INFLUENCE OF GENERALIZED JOINT HYPERMOBILITY ON TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT, MASTICATION AND DEGLUTITION: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: to evaluate the temporomandibular joint, mastication and deglutition in asymptomatic women with and without generalized joint hypermobility and the association between these variables. Methods: forty three volunteers were evaluated at the Speech-Language-Hearing Service of Federal University of Santa Maria. The hypermobility was evaluated according to Beighton score and, from on the obtained scores, the volunteers were distributed into two groups: with (n=17) and without hypermobility (n=26). The temporomandibular joint was examined by Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders and mastication and deglutition functions were assessed through myofunctional orofacial exam. Results: the clinical evaluation of the temporomandibular joint showed the presence of joint sounds during jaw movement (52.9%) and mouth opening deviation (76.5%) in the hypermobility group, without statistic significant difference. In the examination of the masticatory function, although most of the volunteers presented bilateral chewing pattern, the frequency of this pattern was significantly lower in the hypermobility group (p=0.05). A significant association (p=0.02) between the chewing and the mouth opening patterns was verified only in the without hypermobility group, and there was no difference between groups regarding deglutition function. Conclusion: asymptomatic women presented evidences that the hypermobility predisposes the occurrence of mouth opening deviation and joint sounds. There was no difference in deglutition function, but it was found lower frequency of alternating bilateral chewing in the hypermobility group compared to without hypermobility.
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INTRODUCTION

Generalized joint hypermobility (GJH) is an inherited non-pathologic clinical condition; it represents an extreme variation of normal joint mobility in most of the joints, including temporomandibular joint (TMJ). Due to changes in the collagen that makes up the connective tissues of the body, ligamentous laxity provides low afferent regulation to the muscle stretch receptor, reducing proprioception. Thus, it
follows that the movements of the joints are impaired in subjects with GJH, because motor coordination depends crucially on proprioceptive feedback. 

Although there are numerous studies that associate GJH and TMJ, there are few studies that investigate stomatognathic functions in hypermobile individuals. It is believed that the involvement of TMJ between hypermobile joints can affect the functions performed by the latter, due to resulting changes in proprioception and coordination.

One of the major stomatognathic functions is mastication, understood as a complex sensory-motor activity whereby food is crushed and ground by means of a pattern of rhythmic movements. Aspects such as strength, time and type of mastication can be affected by changes in proprioception, and changes in neuromuscular coordination may lead to mastication and deglutition disorders.

Deglutition is a complex neuromuscular action comprising a set of coordinated motor mechanisms whose purpose is to take intraoral contents into the stomach. For deglutition to take place normally, balance between the perioral, masticatory and tongue muscles is required. Any disruption of this balance may lead to changes in deglutition.

Knowledge of the effect of GJH on TMJ may contribute to clinical practice, because joint instability can hinder the maintenance of the results of myofunctional therapy. Given the above, the objective of this research was to evaluate TMJ and mastication and deglutition in asymptomatic women with and without GJH.

### METHODS

This research is an observational, cross-sectional controlled study with a quantitative approach. The study was part of the project Cranio-cervical-mandibular system: diagnosis and multifactorial therapy. It was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM) under protocol number 23081.019091/2008-65, according to Resolution no. 196/1996.

The research was conducted at the Laboratory of Orofacial Motricity of the Speech-Language-Hearing Service (SAF-UFSM) (Santa Maria, RS).

Inclusion criteria were: females, aged between 18 and 35 years, who have signed an Informed Consent Form. Exclusion criteria: tooth loss (more than two teeth - except for third molar); use of dental prosthesis; signs of psychomotor impairment; malformations, tumors, surgery or trauma in the head and neck; prior or current speech therapy and physical therapy for orofacial motricity; symptoms of TMJ pain, mouth breathing, and subjects who did not complete the evaluations.

Data collection procedures occurred as shown in the flow chart in Figure 1.

Women who met the inclusion criteria were evaluated by a physical therapist and a speech therapist, who had experience in orofacial motricity. The physical therapist assessed the presence of GJH and TMJ, and the speech therapist performed the protocol of orofacial myofunctional assessment.

