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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess immediate postoperative and late complications in patients with inguinal hernia undergoing surgical correction by Gilbert technique, using the Prolene Hernia System (PHS). Methods: We surveyed all patients undergoing inguinal hernia repair with PHS mesh at The Sao Lucas Hospital – PUCRS, from January 2001 to October 2006. Information was retrospectively collected through telephone calls and chart review. The protocol for data collection included epidemiological aspects, as well as immediate and late complications. Results: ninety-six patients were enrolled. We identified six (6.25%) complications in different patients, none of which resulting in death. Two patients (2.08%) had seroma; hematoma was identified in one patient (1.04%); one patient (1.04%) had wound infection. Two patients (2.08%) had scrotal edema. After a mean follow up of 49.25 months (range 16 to 86.12) two patients (2.08%) had chronic pain and one patient (1.04%) had hernia recurrence twenty-six months after surgery. Conclusion: The repair of inguinal hernia with PHS is a safe, effective and reproducible method, with low complication and recurrence rates or long term symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

The inguinal hernias are among the most common problems faced by surgeons. The tension-free repair, originally described by Lichtenstein in 1989, has become the most often used procedure in relation to conventional repairs in the last 15 years due to its technical simplicity and low recurrence rates.

Several techniques based on the tension-free concept have been developed. The most commonly used besides the Lichtenstein’s include: plug and mesh, transabdominal pre-peritoneal or totally extra-peritoneal laparoscopic repair, pre-peritoneal repair of Nyhus and, most recently in 1999, the results published by Gilbert of a new technique for repair of inguinal hernias. This technique employs a polypropylene mesh, known as Prolene Hernia System (PHS), which combines three mechanisms of action. In this study of Gilbert et al, the use of PHS mesh resulted in no recurrence and in a 5.8% rate of complications, including seroma, hematoma and infection.

The results on the PHS mesh are still scarce in the long term due to the short interval in which this method has been applied. Studies comparing PHS and Lichtenstein techniques show promising results, such as reduction in operative time, less pain in the postoperative follow-up and early return of patients to their usual activities.

This study aims to assess the immediate and late postoperative complications in patients with inguinal hernia undergoing surgical correction by the technique of Gilbert, using the Prolene Hernia System (PHS).

METHODS

This is a retrospective and descriptive study. Through a list of patient’s registries, telephone calls and chart reviews, we investigated all patients who were submitted to inguinal hernia repair with PHS mesh from January 2001 to October 2006. All patients underwent physical examination. The study was approved by the Ethics and Scientific Institution.

Ninety-six patients admitted to Hospital Sao Lucas, PUCRS, with inguinal hernia (direct, indirect or mixed) were subjected to surgery with the Gilbert technique using PHS (Figure 1). Exclusion criteria were: age below 18 years, multiple surgical procedures in the groin, recurrent hernia, femoral hernia and strangulated hernia.

All patients underwent elective surgical procedure on an outpatient basis, with varying anesthetic techniques.
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(local anesthesia with sedation, regional anesthesia or general anesthesia). Prophylactic antibiotic, intravenous cefoxitin 2g, was used in all patients, 30 minutes before surgery. The PHS was used in all study patients, according to the technique described by Gilbert8.

The protocol for data collection included the epidemiological aspects, as well as early and late complications (bleeding, hematoma, wound infection, mesh infection, seroma, scrotal edema, chronic pain) and recurrence rate. The severity of these complications were categorized as grade 1, 2, 3 or 4, following the CTC-AE model (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events), version 3.011.

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics are listed in table 1.

Six complications were identified in different patients; no deaths, though. Two patients (2.08%) had seroma (grades 1 and 2), one patient (1.04%) with hematoma (grade 2), one patient with wound infection (grade 1) treated with antibiotics, without withdrawal of the mesh or re-intervention. Two patients (2.08%) had scrotal edema.

The hernia of one patient (1.04%) recurred 26 months after surgery. The type of the initial hernia was direct.

Chronic pain was identified in two patients (2.08%), characterized as pain or discomfort that may affect daily activities. In seven patients, we identified discomfort as an occasional pain with no change in daily activities. No patient had incapacitating symptoms.

DISCUSSION

The use of polypropylene mesh, based on the tension-free concept, was a major breakthrough in the repair of inguinal hernias. Popularized by Lichtenstein and improved by other surgeons, it is now used in most of hernia repairs in adults. The technique developed by Gilbert8 uses the mesh with three-dimensional theoretical effect on strengthening and maintaining the posterior wall of the inguinal canal without tension, covering the "myopectineal orifice".

