Is the ICU staff satisfied with the computerized physician order entry? A cross-sectional survey study

A equipe da UTI está satisfeita com o prontuário eletrônico do paciente? Um estudo transversal

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To evaluate the satisfaction of the intensive care unit staff with a computerized physician order entry and to compare the concept of the computerized physician order entry relevance among intensive care unit healthcare workers.

**Methods:** We performed a cross-sectional survey to assess the satisfaction of the intensive care unit staff with the computerized physician order entry in a 30-bed medical/surgical adult intensive care unit using a self-administered questionnaire. The questions used for grading satisfaction levels were answered according to a numerical scale that ranged from 1 point (low satisfaction) to 10 points (high satisfaction).

**Results:** The majority of the respondents (n=250) were female (66%) between the ages of 30 and 35 years of age (69%). The overall satisfaction with the computerized physician order entry scored 5.74±2.14 points. The satisfaction was lower among physicians (n=42) than among nurses, nurse technicians, respiratory therapists, clinical pharmacists and diet specialists (4.62±1.79 versus 5.97±2.14, p<0.001); satisfaction decreased with age (p<0.001). Physicians scored lower concerning the potential of the computerized physician order entry for improving patient safety (5.45±2.20 versus 8.09±2.21, p<0.001) and the ease of using the computerized physician order entry (3.83±1.88 versus 6.44±2.31, p<0.001). The characteristics independently associated with satisfaction were the system's user-friendliness, accuracy, capacity to provide clear information, and fast response time.

**Conclusion:** Six months after its implementation, healthcare workers were satisfied, albeit not entirely, with the computerized physician order entry. The overall users’ satisfaction with computerized physician order entry was lower among physicians compared to other healthcare professionals. The factors associated with satisfaction included the belief that digitalization decreased the workload and contributed to the intensive care unit quality with a user-friendly and accurate system and that digitalization provided concise information within a reasonable time frame.

**Keywords:** Medical order entry system; Physician practice patterns; Health care surveys; Attitude of health personnel; Job satisfaction
INTRODUCTION

In the intensive care unit (ICU), medication errors commonly occur because of the severity of illnesses, the complexity of care, and the frequency of daily changes in medication orders.\(^{1-3}\) Medication errors are associated with increases in mortality and morbidity, length of hospital stay, costs, stress of the healthcare professional implicated in this situation, and can potentially ruin an institution’s reputation.\(^{4-6}\)

Errors can occur at any stage of the medication process, from ordering the medications to administering the medications; therefore, any healthcare professional involved with patients’ medications can be liable.\(^{5,6}\) The computerized physician order entry (CPOE) reduces, by more than 50%, the incidence of medication errors,\(^{7,8}\) especially when the CPOE uses bar-code technology to verify that the correct medication is administered to the correct patient.\(^{9}\) The CPOE also allows information to be presented in a structured format (dose, administration route, and interval) and to be readable and electronically accessible to all of the staff members. Additionally, healthcare professionals can easily check the order for drug interactions, dose errors, side effects and allergic reactions.\(^{10}\)

Despite these potential benefits, changing from the paper medication orders to the CPOE is complex and can be perturbing because the transition requires effort and engagement among all of the professionals who are involved in the medication process. Resistance, dissatisfaction, conflict, and stress can exist among the healthcare professionals during the adaptation phase.\(^{11,12}\)

To implement the CPOE, hospitals must commit large financial investments for acquiring or developing the required technology.

Few studies in the literature evaluate user satisfaction with the CPOE, particularly concerning all users’ evaluation in an ICU environment.\(^{13-16}\) The primary objectives of this study were to evaluate the satisfaction of the ICU physician staff and other healthcare workers (nurses, nurse technicians, respiratory therapists, clinical pharmacists and diet specialists) with a CPOE and to compare the concept of the CPOE’s relevance among the ICU healthcare workers after six months of CPOE implementation in an ICU.

METHODS

The present study was conducted in a 30-bed, adult medical-surgical ICU in a tertiary private hospital in São Paulo, Brazil, six months after implementing a CPOE (Tasy -Wheb Sistemas/Philips, Blumenau, SC, Brazil) in January 2011. The around-the-clock professional/bed ratios in the ICU are as follows: nurse 1:4; nurse-technician 1:2; physician 1:6 (work hours) and 1:10 (night shifts).

All of the ICU professionals who used the CPOE and took care of patients were invited to participate in the study (physicians, nurses, nurse technicians, respiratory therapists, clinical pharmacists, and diet specialists). They were excluded from the study if they worked for less than six months in the ICU. Participation was voluntary, and the self-administered questionnaire was anonymously returned in a sealed envelope. Informed consent to participate in the study was given by all professionals using the standardized hospital consent form, including the consent to publish. The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of the Hospital Sírio-Libanês (protocol #HSL 2011/18).

