Modified pubovaginal sling technique in the surgical management of female stress urinary incontinence
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the application of aponeurotic sling by a modified technique with direct visualization of needles in patients with stress urinary incontinence. Methods: we applied the Kings Health Questionnaire (KHQ) for quality of life, gynecological examination, urinalysis I and urine culture approximately seven days prior to the urodynamic study (UDS) and the one-hour PAD test in patients undergoing making aponeurotic sling with its passing through the retropubic route with direct visualization of the needle, PAD test and King’s Health Questionnaire before and after surgery. Results: The mean age was 50.6 years, BMI of 28 and Leak Pressure (LP) 58,5cm H2O; 89% were Caucasian. Forty-six of them were monitored for three and six months, 43 for 12 months. The objective cure rate at 12 months postoperatively was approximately 93.5%. In evaluating quality of life, we observed a significant improvement in 12 months postoperatively compared with the preoperative period. There was no urethral/bladder injury. As adverse results, we had one persistent urinary retention (2.3%), who was submitted to urethrolysis, currently without incontinence. Conclusion: The proposed procedure is safe as for the risk of bladder or urethral injuries, promoting significant improvement in quality of life and objective cure.
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INTRODUCTION

The operation using a pubovaginal slings for the correction of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) was described in 1907 by Von Giordano. In 1910, Goebell describes a technique that releases the pyramidal muscles involving the proximal urethra. Price, in 1933, first described the sling. Aldridge, in 1942, innovated and combined the Goebell-Frangenheim-Stoeckel operation with the Price one by removing two aponeurotic bands from the rectus abdominis muscle, keeping the median portion intact, rotating the free lateral edges down through the rectus abdominis muscles to the urethra, where they were joined.

For a long time the techniques of pubovaginal sling (PVS) fell into disuse due to technical difficulties and the high incidence of complications. In 1978, the aponeurotic sling operation was reintroduced with good results.

From the 1990 Integral Theory, a new surgical technique was developed, using a synthetic polypropylene sling positioned in the middle urethra, through the passage of needles for fixation in the retropubic position and without tension, known as tension-free vaginal tape (TVT). Currently, this operation with synthetic sling is considered the gold standard in the correction of SUI. However, it is not without its adversities, such as extrusion, erosion, infection, and vessels, bowel or bladder perforations.

Taking into account all these factors and the clarification that it is necessary to the patient, an important part in the treatment choice, we decided to evaluate the implementation of an aponeurotic sling through a modified technique with direct visualization of the needles in patients with stress urinary incontinence.

METHODS

We conducted a prospective cohort study on placement of aponeurotic sling under direct visualization, a prospective analysis of cure rate and quality of life in women with stress urinary incontinence, involving 64 women with clinical and urodynamic diagnosis of SUI, from the Urogynecology and Vaginal Surgery Clinic, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology (DOGI) of the Hospital Central da Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia of São Paulo (ISCMCSP) and the Complexo Hospitalar Padre Benito de Guarulhos, from August 2008 to August 2013. The urodynamic exams were carried out with a Uranus®

The study included patients who had urinary leakage at clinical examination and urodynamic study. As exclusion criteria, there were: pure urgency urinary incontinence, urinary tract infection, chronic decompensated diseases, severe genital dystopias (prolapse grade III or IV by the POP-Q), neurogenic bladder and patients who refused to participate in the study.

Patients were submitted to anamnesis, application of the Kings Health Questionnaire (KHQ) on quality of life and gynecological examination. We ordered urinalysis I and urine culture seven days prior to the urodynamic study (UDS) and one-hour PAD test. The PAD test showing small leakages was regarded as an objective cure criterion, and the 12 months KHQ, a subjective one. We considered urinary retention the need for intermittent vesical catheter after 30 days.

All participants were asked to return in three, six and 12 months after surgery to control and re-evaluation of PAD test and KHQ. To assess urinary leakage through the PAD test, we performed analysis of variance with repeated measures (ANOVA).

Surgical technique

We initiated with a transverse abdominal incision approximately two centimeters above the pubic symphysis, exposing the aponeurosis of the rectus abdominis, and resecting it in a diamond-shaped sling with about 10 cm in longitudinal diameter and 1.5 cm in transverse diameter at its central portion. We then held a suture with 0 mononylon in the edges of the aponeurosis and placed the sling in saline to keep it hydrated.

We exposed the retropubic space by blunt dissection till the identification of the bladder neck. We then followed to the vaginal space, with an incision in the anterior vaginal wall mucosa 1.5 cm from the external urethral meatus, at the level of the middle urethra, of approximately 2.5 cm, in the midline. The dissection was carried out bilaterally with a curved Metzenbaum scissor toward the patient’s ipsilateral shoulder and the aspect of the collected urine was checked.

The first assistant positioned and kept his index and middle fingers in the retropubic space, leaving the Foley catheter at the level of the middle urethra, after traction of the catheter and identification of the balloon (bladder neck). The classic needle for passage of the synthetic retropubic slings with attached suture was passed vaginally toward the first assistant’s finger lateral edge, transfixing the endopelvic fascia up to the aponeurosis of the rectus abdominis muscle. We repeated the passage on the other side.

