Acaricide resistance mechanisms in
*Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*

Mecanismos de resistência aos acaricidas em *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*

Felix David Guerrero*; Léonore Lovis; João Ricardo Martins

1Knipling-Bushland U.S. Livestock Insects Research Lab – KBUSLIRL, United States Department of Agriculture – USDA-ARS
2Laboratory of Parasitology, University of Neuchâtel – UniNE
3Laboratory of Parasitology, Instituto de Pesquisa Veterinárias Desidério Finamor – IPVDF; Fundação Estadual de Pesquisa Agropecuária – FEPAGRO

Received February 12, 2012
Accepted March 5, 2012

Abstract

Acaricide resistance has become widespread in countries where cattle ticks, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, are a problem. Resistance arises through genetic changes in a cattle tick population that causes modifications to the target site, increased metabolism or sequesteration of the acaricide, or reduced ability of the acaricide to penetrate through the outer protective layers of the tick's body. We review the molecular and biochemical mechanisms of acaricide resistance that have been shown to be functional in *R. (B.) microplus*. From a mechanistic point of view, resistance to pyrethroids has been characterized to a greater degree than any other acaricide class. Although a great deal of research has gone into discovery of the mechanisms that cause organophosphate resistance, very little is defined at the molecular level and organophosphate resistance seems to be maintained through a complex and multifactorial process. The resistance mechanisms for other acaricides are less well understood. The target sites of fipronil and the macrocyclic lactones are known and resistance mechanism studies are in the early stages. The target site of amitraz has not been definitively identified and this is hampering mechanistic studies on this acaricide.
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Resumo

A resistência aos acaricidas tornou-se amplamente difundida nos países onde os carrapatos bovinos, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, são um problema. A resistência surge por meio de alterações genéticas em uma população de carrapatos que causam modificações no local de ação, aumento do metabolismo ou sequestro do acaricida, ou ainda redução na capacidade do acaricida em penetrar através das camadas protetoras do corpo do carrapato. Neste artigo, foram revisados os mecanismos moleculares e bioquímicos da resistência aos acaricidas que ocorrem em *R. (B.) microplus*. A partir de um ponto de vista dos mecanismos envolvidos, a resistência aos piretróides tem sido caracterizada em maior grau do que em qualquer outra classe de acaricida. Embora uma grande quantidade de pesquisas têm sido direcionada para a descoberta de mecanismos que causam resistência aos organofosforados, muito pouco é conhecido ao nível molecular, e essa resistência parece ser mantida por intermédio de um processo multifatorial e complexo. Os mecanismos de resistência para os demais acaricidas são bem menos compreendidos. Os alvos de ação do fipronil e das lactonas macrocíclicas são conhecidos, e os estudos dos mecanismos de ação envolvidos estão ainda em estágios iniciais. O alvo de ação do amitraz ainda não foi definitivamente identificado, e isso é limitante aos estudos dos mecanismos envolvidos na resistência a esse acaricida.
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Introduction

McKosker (1979) estimated the global costs of ticks and tick-borne diseases to agriculture was over $7 billion. Although this was a crude estimate using broad assumptions, there is no doubt that ticks have a great impact on agricultural productivity and tick control is a necessary part of cattle production. The identification of chemicals with acaricidal properties quickly led to the adoption of chemical acaricides as the predominant method of tick control throughout the world. The cattle tick, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, presents a challenge to cattle producers, as its life cycle and broad distribution through the tropical and subtropical regions of the world present conditions optimal for the rapid development of acaricide resistance.

In general terms, resistance can arise through several mechanisms in individual cattle ticks. Generally these mechanisms are broadly classified as target site, metabolic, or reduced penetration. Penetration resistance in ticks could arise through alterations in the ability of an acaricide to penetrate or otherwise enter an individual that is treated with acaricide. Although this resistance mechanism has been identified in a few arthropods (NOPPUN et al., 1989), including *R. (B.) microplus* (SCHNITZERLING et al., 1983), investigations into this mechanism in the cattle tick have not been reported recently. Target site resistance exists when an allele of the gene coding for the target molecule attacked by the acaricide has an amino acid mutation that confers resistance to the acaricide. This resistance mechanism is common, particularly well-studied in the case of pyrethroid class of acaricides, and will be discussed extensively below. Metabolic resistance to acaricides occurs through changes in the ability of an individual to detoxify or sequester an acaricide. The enzyme families known as cytochrome P450s, esterases, and glutathione S-transferases are generally involved in metabolic resistance and this type of resistance has been studied in *R. (B.) microplus* and will be discussed below. Often chemicals known as synergists are utilized to help discern resistance mechanisms in cattle ticks through bioassays. Synergist studies are especially helpful to detect metabolic resistance and common synergists are piperonyl butoxide (PBO), triphenyl phosphate (TPP) and diethylmaleate (DEM), which are generally believed to be specific for the cytochrome P450s, carboxylesterases, and glutathione S-transferases, respectively. However, the effects of synergists are not gene family-specific, as demonstrated by Young et al. (2005) who reported PBO effected pyrethroid resistance-associated esterases, and these studies must be interpreted with care.

