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ABSTRACT

Objective: Propose metric to qualify the production conveyed through articles published in Professional Master’s Programs and, from there, to establish guidance for the evaluation of postgraduate programs of Medicine III. Method: Analysis of the documents of 2013 area graduate programs strict sense concerning the application and measurement of score the articles published, and creation of proposal for metric of the theme with the quadrennial review of Medicine III. Results: Were evaluated the medicines area documents I, II and III; Biological Sciences (I) and Interdisciplinary, as well as the 2013 reports of CAPES. All programs establish metrics for “Classification of Published Articles” within its bibliographic production although with different percentages respecting its specificities. With these data collected and correlating their relevance with the surgical areas, was drafted proposal for quantification of the quality of the published articles to “Professional Postgraduate Programs” at surgical area that have specific characteristics according to their guidelines, directing their scientific production to technique journals preferably. Conclusion: The metric suggested for published articles, that should be included in intellectual production of the Area Document, should be considered for the extract A1 = 100 points; A2 = 85 points; B1 = 80 points; B2 = 70 points; B3 = 60 points; B4 = 40 points and B5 = 20 points.
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INTRODUCTION

The stricto sensu postgraduate professional programs of CAPES have been increasing exponentially since its implantation.

With a new philosophical view, shifting the focus of postgraduate studies, from being only scientific to, also, technical and technology development producers, professional masters courses were designed with the purpose of changing the formation of professionals in the health area, creating not only the ones who produce research, but also creative and innovative professionals, that collaborates with the creation and or development of innovations in their daily work.

Such a view brought new paradigm, because the existing evaluation criteria is not suitable to programs with other purposes besides academic research.

Differently from academic master, the professional one tends to value much more inventive creation, patents generation and copyright deposit, which generally had negligible weight or even was not valued in academic master evaluation.

Thus, becomes urgent to re-establish new evaluation criteria for these programs due they have different disseminating production ways, following different publication lines between the two.

Regarding the publication of articles, while the academic master search publications in journals with ever-increasing impact, the professional also need to promote the production of their techniques and technology in journals that have, in general, lower impact factor than those purely scientific and used in the academic areas of health sciences. Thus, with the current score, the scientific production of articles in professional master remains relatively in lower level, negatively interfering in the final evaluation when the current criteria are used.

Hence, the need to review the criteria and metrics for the evaluation process of intellectual production in professional master postgraduate programs of Medicine III is urgent.

METHODS

This study was conducted at the Postgraduate Program in Professional and Research Experimental Surgery at the University of Pará by members of his collegiate in October-November 2014. The research had as guidelines the active search of the publication areas of the CAPES Health Sciences, verifying its compatibility with main purpose of the master professional and technical journals for which the bibliographic production is naturally directed.

The findings presented here are the result of the presentation made and the subsequent discussion during the V Meeting of Postgraduate Medicine III in December 8 and 9, 2014, in São Paulo, Brazil.

Analysis of the material was based on the metrics contained in the Area Documents and 2013 reports of Interdisciplinary, Biology Sciences, Medicines I, II and III areas (Figure 1); reports from the 3rd Monitoring Seminar realized in July 7 to 9, 2014, by CAPES Education Area; and accompanying seminar data from the postgraduate programs carried out in March 14 to 15, 2013, Brasilia.

The bibliographic and technical production of the postgraduate programs recommended by CAPES were analyzed by comparing the mirrors formed by Academic Master’s programs with the Professional Master’s (Figure 2).
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FIGURE 1 - Ranking of bibliographic production of academic master programs for the triennium analyzed in 2013
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FIGURE 2 - Bibliographic and technique production comparison of academic and professional master’s programs in triennium analyzed in 2013.

After Figure 3 mirrors identification, the designs were superimposed and applied on them using the current scoring criteria.

RESULTS

The analyzes showed the results expressed in Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 and Table 1.

FIGURE 4 - Percentage of articles published in the triennium analyzed in 2013 by academic and professional master’s programs, distributed by Qualis stratum

FIGURE 5 - “Notes” (metric area x number of articles in the triennium analyzed in 2013), obtained by academic and professional master’s programs, when measured by the metric of the Interdisciplinary and Biology Sciences area

FIGURE 6 - “Notes” (metric area x number of articles in the triennium analyzed in 2013), obtained by academic and professional master’s programs, when evaluated by Medicines I, II and III metrics

FIGURE 7 - “Notes” (metric area x number of articles in the triennium analyzed in 2013), obtained by academic and professional master’s programs, when evaluated by Suggested metric

TABLE 1 - “Note” (Metric area x number of articles in the triennium analyzed in 2013) of the academic and professional masters, when assessed by different metrics

| Source: 3rd Accompanying Reports Seminar July 7/9, 2014, CAPES Education Area |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes by INTERDISCIPLINARY metrics</th>
<th>Notes by MEDICINES metrics</th>
<th>Notes by SUGGESTED metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>143060</td>
<td>121310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>74580</td>
<td>58300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>68480</td>
<td>63010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Evaluation is a designed process to ensure the acquisition of competencies and skills in a particular area of knowledge or labor field. Has always in view the process of continuous improving. Taking this concept as valid, CAPES revisional evaluation process of metrics for different types of production currently done is correct to adequate indicators focusing the basic justice principle.

With regard to published articles metrics, journals indicators express the quality of articles judged by two or three referees, or peer-reviewed journals, using criteria universally
accepted. In most CAPES area documents the evaluation of journals follows a fairly uniform pattern with low variations, at least within health area.

Thus, one might come to the conclusion that there would be no need to review the metrics, given its concordance among peers.

However, with the creation of the professional master’s programs in 19991, another reality emerged in the Brazilian post-graduate scenario, since the purpose of them now aimed not only scientific, but also the technical and technological production.

In this new scenario, the application of current metric produces distortion and loses to professional programs (Figures 5 and 6) due to its technical characteristic they are compelled to publish in journals of other areas of knowledge, such as bioengineering, biomaterials, biotechnology, nanotechnology which are now, of course, evaluated with a lower Qualis in health areas, clearly demonstrated in the 2013 assessment reports (Figures 2, 3 and 4). With this new reality, in the V Meeting of Postgraduate Medicine III on 8 and 9 of December 2014 in São Paulo, Brazil, the issue was brought to discussion being presented, discussed and offered suggestions with new metric to be applied on published articles for professional masters, valuing technical and technological production intelectual of journals, not losing target on Qualis A and excellence ones.

Thus, when applying the metrics suggested in the last three years, there is a clear reduction of this distortion, keeping, however as a goal to be achieved, Qualis A journals. (Figure 7, Table 1).

With the adoption of this proposed metric, there will certainly be a better assessment of the professional master’s programs, serving this metric as basic guideline of technical and technological production, correcting the existing distortions when evaluation is made with the metric for academic programs.

It is noteworthy that over the years, with a new evaluation by CAPES of Qualis for technical and technological journals in the health area, as well as the acceptance of technical articles in high Qualis journals, distortion tend to disappear over time with constant reassessment of used metrics.

CONCLUSÃO

The metric for articles published in the professional master to be included as intellectual production in area document should consider as Qualis A1: 100 points; Qualis A2: 90 points; Qualis B1: 80 points; Qualis B2: 70 points; Qualis B3: 60 points; Qualis B4: 40 points; Qualis B5: 20 points and Qualis C: 0 points.