Estimates of kinetic degradability parameters and passage of materials originated from intercropping of brachiaria grass and corn and soybean crops
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ABSTRACT - The study was conducted to determine the kinetic parameters of \textit{in situ} DM and NDF and the passage of particles of forages produced from the intercropping of brachiaria grass with corn and soybean crops. Three experiments were performed, as follows: Experiment 1 – Brachiaria grass intercropped with corn at different plant ages; Experiment 2 – Cultivation of brachiaria grass intercropped with corn set in different sowing arrangements; and Experiment 3 – Intercropping of brachiaria grass and soybean. Passage kinetic of particles was determined by the recovery of markers in feces. In order to obtain the ruminal degradation of DM and NDF, nylon bags were used at zero time, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 hours. The particles’ passage kinetic of corn with brachiaria grass silage and brachiaria grass silage presented 3%/h and 2.3%/h, respectively; and for soybean and brachiaria grass silage, it was 1.8%/h, which can be explained in part by the mixture of materials ensiled. The materials originated from the intercropping caused rumen fill. Degradation rates were lower when compared with literature data. The brachiaria grass silage obtained from corn and/or soybean crop-pasture integrated system is a feed with low nutritive value due to its low NDF degradation rate and low passage rate, causing rumen fill and, thus, possibly resulting in low intake and poor animal performance.
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Introduction

The feeds’ capacity of supplying nutrients to animals is a characteristic intrinsic of the feedstuff itself, which depends on the degradation extension and the rate of passage through the gastrointestinal tract, which determine, on the one hand, the quality of the substrate that may be absorbed in digestion and, on the other hand, condition the amount of feed that can be ingested by the animal.

In Brazil, pasture constitutes the basis of the feed of the ruminants and, generally, it is characterized by its high fiber content, which is very important, since its components are related to digestibility, rumen fermentation and energy value of the feed – factors that are directly connected to animal productivity. The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) is the main energy substrate to animals that feed on roughage-based diets, and can be subdivided into an indigestible fraction and another potentially digestible.

In the practice of managing areas, usually called crop-livestock integration, the shading generated by a certain corn crop on the pasture, for instance, may alter the profile of the fiber, affecting mainly the cell wall, with reduction of the photoassimilates used for the development of the secondary cell wall, due to the decrease in light absorption.

In the literature, there are studies presenting results in which the crop-livestock integration system generates improvement of the nutritional value of the forage and digestibility. Samarakoon et al. (1990), Kephart & Buxton (1993) and Paciullo et al. (2007) verified increase in the fiber content and digestibility of shadowed plants. However, results from other studies proved that, in this system, there is increase in lignin and silica content in shadowed plants (Castro et al., 1999); and others indicate that the dry matter (DM) digestibility of forage decreases with reduction of light intensity (Masuda, 1977; Castro et al., 1999).

Therefore, there are contradictions in this topic, hence the need for the conduction of further research. In regard of that, the objective of this study is to determine the kinetic parameters of \textit{in situ} degradability of DM and NDF and the passage of materials originated from the intercropping between brachiaria grass and corn or soybean crops.
Material and Methods

This study was conducted at the Departamento de Zootecnia of the Universidade Federal de Viçosa, in the municipality of Viçosa, Minas Gerais. Coordinates are 20° 45' 14" S; 42° 52' 53" W and altitude of 648.74 m.

The kinetic degradability parameters of rumen degradation and passage of particles in samples from three experiments conducted by Leonel (2007), at the Estação Experimental de Departamento de Fitotecnia of the Universidade Federal de Viçosa, in the municipality of Coimbra, Minas Gerais, related to the intercropping between brachiaria grass and corn or soybean crops.

In experiment 1, the kinetic degradability of rumen and particles’ passage of silages of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass at different plant ages was determined. Corn and brachiaria grass were established by direct seeding, followed by a degraded pasture of molasses grass (Melinis minutiflora). Liming and fertilization were performed according to soil analysis, following the basic recommendations for corn cultivation.

For the planting of corn (AG122), the seeder was set to obtain a plant population of 50,000 plants/ha⁻¹. For the forage, by means of seeder adaptation, sowing was done in between the rows or on the rows, with density of 3 kg/ha⁻¹ of pure viable seeds of Urochloa brizantha cv. MG5.

