Complementary exams in blunt torso trauma. Perform only radiographs and fast: is it safe?

*Exames complementares na condução do trauma contuso do tronco. É seguro realizar apenas radiografias e fast?*
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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** to evaluate effectiveness of using chest X-ray (CXR), pelvis X-ray (RXP) and FAST (Focused Abdominal Sonography on Trauma) to exclude significant lesions of the body in blunt trauma. **Methods:** a prospective study involving 74 patients whom made the three tests (CXR, RXP and FAST) during the initial evaluation between October 2013 and February 2014. The results were compared to the tomography of the same patients or clinical outcome. If the patient did not have alterations on the CT scans or during the observation time, the initial workup was considered safe. All patients were evaluated at the Hospital João XXIII, Belo Horizonte, Brazil. **Results:** of the 74 patients studied the average age was 33 years, RTS: 6.98, ECG: 12. From 44 (59.45%) patients with exams (radiographs and FAST) unchanged, three had significant injuries (two splenic injuries and one liver injury) diagnosed by clinical monitoring. The remaining patients - 30 (40.55%) - had at least one alteration in conventional tests. Of these group 27 (90%) had significant injuries and three (10%) minor injuries. The sensitivity of all three tests for screening considerable lesions was 90% and the specificity was 93%. The negative predictive value was 93% and the positive predictive value 89%. **Conclusion:** this research showed that all the three exams - chest X-ray, pelvis and FAST - are safe to lead with the blunt trauma if well used and associated with clinical examination.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Trauma is a global disease, being the fifth greatest global cause of death and the first when considering people less than 40 years of age. In Brazil, it represents an even more alarming epidemiological behavior, since it corresponds to the third greatest cause of death and the first when considering the age group 1-44. It accounts for 50.2% of all deaths from external causes in this age group, and 74% of deaths in the range 15-24. Trauma, therefore, should be seen as a serious public health problem, a disease which requires dedication and experience from the professionals involved. Due to its high prevalence, medical professional experience is essential, even if it is from a field devoid of propaedeutic resources.

In order to evaluate whether minimum research resources are sufficient in relation to tomography, it was decided to investigate the extent to which radiological examinations of primary trauma assessments (pelvic and chest X-rays and FAST - focused abdominal sonography trauma) are sufficient in indicating the best approach for the initial care of polytrauma patients.

**METHODS**

Seventy four patients were studied, all blunt trauma victims, and the three exams chest X-ray, pelvic X-ray and FAST were performed on admission. Data were collected on admission using a protocol developed for this purpose. The data collection period was October 2013 to February 2014 and took place at Hospital João XXIII.

Because there is no specific protocol on image methodology during the initial care at Hospital João XXIII, there was no interference in the surgeon’s conduct dealing with each patient. Computed tomography was used selectively as the initial investigation method, according to the cause of injury. The study was based on chart data and examinations, without care intervention, without identification of the patient and therefore with no ethical-legal implications.

To determine whether the request for only three exams (chest X-ray, pelvic X-ray and FAST) would be sufficient for the complementary study of the torso in patients suffering from blunt trauma, two judgment criteria were considered: 1- The initial results of the three exams were
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DISCUSSION

The study clearly showed that the set of three exams (chest X-ray, pelvis X-ray and FAST) has a sensitivity and specificity of 90% and 93% respectively. Therefore its use is recommended when treating blunt trauma to the torso. For those patients who show no alteration in all three exams, and whose clinical examination of the torso is normal, it is inferred that the patient does not have any significant injury within that body segment. Thus, the patient could be referred to another specialist to treat specific injuries or even be discharged early if there are no other associated injuries, according to relevant protocols.

If on the other hand, some of these tests show alterations or the patient has alterations in a clinical examination of the torso, it is necessary for further diagnosis or to pay closer attention, to avoid unnoticed injuries. These findings are consistent with other studies in literature which show the safety of treating patients without tomography scanning as a tracking method.

There are other issues in literature that reinforce the safety of the conduct of cases through conventional studies, leaving the CT as an supplementary examination. Firstly, the effectiveness of the reduction in mortality by way of a full-body CT scan during initial evaluation remains uncertain in literature. There is a lack of important randomized and controlled studies on the subject and there are articles that do not show an associated reduction in mortality. In addition, there is literary support for the use of radiography and ultrasound, since they are validated methods and are used by the most accepted protocols and applied worldwide, in relation to the approach to trauma victims, as defined by ATLS (Advanced Trauma Life Support). Another important issue to consider is that the use of full-body CT scans during initial assessment does not eliminate the possibility of unnoticed injuries. Such an examination has a sensitivity of around 85%, variable in relation to the analyzed region, however its use does not suggest replacement of the well-established diagnostic methods of monitoring and detailed clinical follow-ups.

In this study, the group of tests used in the initial evaluation of trauma victims, together with a clinical examination, was able to diagnose any alterations, discarding therefore, any significant torso injury. Of the three “false negative” patients, two had abdominal pain during observation and one had a traumatic abdominal tattoo that suggested a biomechanical high energy trauma, which led to a request for additional tomography.

Another important point of this discussion is the lack of infrastructure in Brazil in relation to the establishment of trauma centers and the large volume of trauma patients attended in Brazilian institutions. In this context, there are strategic benefits to conventional imaging. Among them are reduced costs, fewer skilled professionals and the ease of access to tests in smaller centers, thereby putting them to better use and reducing the burden on major centers.

