Protective effect of remote ischemic per-conditioning in the ischemia and reperfusion-induce renal injury in rats
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the protective effect of remote ischemic per-conditioning in ischemia and reperfusion-induced renal injury. Methods: Fifteen rats (Rattus norvegicus) were randomized into three groups (n = 5): Group Normality (GN), Control – Ischemia and Reperfusion (GIR) and Group remote ischemic per-conditioning (GPER). With the exception of the GN group, all others underwent renal ischemia for 30 minutes. In group GPER we performed the ischemic remote per-conditioning, consisting of three cycles of ischemia and reperfusion applied every five minutes during the ischemic period, to the left hindlimb of the rats by means of a tourniquet. To quantify the lesions we measured serum levels of creatinine and urea, as well as analyzed renal histopathology. Results: The GPER group presented with better levels of urea (83.74 ± 14.58%) and creatinine (0.72 ± 26.14%) when compared to GIR group, approaching the GN group. Histopathologically, the lower levels of medullary congestion and hydropic degeneration were found in group GPER. Conclusion: The remote ischemic per-conditioning had a significant protective effect on renal ischemia and reperfusion.
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INTRODUCTION

Ischemia and reperfusion lesions culminate in several deleterious effects for the most different kinds of organs. Paradoxically, reperfusion is largely responsible for the main lesions in the cells of the ischemic organ. Numerous practical situations in urology converge to the problem of renal ischemia and reperfusion, notably, renal transplantation being the most common occurrence of this type of injury in everyday Urology. Acute renal failure, characterized by abrupt elevation of serum urea and creatinine, has in the ischemia and reperfusion lesion its the main etiological factor.

As an alternative to reduce the deleterious effects of kidney ischemia and reperfusion syndrome, various substances have been tried, such as chlorpromazine, verapamil, allopurinol, octreotide, copaiba oil, vitamins C, D and E and cyclosporine A. However, the effects of the majority of them have been disappointing.

In addition, other techniques have already been reported, such as ischemic preconditioning (PreC) and postconditioning (PostC), which consist of a few interspersed cycles of ischemia and reperfusion before or after the period of main renal ischemia. These procedures have also been applied with excellence in other organs such as intestines, myocardium and liver. Such cycles of ischemia / reperfusion (I/R) can also be applied in an organ or tissue distant from that undergoing the index ischemia, which became known as remote ischemic preconditioning (rPreC) and remote ischemic postconditioning (rPostC), both also successful in minimizing reperfusion injury in various organs.

Schmidt et al. reported the per-ischemic remote technique (rPerC), which consists in applying remote ischemia through a tourniquet applied to the hind limb of pigs during the main duration of ischemia, which was actually effective in preventing reperfusion injury in ischemia myocardial. This protective effect was corroborated by further studies involving myocardial ischemia, and the procedure was expanded to cerebral ischemia.

The mechanisms involved in the protective effect against ischemic remote conditioning against I/R lesions are complex and are not yet fully understood. They appear to be linked to the activation of the RISK (reperfusion Injury
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Salvage Kinase), through phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt and the mitogen-activated protein kinase p44/p42, besides ERK 1/2 (Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinase). It was also demonstrated the involvement of ATP-dependent potassium channels, of adenosine and the SAFE (Survivor Activating Factor Enhancement) pathway.5,9,10,12

Although these Remote ischemic conditioning techniques possess interweaving mechanisms, the rPreC has limited clinical applicability, since it is usually impossible to predict when an organ will suffer from an ischemic event. In this sense, studies about rPerC are more interesting and promising.9 However, there are no reports of the effect of rPerC in renal ischemia and reperfusion syndrome.

Thus, the present study aims to evaluate the effect of remote ischemic per-conditioning on lesions from kidney ischemia and reperfusion syndrome induced in rats.

METHODS

We used 15 young, male, Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus), weighing 250-300g. The animals were kept in a vivarium of the Laboratory of Experimental Surgery, University of Pará (LCE-UEPA) with temperature, light, humidity and noise control, as well as water and food provided ad libitum. The research rigidly followed the rules of the Council for International Organization of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) ethical code for Animal Experimentations. Moreover, the project was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of the University of Pará (CEUA-UEPA) (54/11).

The animals were randomized into the following groups (n = 5): Normality Group (GN); Control - Ischemia and Reperfusion Group (GIR); and Ischemic Per-conditioning Group (GPRE).

In all the groups the adopted anesthesia protocol utilized the combination of ketamine and xylazine, administered intraperitoneally at doses of 60mg/kg and 6mg/kg, respectively. The rats of the GN group were used to determine the normal range of the samples. The rest of the groups underwent a median superior laparotomy of 3cm length, followed by a right nephrectomy. Subsequently, we dissected the left renal artery and applied ischemia to the kidney by occlusion of the renal artery with a microsurgical clamp for 30 minutes.

For the animals in GIR group, no additional procedure was adopted. In the GPRE group during the time of index renal ischemia we carried out three interspersed cycles of ischemia and reperfusion, every five minutes, with an elastic band tourniquet applied at the base of left hind limb of the animal, so that the remote ischemic cycles happened concurrently with renal ischemia (Figure 1). On completion of these procedures, there was free reperfusion for 24 hours.

