Standardization of pulmonary ventilation technique using volume-controlled ventilators in rats with congenital diaphragmatic hernia
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To standardize a technique for ventilating rat fetuses with Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia (CDH) using a volume-controlled ventilator. Methods: Pregnant rats were divided into the following groups: a) control (C); b) exposed to nitrofen with CDH (CDH); and c) exposed to nitrofen without CDH (N-). Fetuses of the three groups were randomly divided into the subgroups ventilated (V) and non-ventilated (N-V). Fetuses were collected on day 21.5 of gestation, weighed and ventilated for 30 minutes using a volume-controlled ventilator. Then the lungs were collected for histological study. We evaluated: body weight (BW), total lung weight (TLW), left lung weight (LLW), ratios TLW / BW and LLW / BW, morphological histology of the airways and causes of failures of ventilation. Results: BW, TLW, LLW, TLW / BW and LLW / BW were higher in C compared with N- (p <0.05) and CDH (p <0.05), but no differences were found between the subgroups V and N-V (p> 0.05). The morphology of the pulmonary airways showed hypoplasia in groups N- and CDH, with no difference between V and N-V (p <0.05). The C and N- groups could be successfully ventilated using a tidal volume of 75 ll, but the failure of ventilation in the CDH group decreased only when ventilated with 50 ll. Conclusion: Volume ventilation is possible in rats with CDH for a short period and does not alter fetal or lung morphology.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) is a rare defect that affects about 1:2000 to 1:4000 of newborns. Hypoplasia and pulmonary hypertension lead to respiratory distress and are primarily responsible for post-natal death. Mortality used to be 50% in patients with isolated CDH, and around 80% with associated anomalies, but in some centers it has been dropping to 20-30% with the introduction of standardized treatment protocols.

Due to hypoplasia and pulmonary hypertension, patients with CDH have a difficult ventilatory handling, with right to left shunt, hypoxia, hypercapnia, and mixed acidosis. Thus, the frequency of lung injury, barotrauma and pneumothorax in these patients were very high, which most often caused death. Wung et al. established the parameters currently used in assisted mechanical ventilation with low pressure and permissive hypercapnia (a gentle ventilation), and even delaying surgical correction.

To study the CDH, there are congenital, surgical or toxicological models. The most studied model is the rat toxicological one through nitrofen (2,4-dichlorophenyl 4-nitrophenyl ether). The nitrofen, which is a teratogen when administered at gestational day (GD) 9.5 leads to CDH in about 40% of fetuses, and the vast majority of the experimental studies in this model evaluates the lung at the end of gestation. Thus, it is not possible to evaluate the morphological and biochemical changes that occur after pulmonary ventilation.

Several models of ventilation in larger animals have been described in CDH, such as in sheep and rabbits, but these species lack options for conducting molecular studies. Due to the difficulty of lung ventilation in these patients, only one model has been described in small animals. Sluiter et al. adapted a model of ventilation for preterm rabbits to neonates of rats. Beyond this, only three more other studies have reproduced this model, probably due to technical difficulties, such as the use of an adapted sophisticated ventilator, and instruments to confirm that the ventilation is actually occurring.

Due to high neonatal mortality resulting from pulmonary hypoplasia and complications of ventilation
resulting from this defect, our goal was to standardize a more easily reproducible model of ventilation in rat fetuses with CDH, using a volume-cycled ventilator, and to evaluate the changes caused in the parenchymal lung after ventilation.

METHODS

The study was approved by the Committee of Ethics in Animal Experimentation of the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto of (CETEA), University of São Paulo (FMRR-USP), under number 043/2011.

Female Sprague-Dawley rats weighing around 250g were subjected to overnight mating. The next day we performed a vaginal smear and, when watching a smear sperm, confirmed mating. This day was considered the day zero of the gestation (term = 22 days). The animals were kept in cages with water and food ad libitum, under controlled conditions of lighting (12-hour lightness/12-hour darkness), temperature (average 23° C) and relative air humidity (average 55%).