This CPOE comprises various stages of the medication process: medical order; pharmaceutical evaluation; medication preparation and dispensing; administration of the medications by a nurse or a nurse technician; and use of a bar code system by the pharmacist, nurse and nurse technician. The details of the medication process are described in the electronic supplementary materials (ESM).

We used an adapted satisfaction questionnaire\(^{17}\) to evaluate the satisfaction of physicians and other healthcare workers using the CPOE system. The questions for grading the satisfaction levels were answered in a numerical scale that ranged from 1 point (low satisfaction) to 10 points (high satisfaction). The questionnaire was composed of 10 general questions that applied to all professionals, 10 specific questions applied only to physicians, and 4 specific questions applied only to nurses and nurse technicians (available in the ESM). Age was categorized as <30, 30-35, 36-50 and >50 years old. The healthcare professionals were asked about the frequency of computer use outside of the work environment: daily, weekly, monthly, or not at all.

Statistical analysis

Numerical variables were expressed as the mean and standard deviation, and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The differences in the satisfaction scores between groups were tested by ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test. A $t$-test was used to compare physicians with other healthcare professionals. A $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. To
evaluate which aspects determined the CPOE satisfaction, univariate linear regressions were performed using age category, gender, profession, computer use outside of work hours, and each question. The questions associated with the CPOE satisfaction (p<0.10) were incorporated into the multivariate linear regression model. We used a backward stepwise selection to identify which questions were independently associated with CPOE satisfaction. The analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 11.1 and R version 2.9.2 (R Development Core Team).

**RESULTS**

Between July 2011 and November 2011, all of the ICU healthcare workers were invited to participate in the study, and all of them answered the questionnaire. The majority of the respondents were female (66%), ranging in age between 30 years and 35 years (69%), and used computers every day at home (81%). On average, the satisfaction level decreased with age (effect size=-0.55; p<0.001).

Figure 1 shows the mean scores from the different groups regarding the global satisfaction with the CPOE. Notably, when all of the respondents were computed together, the overall satisfaction with the CPOE scored 5.74±2.14 points. The physicians gave the highest score to the ease of duplicating the medical order (6.39±1.93) and the lowest score to the ease of accessing and copying the patients’ previous medical histories (3.85±2.02) (Figure 2). The nurses and nurse technicians gave automatic medication scheduling a score of 5.99±2.58 and gave a score of 5.95±2.51 to the electronic checking of medication administration using the bar code scanner. The system’s ability to provide clear and correct information scored 5.86±2.46, and the reception in the unit of the items dispensed by the pharmacy scored 5.37±2.32.

Table 1 shows the comparison of mean scores between the physicians and other healthcare professionals. The satisfaction score was lower among physicians, who found the system to be less user-friendly. Table 1 in the ESM shows the differences in the satisfaction scores between groups. The ICU staff gave the highest score to the increase in patients’ safety after the CPOE implementation (7.64±2.42 points).

Table 2 shows the factors that were significantly associated with satisfaction with the CPOE in the univariate analyses. After multivariate adjustment, the factors that remained significant were the belief that digitalization decreased the workload and contributed to ICU quality and a user-friendly and accurate system and that digitalization provided concise information within a reasonable time frame.

**DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted six months after implementing the electronic system in our medical/surgical adult ICU. We noted that health care professionals were neither extremely dissatisfied nor entirely satisfied with the CPOE according to the values used for categorizing satisfaction in the literature: 1-3 correspond to extreme dissatisfaction, 4-6 correspond to dissatisfaction, 7-8 correspond to satisfaction, and 9-10 correspond to entire satisfaction. The respondents identified the most relevant CPOE characteristics as follows: user-friendliness, accuracy, clarity of information, fast response time; all of these characteristics contributed to decreasing the workload and improving the ICU quality.
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Table 1 - Comparison of mean scores between the physicians and all other professionals for the assessment of the satisfaction with the computerized physician order entry system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physicians (N=42) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>All other professionals (N=208) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the digitalization of the information in the hospital</td>
<td>5.88±1.85</td>
<td>7.14±1.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the digitalization of the information in the ICU</td>
<td>5.71±1.93</td>
<td>7.01±1.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the computerized physician enter ordering system</td>
<td>4.62±1.79</td>
<td>5.97±2.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the CPOE make the daily workflow easier or more difficult?</td>
<td>5.98±2.45</td>
<td>7.31±2.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system provides security for the patient</td>
<td>5.45±2.20</td>
<td>8.09±2.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system contributes to the quality of care in the ICU</td>
<td>5.40±2.18</td>
<td>7.53±2.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is user-friendly</td>
<td>3.88±1.85</td>
<td>6.40±2.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is accurate</td>
<td>5.17±1.77</td>
<td>6.77±2.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system provides clear information</td>
<td>4.76±1.72</td>
<td>6.36±2.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you get the information you need in time?</td>
<td>4.69±1.96</td>
<td>5.97±2.36</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is easy to use</td>
<td>3.83±1.89</td>
<td>6.44±2.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD - standard deviation; ICU - intensive care unit; CPOE - computerized physician order entry. 1=low satisfaction to 10=high satisfaction.

