Reduction in alveolar bone density of patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the alveolar bone density (ABD) in the upper first molars of patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) and to compare their ABD with that of healthy controls. Secondary objectives included the evaluation of the influence of medication and rheumatic disease activity on ABD, in addition to the correlation between ABD and periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters. Patients and methods: This study assessed 16 patients with JIA (six boys and 10 girls; mean age, 16.2 ± 2 years) and 11 controls (six boys and five girls; mean age, 16.4 ± 2.1 years). Probing depth (PD), visible plaque index, gingival bleeding on probing (GBP), and the clinical insertion level (CIL) were recorded. Bite-wing radiographs were obtained and ABD was measured in the upper molars by use of the Kodak RVG 6100 Digital Radiography System. Results: ABD, the percentage of sites with PD ≥ 4 mm, and GBP were significantly lower in patients with JIA than in controls (P = 0.001; P = 0.019; P = 0.011, respectively). ABD was influenced by neither medication nor JIA activity, and showed no correlation with periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters. Conclusion: ABD was lower in patients with JIA and seemed to be influenced by neither medication nor rheumatic disease activity. In addition, no correlation was observed between ABD and periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters.
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INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis, a form of periodontal disease, is a destructive chronic inflammation leading to tooth supporting tissue loss and, eventually, tooth loss and edentulism. The periodontal ligament and bone tissue are destroyed by an immune-inflammatory response to the presence of bacteria, especially gram-negative ones, in the gingival sulcus.1,2 This destruction is probably mediated by an altered host response, making him/her susceptible to the bacterial challenge.1–3

It has not been clarified why, in some individuals, periodontal inflammation evolves to periodontitis, while in others it is restricted to gingivitis. Studies have demonstrated that rheumatologic conditions, such as rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), can affect periodontal health and disease,4 increasing the susceptibility to destructive periodontal disease in both adults5 and younger individuals.6 It has been suggested that an increase in cytokine circulation can play a key role in this process.7

The term JIA refers to a group of diseases that have in common chronic arthritis of unknown etiology and several systemic manifestations, beginning before 16 years of age.8 Similarly to periodontitis, JIA determines important bone involvement. The reduction in bone mineral density at distant...
sites from the primary arthritis site, causing osteopenia in other skeletal bones, is a common complicating aspect of JIA.\textsuperscript{9–12} This change can affect up to 40\% of the patients with JIA and relates to factors, such as rheumatic disease activity,\textsuperscript{10} nutritional aspects, and chronic corticosteroid use.\textsuperscript{9} The systemic reduction in bone density in JIA can lead adolescents to osteopenia, reduced skeletal growth, and greater risk of systemic osteoporosis,\textsuperscript{12} which can accelerate periodontal bone loss,\textsuperscript{13} functioning as a link between arthritis and periodontitis.\textsuperscript{14} These changes can lead to functional loss of both involved joints and dental elements, with direct impact on the patients’ quality of life.

Although osteopenia in patients with JIA has been mainly investigated in long bones and spine, to our knowledge, nothing is known about any change in alveolar bone (the bone that supports the teeth) and its role in periodontal disease.

The primary hypothesis of the present study was that patients with JIA would have lower alveolar bone density (ABD) when compared with healthy individuals of the same age, similarly to what is seen in long bones and axial skeleton. The secondary hypothesis was that ABD could be related to clinical periodontal inflammation and rheumatologic parameters. Thus, the objective of the present study was to radiographically evaluate ABD of the upper first molars in patients with JIA and to compare it with that of healthy controls. The secondary objectives included the evaluation of the influence of the rhematic disease activity and medication on ABD, and of the correlation of ABD with periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

This study evaluated 16 patients with JIA (10 girls and six boys), mean age of 16.2 ± 2 years, and 11 healthy controls (six boys and five girls), mean age of 16.4 ± 2.1 years. All subjects were consecutively cared for at the rheumatology outpatient clinic of the Adolescent Health Study Center of the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Pedro Ernesto, UERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. All subjects, as well as their legal guardians, were informed about the objectives and methods of the study, and they all gave their written consent. Adolescents volunteered to participate in the study and met the inclusion criteria. The JIA diagnosis was performed by a single pediatric rheumatologist, according to the International League of Association for Rheumatology (ILAR) classification criteria.\textsuperscript{8}

None of the subjects were smokers, had taken antibiotics in the last three months, and had any other systemic condition besides JIA. All girls had their menarche at least two years before the evaluation. In the control group, none of the subjects had taken anti-inflammatories in the three months preceding the exams. No patient in the study had eating disorders, such as bulimia or anorexia.