The proportion between the mass of corn forage and brachiaria grass was of 92:8, i.e., close to a 9:1 relation.

Ensiling of the materials originated from the intercropping between corn and brachiaria grass was performed with plants at different ages: 100 days post-planting (corn ensiling point); 120 days post-planting; 140 days post-planting; and 160 days post-planting, represented by CEP, 20D, 40D and 60D, respectively.

At the experiment, kinetics of rumen degradation and passage of particles of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass with different seeding arrangements were determined. Corn and brachiaria grass cultivations were established like in experiment 1, defining the following seeding arrangements between the cultivations: BEC = brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation; CEC = corn in exclusive cultivation; C + 2brachrow = seeding of two rows of brachiaria grass in between the corn rows; and C + Bhand = broadcast hand-sowing of brachiaria grass in between the corn rows.

The proportion of corn forage mass and brachiaria grass mass in arrangement C + 2brachrow was 92:8, i.e., close to a 9:1 relation; for corn and brachiaria grass in arrangement C + Bhand it was 98:2; for corn in exclusive cultivation, 40.58 t/ha; and for brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation it was 12.158 t/ha.

In experiment 3, kinetics of rumen degradation and passage of particles of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass were determined. Soybean and brachiaria grass were established by direct planting, and liming and fertilization were performed according to soil analysis, following the basic recommendations for soybean cultivation.

Soybean variety was Pioneer DM 339 and fertilization and cultivation followed the crop management under exclusive cultivation. Forage was established by means of seeder adaptation, and sowing was performed in between the soybean rows, with density of 3 kg/ha⁻¹ of pure viable seeds of Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandu.

Evaluations were done in two different stages of soybean maturation: at point R6 (full pod-filling) and R7 (start of maturation of seeds and 50% of yellowish foliation) according to Ferh & Caviness’ (1977) classification, with brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation harvested according to the soybean maturation stages.

The proportion between the forage masses of brachiaria grass and soybean was 50.23:49.77; close to 1:1, with following ensiling: brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation (at point R6); brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation (at point R7); silages of soybean and brachiaria grass at maturation point R6; silages of soybean and brachiaria grass at maturation point R7.

In order to determine the parameters related to kinetics of passage of particles, lanthanum (La) markers were used for the samples of silages of corn with brachiaria grass according to methodology by Hartnell & Statter (1979), and ytterbium (Yb) markers were used for the samples of silages of brachiaria grass with soybean, following technique proposed by Ellis & Beever (1984). For samples of brachiaria grass in exclusive cultivation, sodium dichromate was used, forming Cr-NDF or “Chrome mordant”, according to methodology described by Udén et al. (1980). The samples marked were obtained by means of composite sampling of the respective materials.

Animals received 100 g of each silage marked with the respective indicators by means of rumen cannula. Approximately 200 g of feces were collected at the following times: zero time (right after administration of feed marked), 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 30, 36, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hours.

The content of chrome in the feces was dosed according to the methodology described by Williams et al. (1962). The preparation of samples for determination of Yb content followed the methodology described by Moore et al. (1992). La and Yb’s analysis was performed in atomic absorption spectrophotometer, nitrous oxide-acetylene flame with wavelength of $\lambda = 398.8$ nm and slit aperture of 0.2 nm, according to Huhtanen & Givens (1995).
The parameters of particle passage kinetics were estimated by means of bicompartimental model, as proposed by Matis (1972):

\[ C(t) = C_0 e^{-\lambda r t} - e^{-\lambda r t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \delta^i \left( \frac{\lambda_r (t-i)^{N_r-i}}{(N_r-1)!} \right) \]

in which: \( C(t) \) = concentration of the indicator in fecal dry matter, in mg/kg; \( C(0) \) = initial concentration of the indicator in the raft (mg/kg); \( \lambda_r \) (h\(^{-1}\)) = rate of the transfer of particles marked by the raft indicator for the pool of escapable particles; \( k_e \) (h\(^{-1}\)) = rate of escape of the pool of escapable particles marked with rumen indicator to the rest of the gastrointestinal tract; \( t \) (h) = time after supply of the indicator; \( \tau \) = time of passage of the indicator from its leaving from the reticulo-omasal orifice to the appearing in feces; \( N_r \) = order of time dependence.