Pyrethroid Resistance

The voltage-gated sodium channel is the target site for pyrethroid activity and target site resistance to pyrethroids has been studied in many arthropod species. An early report of target site-based resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* was Miller et al. (1999) working with pyrethroid resistant tick populations from Mexico. Their work was verified by He et al. (1999) who used gene sequencing to discover a specific amino acid substitution in Domain III (phenylalanine to isoleucine) of the *R. (B.) microplus* sodium channel in those Mexican tick populations. A PCR diagnostic assay (GUERRERO et al., 2001) was developed that allowed the rapid detection of this amino acid substitution in individual ticks, larvae or eggs. Large numbers of ticks were assayed by this method and this target site mechanism was found to be widespread throughout Mexico (ROSARIO-CRUZ et al., 2005, 2009) and in an outbreak strain in the United States (MILLER et al., 2007). The location of this sodium channel mutation is a bit out of the ordinary, as more arthropod sodium channel pyrethroid resistance-causing mutations are located in Domain II than the other three domains (SODERLUND; KNIPPLE, 2003). Interestingly, a survey of three pyrethroid resistant *R. (B.) microplus* populations from Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil did not find this sodium channel mutation (ANDREOTTI et al., 2011). Our own survey, although limited in scope, also has not found the Domain III sodium channel mutation in either Brazilian or Australian tick populations (Table 1). However, recently Morgan et al. (2009) and Jonsson et al. (2010) have reported nucleotide differences in the Domain II region of the *R. (B.) microplus* sodium channel from pyrethroid resistant populations in Australia. These nucleotide differences in the Australian cattle tick sodium channel gene led to amino acid changes that correlated with pyrethroid resistance. In the case of the Morgan et al. (2009) report, the amino acid change is from leucine to isoleucine, while the change in the Jonsson et al. (2010) report is a glycine to valine.

The phenotypic effect of each of the three mutations differs significantly. The Domain III phenylalanine to isoleucine change confers a very high level of resistance to permethrin, cypermethrin, and flumethrin in the homozygous state as seen in the highly acaricide-selected Mexican Corrales strain (>1000-fold, MILLER et al., 1999). The Domain II mutations are reported to convey lower levels of resistance. The leucine to isoleucine change reported by Morgan et al. (2009) conveys moderate levels (100–400-fold estimates) of resistance to permethrin, cypermethrin, and flumethrin, while the glycine to valine change was flumethrin resistance-specific and seemed to provide lower levels of resistance (JONSSON et al., 2010). Thus there are at least three target site mechanisms for pyrethroid resistance in *R. (B.) microplus*. The Domain III mutation seems to be localized to North America, the Morgan et al. (2009) mutation was discovered in Australia but has been seen outside of Australia (Table 1) while the Jonsson et al. (2010) mutation is only reported in Australia. The discovery of the Morgan et al. (2009) mutation allowed a successful conclusion to mechanistic studies of the multiply-resistant Brazilian Santa Luiza strain of *R. (B.) microplus*. Li et al. (2008) had reported genetic and synergist studies on the 93-fold permethrin resistance of Santa Luiza. Metabolic resistance was ruled out by the lack of significant synergism of pyrethroid resistance by PBO, DEM, or TPP. Molecular studies did not find the Domain III sodium channel mutation of Guerrero et al. (2001), thus suggesting a novel sodium channel mutation was present in the Santa Luiza strain. We have now confirmed that Santa Luiza contains the Morgan et al. (2009) Domain II mutation and this likely leads to the permethrin resistance phenotype of this Brazilian strain (F. GUERRERO, unpublished data).