Questionnaires

Subjects answered a questionnaire about their personal data and diet. In addition, patients with JIA answered the Brazilian version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ),\textsuperscript{15} which evaluates the functional capacity of JIA patients with a score ranging from 0 to 3.

Rheumatologic evaluation

Rheumatologic evaluation, consisting of the number of tender joints (TJ), and presence of edema and limitation of movements (LOM), was performed by a single pediatrician. Physician’s Global Assessment (MDGA) and Patient’s Global Assessment (PGA) were recorded in a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), ranging from 0 to 10. Patients were divided into two groups (medicated and non-medicatid) and classified as active and inactive. For this classification and following the same criteria adopted by Miranda et al.,\textsuperscript{7} parameters such as MDGA, PGA, CHAQ, number of joints involved, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)\textsuperscript{16} were considered. Active patients had ESR > 20 mm/h and at least one tender joint with pain and/or edema. ESR was determined by use of the Westergren method on the first hour.

Clinical periodontal exam

Clinical periodontal exam was performed by a single calibrated examiner [probing depth (PD) kappa = 0.92; clinical insertion level (CIL) kappa = 0.89], consisting of records of PD, gingival bleeding on probing (GBP),\textsuperscript{17} visible plaque index (VPI),\textsuperscript{17} and CIL in six sites of each tooth, except for third molars, by using a 0.2-N pressure-controlled Williams’ periodontal probe (DB76R, Aesculap AG & Co., Tutlingen, Germany). Individuals showing proximal CIL ≥ 2 mm in one or more sites were considered to have loss of periodontal clinical insertion.\textsuperscript{18} In addition, the number of lost teeth in each group was recorded.

Alveolar bone density evaluation

Bite-wing radiographs were performed in a standardized way with a FPX positioner kit (Fabinject), using the ultra-speed
Kodak film, and the digital Elitys Dental X-ray device (Trophy Trex, Beaubourg, France), with 8 mA, 70 KV, and 0.3-second exposure time. An AT 2000 XR (Air Techniques Hicksville, New York) automatic processor was used to develop the X-ray films. Then, the radiographs were digitalized with a high-resolution scanner (HP Scanjet 5590, Hewlett-Packard Company, USA) and stored in the jpeg format for the radiographic analysis of ABD. ABD was measured with the Kodak RVG 6100 Digital Radiography System (Rochester, New York, USA), which quantifies the gray scale of the pixels that form the digitized image, ranging from 0 (darker) to 256 (lighter). The region of interest (ROI) for assessing the radiographic density was established 1 mm below the alveolar bone crest on the mesial surface (closer to the midline) of the upper first molars, which are the teeth with the greatest prevalence of alveolar bone crest height loss in adolescents. Radiographic evaluation of ABD was performed by a single calibrated examiner. Mean densities of the teeth 16 (right upper first molar) and 26 (left upper first molar) were calculated for each patient. To calibrate the measurement of alveolar bone crest density, the examiner performed 52 measurements on the mesial surface of the right and left upper molars in the JIA and control groups. Those measurements were repeated 24 hours later, and, considering a variation of ± 5 in the pixel gray scale, which ranges from 0 to 256, agreement was observed in 100% of the measurements.

Statistical analysis

The individual was considered as the unit of analysis, and the level of significance was established at 5%. Data were expressed as means and standard deviations or as medians and interquartile range. The Mann-Whitney test was used for assessing the differences between JIA and control groups, and for comparing active versus inactive, and medicated versus non-medicated subjects, for all variables analyzed. The Spearman’s correlation coefficient was calculated to assess the degree of association between ABD and periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters. Non-parametric tests were used because the variables did not show normal distribution (Gaussian) due to data dispersion, lack of symmetry in distribution, and/or the small sample size of some subgroups. Statistical analysis was performed with the SAS 6.11 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Females represented 62.5% in the JIA group and 45.4% in the control group. Considering the ethnic distribution of the sample, Caucasians represented 46.5% of the JIA group and 55% of the control group. Of the 16 patients with JIA, eight had persistent oligoarthritis, two had enthesitis-related arthritis, three had systemic arthritis, three had RF-negative polyarthritis, and 10 were on medication. The drugs used included methotrexate, naproxen, prednisone, cyclosporine, and infliximab. The mean duration of medication use was 4.2 ± 3.6 years. The methotrexate dosage ranged from 10 to 15 mg/m²/week. The prednisone dosage ranged from 1 to 2 mg/kg/day. Medians and interquartile range for age of JIA onset (years), duration of JIA (years), ESR (mm/h), CHAQ (score), PGA (score), pain (score), MDGA (score), number of TJ, LOM, and edema were 10 (7), 6 (7), 17.5 (19.5), 0.5 (0.64), 1.1 (4.07), 2.1 (4.9), 1.95 (5.75), 1 (2), 2 (4), and 1 (1.75), respectively.