GJH was evaluated by the criteria of Carter and Wilkinson, modified by Beighton, comprising five tests, as shown in Figure 2 (Beighton Score).
Figure 1 - Flowchart of data collection

Screened volunteers (n=60)
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Figure 2 – Beighton score

A) passive hyperextension of fifth finger, so they are parallel to the extensor surface of the forearm; B) ability to hyperextend the knee beyond 10º; C) trunk flexion, so that the individual can place their palms on the floor without bending their knees; D) passive apposition of the thumb to the flexor surface of the forearm; E) ability to hyperextend the elbow beyond 10º.

TMJ: temporomandibular joint; GJH: Generalized joint hypermobility; GWH: Generalized group without joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility.
The scale ranges from zero to nine points. GJH is observed in individuals with scores equal to or greater than four points. Based on the scores they had in this test, the volunteers were distributed into two groups: with GJH (GH) and without GJH (GWH).

The TMJ of the volunteers was assessed by a trained physical therapist with Axis I of the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD)\textsuperscript{19} in order to determine the range of jaw motion and signs that could be related to GJH, such as presence of joint sounds and jaw opening deviations.

The stomatognathic system was evaluated by a speech therapist using the MBGR\textsuperscript{20} protocol, which encompasses an orofacial myofunctional assessment. The mastication and deglutition functions were recorded on video.

Bread rolls were offered as food for assessment of mastication. The volunteers were instructed to chew and swallow as usual, and the test was repeated three times. Then, deglutition of liquids was performed, using 200 ml of water, offered in a transparent plastic cup.

The video recordings were analyzed by three speech therapists, and the following aspects of deglutition were taken into account: biting, crushing, chewing pattern, lip closure and atypical muscle contractions. Analysis of deglutition focused on lip closure, lip posture, food holding behavior, atypical muscle contractions and coordination. The speech therapists were blinded to the presence of GJH, and the degree of agreement between the analyses was obtained by the Kappa coefficient.

The Kappa coefficient values were interpreted as no agreement ($K < 0$), slight agreement ($K = 0$ to $0.20$), fair agreement ($K = 0.21$ to $0.40$), moderate agreement ($K = 0.41$ to $0.60$), substantial agreement ($K = 0.60$ to $0.80$) and almost perfect agreement ($K > 0.80$)\textsuperscript{21,22}.

The remaining analyses were performed using software Statistica version 9.0 for Windows. Descriptive statistics were determined for all variables, and chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests were used to assess the association between: chewing pattern, presence of atypical contractions during mastication and deglutition; presence of noise and mouth opening pattern, assuming a significance level of 5%.

## RESULTS

Forty-three volunteers met the inclusion criteria and completed the evaluations. Twenty-six of them took part of the group without GJH (GWH) (60.5%), with a mean age and standard deviation of $23.3 \pm 4.9$ years; and 17 of them took part of the group with GJH (GH) (39.5%) with a mean age and standard deviation of $23.5 \pm 4.4$ years.

According to the assessment by the RDC/TMD instrument, measures of range of jaw motion were within the normal range\textsuperscript{23}, and there was no difference between groups (Table 1).

### Table 1 - Mean and standard deviation of range of jaw motion in groups with and without generalized joint hypermobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of motion</th>
<th>GWH Mean (mm)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>GH Mean (mm)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum unassisted opening</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum assisted opening</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lateral excursion</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lateral excursion</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protrusion</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GWH: Generalized group without joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility. SD = standard deviation. Student’s t-test.

The presence of joint sounds during jaw motion prevailed in GH (52.9%), compared with GWH (38.5%), but this difference was not significant ($p = 0.56$).

Table 2 shows the pattern of mouth opening evaluated in the volunteers, which showed high prevalence of uncorrected deviation, especially in GH, although there was no significant difference.
The analysis of mastication and deglutition showed that all volunteers presented the following aspects: biting, crushing, lip closure, lip posture, food holding behavior and unchanged coordination. Bilateral chewing pattern predominated in both groups, but there was a significantly lower frequency of this pattern in GH (p = 0.05) (Table 3). The presence of atypical muscle contractions was observed both in mastication and in deglutition, with no significant difference between groups (Figure 3).

