**INTRODUCTION**

Gastric cancer has been described since 3000 b.C. in hieroglyphic inscriptions and papyrus manuscripts from ancient Egypt. The first important statistical analysis of its incidence and mortality, using data collected in Verona, Italy (1760-1839), showed that gastric cancer was one of the most common and lethal at the time. Currently, about 22,220 patients are diagnosed each year in the United States with this type of cancer, of whom 10,990 die due to the tumor. Its incidence, however, features great geographical variability, with high incidence areas including Latin America, East Asia and parts of Europe and the Middle East. In 95% of cases, they are adenocarcinomas and most are advanced tumors at the time of diagnosis.

In the United States and Western Europe, since the 1970s, the incidence of distal gastric tumors is decreasing, while increasing in the gastroesophageal transition and gastric cardia, with worse prognosis and increased risk of peritoneal dissemination.

With the confirmed diagnosis of adenocarcinoma, patients should undergo staging to evaluate the extent of disease and establish a proper approach. Preoperative clinical staging includes computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and, in locally advanced tumors, complementary staging with laparoscopy and cytology of the peritoneal lavage. In addition to CT, one of the complementary diagnostic techniques that may assist in patient selection is Positron Emission Computed Tomography (PET-CT).
However, its use has not been widespread because its specificity is not yet well defined and some histological types, such as mucinous and signet ring cell carcinomas, have low metabolic activity. Studies show that 20% to 30% of patients with negative TC have peritoneal metastasis at laparoscopy, confirming that TC is a method with low sensitivity to detect peritoneal metastasis. Thus, the objective of our research is to evaluate whether laparoscopy with diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) is superior to CT for gastric adenocarcinoma staging and whether it can modify the patient’s surgical approach.

**METHODS**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 46 patients with gastric adenocarcinoma treated by a digestive surgery team at the Passo Fundo Hospital Clinics - RS, from January 2015 to December 2018. The variables studied were demographic, clinical, endoscopic, surgical, and of the pathological exams. We collected the data and organized them in spreadsheets. We performed statistical analysis of the investigated data with the SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM). We presented quantitative variables as mean ± standard deviation, and qualitative ones, as frequency and percentage. We compared the data with the Pearson’s chi-square test. The significance level was set at 5% (p=0.05).

DPL was performed with the patient in the lithotomy position, under general anesthesia and pneumoperitoneum with carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 15mmHg pressure. The first 10mm trocar was introduced in the umbilicus and two trocars of 5mm were placed in the right and left flanks.

The peritoneal cavity was carefully evaluated to search for metastases, which included thorough investigation of the stomach, omentum, liver surface, both sides of the diaphragm, mesentery and the bursa, through opening of the gastro-colic ligaments. Upon negative inspection for macroscopic implants, peritoneal lavage was then performed by the instillation of 350ml of heated saline in the omental retrocavity and, after peritoneal agitation, the fluid was collected and sent for oncotic cytopathology evaluation (Figure 1).

This work was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee with the following reference number: 2186715.

**RESULTS**

Most patients were men (65.2%), with a mean age of 66.6 years (±11.31), and the main symptom was epigastric pain (69.6%). According to the Borrmann classification, most lesions were type II and III, located mainly in the proximal third, at the level of the cardia (34.8%) and with negative *Helicobacter pylori* screening in most examinations (91.3%). With respect to histological differentiation, poorly differentiated tumors (69.6%) and subtype signet ring cell (65.2%) predominated. We found that 47.8% of the patients had lymph node enlargement, mainly perigastric, at CT, and in 91.3% of them imaging did not identify distant metastasis.

In the 46 patients who underwent full-abdomen CT for stomach carcinoma staging and who underwent DPL, we found negative agreement between CT and the investigation of macroscopic and microscopic peritoneal disease in 32 patients (69.6%), those being true negatives.
The concordance of positive results between the tests occurred in two patients (4.3%). Two other patients with positive CT had negative peritoneal disease (4.3%). Moreover, ten patients with negative CT had positive DPL for neoplastic cells, characterizing the occurrence of false negative results in such patients (21.8%) (Table 1).

When analyzing DPL, histological differentiation was related to its positivity (p<0.05). In the 32 patients with negative DPL and CT for peritoneal disease who underwent resection (69.5%), total gastrectomy was the most performed, with a mean resection of 35.8 lymph nodes (±21.8). The remaining 14 patients, with positive CT or peritoneal disease, underwent chemotherapy treatment (30.5%).