The concept of myopectineal orifice, developed in 1956 by Henri Fruchaud12, allowed the understanding that the inguinal canal is only one component of a potentially more fragile larger area located in the lower abdominal wall. Its boundaries are formed inferiorly by the superior pubic ramus periosteum; superiorly, by the internal oblique and transverse muscles; medially, by the rectus muscle; and laterally, by the iliopsoas muscle and iliac fascia. It is also divided in superior and inferior plans by the inguinal ligament (anteriorly) and the ileopubic tract (posteriorly).

The use of a three-dimensional mesh (PHS) is intended to include the myofascial defect, enclosing occult femoral or pre-vascular hernias. Its design incorporates a circular preperitoneal layer, a shaft connector, protecting the inner ring with an effect similar to the technique of plug and mesh5,13 and, finally, a layer above that, which is fixed in the posterior wall of the inguinal canal (transversalis fascia), following the principle of hydrostatic pressure of Pascal, allowing the intra-abdominal pressure to keep the mesh safely in place14,15.

There are few randomized studies comparing repair with PHS with other techniques14-17. Nienhuijs et al

Table 1 - Demographic characteristics of 96 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hernia Location</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hernia Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (direct and indirect)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found no difference between postoperative pain and chronic pain when compared to Lichtenstein, but the quality of life after three months was worse in the PHS group. Kingsnorth et al reported a shorter operative time, less pain in the immediate postoperative period and an earlier return to normal activities with PHS when compared to patients who were submitted to Lichtenstein. Similar results were obtained by Vironen et al, except for postoperative pain, which was similar in both groups. Another study, published by Sanjay et al, also showed no difference between PHS and Lichtenstein technique as for pain scores, complications, return to activities, chronic pain and recurrence. Chaunhan et al have recently published initial results comparing the use of conventional PHS with an adapted two-dimensional mesh made from two Prolene meshes connected by a Prolene suture, finding no significant difference between the two groups as for complications and recurrence. Specifically for recurrence, one should pay attention to interpretation of the following reasons: first, some groups of patients are over-selected (excluding obese subjects, recurrent or large hernias); second, the still short follow-up time for identification of potential recurrences; and third, some follow-up data were completed by telephone and not by physical examination.

In this study, we evaluated 96 patients undergoing inguinal hernia repair using PHS mesh from January 2001 to October 2006, with a mean follow up of 49.25 months. The rate of postoperative complications was 6.25% (six patients). No complications were considered serious according to the classification used, based on CTC-AE version 3.011. All complications were treated medically or with wound exploration (seroma and infection), without need for surgical re-exploration for removal or repositioning of the mesh.

The use of mesh repairs, everyday more frequent, caused the appearance of a new clinical syndrome: inguinodinia. Only two patients in our series presented with chronic pain or discomfort. Reviewed literature showed no specific studies focusing on pain after PHS repair, although there are several publications indicating the occurrence of chronic pain after hernia surgery. Chronic pain was observed in 2.1-7.2% of patients after conventional repair (without mesh), 0.7-9.7% after Lichtenstein repair, 3.3% after extraperitoneal laparoscopic repair (TEP) and 3.8-8.9% after plug repair. Our results are comparable to published data, with low complication and recurrence rates.

Surgical treatment of inguinal hernia using three-dimensional mesh is safe, effective, reproducible and displays low complications, recurrence or symptoms incidences in the long term.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Aferir complicações pós-operatórias imediatas e tardias em pacientes portadores de hérnia inguinal, submetidos à correção cirúrgica pela técnica de Gilbert, com utilização do Sistema Prolene de Hérnia (SPH). Métodos: Foram pesquisados todos os pacientes submetidos à herniorrafia inguinal com tela PHS no Hospital São Lucas da PUCRS no período de janeiro de 2001 até outubro de 2006. As informações foram coletadas de modo retrospectivo, através de contato telefônico e revisão de prontuários. O protocolo de coleta de dados contemplou os aspectos epidemiológicos, bem como as complicações imediatas e tardias. Resultados: Foram incluídos 96 pacientes. Foram identificadas seis (6,25%) complicações, em pacientes distintos; nenhuma compilação com óbito. Dois pacientes (2,08%) apresentaram seroma; hematomas foram identificados em um paciente (1,04%); um paciente (1,04%) apresentou infeção de ferida operatória. Dois pacientes (2,08%) apresentaram edema escrotal. Após seguimento médio de 49,25 meses (16-86,12) dois pacientes (2,08%) apresentaram dor crónica e um paciente (1,04%) apresentou recorrência, com vinte e seis meses de pós-operatório. Conclusão: O reparo de hérnias inguinais com tela PHS é um método seguro, eficaz, facilmente reproduzível e com baixas taxas de complicações, recorrência ou sintomas em longo prazo.
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