**Table 2 - Frequency of mouth opening pattern in patients with and without generalized joint hypermobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Straight opening n (%)</th>
<th>Corrected lateral deviation n (%)</th>
<th>Uncorrected lateral deviation n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWH</td>
<td>13 (50.0)</td>
<td>10 (38.5)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>4 (23.5)</td>
<td>6 (35.3)</td>
<td>7 (41.2)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GWH: Generalized group without joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility. Chi-square test (categories were reclassified for test purposes).

The presence of atypical muscle contractions was observed both in mastication and in deglutition, with no significant difference between groups (Figure 3).

**Table 3 - Frequency of chewing pattern observed in the groups with and without generalized joint hypermobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alternating bilateral n (%)</th>
<th>Simultaneous bilateral n (%)</th>
<th>Unilateral preferential n (%)</th>
<th>Chronic unilateral n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWH</td>
<td>21 (80.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GWH: Group without generalized joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility. Chi-square test (categories were reclassified for test purposes). * Statistically significant

**Figure 3 - Frequency of atypical contractions during mastication and deglutition in patients with and without generalized joint hypermobility.**

GWH: Generalized group without joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility * Fisher’s Exact Test. ** Chi-square test
The kappa coefficient was used to describe the degree of agreement between the speech therapists who evaluated mastication and deglutition. Such coefficient showed slight agreement (K = 0.17) for the variable atypical contractions during mastication, and fair agreement for the variables chewing pattern (K = 0.34) and atypical contractions in deglutition (K = 0.22).

**Table 4 - Association between chewing pattern and mouth opening pattern: comparison between groups with and without generalized joint hypermobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chewing pattern</th>
<th>Straight opening n (%)</th>
<th>Deviation on opening n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternating bilateral</td>
<td>13 (50.0)</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td>21 (80.8)</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternating bilateral</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>8 (47.0)</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (17.7)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GWH: Generalized group without joint hypermobility; GH: patients with generalized joint hypermobility. Fisher’s Exact Test * Statistically significant

**DISCUSSION**

The evaluation of TMJ by the RDC/TMD instrument showed that GJH did not influence the range of jaw motion. However, joint sounds were present with the highest percentage in GH (52.9%). Similar results were found in a study that used the same tools to evaluate GJH and the evaluation of TMJ, namely the Beighton criteria and the RDC/TMD, respectively.

This study investigated 893 subjects aged between 20 and 60 years, 8.4% of them with GJH, and found increased risk of cracking sounds in hypermobile individuals (70%), although there was no TMJ pain, whether myofascial pain or arthralgia. However, other studies, which also used the Beighton criteria, found no association between GJH and TMD.

Besides the presence of noise, deviation of mouth opening did not differ significantly between groups, but volunteers from GH had a higher percentage of uncorrected deviation. This may be indicative of disk displacement, and it may also result from anatomical changes such as condylar hypoplasia, joint inflammation, lack of occlusal guides, and masticatory muscle imbalance.

Such an imbalance can occur in individuals with GJH due to joint instability associated with proprioceptive deficits. The reduction of proprioception in individuals with GJH should be considered, because it seems to affect the pattern of muscle electrical activity, force, motion, as well as time and pattern of chewing.

As for stomatognathic functions, it is known that alternating bilateral chewing is essential for prevention of myofunctional disorders, periodontal problems and TMD. In this study, although most of the volunteers from both groups have shown this pattern, a higher and significant percentage of the volunteers from GH showed changes in mastication. In GWH, on the other hand, there was prevalence of alternating bilateral chewing as well as mouth opening without deviation, with significant association between these variables.

These results corroborate previous studies, in which hypermobile subjects had impaired proprioceptive feedback. Although these studies have not investigated TMJ, it is suggested that women without GJH, in the present study, showed better stability and neuromuscular coordination.

There was no difference between groups for the presence of atypical contractions during mastication and deglutition. A recent study found that effort of the lips and exaggerated involvement of the perioral muscles occurred more frequently in a group with TMD. This finding is corroborated by the presence of painful symptoms. This study does not corroborate the present research because an exclusion criterion of the study was the presence of TMJ pain.