We divided the pregnant rats into two groups: Control (C) and nitrofen (N). The rats of group C were not manipulated. The rats of group N were exposed to 100 mg of nitrofen, diluted in 1 ml of olive oil, on GD 9.5 according to Kluth et al.10. Fetuses exposed to nitrofen who developed CDH formed the CDH group, and those who did not develop CDH formed the group N-. We divided the groups into ventilated (CV, N-V and CDHV) and unventilated (C, N- and CDH).

On GD 21.5 the pregnant rats were anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of ketamine (50mg/ml) associated with xylazine (20mg/ml). We placed the anesthetized rats on a heated stage with a temperature of 37° C. After disinfection with aqueous chlorhexidine, rats underwent laparotomy with exposure of fetal horns.

We collected the fetuses individually in the craniocaudal direction, starting from the right horn. The uterus was clamped with a Halstead clamp to prevent the next fetus to be expelled and returned to the abdominal cavity, which was covered with a sterile gauze soaked in saline. When necessary, a further 0.1 ml dose of ketamine was administered intraperitoneally.

The fetus was weighed (body weight – BW), put under another heating stage at 37° C and fixed with tape in the supine position (Figure 1A); we then carried out a longitudinal neck incision, tracheal dissection (Figures 1B and C) tracheal section on its anterior aspect and insertion of a 24G Vialon® catheter (Figure 1D). The catheter was connected to the end of an intravenous line for better connection to the ventilator. This set was connected to a volumecontrolled, timecycled ventilator (MiniVent type 845, Harvard Apparatus®) (Figure 1E), with a frequency of 80 cycles / min, FiO₂ 1.0, I:E ratio of 1:1, PEEP 0 cmH₂O for 30 minutes. To prevent extubation, the connections between the ventilator and the tracheotomy catheter were fixed to the thermal table with modeling clay. After the end of

Figure 1 - A) fetus positioned in supine on heated table (on average 38° C) and fixed with adhesive tape; B) anterior cervical incision; C) isolation of the trachea followed by section; D) intubation with teflon catheter and ventilation; E) ventilator set at 80/min frequency and 751l volume; F) sacrifice by decapitation and positioning on of cork table for specimen collection.
ventilation in ventilated fetuses, or after the body weighing in unventilated fetuses, they were sacrificed by decapitation and their lungs were dissected (Figure 1F) and harvested for lung weighing (total lung weight – TLW, and left lung weight – LLW) and sent for histological processing.

Calculated a tidal volume of approximately 13.5 ml / kg in C fetuses (75ìl). Thia was greater than the volume used by Kroon et al. 18, but with a lower frequency of cycling (80). N- fetuses and CDH were ventilated with decreasing volumes: 75, 63, 50 and 30 ìl, the failure rates being noted, defined by: pneumothorax (PT), pneumomediastinum (PM), identified by the air leakage around the trachea and failed tracheal catheterization (FTC), and success defined by observation of chest expansion and pink coloration of the fetus. Success rates and complications were constantly analyzed to find the optimum volume.

The following variables were measured: BW, TLW and LLW. To remove the influence of BW on the TLW and LLW, we calculated the TLW / BW and LLW / BW ratios. For fetal morphometric analysis, eight fetuses were collected from each subgroup after standardization of the current volume.

The samples were fixed in formaldehyde, dehydrated in alcohol, cleared in xylene and embedded in histology paraffin. Histological sections were made with a thickness of 5ìm and later collected in pre-silanized histological slides. The sections were stained with Masson’s trichrome, and the slides were mounted in Permount®. Histological sections were photographed at 100x magnification in light microscope and the images were analyzed to obtain the mean linear intercept (Lm) and its components, internal diameter of the airspaces (Lma) and the mean wall transection length (Lmw) according to the methods described by Dunhill 19 and modified by Verbeken et al. 20. Lung morphometric analysis was performed using six sections per fetus and four fetuses per subgroup.

Values obtained through weighting and measurements of lung parenchyma were analyzed by ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer post-test and expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Differences were considered significant at p <0.05.

RESULTS

Data on ventilation failures are grouped in table 1.