After each passage of the needle the suture was released from the needle and the urine aspect checked. Should it present with hematuria, cystoscopy was performed. The sling adjustment was made without tension, leaving the space of a hystrometer cable between the urethra and the sling. The ends of the nylon stitches were attached to the aponeurosis by transfixation without traction.

RESULTS

Sixty-four patients underwent the proposed operation, 46 meeting the minimum following criteria of three and six months and 43, twelve months, comprising the sample. Most were white (89%), with mean and median of 52.3 and 53 years for age, BMI 28 and 29 Kg/m², and effort loss pressure (ELP) of 66.2 and 58 cm H2O, respectively.

We evaluated the absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies of the PAD test results (Table 1). We observed regression in urine loss. Over time, patients evolved to better states: at six months, 89% showed no loss and 11% had low losses, while at end of 12 months, these figures were 80.4% and 13.1%, respectively, with loss of the information of some patients who did not return to the visits (6.5%).

There was a marked fall in urinary loss in grams from the preoperative time up to three months, and a smooth reduction from three to 12 months (Figure 1).

We found a significant difference (p <0.001) in the overall assessment of urine losses in the postoperative period. The intervals pre-3m, pre-6m, pre-12m and 3m-6m showed significant differences, but we did not observe significant differences in the intervals 3m-12m and 6m-12m, despite improvements in the reported complaints (Table 2).

### Table 1 - PAD test- Absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Negligible (0 - 1g)</th>
<th>Mild (1.1 - 9.9g)</th>
<th>Moderate (10 - 49.9g)</th>
<th>Severe (Above de 50g)</th>
<th>Without information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>17 (36.9)</td>
<td>24 (52.2)</td>
<td>5 (10.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Months</td>
<td>34 (73.9)</td>
<td>11 (23.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Months</td>
<td>41 (89.1)</td>
<td>5 (10.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Months</td>
<td>37 (80.4)</td>
<td>6 (13.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For evaluating the impact of stress urinary incontinence on quality of life, we calculated averages in the various fields, all displaying identical plots. We present only the impact of urinary incontinence (Figure 2). We found significant differences when comparing the preoperative period with the averages of the several domains (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Female SUI is a disorder that causes negative impact on quality of life of women, can not and should not be considered natural, since it causes emotional, personal and social difficulties, as well as biological alterations, greatly affecting their self-esteem.

Of the 64 patients submitted to the proposed treatment in our study, none had urethral or bladder injury as adverse outcome due to the passage of the needles.

The Pad test showed 93.5% cure in 12 months. This value is consistent with the literature ones, 83% to 94%.

**Table 2 -** *PAD test* comparison for the different intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pad test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple comparisons
- Pre - 3m: <0.001
- Pre - 6m: <0.001
- Pre - 12m: <0.001
- 3m - 6m: 0.007
- 3m - 12m: 0.098
- 6m - 12m: 0.068

General significance level = 5%
Level of significance of each comparison = 0.05/6 = 0.008

**Table 3 -** Analysis of variance with summary measures (General).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Anova</th>
<th>Multiple comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR 3m-pre 6m-pre 12m-pre 6m-3m 12m-3m 12m-6m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad test</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.007 0.098 0.068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Perception</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.008 0.008 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of incontinence</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.020 0.020 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation of daily activities</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.070 0.070 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Limitation</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.014 0.014 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Limatação</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.016 0.011 0.317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Relationships</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.024 0.024 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.006 0.006 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep and Mood</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.034 0.034 1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures of Severity</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 &lt; 0.001 0.027 0.027 0.317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level = 5%

Urinary retention is a frequent adverse outcome in the postoperative period. In this study, we had three (6.9%) patients with urinary retention in the immediate postoperative period and one with persistent retention (2.3%), which is consistent with the literature (19.7%)\(^7,8,17-23\). These patients were referred for pelvic floor
physiotherapy. Two of them had resolution of the condition and voiding study compatible with normality. One patient still had urinary retention requiring intermittent catherization, the voiding study revealing urethral opening pressure of 45 cmH2O. The patient underwent urethrolysis, with good evolution, being continent and without complaints.

The rate of bladder / urethral injury reported, according to several authors, ranges from zero to 13.8%21,23. In our series, we had no adverse outcomes in this regard.

When separately analyzing each of the domains, comparing the preoperative period with three, six and 12 months postoperatively, we noted that there was a statistically significant difference.

We believe that, from the points of view of surgical results and improving the life of our patients, our technique proved to be efficient. We believe there is room for its use in SUI relapsing from conventional techniques21,23 and in cases of rejection of synthetic materials or selected patients, considering that the ideal treatment for female SUI is yet to come28.

In conclusion, the retropubic placement of aponeurotic sling with direct visualization proved to be safe as for the risk of bladder or urethral injuries, with objective cure rate and improved quality of life.
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