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Musculoskeletal ultrasound (US) is rapidly evolving into an important method for confirming the primary diagnosis and monitoring therapeutic response in many rheumatic conditions. Diagnosis US has achieved great advances since the reported detection of Baker’s cyst with B-mode scans in the early 1970s(1). Medical US was well developed in the 1980s when linear, sector, and Doppler US became available. However, the value of musculoskeletal US and its contribution in rheumatology were not widely recognized until 1990s when high-resolution US (HRUS) became available. HRUS is non-invasive, painless, biologically safe, rapid to perform, and considerably less expensive then CT and MRI. This imaging technique is now being performed by rheumatologists, particularly in Europe, as part of the standard clinical assessment of patients. The application of HRUS to the early diagnosis and evaluation of treatment response heralds an era where rheumatologists will be able to better target and manage various rheumatologic conditions, in particular inflammatory arthritis.

Effusion and synovitis

US allows visualization of inflamed sinovial tissue as a hypoechoic structure while effusion as an anechoic structure (Figure 1). Many studies have highlighted the ability of US in detecting early sinovial disease in both large and small joints and its superiority over clinic examination(2-4). There have been several studies validating US against arthroscopy, MRI and scintigraphy. Backhaus et al.(5) found more synovitis in the joints of the hand and wrist with US when compared to radiography and clinical examination and it was comparable with MRI. Brown et al.(6) showed the relevance of US in the detection of sub-clinical synovitis in their study of patients with RA, who were in clinical remission as defined by the ACR criteria(6). Almost half of the patients had US features of sub-clinical synovitis in joints not thought to have any clinical synovitis. In a recent study of 80 patients with oligoarthritis(7), two third of the patients had sub-clinical synovitis detected on US and one third could be reclassified as having polyarticular disease. Among those who were rheumatoid factor positive at baseline, 83% had evidence of sub-clinical sy-

Figure 1 – Synovitis and effusion (in between the + signs) seen in the left third metacarpophalangeal joint of a patient with rheumatoid arthritis.
novitis on US imaging. Of note, only 9% patients fulfilled the ACR criteria for RA at baseline but, the addiction of US findings (synovitis and erosions) increased this percentage to 50%. This finding demonstrates a potential role for US in assisting diagnosis of early RA and highlights an advantage over MRI (i.e., an ability to scan several joints at one time point). Clinical features of joint inflammation may not be present during this early stage as a result of subtleness of inflammation or a marked response to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). In such situations, HRUS helps in identifying subclinical synovitis and allows reclassification of clinical oligoarthritis into polyarthritis.

**Bony erosion**

Furthermore, HRUS may detect bony erosions in affected joints when conventional radiography remains normal. Erosion is defined as a cortical “break” or defect with an irregular floor seen in longitudinal and transverse planes (Figure 2). Although MRI is as good as HRUS, if not better, in detecting synovitis and bony erosions, HRUS is cheaper and easily accessible in outpatient setting. The use of HRUS at early stage in identifying the presence of subclinical bony damages provides strong basis for early initiation of DMARD therapy. A study by Wakefield et al. found that US was a reproducible technique and detected 3.5 times as many erosions as radiography; this difference was even greater with early disease. The superiority of US over conventional radiography (CR) is explained by the multiplanar capability of US and the fact that US can detect smaller erosions. MRI was also used to assess the radial aspect of the 2nd MCP heads in 25 patients with early disease. All 10 MRI erosions corresponded exactly with US erosions. It is of interest to note that US detected 3 additional erosions. These can be explained by the superior spatial resolutions of US compared to MRI. A more recent study by Alarcon et al. confirmed other diagnosis findings.

Reports on the value of HRUS in osteoarthritis suggest that it may aid in diagnosis and assessment of severity of the disease. It allows assessment of joint space narrowing, osteophytes, Baker’s cyst formation and its complication, and hyaline cartilage thickness of the trochlear groove (since the other knee articular surface are not directly accessible to the probe). High prevalence of synovitis in the symptomatic osteoarthritis knees confirmed previous clinical findings. Use of US in other rheumatologic conditions is also developing. Its ability in assessing the skin thickness and vascularity changes in systemic sclerosis had been reported. There has also been suggestion of its use in the management of myositis.