\[ \delta = \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_r + k_e} \]

The kp (h\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to the rate of the passage of the digesta in the rumen-recticum was calculated according to the expression: 1/MTR-RR, in which MTR-RR (mean time of retention in the rumen-recticum) was obtained by the expression:

\[ \text{MTR-RR} = \frac{N_r}{\lambda_r + 1 + k_e} \]

Rumen fill (RF) of fiber was determined by utilizing the equation of Vieira et al. (2008):

\[ \text{RF} = A_{\text{ndf}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \left( \frac{\lambda_r^{i-1}}{(\lambda_r + kd)^i} \right) + \lambda_r^N \left( \frac{\lambda_r + kd}{(\lambda_r + kd)} \right) \right) + U_{\text{ndf}} \left( \frac{N_r}{\lambda_r + 1 + k_e} \right) \]

in which: \( A_{\text{ndf}} \) = soluble fraction of the neutral detergent fiber; \( U_{\text{ndf}} \) = undegradable fraction of neutral detergent fiber; \( kd \) = rate of degradation of the potentially digestible fraction of fiber in the rumen; \( N_r \) = order of time dependence.

For determination of the rumen kinetic parameters of DM and NDF of silages, the in situ technique was adopted, as described by Mehrez & Ørskov (1977) and Nocek (1985), by utilizing nylon bags with dimensions 13 × 7 cm and pore size of 50 mm, keeping a relation of 25 mg DM/cm² of bag surface, according to recommendations of Kirkpatrick & Kennelly (1987).

Incubation times were 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 hours. After removed, bags were washed in running water until clearing, dried at 60±5 °C in forced ventilation oven for 48 hours, and then taken to the dissector. Afterwards, respective weights were measured. Bags corresponding to time zero were not incubated in the rumen, despite being washed with all the others.

Bags were tied to the links of a chain in a sequence form (rows), immersed in the rumen contents, and the chain was anchored to the cannula. After, they were washed together in a container with running water, until the water presented no signs of residues of rumen contents. Next, they were taken to forced ventilation oven at 60±5 °C for 48 hours, and their dry weight was determined by analytical balance, with 0.1-mg approximation.

Residues were ground in a Willey mill provided with 1-mm diameter sieve, for determination of NDF.

The percentage of disappearance of DM and NDF at each incubation time was calculated by the proportion of feed retained in the bags after incubation in the rumen. Estimates of kinetic parameters of DM and NDF degradation were determined by the model of Mertens & Loften (1980):

\[ R(t) = [R(0) - U] e^{-kd(t-L)} + U + e \]

in which: \( R \) = residue post-incubation \( R(t) \); \( U \) = indigestible fraction; \( t \) = incubation time; \( L \) = discrete latency period; \( kd \) = rate of degradation of the potentially digestible fraction of the fiber in the rumen; \( R(0) \) = residue of incubation at zero time.

The effective degradability (ED) of DM and NDF in the rumen was calculated utilizing the model proposed by Vieira et al. (2008):

\[ \text{ED} = kd \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \left( \frac{\lambda_r^{i-1}}{(\lambda_r + kd)^i} \right) + \lambda_r^N \left( \frac{\lambda_r + kd}{(\lambda_r + kd)} \right) \right) + U_{\text{ndf}} \left( \frac{N_r}{\lambda_r + 1 + k_e} \right) \]

Results and Discussion

Passage rates varied for silages, with values of 3%/hour for the silage of corn with brachiaria grass (SCB); 2.3%/hour for the silage of brachiaria grass (BG); and 1.8%/hour for the silage of soybean with brachiaria grass (SSB) (Table 1).

The rate of passage of particles through the rumen is a variable of great importance, once it determines the flow of digesta through the digestive tract. In the case of tropical forages, the values are considered low, especially due to the high fiber content (Soares, 2002).

The main value found for the rate of passage of corn silage particles was 3%/hour, close to the 3.2%/hour found by Martins et al. (2006).

For SSB, the passage rate was 1.8%, a value that can be considered low if compared with the 5.5%/hour found by Rigueira (2007) for the silage of soybean in exclusive cultivation. Thus, one can infer that this low passage rate can be justified, partly, by the mixing of ensiled materials (soybean and brachiaria grass), which, according to Leonel et al. (2008), contains higher fiber content and lower protein
content in relation to the silage of soybean in exclusive cultivation.