Table 1 shows periodontal clinical parameters and medians of ABD in both groups. Median ABD (P = 0.001), percentage of sites with GBP (P = 0.011), and PD ≥ 4 mm (P = 0.019) were lower in the JIA group. Of all subjects studied, only three in the JIA group had a loss of proximal clinical insertion (PCI) ≥ 2 mm. No significant differences were observed between the groups in the remaining variables.

No statistically significant differences were observed between active and inactive patients with JIA, regarding the periodontal clinical and radiographic variables analyzed. However, inactive patients showed a tendency (P = 0.06) towards a higher percentage of sites with PD ≥ 4 mm (Table 2). Regarding the rheumatologic data, the active subgroup had MDGA (P = 0.001), TJ (P = 0.014), edema (P = 0.001), and pain (P = 0.038) significantly higher than those of the inactive subgroup (Table 2). No statistically significant differences in periodontal and rheumatologic clinical variables and ABD were observed between the medicated and non-medicated JIA subgroups (Table 2).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>JIA (n = 16)</th>
<th>Control (n = 11)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>16.5 (3.75)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABD (gray scale)</td>
<td>96.75 (40.62)</td>
<td>153.5 (19.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD (mm)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL (n)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD ≥ 4 (%)</td>
<td>10.5 (19)</td>
<td>25 (16)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPI (%)</td>
<td>17 (17.75)</td>
<td>22 (48)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP (%)</td>
<td>9 (28.75)</td>
<td>36 (21)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPD = mean probing depth on mesial surface of upper first molars; TL = teeth lost; PD = probing depth (percentage per individual of sites with PD ≥ 4); VPI = visible plaque index; GBP = gingival bleeding on probing.

*Mann-Whitney test (P ≤ 0.05).
Significant correlations between ABD and age were observed in the control group ($r = 0.63; P = 0.03$), indicating that the higher the age, the greater the ABD in this group. When the influence of gender in ABD was evaluated, no significant difference between girls and boys was observed ($P = 0.47$).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, a significantly lower ABD in the upper first molars was observed in patients with JIA when compared with healthy controls. Some studies have demonstrated a reduced bone density in sites distant from the primary arthritis site.9–11 Recently, Hämäläinen et al.11 have reported that male patients with JIA have reduced mineral bone content in the femoral neck. In addition, Stagi et al.,12 using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), have reported reduced mineral bone density in the spine of patients with JIA. However, to our knowledge, the present study is the first to assess bone density of the alveolar crest in patients with JIA by use of intraoral radiograph. The systemic reduction in bone density in JIA might lead those adolescents to develop osteopenia, reduced skeletal growth, and greater risk of systemic osteoporosis,12 which might accelerate periodontal bone loss,13,19 acting as a link between rheumatoid arthritis and periodontitis.14

The true cause of the reduction in systemic bone density in patients with JIA is not known. The generalized bone loss in arthritis has been suggested to be related to increased osteoclastic activity or a reduction in the process of bone formation.20,21 Reduced physical activity and inadequate calcium and vitamin D intake can influence this reduction in bone density.22,23 In addition, C-reactive protein levels have been correlated to mineral bone density loss during active arthritis.20 This result suggests that proinflammatory cytokines released in inflamed joints, which induce systemic acute response, can also contribute to generalized bone resorption in rheumatoid arthritis.14 However, in the present study, the rheumatic disease activity showed no influence on ABD. Drugs used to control JIA in this study, including corticosteroids, also did not influence ABD. These results are in accordance with previous studies that demonstrated reduced bone mass in patients with JIA, regardless of