We found that the best volume to ventilate was 50µl, with 53% success. Ventilation was possible with the volume of 30ìl, but we could not verify lung expansion. There was no difference in BW between ventilated and non-ventilated fetuses of the same group (p> 0.05). The fetuses in group C had higher BW than the ones in groups N- and CDH (p <0.001). There was no difference in TLW between ventilated and non-ventilated fetuses of the same group (p> 0.05). Fetuses in group C had higher TLW than fetuses of groups and N-CDH (p <0.001), and fetuses of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Control(n=38)</th>
<th>N-(n=68)</th>
<th>CDH(n=40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C (n=38)</td>
<td>N- (n=20)</td>
<td>CDH (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75µl</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=11)</td>
<td>CDH (n=7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63µl</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=35)</td>
<td>CDH (n=15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50µl</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2)</td>
<td>CDH (n=3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30µl</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PT: pneumothorax; PM: pneumomediastinum; FTC: failed tracheal catheterization

---

Table 1 - Causes of ventilation failure stratified by group, volume and accident.
the group N- showed similar results when compared to the CDH group (p <0.05). Results similar to TLW’s were found in the analysis of LLW, TLW / BW and LLW / BW (Table 2).

The morphometric analysis showed progressive pulmonary hypoplasia in fetuses from group N. Among those, hypoplasia was more severe in CDH fetuses. In general, the ventilation for 30 minutes did not change lung morphometry, except for the N- group, where we observed an increase of Lm and Lmw after pulmonary ventilation. Pulmonary morphometric data are summarized in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows lungs from several groups, where we can observe the different degrees of lung development.

**DISCUSSION**

Models of ventilation in fetuses with CDH are described in larger animals, such as sheep and rabbits. In fetal sheep pressure-controlled, time-cycled ventilators were used and 11-13. In rabbit fetuses volume-controlled, time-cycled ventilators were used, but pressure-limited 21,22. In these animals there are only surgical models of CDH, with the downside of having a more expensive maintenance, high abortion rate (rabbits) and a longer gestation (sheep), besides the limited availability of markers for biomolecular studies.

In the small animal model with rat fetuses, the only model described was based on another one, standardized for ventilation of premature rabbits 23, using a sophisticated, pressure-controlled, time-cycled ventilator, modified and connected to a special tube for ventilation of multiple fetuses in parallel, and other equipment for monitoring flow and pressure, confirming that all fetuses were being ventilated. The adaptation for ventilation in fetal rats was described in 1992 14. However, this model was reproduced in only three other studies 15-17. In none of

---

**Figure 2** - Morphometric analyzes data between ventilated and non-ventilated groups.

Lm: mean linear intercept, Lma: internal diameter of the airspaces, Lmw: mean wall transection length. ** p <0.001. C: control; CV: ventilated control; N: exposed to nitrofen; N-V: exposed to nitrofen and ventilated; CDH: congenital diaphragmatic hernia; CDHV: congenital diaphragmatic hernia and ventilated. The y axis corresponds to the result of specific calculations for each parameter. C versus CHR - Lmw (p <0.001) and Lma (p <0.05); N- versus CHR - Lm, Lmw (p <0.001) and Lma (p <0.05); CV versus CDHV: Lm and Lmw (p <0.05); N-V versus CDHV: Lma (p <0.05).

**Table 2** - Fetal morphometric findings (mg) of each ventilated and non-ventilated group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C(n=8)</th>
<th>CV(n=8)</th>
<th>N-(n=8)</th>
<th>N-V(n=8)</th>
<th>CDH(n=8)</th>
<th>CDHV(n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>5640</td>
<td>5530</td>
<td>4947</td>
<td>4683</td>
<td>4683</td>
<td>4632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±223)</td>
<td>(±82)</td>
<td>(±116)</td>
<td>(±87)</td>
<td>(±105)</td>
<td>(±398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±13)</td>
<td>(±9)</td>
<td>(±6)</td>
<td>(±1)</td>
<td>(±12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLW</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±0.1)</td>
<td>(±0.3)</td>
<td>(±0.5)</td>
<td>(±0.6)</td>
<td>(±3)</td>
<td>(±0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW / BW</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±0.002)</td>
<td>(±0.002)</td>
<td>(±0.001)</td>
<td>(±0.002)</td>
<td>(±0.002)</td>
<td>(±0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLW / BW</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±0.0013)</td>
<td>(±0.0006)</td>
<td>(±0.001)</td>
<td>(±0.001)</td>
<td>(±0.001)</td>
<td>(±0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared groups – a: C x CV; b: C x N-; c: C x CDH; d: CV x N-V; e: CV x CDHV; f: N- x N-V; g: N- x CDH; h: N-V x CDHV; i: CDH x CDHV.
these articles, the success rate in tracheal catheterization or complication rate after intubation has been described, since the procedure, the materials used and the fetuses themselves are smaller and of more delicate handling. Possibly the lack of description of this maneuver and complications may justify the low reproducibility of the ventilation model in rats. Moreover, in all of them changes in lung molecular biology were noted after a short period of ventilation.