The mean value of the passage rate of brachiaria grass particles was 2.3%/hour, close to that observed by Lira et al. (2006), of 2.9%/hour. The low passage rate can reduce the efficiency of fermentation by increasing the expenses with bacterial maintenance and microbial recycling in the rumen, which inflict in less nitrogen and energy for the microbial growth (Waldo, 1986)

The time of retention in the rumen, calculated by the reciprocity of the passage rate in the organ (kp) is correlated with the level of animal feeding, for smaller intake result in lower passage rate, and, consequently, reduction in the supply of nutrients to the animal. Nevertheless, the rate of escape of the pool of particles marked with rumen indicator to the rest of the gastrointestinal tract for the corn intercropped with brachiaria grass was higher than for brachiaria grass and for the silage of soybean intercropped with brachiaria grass, generating higher passage rate.

The passage time (PT) and the mean retention time in the rumen-reticulum (MRT-RR) for the silage of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass were 8.4 and 62.716 hours, respectively, close to those found by Martins et al. (2006): 9.11 and 30.16 hours.

Henriques et al. (2001) evaluated the rate of the passage of corn in Holstein × Zebu cattle fed solely on this roughage and obtained MRT-RR values of 36.6 hours.

In this study, the values of fraction B (Table 3) were close to the 64% cited on the Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos (Brazilian Chart of Foods Composition) (Valadares Filho et al., 2006); a high value of undegradable fraction was observed in the samples of material ensiled 160 days after sowing, corresponding to 60 days after the corn ensilage point, which can be explained by the lignifications throughout the growth cycle of the plant. Rodrigues et al. (2004) suggest that the high fiber carbohydrate content of the cell wall and the lignin content of the forage are the main cause of lower degradability.
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Table 2 - Dry matter effective degradability of silages of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass at different plant ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silage Parameter</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
<th>B (%)</th>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>L (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>kd (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>ED (%)</th>
<th>pdDMED (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEP</td>
<td>25.18</td>
<td>57.19</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
<td>48.47</td>
<td>82.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20D</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>52.22</td>
<td>24.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00189</td>
<td>45.54</td>
<td>75.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40D</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>26.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00195</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>73.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60D</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>56.14</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>84.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = soluble fraction; B = potentially digestible fraction; U = undegradable fraction; L = latency period; kd = fractional rate of degradation; ED = effective degradation; pdDMED = potentially digestible dry matter effective degradation; CEP = corn ensiling point; 20D = 20 days after corn ensiling point; 40D = 40 days after corn ensiling point; 60D = 60 days after corn ensiling point.

Table 3 - NDF’s effective degradability of silages of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass at different plant ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silage Parameter</th>
<th>B (%)</th>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>L (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>kd (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>ED (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEP</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>29.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20D</td>
<td>63.69</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td>16.81</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>33.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40D</td>
<td>78.21</td>
<td>21.79</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>22.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60D</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>19.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B = potentially digestible fraction; U = undegradable fraction; L = latency period; kd = fractional rate of degradation; ED = effective degradation; CEP = corn ensiling point; 20D = 20 days after corn ensiling point; 40D = 40 days after corn ensiling point; 60D = 60 days after corn ensiling point.

The proportion of fiber carbohydrates of the cell wall and their lignin content are the factors which most affect the quality of tropical forages. According to Van Soest (1994), the NDF content of the forages is negatively correlated to its intake, which is the variable with most influence on animal performance, once it affects the ingestion of all nutrients. Rodrigues & Vieira (2006) also agree with this theory.

The effective dry matter degradability of the material originated from the intercropping was independent of the sowing arrangements (Table 4), although it was lower than the corn silage (63.54%) cited on the Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos (Valadares Filho et al., 2006) and close to the values found by Carvalho et al. (2006), of 56.26%, who worked with grasses degradation rate.

The undegradable fraction (U) of brachiaria grass was higher than the silage of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass, probably due to the higher number of corn ears at the moment of ensiling, once the ears have a high non-fiber carbohydrate content, causing a dilution effect, which is in agreement with the reports from Silva et al. (2006).