Painful symptoms could be a confounding factor in the results, as facial pain can impair the action of...
the masticatory muscles and hence, the stomato-
ognathic functions\textsuperscript{23}.

In this study, the aspects relative to deglutition
appeared to be normal, except for the presence of
atypical contractions during deglutition, which
occurred in both groups, with no difference between
them. No studies, to date, have investigated deglu-
tition in patients with GJH; however, it is suggested
that atypical contractions have occurred due to an
imbalance between perioral, masticatory and tongue
muscles\textsuperscript{16}, and this was not associated with GJH.

Stomatognathic functions were evaluated by
three speech therapists, and the agreement between
them ranged from slight to fair (K = 0.17, 0.34 and
0.22). This aspect agrees with a recent study\textsuperscript{28} which
used the same protocol, and observed moderate
agreement for deglutition (K = 0.50) and almost
perfect agreement for the masticatory variables (K
= 0.94). Because it is a subjective test, the analysis
of the results obtained in myofunctional evaluation
depends on the experience and perception of each
judge; thus, training and calibration of judges is

Besides the low agreement between speech
therapist evaluations, this study did not evaluate
dental occlusion and did not address aspects
such as tone of masticatory muscles and facial
asymmetry. Thus, it is suggested that these issues
are investigated in future studies. These aspects, as
well as sample size, can be considered as limita-
tions of this study.

The influence of GJH on TMJ and the masticatory
function justifies the importance of diagnosis and
multidisciplinary attention to this condition. Physical
therapy can both prevent and treat TMD, promoting
better joint stability in hypermobile individuals.
Still, it is speculated that physical therapy, through
the strengthening of masticatory muscles, helps
maintain the results of orofacial myofunctional
therapy. Further research is suggested to support
the need for such treatment.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

Asymptomatic women evaluated in this study
showed signs that hypermobility predisposes the
occurrence of mouth opening deviation and joint
noises. There was no difference in the deglutition
function, but there was a lower frequency of the
alternating bilateral chewing pattern in the group
with hypermobility compared with the group without
hypermobility.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{RESUMO} & \\
\hline
\textbf{Objetivo:} avaliar a articulação temporomandibular, as funções de mastigação e deglutição em mulhe-
res assintomáticas com e sem hipermobibilidade articular generalizada e a associação entre estas
variáveis. \textbf{Métodos:} foram avaliadas 43 voluntárias no Serviço de Atendimento Fonoaudiológico
da Universidade Federal de Santa Maria. A hipermobibilidade foi avaliada pelo Escore de Beighton e,
apartir dos escores obtidos, as voluntárias foram distribuídas em dois grupos: com (n=17) e sem
hipermobilidade (n=26). A articulação temporomandibular foi examinada pelo instrumento Critérios
de Diagnóstico para Pesquisa de Desordens Temporomandibulares e as funções de mastigação e
deglutição foram avaliadas via meio do exame miofuncional orofacial. \textbf{Resultados:} a avaliação clí-
nica da articulação temporomandibular demonstrou predomínio de ruídos articulares durante movi-
mentos mandibulares (52,9\%) e de desvio na abertura da boca (76,5\%) nas voluntárias do grupo
com hipermobibilidade, sem diferença significante entre os grupos. No exame da função mastigatória,
apesar da maioria das voluntárias apresentar padrão de mastigação bilateral alternado, a frequência
desse foi significativamente menor no grupo com hipermobibilidade (p=0,05). Foi verificada uma asso-
ciação significante (p=0,02) entre o padrão de mastigação e de abertura da boca, apenas no grupo
sem hipermobibilidade, e não houve diferença na deglutição entre os grupos. \textbf{Conclusão:} as mulheres
assintomáticas apresentaram indícios de que a hipermobibilidade predispõe à ocorrência de desvio na
abertura da boca e ruídos articulares. Não houve diferença na função de deglutição, porém verificou-
-se uma frequência menor de mastigação bilateral alternada no grupo com hipermobibilidade em rela-
çao ao sem hipermobibilidade.

\textbf{DESCRIPTORES:} Instabilidade Articular; Articulação Temporomandibular; Mastigação; Deglutição
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
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