The role of computerized tomography in penetrating abdominal trauma

O papel da tomografia no trauma abdominal penetrante
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INTRODUCTION

The change in management of penetrating abdominal trauma over time is remarkable. During the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, nonoperative treatment of abdominal injuries was the norm, since laparotomies were associated with a prohibitive rate of mortality. Due to paradigm shifts, with the development of asepsis and anesthetic techniques, patients who suffer from penetrating abdominal trauma have been mandatorily operated. However, it was observed that non-therapeutic laparotomies doubled hospitalization time and significantly increased patients' morbidity. Thus, victims of stabbing injuries were managed conservatively in selected cases, and gunshot wounds, until recently a mandatory indication of laparotomy, also has a shift towards a more judicious treatment.

Bearing in mind that hemodynamic instability, peritonitis, evisceration and impalement are formal indications for surgical exploration, currently nonoperative treatment (NOT) can be performed in selected stable patients who do not present these signs. In order to target patients to this form of treatment, imaging tests, particularly abdominal tomography (CT), are essential. Although the time required for the CT exam is a risk factor for higher mortality in patients with severe abdominal injuries, the careful selection of those who can undergo abdominal CT may be a safe way of directing management in penetrating trauma.

Our institution (Hospital do Trabalhador – UFPR) has accumulated considerable experience in the selective management of penetrating abdominal trauma, but
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there are still few studies that evaluate the accuracy of the tomography in this scenario.

Thus, the objective of this study is to evaluate the role of abdominal CT in victims of penetrating abdominal trauma and to see if the accuracy of tomography in this population is adequate to determine the treatment of the lesions.

**METHODS**

We conducted a historical cohort study at the *Hospital do Trabalhador*, a reference center for trauma care in Curitiba-PR and its metropolitan region. We included patients admitted between January 2014 and June 2015, victims of penetrating trauma to the anterior abdomen, dorsum and thoracoabdominal transition (TAT) who underwent abdominal CT at admission. Thus, we guaranteed the selection of stable patients. Therefore, we analyzed 1837 records of abdominal tomography and cross-checked the data using the records of assaults and homicides of the social work sector of the *Hospital do Trabalhador*, to identify victims of penetrating abdominal trauma due to stabbing injuries (SI) and gunshot wounds (GSW). We excluded patients without trauma to the anterior abdomen, dorsum or TAT, patients with multiple entry wounds, and patients with missing data on the medical chart. The total number of individuals who underwent abdominal CT for penetrating trauma was 128. Of these, we excluded 37 because they did not present trauma to the anterior abdomen, dorsum or TAT, patients with multiple entry wounds, and patients with missing data on the medical chart. The total number of individuals who underwent abdominal CT for penetrating trauma was 128. Of these, we excluded 37 because they did not present trauma to the anterior abdomen, dorsum or thoracoabdominal transition. Of the 91 remaining patients, we excluded 30 (32.96%) because they did not present sufficient data in the medical records.

For purposes of anatomical division, we considered the anterior abdomen the region delimited by the xiphoid process and the costal borders superiorly, the axillary lines medium laterally, and the lower symphysis pubis. We defined thoracoabdominal transition as the area between the nipple line and the costal borders. We considered the dorsum as the region between the infra-scapular line superiorly, the iliac crests inferiorly, and the median axillary lines laterally.

We evaluated the epidemiological data, the anatomical location of the entry wounds, the abdominal tomography findings, the type of treatment performed (surgical or nonoperative), the main surgical indications, the exploratory laparotomies findings and the treatment outcome. Due to the anatomical differences, we divided the patients into two groups according to the location of the penetrating wound: anterior abdomen group and dorsum/TAT group.

We considered, as positive abdomen CT findings, the abdominal trajectory of the projectile, peritoneal cavity violation, lesions of large vascular structures, solid viscera, diaphragm, urether or bladder, and the presence of free fluid in the abdominal cavity and/or pneumoperitoneum. Those that did not present these findings were negative CT scans.