**Table 1. Analysis of patients who underwent CT and their respective cytology results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT negative (n)</th>
<th>CT positive (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal disease negative (n)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal disease positive (n)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT: computed tomography.
DISCUSSION

Along with the development of laparoscopic surgery, the diagnosis laparoscopy peritoneal lavage in gastric cancer surgery has become more common and its viability and utility have been increasingly reported in systematic reviews. Due to the less invasive nature of this approach, DPL can be accomplished with few complications, allowing patients with peritoneal disease to recover faster and to receive appropriate treatment as early as possible

Intravenous contrast CT is the most commonly used imaging method for preoperative staging of stomach cancer. However, prospective studies have shown that 20-30% of patients considered potentially curable, based on preoperative imaging exams, have metastatic disease at surgery. A number of recent studies have confirmed that laparoscopy is extremely sensitive in detecting intra-abdominal metastases in gastric cancer patients, avoiding unnecessary surgery in 21% to 41% of patients. Laparoscopy also allows peritoneal lavage and collection of material for cytological analysis, the presence of tumor cells in the peritoneal cavity, even in the absence of macroscopic metastatic disease, being a poor prognostic factor.

The sensitivity of DPL cytology for detecting peritoneal disease varies widely in the literature, from 26% to 70.8%. However, this variability results may be related to non-standardized methods of peritoneal lavage, as well as to biases between observers and between pathologists’ interpretations of the cytology analysis.

Researches suggest that DPL can be cost effective when the procedure is well indicated. Considering that its specificity for occult metastases is close to 100%, and that the probability of detection of hidden metastases by DPL after negative CT is 31%, in line with other work previously published, there is an near two-fold increase in the probability of cost-effectiveness. This result supports the use of the procedure when patients have potential risk factors for hidden disease on imaging exams.

A research conducted at the Japan Cancer Institute showed that cancer treatment has changed in 47.4% of patients undergoing DPL. Thus, when well indicated, it had a much greater impact on clinical decision-making when compared with those in previous prospective studies. Regarding secondary outcomes, the diagnostic accuracy of DPL reached 91.5% and the procedure’s false-negative rate was 10.6%.

Positron emission tomography, not evaluated in our study, has been suggested as an appropriate staging modality for distant metastases. However, the sensitivity/specificity for detecting distant metastasis was reported to be 21% and 40%, respectively. Therefore, despite these tests, patients with incurable or unresectable gastric cancer are still undergoing non-therapeutic surgery. Thus, to solve this problem, diagnostic laparoscopy has been advocated as essential in the decision-making in advanced gastric cancer. Washes obtained during diagnostic laparoscopy were used as controls and their sensitivity and specificity reached 92% and 100%, respectively.
The 2017 National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines recommend that preoperative laparoscopy to detect occult metastatic disease should be considered in all patients at high risk of metastasis, ie with cT4 tumors, large tumors of types III and IV, in addition to tumors with lymph node metastasis, since DPL-associated laparoscopy in advanced disease can improve treatment decision-making for advanced gastric cancer and decrease unnecessary surgery. These practices are supported by data suggesting that occult M1 disease is found in up to 40% of gastric cancer patients and that non-therapeutic surgery can be avoided in a significant subset of these patients.

A final study cohort included only patients with gastric cancer confirmed by biopsy that had an initial negative staging, laparoscopy followed by chemotherapy, or preoperative chemo-radiotherapy and attempted gastrectomy. Routine diagnostic laparoscopy at the time of definitive resection in preoperative gastric cancer patients may prevent non-therapeutic surgery in at least 12% of all patients and may offer even greater benefits for high-risk patients with poorly differentiated tumors.

In our study, the findings of laparoscopy with DPL in our patients changed the initial therapy in ten (21.8%) of the 46 patients. We conclude that it should be performed in the preoperative staging of stomach adenocarcinoma.

RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar se a laparoscopia com lavado peritoneal é superior à tomografia computadorizada para o estadiamento do adenocarcinoma gástrico e se pode modificar a conduta cirúrgica do paciente. Métodos: estudo retrospectivo de 46 pacientes portadores de adenocarcinoma gástrico tratados pela equipe de cirurgia digestiva do Hospital de Clínicas de Passo Fundo (RS), de janeiro de 2015 a dezembro de 2018, e submetidos à laparoscopia com lavado peritoneal pré-operatório. Todos os pacientes foram submetidos ao estadiamento clínico pré-operatório com tomografia computadorizada. Resultados: dos 46 pacientes analisados, a maioria apresentava tumores localizados na cârdia (34,8%), pouco diferenciados (69,6%) e do subtipo células em anel de sinete (65,2%). Em 91,3% deles a tomografia computadorizada não identificou carcinomatose peritoneal ou metástases à distância. Entre estes pacientes com tomografia computadorizada negativa para doença à distância, 21,8% apresentaram lavado peritoneal positivo para células neoplásicas e tiveram suas condutas terapêuticas modificadas. Conclusão: a laparoscopia e o lavado peritoneal alteram a decisão cirúrgica em 21,8% dos pacientes, proporcionando um estadiamento pré-operatório mais fidedigno no adenocarcinoma gástrico.
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