The molecular aspects of metabolic resistance are not yet well-defined in *R. (B.) microplus*. While metabolic resistance has been generally attributed to the cytochrome P450s, esterases, and
glutathione S-transferases, each of these are large gene families in the
cattle tick with 115, 81, and 39 individual members, respectively
(BELLGARD et al., 2012). As knowledge of genetics and genomics
of arthropods and the cattle tick advances, it will become possible
to specify a gene-mediated metabolic resistance mechanism as has
been done for glutathione S-transferase-based DDT resistance in
Anopheles gambiae (RANSON et al., 2001) and cytochrome P450-
based pyrethroid resistance in Musca domestica (TOMITA et al.,
1995). Biochemical synergist studies are often used to determine if
metabolic resistance mechanisms are present in resistant populations
of R. (B.) microplus and these are useful. As noted previously,
biochemical synergists can only serve as a guide for determination
of the mechanism of metabolic resistance. Nevertheless, several
R. (B.) microplus populations have been examined by synergist
studies and metabolic resistance identified. Generally, where
target site- and metabolism-based pyrethroid resistance coexists,
the target site resistance plays the major role in product failure.
However, in some cases metabolic resistance is a major mechanism.
For example, studies with PBO and TPP showed the Mexican
Coatzacoalcos population of R. (B.) microplus had a significant
metabolic resistance component within the population’s overall
166-, 57-, and 16-fold resistance to permethrin, cypermethrin,
and flumethrin, respectively (MILLER et al., 1999). Protein and
molecular studies showed only a low percentage of individuals
from Coatzacoalcos (8%) had a single copy of the Domain III
sodium channel target site mutation (GUERRERO et al.,
2001). However, Coatzacoalcos overproduced a specific esterase, designated
CzEst9, that hydrolyzed permethrin (JAMROZ et al., 2000;
PRUETT et al., 2002). Baffi et al. (2007, 2008) later reported this
esterase played a major role in pyrethroid resistant R. (B.) microplus
ticks from Mato Grosso, Brazil. Synergist studies with PBO have
indicated that cytochrome P450s play a role in pyrethroid resistance
mechanisms in R. (B.) microplus from Mexico (MILLER et al.,
1999), but molecular studies have not been reported, and, with
PBO’s lack of complete specificity towards the cytochrome P450
family, a mechanism cannot be attributed to a specific P450.
Finally, although a number of glutathione S-transferases have
been identified in R. (B.) microplus (BELLGARD et al., 2012),
a significant involvement with pyrethroid resistance mechanisms
has yet to be reported.

Organophosphate Resistance

Organophosphates and carbamates target the acetylcholinesterase
protein. Although bioassay and synergist studies have been used
to provide evidence regarding resistance mechanisms in various
R. (B.) microplus populations, specific mechanisms have not been
identified. There is uncertainty about the identity of the transcript
encoding the acetylcholinesterase that is functionally relevant for
acaricide resistance in R. (B.) microplus. In fact, more than one
acetylcholinesterase might be involved in acaricide responses
(BAFFI et al., 2008; TEMEYER et al., 2010). Seven contigs with
significant sequence similarity to acetylcholinesterase were reported
in the most recent R. (B.) microplus transcriptome (BELLGARD et al.,
2012). Temeyer et al. (2010) expressed three acetylcholinesterase-
like transcripts isolated from two organophosphate resistant and
one organophosphate susceptible strain of R. (B.) microplus and
showed that variant alleles existed among individuals in the strain
that showed differential response to organophosphate. These authors
concluded that “phenotypic resistance to OPs may be complex
and multigenic in character”. Unfortunately, no specific mutations
in acetylcholinesterase have been correlated to organophosphate
resistance in field populations, despite considerable effort to find
mutations (F. GUERRERO, unpublished data). The concept of
multiple forms of acetylcholinesterase in R. (B.) microplus was
noted as far back as 1972 (NOLAN et al., 1972) where five
forms of this enzyme were purified by electrophoretic and verified
by biochemical methods. The uncertainty about the specific
acetylcholinesterase targeted by organophosphates or mutations
that affect the acaricide-target site interaction has prevented the
identification of specific target site-mediated resistance mechanisms
in R. (B.) microplus. Earlier, biochemical kinetic and inhibition
studies of acetylcholinesterase in R. (B.) microplus had attributed
organophosphate resistance in the Mexican Tuxpan, Tuxtlas,
San Roman, and Caporal strains (WRIGHT; AHRENS, 1988;
PRUETT, 2002) and the Argentinian G Goya strain (REICH et al.,
1978) to target site insensitivity. More recently, the attribution of
resistance to a target site mechanism has sometimes been through
the absence of significant effects on toxicity in the presence of
synergists such as PBO, TPP, or DEM (LI et al., 2003). In this
case, the absence of synergism indicated a lack of metabolic
resistance, leading to an inference that target site resistance must
be present. In an interesting study, Baffi et al. (2008) reported that
a malathion resistant Brazilian strain of R. (B.) microplus seemed
to have increased amounts of acetylcholinesterase compared to
malathion susceptible strains. Thus a target site gene amplification
or mutations within the gene promoter region might be the specific
resistance mechanism.