HRUS does not involve ionizing radiation and is safe to be repeated as often as necessary on a number of different joints making it ideal for patient follow-up. HRUS also allows clinicians to distinguish inflammatory and non-inflammatory diseases with more confidence, predict which patients have a poorer prognosis and require more aggressive early therapy and develop a more effective and appropriate treatment plan for the patients. A study by Karim et al. demonstrated 50% of clinical decisions are changed with the addition of the information from HRUS among those who were referred for HRUS examination. In our unit, HRUS has been offered to rheumatology patients since 1997. Approximately 2000 patients a year have been benefited from it. Patients were seen by rheumatologists and had HRUS scanning of the joints done at the same clinic visit, if indicated. This not only provides a higher quality of care to patients with rheumatologic conditions, it also reduces the number of hospital visits for patients.

**Power Doppler Sonography**

Power Doppler Sonography (PDS) is playing an increasing role in the assessment of disease activity of inflammatory arthritis. Because PDS provides higher sensitivity to low-volume, low velocity blood flow at microvascular level, it is particularly useful for detecting changes of blood volume in sinovial issue and enthesitis, reflecting the degree of inflammation (Figure 3) and thus allowing the evaluation...
of the response to therapy. In addition, PDS signal is independent of the transducer angle and thus does not have the problem of aliasing, an artifact as a result of inadequate signal sampling, and also much reduced background noise (19). PDS also improved of vessel characteristics that are not well visualized with colour-flow Doppler imaging. With the ability to identify low-velocity blood flow, PDS increases the specificity of HRUS in differentiating sinovial hypertrophy from fibrotic sinovial tissues, blood clots, and complex effusion. The sensitivity of PDS can be further enhanced with the use of intravenous micro-bubble echo contrast agents (20). Validation of PDS against histopathology (21, 22) and MRI (23) had been published and it correlates well with the degree of the synovitis. Use of PDS in monitoring disease activity (24, 25) and treatment response (26-28) in rheumatoid arthritis has also been reported. The value of PDS has also been assessed in enthesitis (29), spondyloarthopathty (30), myositis (31).

**US-guided procedures**

The use of US-guided procedures during daily clinical practice is also of relevance to rheumatologists. US can visualize deep joints that are not accessible to clinical examination, and help in establishing the diagnosis of effusion. Moreover HRUS offers the opportunity for aspiration and biopsies in the same setting especially when sepsis is suspected. US can be useful for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Steroid injection influences the efficacy (32, 33). Without imaging-guidance, the placement of needle was correct in only 50% of the time (32). It has been used to direct biopsy needles in erosions and enthesial sites. In addition to the imaging guidance provided, the portability of the machine and lack of radiation allow more flexibility in aspiration, injection or biopsy.

It is particularly important for young rheumatologists to have a good understanding of functional limb anatomy in order to be able to manage regional pain syndromes efficiently and be experts in soft tissue rheumatology. The introduction of HRUS in academic rheumatology enhances the teaching of functional anatomy to rheumatology trainees. Dynamic HRUS provides detailed real-time sequential knowledge of the live functional anatomy that cannot easily be obtained otherwise. Trainees can observe the movement of muscles and tendons in relation to each other during the movement of interest. Many world-renowned rheumatologic training centers have included HRUS as part of the core curriculum of training for young rheumatologists. In fact, some even consider it a requirement for rheumatologists (34) (since it may be regarded) as an extension of physical examination.

In order to use HRUS in daily practice of rheumatology, its limitations must be well understood. The interactive and dynamic process of HRUS imaging means that such procedures are observer-dependent. This emphasizes the need for ultrasonographers to have adequate training at established rheumatology centers before service is provided. The characteristic of US also means that it is impossible to visualize structures behind or within bones. Some structures, such as sacroiliac joints and hips, cannot be assessed adequately with the available transducers. The presence of artifacts, such as anisotropy, must not be overlooked and at time may assist in identification of various structures, such as tendons or ligaments.

Worldwide, the use of HRUS in assisting the management of rheumatologic conditions, especially inflammatory arthritis, is growing rapidly. The availability of new, efficacious, expensive biologic therapies for inflammatory arthritis means the accurate diagnosis and monitoring of these patients has become even more important, particularly if there is a potential for stopping or reducing the use of these therapies among patients who are in remission. It is possible that HRUS in rheumatology may achieve a status similar to echocardiography in cardiology in future. With the advances in the technologies, the growth of HRUS in rheumatology must not be overlooked, especially when improved patient care and better disease outcome are the goals for all rheumatologists.

Figure 3 – Power Doppler signal seen in the synovitis and within the erosion of the left third metacarpophalangeal joint of the same patient as in figure 1.
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