RESULTS

Of the 74 patients studied, their average age was 33, mean (Revised Trauma Score) RTS 6.98 and ECG 12. Of these 74 patients, 44 (59%) showed unchanged tests (radiography and FAST). However, three patients in this group had significant injuries (two splenic injuries and one liver), diagnosed by another imaging method; computed tomography (CT). The CT scans for these patients were performed within 24 hours after admission, since two of them complained of abdominal pain and the other had a severe tattoo trauma, identified during clinical examination.

The other 30 patients had, in this image set, at least one alteration, for example: free intra-abdominal fluid or rib fracture. Of these 30, 27 patients (90%) had significant injuries which were diagnosed either by primary examinations (e.g. pelvic fracture identified by pelvic X-ray) or by a complementary method (tomography). Significant injuries were defined as those which needed: an evaluation by another expert, a longer observation time and/or an invasive procedure. Only three patients (10%) had minor injuries, remaining only under hospital observation and were discharged after 12 hours (Table 1).

Therefore, the sensitivity of all three tests for the screening of significant injuries was 90% and the specificity was 93%. The negative predictive value found was 93% and a positive predictive value, 89%.

Table 1 - Association of alterations in the set of exams - chest X-ray, pelvis X-ray and FAST- with a diagnosis of considerable injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>XRF +</th>
<th>XRF -</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL+</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CL+: considerable injuries diagnosed; CL-: no considerable injuries diagnosed; XRF +: alteration in X-rays with FAST; XRF -: no alteration in X-rays with FAST.
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In addition, lower doses of radiation should be considered, especially in children and the young. Although there are no Brazilian data on the subject, it is estimated that, in the United States, between 1.5 and 2% of all diagnosed neoplasms are related to hospital radiation use. Such data is relevant to this discussion, since full-body CT scans generate a radiation dose of 10-30 mSv, which is up to 1,000 times greater than that of a PA chest X-ray, whose estimated dose is 0.01 mSv. Thus, a study which can demonstrate the safety of conventional tests, compared to full-body CT scans, can contribute greatly in improving the logistics of referrals to large Brazilian trauma centers. Many recent articles describe the benefits of full-body CT scans, however few compare this strategy with conventional imaging, or selective tomography. Thus, little is known about the comparison of these methods and more studies are needed to show that full-body CT is a more effective procedure during the initial evaluation of trauma victims. In this publication, there were no disadvantages apparently with the use of X-rays and fast exams.

Criticisms of this study are based on the lack of homogeneity within the approach in relation to diagnosing requested image, and the fact that not all patients were examined, which can taint the study. Regarding the lack of homogeneity with the diagnoses, it should be noted that this fault is explained by the extensive knowledge within the institution of the trauma causes. Despite the criticisms, it is clear from above, that the use of pelvic and chest X-rays, in addition to FAST and clinical examinations, are still effective tools and must be encouraged in the initial approach to treating trauma patients. In our study, no patient had significant unnoticed injuries, using said methods for an initial evaluation.

It is concluded that CT can be used selectively in cases of altered clinical examinations or when the patient shows alterations in these requested examinations. A full-body CT scan therefore need not be used for an initial diagnosis for all polytrauma patients, which is in tune with Brazilian reality, the reality of a developing country which is seeking to reduce medical costs wherever possible.

This is a pilot study for investigation and the improvement of hospital service. It presents a reliable and applicable option for other Brazilian trauma centers.

RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar a efetividade do uso da radiografia de tórax (RxT), pelve (RxP) e FAST (Focused Abdominal Sonography on Trauma) em excluir lesões significativas do tronco na trauma contuso. Métodos: estudo prospectivo envolvendo 74 pacientes no período de outubro de 2013 a fevereiro de 2014 que fizeram, durante a avaliação inicial, os três exames (RxT, RxP e FAST). Os resultados destes exames foram comparados à tomografia de tronco do mesmo paciente ou com a sua evolução clínica com base no tempo de observação protocolado pelo hospital. Todos os pacientes foram atendidos no Hospital João XXIII, Belo Horizonte/MG, Brasil.

Resultados: Dos 74 pacientes estudados, a média de idade foi 33 anos, RTS: 6,98, Escala de Coma de Glasgow (ECG): 12. Desses, 44 (59,45%) possuíam os exames (radiografias e FAST) sem alterações, porém três pacientes desse grupo apresentaram lesões importantes (duas lesões esplênicas e uma hepática) suspeitadas através do acompanhamento clínico e definidas pela tomografia posterior. O restante dos pacientes, 30 (40,55%), tiveram pelo menos uma alteração nos exames convencionais, sendo que, dentro desse grupo, 27 (90%) apresentaram lesões significativas e três (10%) lesões leves. A sensibilidade do conjunto dos três exames para triagem de lesões significativas foi 90% e sua especificidade, 93%. O valor preditivo negativo encontrado foi 93% e o valor preditivo positivo, de 89%. Conclusão: O estudo demonstrou que o conjunto dos três exames (radiografia de tórax, pelve e FAST) é seguro para conduzir o trauma contuso do tronco, se for bem utilizado, associado ao exame clínico.


REFERENCES


Received on 05/02/2015
Accepted for publication 02/04/2015
Conflict of interest: none.
Source of funding: none.

Address for correspondence:
Sizenando Vieira Starling
E-mail: sizenando.starling@gmail.com