In ventilation model of normal newborn rats, using simpler, volume-controlled, time-cycled ventilators, it may be pressure-limited. The tidal volume admitted for ventilation ranged from 3.5 to 40 ml/kg, with a volume considered median between 8.5 and 12 ml/kg, and a frequency between 20 and 600 cycles/minute, with the median frequency between 100 and 160 cycles/minute. However, the use of a volume-controlled ventilation in rats can ventilate only the fetus at a time.

We chose to use a slightly higher tidal volume, about 13.5 ml/kg (75ml), but with a lower frequency (80 cycles/min) in fetuses of group C and we had minimum failure rates. We tried to use the same volume for fetuses of group N, however, the rate of complications such as pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum or tracheal catheterization failure were very high, respectively 35 and 66.7% in N- fetuses and CDH. We recalculated the volume according to the weight of fetuses exposed to nitrofen, which are smaller (13.5 ml/kg? 63ml), but even when ventilating with lower volume, the complication rate still remained high, especially in CDH fetuses, 54.5 and 85.7% in fetuses and N- CDH, respectively. We reduced ventilatory volume (10.5 ml/kg and 50ml) and achieved greater success in fetuses with CDH, with a more acceptable complication rate, respectively 37.1 and 46.7% in fetuses and N- CDH. Finally, we lowered the volume to 30ml, and even with properly intubated fetus, visualization of chest expansion with this volume was extremely difficult, so we considered the volume of 50ml as the ideal volume for ventilation of the fetuses in the nitrofen group.

As there is a limitation of how to differentiate nitrofen fetuses with and without hernia before sacrifice without a special ultrasound to small animals, we had to use a volume that did not overload the lungs of CDH fetuses, but could also properly expand and ventilate the lungs of the N- fetuses. Losty et al. evaluated the static lung compliance in fetuses with CDH and normal rats, and observed a decrease in compliance in the exposed fetuses and, in particular, in fetuses with CDH, justifying the need for a smaller volume in group N. These results also corroborate the findings of a recent study from our group, which showed lower lung volume and air space in CDH fetuses.

After the passage of the learning curve, the success rate in ventilation of C fetuses was efficient, whereas in fetuses of group N the learning curve was longer and the success rate of ventilation was lower. Part of this failure can be explained by Xia et al., who reported...
tracheal defects in fetuses exposed to nitrofen with and without CDH \(^{20}\). They observed incomplete rings in 48 and 70%, stenosis 12 and 21% and vascular rings in 12 and 11%, respectively, in the groups N- and CDH.

The results of fetal lung morphology and are in agreement with previous studies that observed fetuses of progressively smaller size when exposed to nitrofen (N-) and with CDH, pulmonary hypoplasia in both being higher in CDH than in C fetuses \(^{14,29,30}\). Ventilation for a short time did not significantly alter this pattern.

Therefore, for the CDH model in rats, the volume-controlled and time-cycled ventilation was feasible, with approximately 50% of success using the volume of 50ml. Furthermore, the ventilation of short duration (30 minutes) did not change the pattern of the lung parenchyma histology, demonstrating indirect signs of reduced compliance in the lungs of CDH fetuses.

The ventilation of fetal rats with nitrofeninduced CDH is possible using a volume-controlled, time-cycled ventilator. After the passage of the learning curve, the success rate in ventilation is excellent in C fetuses and reasonable in N fetuses due to tracheal defects. The ventilation for a short period did not alter fetal or lung morphology.
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