The degradation rate of NDF (Table 5) was below those found by corn silage’s NDF (0.0248 h⁻¹) on the Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos (Valadares Filho et al., 2006). However, SCB reached B values higher than that found on the same Table 5 for the corn silage (64%), and brachiaria grass achieved a value close to the brachiaria grass described by these authors (67%). NDF is one of the main components of the tropical roughages, presenting slow and incomplete digestion in the gastrointestinal tract of ruminants (Mertens, 1996). One can suggest that these compounds are the great factor for the low digestibility of these feeds, according to Van Soest (1967) and Cabral et al. (2008).

The “A” values obtained by the silage of soybean with brachiaria grass (Table 6) were close to those reported...
by the Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos (Valadares Filho et al., 2006) for brachiaria grass and soybean (19.23 and 18.47%, respectively). However, the degradation rates in this study were lower than those found for brachiaria grass and soybean: 0.0438 and 0.556 h\(^{-1}\), respectively (Valadares Filho et al., 2006).

The low NDF degradation rates of silages of soybean with brachiaria grass (Table 7) can be attributed to the content of ether extract of soybean, which impairs fiber degradation. According to Palmquist & Jenkins (1980), high contents of ether extract impair the rumen fermentation process due to the toxic effect to the microorganism and the physical hindering of the food particles, reducing their digestion.

There was rumen fill of all the materials originated from the intercropping of brachiaria grass with the corn and soybean cultivations (Table 8), which seems to be more linked to the feed passage rate, which depends on the physical (chewing during rumination) and microbial (degradation) actions, which determine the reduction of the size of the particles.

According to Van Soest (1994), rumen fill enables, jointly, evaluation of the effects of the interaction between passage and digestion kinetics on the capacity of filling the rumen compartment.

### Table 6 - Dry matter effective degradability of silages of soybean intercropped with brachiaria grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silage</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
<th>B (%)</th>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>L (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>kd (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ED (%)</th>
<th>pdDMED (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria (R7)</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>43.14</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.0237</td>
<td>43.43</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + soy (R6)</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + soy (R7)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>40.78</td>
<td>36.82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td>63.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria (R6)</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>46.54</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>52.89</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7 - Effective degradability of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of silages of soybean intercropped with brachiaria grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silage</th>
<th>B (%)</th>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>L (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>kd (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ED (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria (R7)</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>43.77</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>29.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + soy (R6)</td>
<td>46.46</td>
<td>53.54</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>26.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + soy (R7)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>29.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria (R6)</td>
<td>59.33</td>
<td>40.67</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>40.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8 - Rumen fill estimates of silages of corn intercropped with brachiaria grass at different plant ages (experiment 1) and different sowing arrangements (experiment 2), and silages of soybean intercropped with brachiaria grass (experiment 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Kd (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ke (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>λr (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>RF (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>25.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20D</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6369</td>
<td>0.3631</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>24.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40D</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7821</td>
<td>0.2179</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>27.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60D</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>28.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C + Bhand</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7445</td>
<td>0.2555</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>24.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C + 2Brow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7653</td>
<td>0.2347</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>25.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8252</td>
<td>0.1748</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>25.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria grass</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6469</td>
<td>0.3531</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2351</td>
<td>29.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach (R7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5623</td>
<td>0.4377</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2351</td>
<td>31.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + Soybean (R6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4646</td>
<td>0.5354</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0272</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>43.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach + Soybean (R7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.0272</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>41.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brach (R6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5933</td>
<td>0.4067</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2351</td>
<td>28.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B = potentially digestible fraction; U = undegradable fraction; ke = rate of escape from the pool of escapable particles marked with rumen indicator by the reticulo-omasal orifice; N = order of time dependence; λr = rate of transfer of the particles marked by the raft indicator to the pool of escapable particles, RF = rumen fill; CEP = corn ensiling point; 20D = 20 days after corn ensiling point; 40D = 40 days after corn ensiling point; 60D = 60 days after corn ensiling point. C + Bhand = broadcast hand-sowing brachiaria grass in between the corn rows; C + 2Brow = seeding of two rows of brachiaria grass in between the corn rows.
Conclusions

Silages of brachiaria grass intercropped with corn and/or soybean are feeds of low nutritive value, due to their low NDF degradation rate and passage rate, causing rumen fill and thus, possible low intake and lower animal performance.
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