We calculated sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value and negative predictive value by comparing the CT findings with the surgical findings in exploratory laparotomies or with the nonoperative treatment (NOT) outcome, depending on the management to which the patient was submitted. Thus, true positives were patients with surgical findings consistent with those of CT or patients who performed NOT for lesions seen on CT and had a favorable evolution. True negatives cases were those with no CT findings who had a favorable evolution of NOT or who underwent exploratory laparotomies without findings, ie, non-therapeutic laparotomy (NTL). False positives happened when CT showed lesions but the surgical findings were not consistent with them, and false negatives, when NOT failed or when laparotomy was clinically indicated and presented findings different from CT ones. We considered NOT failure as hypotension or hematocrit decrease without explanation and evolution to diffuse peritonitis.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the *Hospital do Trabalhador* under the number 45397615.0.0000.5225.

**RESULTS**

We included 61 patients in the study, of whom 88.52% (n=54) were male. Their mean age was 26.8±9.38 years. The mechanisms of trauma were gunshot wounds
in 59.01% (n=36) and stabbing injuries in 40.99% (n=25). There were four deaths in this cohort, two due to hemodynamic instability in the postoperative period, and two late ones because of pulmonary and abdominal sepsis. The mortality rate found was, therefore, 6.55%, all of them being victims of gunshot wounds. We found injuries to the anterior abdomen in 50.82% (n=31) of the patients, and injuries to the dorsum or TAT in 49.18% (n=30). We observed positive tomographic findings in 51.61% (n=16) of patients with trauma to the anterior abdomen and 30% (n=9) of patients with dorsum/TAT trauma (Table 1).

The most common positive findings in patients with trauma to the anterior abdomen were lesions in solid viscera associated with free fluid or pneumoperitoneum (22.58%), free fluid associated with pneumoperitoneum (19.35%), free liquid alone (6.45%) and isolated lesion of solid viscera (3.23%). In this group, 48.39% of the CT scans had negative findings. In the patients with trauma to the dorsum/TAT, 20% were lesions in solid viscera with free fluid or pneumoperitoneum, 3.33% pneumoperitoneum, and in 3.33%, isolated solid viscera lesions. In this group, 70% of the CT scans had negative findings (Table 2).

The CT findings in patients submitted to NOT can be seen in table 3.

---

**Table 1. Epidemiological data and trauma mechanism.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Anterior abdomen (n = 31)</th>
<th>Dorsum/TAT (n = 30)</th>
<th>Total (n = 61)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>28 (90.3)</td>
<td>26 (86.7)</td>
<td>54 (88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age (± SD)</td>
<td>27.8 (± 9.4)</td>
<td>25.7 (± 9.2)</td>
<td>26.8 (± 9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of trauma (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshot wound</td>
<td>20 (64.5)</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>36 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabbing injury</td>
<td>11 (35.5)</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>25 (41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation; TAT: thoracoabdominal transition.

**Table 2. Tomographic findings in penetrating abdominal trauma.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (%)</th>
<th>Anterior abdomen (n=31)</th>
<th>Dorsum/TAT (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free fluid</td>
<td>2 (6.45)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumoperitoneum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated solid viscera injury</td>
<td>1 (3.23)</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free liquid and pneumoperitoneum</td>
<td>6 (19.35)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid viscera injury with free fluid or pneumoperitoneum</td>
<td>7 (22.58)</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative scan</td>
<td>15 (48.39)</td>
<td>21 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAT: thoracoabdominal transition.
Among the CT scans of the patients with trauma to the anterior abdomen, were found 16 true positive exams, 14 true negatives and one false negative due to a grade I lesion of the ileum not seen on the tomography. Among patients with dorsum/TAT trauma, there were nine true positives, 20 true negatives and one false negative, the latter due to the presence of a grade III lesion in jejunum.

For patients with anterior abdominal trauma, CT sensitivity was 94.1%, specificity was 100%, and accuracy was 96.7%. The positive predictive value was 100% and the negative predictive value was 93.3%. For patients with dorsum/TAT trauma, the CT’s sensitivity was 90%, the specificity was 100%, and the accuracy was 96.6%. The positive predictive value was 100% and the negative predictive value, 95.52% (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented accompany the current trend towards more individualized treatment in penetrating abdominal trauma, using imaging resources in hemodynamically stable patients and allowing the selection of patients for NOT, which, in addition to reducing costs\(^\text{15}\), is associated with lower morbidity and mortality\(^\text{16}\). Among the available exams, abdominal tomography has become essential\(^\text{9}\), being a rapid examination and providing the surgeon in the emergency room with the security of determining whether surgical treatment is necessary or not. The high positive and negative predictive values found in this study, both in anterior abdomen trauma (PPV=100% and NPV=93.3%) and in the dorsum or TAT (PPV=100% and PPV=95.5%), are consistent with other works\(^\text{13,17}\) and show that CT is reliable for the definition of therapeutic management.