Table 2 - Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with satisfaction with the computerized physician order entry system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions applied to all ICU professionals</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression coefficient</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>Regression coefficient</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the digitalization of the information in the hospital</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The digitalization of the information facilitates your work</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system contributes to patient safety</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system contributes to the ICU quality</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is user-friendly</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is accurate</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system provides clear information</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system provides information in time</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system is easy to use</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU - intensive care unit.

Although there are many benefits for using of CPOE in an ICU, difficulties may occur during and after CPOE implementation. Studies conducted in different countries regarding the use of diverse CPOE systems have demonstrated that the number of medication errors can increase in the initial months after implementing the system during the adaptation period. Health care professionals, especially physicians, are resistant to changing their routines; the majority of professionals continue to be adamant against using electronic systems in the hospital, which constitutes one of the barriers to CPOE adoption. Physicians are likely to be not as satisfied as non-physicians because they fear that the change from paper to CPOE might affect patient care, particularly because the medical order constitutes the core of the medication process.

We found an inverse relationship between satisfaction and age. This finding is in agreement with the findings of others, who have shown that older users have more difficulties adapting to new technologies and are thus more resistant to changing routines. Moreover, using the computer outside of work hours tends to be less common with age (p=0.107, data not shown), which may affect the capacity to adapt to the CPOE, thus having an impact on satisfaction.

Our study is the first to assess the impact on end-user satisfaction of the combined implementation of CPOE and bar-coding with comparisons between different user groups in an ICU. CPOE is a technology with the most potential for improving medication safety in ICUs because the majority of serious errors in ICUs are related to medication. With CPOE integrated with the bar-code
Objetivo: Avaliar a satisfação da equipe da unidade de terapia intensiva com o prontuário eletrônico do paciente e comparar a relevância do conceito de registro eletrônico de ordens médicas entre os profissionais de saúde da unidade de terapia intensiva.

Métodos: Estudo transversal de levantamento para avaliar a satisfação da equipe da unidade de terapia intensiva em uma unidade de terapia clínica e cirúrgica para pacientes adultos com 30 leitos, utilizando um questionário de autopreenchimento. As questões utilizadas para graduar os níveis de satisfação foram respondidas segundo uma escala numérica, que variava de 1 (baixo grau de satisfação) a 10 pontos (elevado grau de satisfação). A pesquisa foi realizada no período de 6 meses após a implementação do sistema de registro eletrônico de ordens médicas.

Resultados: As pessoas que responderam ao questionário (n=250) eram, em sua maioria, do gênero feminino (66%) com idades entre 30 e 35 anos (69%). O grau geral de satisfação com o prontuário eletrônico do paciente foi de 5,74±2,14 pontos. O grau de satisfação foi mais baixo entre os médicos (n=42) do que entre enfermeiros, técnicos de enfermagem, terapeutas respiratórios, farmacêuticos clínicos e nutricionistas (4,62±1,79 em comparação com 5,97±2,14; p<0,001); o grau de satisfação decresceu com a idade (p<0,001). Os médicos tiveram níveis mais baixos de satisfação com relação ao potencial do sistema de registro eletrônico de ordens médicas de melhorar a segurança do paciente (5,45±2,20 em comparação com 8,09±2,21; p<0,001) e facilitar o uso do sistema para os usuários. As características com associação independente com satisfação foram sistema amigável ao usuário, precisão, capacidade de fornecer informação clara, e tempo de resposta rápido.

CONCLUSÕES

In summary, six months after its implementation, health care workers were satisfied, albeit not entirely, with the computerized physician order entry. The characteristics independently associated with satisfaction were the system’s user-friendliness, accuracy, capability of providing clear information, and fast response time. Users want a system that can decrease the workload and improve the intensive care unit quality.
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Conclusão: Depois de 6 meses da implantação do sistema, os profissionais de saúde estavam satisfeitos, embora não totalmente, com o prontuário eletrônico do paciente. O grau geral de satisfação entre os usuários do prontuário eletrônico do paciente foi mais baixo entre os médicos do que entre os demais profissionais de saúde. Os fatores associados com o grau de satisfação incluíram a crença de que a digitalização reduziria a carga de trabalho e contribuiria para qualidade da unidade de terapia intensiva com um sistema amigável e preciso para o usuário e que a digitalização proporcionaria informações concisas dentro de um período de tempo razoável.

Descritores: Prontuário eletrônico do paciente; Conduta na prática dos médicos; Pesquisas sobre serviços de saúde; Atitude do pessoal de saúde; Satisfação no trabalho
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