS total scores.