The abdominal wall of the animals was sutured in two planes. After full recovery from anesthesia, the rats were comfortably placed in individual cages and received water and food ad libitum. After 24 hours, they were again subjected to the anesthetic protocol above, then had blood collected from a puncture of the inferior vena cava, as well as the left kidney resected for histopathological analysis. Subsequently, the animals were euthanized by lethal anesthetic doses.

Blood samples were immediately submitted to dose levels of urea and creatinine. The collected left kidney was fixed in 10% formaldehyde, subjected to impregnation with paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Multiple sections were analyzed according to the presence of tubular necrosis, hydropic degeneration, medullary congestion and tubular dilation and atrophy, following the protocol developed by Shih et al.13, which considers degree ‘0’ as normal kidney; degree ‘0.5’ for small focal areas; degree ‘1’ for commitment of less than 10% of the renal cortex; degree ‘2’ for involvement of 10-25% of the renal cortex; grade ‘3’ for involvement of 25-75% of the renal cortex; and degree ‘4’ for commitment of more than 75% of the renal cortex.

The results were evaluated in different groups, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test of Normality to confirm the Gaussian distribution of the data. After that, we carried out the analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey test correction. Values were considered significant at p <0.05.

RESULTS

Only the GIR group showed serum urea statistically different from GPRE and GN groups (p <0.01) (Figure 2). The GPRE group (83.74 ± 14.58%) had lower serum urea when compared to the GIR group (216.3 ± 1.17%) (p <.01). However, the comparison of the group subjected to remote
ischemic per-conditioning with the GN group (72.16 ± 9.9%) did not show statistically significant results (p > 0.05).

As for serum creatinine, (Figure 3) we could observe a statistically significant difference between groups GPRE (0.72 ± 26.14%) and GN (0.30 ± 9.72%). The GIR group (2.06 ± 12.69%) had the highest levels when compared to the other groups. Therefore, all groups were statistically different (P < 0.01).

Regarding renal histopathology (Table 1), all animals subjected to procedures of renal ischemia showed medullary congestion and hydropic degeneration (Figure 4) in varying degrees. In GIR group, with the mostly altered histopathology, we observed extensive areas of hydropic degeneration, as well as mild tubular necrosis (Figure 5) and considerable medullary congestion, thus presenting with a statistically higher degree of injury when compared to the other groups (p < 0.05). The group normality, being the standard for renal tissue integrity, was not statistically different when compared to the GPRE.

**DISCUSSION**

The remote ischemic per-conditioning is the latest technique described as a way to mitigate ischemia-reperfusion. In the literature, there are descriptions of the per-conditioning in myocardial and cerebral ischemia. The present study aimed to evaluate its possible protective effects on renal ischemia. This, in turn, is the genesis of acute renal failure, characterized by elevated levels of urea and creatinine.

The results of analysis of serum levels of urea and creatinine of rats from GIR group (Figures 2 and 3), subjected only to ischemia and reperfusion, returned significantly higher values, proving the efficiency of the model of ischemia used. On the other hand, the serum levels for the group GN showed lower values, since they were not subjected to any procedure.

Serum urea followed expressively creatinine levels in different groups. Except for the comparison between groups GN and GPRE, where values were not statistically different, urea and creatinine levels differed between groups. It is known that creatinine is produced from muscle phosphocreatine, therefore depending on muscle cell metabolism, being little affected by diet. Urea, on its turn, has blood levels changed according to the type of food. Therefore, serum creatinine is more reliable than serum urea, thus characterizing the difference between the normal range and the GPRE group.

The values of urea and creatinine in rats of the GPRE group indicated a protective effect of this technique on induced ischemia and reperfusion renal injury, their levels being very close to the GN group rats. Therefore, such as the ischemic pre and post-conditioning that were initially described in myocardial ischemia and then were introduced to the study of renal ischemia and reperfusion, the per-

**Table 1** - Scores of histopathological analysis (Scale Shih et al.) of every animal, according to the groups of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GN</th>
<th>GIR</th>
<th>GPER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rat I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.05 (ANOVA). GN, normality group. GIR, ischemia / reperfusion group. GPER, per-conditioning group.
conditioning follows the same path⁹, having now proven its effectiveness in protecting this type of kidney injury.

Renal histopathology has also been widely used to quantify the damage caused by renal ischemia and reperfusion syndrome¹³. Nevertheless, this study is innovative with regard to the histopathological evaluation of the effects of ischemic per-conditioning on renal ischemia and reperfusion. For this analysis, we adopted the scale of Shih et al.¹³, which quantifies the extent of ischemia-reperfusion injury in the renal tissue. In this study, a more severe tissue injury was found to be grade 2 in GIR group (Table 3). This can possibly be explained by the reduced time of 30 minutes of ischemia adopted, as well as the time of free reperfusion, 24 hours, since the inflammatory lesions requires at least 48 hours of observation for installation¹⁴, whereas, when the GN group was compared to the GPER group, it was not possible to identify significant differences, demonstrating the protective effect of this technique.

In conclusion, the remote ischemic per-conditioning showed a significant protective effect, according to serum and histopathological examination, when compared to the control group of ischemia and reperfusion. In addition, the per-conditioning substantially approached normal patterns.
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