**Table 2**

Median and interquartile range for age, alveolar bone density (ABD), and periodontal and rheumatologic clinical parameters in active, inactive, medicated, and non-medicated JIA groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Active JIA n = 10</th>
<th>Inactive JIA n = 6</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>Medicated n = 10</th>
<th>Non-medicated n = 6</th>
<th>p**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>17 (4.5)</td>
<td>16 (2.75)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>17 (3.25)</td>
<td>15.5 (5.25)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABD (gray scale)</td>
<td>100.75 (46.62)</td>
<td>95.75 (54.12)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>96.75 (29)</td>
<td>107.75 (58.25)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD (mm)</td>
<td>2.5 (2)</td>
<td>3 (2.25)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.5 (2.25)</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL (n)</td>
<td>0 (0.25)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD ≥ 4 (%)</td>
<td>5 (12.7)</td>
<td>21 (28)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>10.5 (29)</td>
<td>8.5 (21)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPI (%)</td>
<td>16 (16.5)</td>
<td>19.5 (36)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>22.5 (22)</td>
<td>13 (16)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP (%)</td>
<td>6.5 (21)</td>
<td>10.5 (43)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>8 (35)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIA duration (years)</td>
<td>4 (7.25)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.25)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>5.5 (7)</td>
<td>7.5 (10.68)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset (years)</td>
<td>13 (6.7)</td>
<td>7.5 (5.25)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>11.5 (6.75)</td>
<td>8 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/h)</td>
<td>25 (44.25)</td>
<td>11 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>23 (24.5)</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAQ (score)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.43 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.5 (0.76)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.68)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA (score)</td>
<td>1.55 (4.32)</td>
<td>1.1 (3.87)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.05 (4.62)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.92)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (score)</td>
<td>5 (4.97)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>2.75 (5.75)</td>
<td>1.6 (5.05)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDGA (score)</td>
<td>4.75 (4.27)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.25 (5.35)</td>
<td>0 (3.37)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJ (n)</td>
<td>1.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOM (n)</td>
<td>2 (3.25)</td>
<td>3.5 (13.5)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3 (4.25)</td>
<td>1.5 (3.75)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema (n)</td>
<td>1 (1.75)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1 (2.75)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPD = mean probing depth on the mesial surface of the upper first molars; TL = teeth lost; PD = probing depth; VPI = visible plaque index; GBP = gingival bleeding on probing; JIA = juvenile idiopathic arthritis; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CHAQ = childhood health assessment questionnaire; MDGA = physician global assessment; PGA = patient global assessment; TJ = tender joint; LOM = limitation of movement.

*Mann-Whitney test, $P ≤ 0.05$: comparison between active and inactive JIA groups.

**Comparison between medicated and non-medicated JIA groups.
Reduction in alveolar bone density of patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis

corticosteroid therapy. It is worth emphasizing that, due to the reduced number of participants, the results of the present study should be assessed with caution. The fact that we could only enroll girls two years or more after menarche, when hormonal levels are stabilized, to minimize the influence of sexual hormones on bone density, represents one of the limiting factors of the present study. Because JIA is more common in girls, the selection of patients was hampered by that factor.

In addition, the percentages of sites with GBP and of PD ≥ 4 mm were significantly lower in the JIA group (P = 0.01; P = 0.01, respectively). A possible explanation for those differences can be associated with the anti-rheumatic drug regimens used to control JIA. Similarly to the findings of this study, studies carried out by our group have suggested a possible protective action of anti-rheumatic drugs on periodontal tissue. A previous study has shown that, after two years, the rheumatologic and periodontal conditions of patients with JIA were similar to those of the control group. In another study comparing patients with juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and healthy control subjects, the percentage of sites with greater PD was significantly higher in healthy individuals. Comparing inflammatory markers in the gingival fluid of SLE and control groups, patients with SLE showed significantly lower levels of IL-1β and IL-18. However, the specific effect of anti-rheumatic drugs on the periodontal tissue is not fully known.

Methotrexate was the major anti-rheumatic drug used by patients with JIA in the present study. The mechanisms of action of that drug include reductions in the production of TNF-α by T cells, and in the levels of IL-1β and IL-18. However, the production of IFN-γ and IL-4 does not seem to be affected by methotrexate, which shows a selective effect on cytokines, being able to modulate the immunologic response. Therefore, those drugs might have improved periodontal clinical inflammation parameters in patients with JIA.

ABD showed a positive correlation with age only in the control group (r = 0.63, P = 0.03). Because patients with JIA might have several changes in bone maturation and growth, the same correlation is accepted to not exist in adolescents with JIA. Another important finding of the present study is that ABD showed no correlation with periodontal clinical parameters in the sites where bone density was measured. This suggests that the change in ABD might be associated with the presence of JIA and not with the local periodontal inflammation.

The long-term consequence of the reduction in ABD in patients with JIA has not been determined. Whether arthritis has any influence on periodontitis and vice-versa or whether the individual is susceptible to chronic osteolytic disorders in general, manifesting both arthritis and periodontitis or osteoporosis, is yet to be clarified. Our group is conducting a prospective study to assess the possible outcomes of this reduction in ABD in patients with JIA, regarding both the speed of alveolar bone crest resorption and the early diagnosis of changes in systemic bone density.

In conclusion, patients with JIA showed lower ABD when compared with healthy controls. In addition, ABD showed no correlation with rheumatologic and periodontal clinical parameters, being influenced by neither the medication nor the rheumatic disease activity.
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