It is predicted that trauma in the anterior abdomen presents a higher rate of abdominal viscera lesions and, therefore, will tend to be more surgical. Among the victims of trauma in this topography (n=31) who presented positive findings (n=16), only one was submitted to NOT due to a grade III hepatic lesion, with favorable evolution. The others (n=15) underwent laparotomies, all therapeutic. Among the patients whose

---

**Table 3. Tomographic findings in patients undergoing NOT.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (%)</th>
<th>Anterior abdomen (n=11)</th>
<th>Dorsum/TAT (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isolated solid viscera injury</td>
<td>1 (9.09%)</td>
<td>1 (4.34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid viscera injury and free fluid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (13.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative scan</td>
<td>10 (90.91%)</td>
<td>19 (82.60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Accuracy of CT in penetrating abdominal trauma victims.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anterior abdomen</th>
<th>Dorsum/TAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>94.10%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>93.30%</td>
<td>95.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>96.70%</td>
<td>96.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT: computed tomography; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; TAT: thoracoabdominal transition.
tomography did not show signs of lesions (n=15), ten were submitted to NOT, with only one failure due to an ileum degree I lesion. The other five patients underwent laparotomies for indications other than the tomographic findings, one being therapeutic for a grade I lesion in ileum, and four were non-therapeutic. Thus, the sensitivity and specificity of tomography to define the management of penetrating trauma in the anterior abdomen proved to be reliable, as in the literature data\textsuperscript{12,13}.

In patients with dorsum/TAT trauma, there is usually greater diagnostic doubt\textsuperscript{18}, since the back musculature is a much greater obstacle than that of the anterior abdominal wall, so that the abdominal cavity not always get penetrated, thus leading to a smaller incidence of intraabdominal lesions\textsuperscript{19}. Considering this diagnostic challenge, we observed that 70\% (n=21) of patients with trauma in this topography did not present tomographic findings. Among them, 19 underwent NOT with favorable evolution and two underwent laparotomies due to failure, one being non-therapeutic because of the presence of a stable retroperitoneal hematoma in zone II, with no need for surgical approach\textsuperscript{20}, and one for a jejunum grade III lesion. Among the nine other patients with positive CT findings, four were due to trauma to the liver or kidney, allowing NOT and avoiding non-therapeutic laparotomies. Five patients underwent laparotomy, all being therapeutic. Thus, tomography was also reliable in patients with penetrating trauma to the dorsum or TAT. We emphasize that, in TAT lesions, tomography is essential for the possibility of successfully targeting NOT from liver lesions\textsuperscript{21,22}.

The time required to perform a CT scan is associated with a higher mortality rate in patients who require surgical treatment\textsuperscript{10}. With this in mind, we observed that our sample had a mortality rate of 6.5\% (four patients). This rate was consistent with the literature data\textsuperscript{6}. However, no death occurred during the CT scan, immediately after it or because of NOT failure. All deaths occurred in the late postoperative period due to septic or hemodynamic decompensation. Thus, tomography at admission would hardly be related to the cause of these deaths.

The literature shows an unnecessary rate of laparotomies, ranging from 4.0\% to 14\%\textsuperscript{14,19}. Overall, if mandatory laparotomies were performed for penetrating abdominal trauma in this study of 61 patients, 39 (63.9\%) would have undergone nontherapeutic laparotomies. With selective abdominal computed tomography and NOT targeting, this number was reduced to five (8.2\%) patients, avoiding 34 unnecessary laparotomies. The use of abdominal CT in the presence of hemodynamic stability and lack of mandatory indications for laparotomy (peritonitis, evisceration or impalement) clearly reduced morbidity in victims of penetrating abdominal trauma.

Abdominal CT is an examination that, when indicated in a judicious manner, can be performed safely in patients with penetrating abdominal trauma. This study demonstrates an adequate sensitivity and specificity of the method for detecting traumatic lesions, which allows less aggressive treatments to be performed safely, reducing the morbidity and mortality to which these patients would be exposed if mandatory laparotomies were performed.
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