Metabolic mechanisms play a role in R. (B.) microplus
organophosphate resistance, though generally reported in the

---

Table 1. Pyrethroid resistance sodium channel mutation diagnostic assay results1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Populations number</th>
<th>Larvae number</th>
<th>Percentage of mutant larvae2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1Data from PhD research of Léonore Lovis. 2Percentage calculation includes larvae that were either heterozygous or homozygous for the indicated mutation.
presence of target site resistance. Bull and Ahrens (1988) reported coumaphos metabolism, likely through an esterase-based hydrolysis, was a component of the Tuxpan and Tuxtla Mexican R. (B.) microplus populations which were also shown to possess a target site-mediated resistance to organophosphates (WRIGHT; AHRENS, 1988). Jamroz et al. (2000) used biochemical analyses to quantify the esterase-based metabolic mechanisms of organophosphate resistance in the Mexican Tuxpan, Coatzacoalcos, and Corrales strains of R. (B.) microplus. A carboxylesterase, termed Est10, was found to be more abundant in the coumaphos resistant Tuxpan strain, so perhaps this esterase plays a significant role in this strain’s metabolic resistance. Villarino et al. (2003) detected esterase-based metabolic resistance to organophosphates in the integument of adult female R. (B.) microplus. Li et al. (2003) reported evidence that a cytochrome P450-mediated coumaphos resistance was a significant mechanism in four coumaphos resistant Mexican populations of R. (B.) microplus. Interestingly, their PBO synergist studies did not show a P450-mediated resistance mechanism for diazinon in the diazinon resistant Tuxpan and San Roman strains. The coumaphos resistant Mexican San Roman strain showed increased expression of a cytochrome P450-like transcript following treatment with low doses of coumaphos, perhaps playing a role in the metabolic resistance mechanism of that strain (GUERRERO et al., 2007). A coumaphos susceptible strain also showed induction of the cytochrome P450-like transcript upon treatment with coumaphos, but the response of the resistant strain was more robust indicating a greater capacity to respond to the acaricide treatment. As is the case for target site organophosphate resistance, the metabolic mechanisms are not simple to define at the molecular level, although the advent of the R. (B.) microplus transcriptome database (BELLENDER et al., 2012) brings this closer to feasibility. The molecular analysis by Saldivar et al. (2008) reported the first evidence for the involvement of a specific glutathione S-transferase in R. (B.) microplus acaricide resistance (although see below for resistance in Pesqueria strain). The Mexican multiply-resistant San Alfonso strain showed 2-, 91-, and 600-fold resistance to coumaphos, permethrin, and amitraz, respectively. When treated with a low level dose of coumaphos (lethal to approximately 8% of the individuals), the expression of a specific transcript, TC9004, was increased over 5-fold. When translated, the protein encoded by transcript TC9004, which is from the Bmi1G1 Version 2 gene index of R. (B.) microplus (http://comobio.dfci.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=b_microplus), showed high amino acid sequence similarity to glutathione S-transferase.

Resistance Mechanisms to Other Acaricides

The target site of amitraz has not been definitively identified, although candidates such as monoamine oxidase, octopamine receptor, and alpha-2-adrenerceptors have been proposed (JONSSON; HOPE, 2007). The lack of information hampers the development of assays for and identification of target site-based resistance and no resistance-associated mutations in any of the proposed targets of amitraz activity have been reported. Synergist studies with PBO, TPP, and DEM, showed metabolic resistance played a role in amitraz resistance of Mexican strains of R. (B.) microplus (LI et al., 2004). Fragozo-Sanchez et al. (2011) suggested that resistance to amitraz is controlled by a recessive inheritance, also it seems that more than one gene are involved in this process. Target site resistance was proposed as the major resistance mechanism in the Brazilian Santa Luiza R. (B.) microplus strain by these researchers, although no direct evidence for target site resistance was presented. The MexicanPesqueria strain was found to be resistant to both diazinon and amitraz, and DEM synergized the toxicities of both chemicals, indicating possible involvement of glutathione S-transferases in metabolic resistance to both amitraz and diazinon in this strain.

Fipronil acts on both the 4-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-gated chloride channel and the glutamate-gated chloride channel (ZHAO et al., 2004). This activity on dual targets probably plays a role in delaying or preventing the buildup of high levels of resistance. However, one of these targets is shared with the cyclodiene class of pesticides and low levels of fipronil resistance can be associated with resistance to dieldrin in Drosophila melanogaster (BLOOMQUIST, 1994). Fipronil resistance has been documented in field populations of R. (B.) microplus in Uruguay (CUORE et al., 2007; CASTRO-JAclassification

Conclusion

The resistance mechanisms for pyrethroids that act in the cattle tick are beginning to be understood at the molecular level. There is a basic understanding of metabolic mechanisms supporting organophosphate resistance in cattle ticks, however, target site resistance appears complex. Very little is known about
the resistance mechanisms that are active in amitraz, fipronil, and macrocyclic lactone resistant cattle ticks. Further studies are needed to address these deficiencies in the basic knowledge of cattle tick acaricide resistance. There is a general consensus that advances in tick genomics will accelerate the development of new molecular targets and new diagnostic tools for acaricide resistance detection, which in turn can improve strategies for tick control.
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