ABSTRACT

Purpose: to study the usual tongue and lips position in anteroposterior and vertical growth patterns in children with mixed dentition. Methods: the sample comprised 54 children, aged seven to 11 years old. The selected children were referred for radiographic evaluation and cephalometric analysis, which made it possible to obtain the SNA, SNB and AND angles (anteroposterior growth pattern) and the classification of the facial type: brachyfacial, mesofacial and dilocofacial (vertical growth pattern). The tongue and lips position was determined from the observation of cephalometric radiographs made by two speech therapists experienced in orofacial motricity. The usual tongue position was classified as in the papilla, high dorsum or on the floor of the mouth, and the usual lips position, as closed or half-open/open. In order to verify the relationship between the usual tongue and lips position with anteroposterior and vertical growth patterns, statistical tests like Analysis of variance, Student’s t test, Mann-Whitney U and chi-square test at a significance level of 5% was used. Results: a statistically significant relationship between the tongue position and the SNB angle was identified, children with tongue position on the floor of the mouth showed significantly lower SNB angle than children with tongue position in the papilla. SNB angle was a statistically significant lower in children with open or half open lips than children with closed lips. There was no difference between the normal position of the tongue and lips in other growth patterns anteroposterior and vertical growth. Conclusion: The usual position of lips and tongue were related to mandibular growth pattern and hasn’t been influenced by facial type.
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INTRODUCTION

The assessment of usual lips position is easily performed by observing the patient, which may be carried out before the phonologic consultation and the assessment itself. The usual lips position can be classified as lips closed (normal), half-open, closed with tension, closed in dental contact, sometimes open, sometimes closed, and open1.

On the other hand, the assessment of usual tongue position is not easy to diagnose, since very often it is not possible to observe the tongue positioning inside the oral cavity. In view of this, one may classify the usual tongue position as non-observable. When it is possible to visualize it, as in cases of mouth breathing, the tongue can be classified as in the palatine papilla, on the floor of the mouth, with high dorsum or interdental1.

The literature also suggests that the patient is asked about the site the tongue occupies inside the oral cavity2, and this information depends on the
degree of perception of the patient’s oral structures. In this context, a recent study showed that the confiability of the information provided by the individuals in a sample regarding the usual tongue position was low, either in children or in adults, even after stimulating intraoral perception with a spatula\(^3\).

In view of the difficulty assessing the usual tongue position, some instrumental resources can be found in the literature that have been used aiming to overcome this difficulty, and the use of cephalometric teleradiography is the most commonly reported, serving as the basis for cephalometric tracing\(^4,5\).

The observation of the tongue through teleradiography brings important information to define the feasibility of the adequacy of tongue positioning with the existing fuctional Space. The tongue position observed will depend on the tongue size and tension, the palatine tonsils size, the possibility of nasal airflow, the bony basis position and size, the hard palate morphology, the dento-occlusal condition and the facial typology\(^6\).

From the cephalometric teleradiography, the usual lips position can also be classified. This should be analysed taking into account the respiratory mode displayed by the patient, the tension of the lips, the overjet, the size of the lower third of the face in relation to the middle third and the maxillomandibular bony bases size\(^6\).

As can be observed, according to the literature the lips and tongue position may vary according to the size of the skull bony bases (anteroposterior pattern) and the facial typology (vertical pattern) the individual presents, and these aspects can be obtained from cephalometric tracing.

The anteroposterior bony bases relation allows the facial profile classification. The individuals with skeletal pattern Class I display straight profile, and the mandible is directly below the maxilla. The individuals with skeletal pattern Class II display a convex profile, associated with a mandibular reduction, maxillary projection, or both, in relation to the cranial base. The skeletal Class III determines the concave profile due to the mandibular increase, maxillary reduction or both in relation to the cranial base\(^7,8\).

In the facial typology classification, the face is described regarding the vertical growth patterns. The brachyfacial type, or short face, is characterized by a horizontal growth pattern and may be associated to a reduction in the lower facial height. In the mesofacial type, or middle face, the thirds are balanced. In turn, the dolicofacial type or long face is characterized by the prevalence of vertical facial growth\(^9,10\).

Considering the scarcity of studies found on this matter and trying to contribute to the clinical practice in the area of orofacial motricity, this research was carried out aiming to study the usual tongue and lips position in the anteroposterior and vertical growth patterns in children during the mixed dentition phase.

METHODS

This study was registered and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the institution of origin under the protocol number 220.0.243.000-8. It presents an analytic transversal character. The sample comprised children from four schools in the state education network from a municipality in the state of Rio Grande do Sul - RS. The children agreed with the participation in the study and had the Informed Consent Term signed by their respective guardians.

The inclusion criteria were: children aged between seven and 11, to be in the mixed dentition phase and to be caucasian. The children whose respective cephalometric radiography didn’t allow the visualization of lips and tongue position, who presented history of phonologic and/or orthodontic and/or orthopedic treatment, evident signs of neurological involvement and/or craniofacial syndromes and malformations were excluded.

The children selected according to the study criteria were referred for cephalometric assessment. This assessment was carried out from the teleradiography in lateral norm, with the use of the Kodak\textsuperscript{®} T-Mat 18x24 radiographic film, placed in metallic chassis, covered with screen Kodak\textsuperscript{®} lanex regular, in Soredex Cranex Tome Ceph. The film development was performed in automatic dental film processor Revel with Kodak\textsuperscript{®} fluids (developer and fixer). The image obtained through teleradiography was digitalized and inserted in the CDT program.

From the cephalometric measures obtained, the following angles regarding the anteroposterior growth pattern\(^11\) were considered in this study:

1. SNA: denotes a sagital relation of the maxilla in relation to the skull base. Its increase denotes maxillary protusion and the decrease points to a maxillary retrusion. Average clinical norm: 82°.
2. SNB: denotes a sagital relation of the mandible in relation to the skull base. Its increase denotes mandibular protusion and the decrease points to mandibular retrusion. Average clinical norm: 80°.
3. ANB: difference between the angles SNA and SNB. It defines the maxilla and mandible
antroposterior relation. Average clinical norm: $2^\circ$.

The vertical growth pattern was also determined from the classification of the facial type, by calculating the VERT index of the Ricketts cephalometric analysis$^{12}$. The cephalometric points in this analysis are based on five cephalometric measures: the facial axis angle, facial depth, mandibular plane angle, lower facial height and mandibular arc. According to this index value, the facial types are as follows: brachifacial (VERT index higher than 0.5), mesofacial (VERT index between -0.5 and +0.5), dolicofacial (VERT index less than -0.5).

In order to contemplate the objectives of this study, the tongue and lips position was determined from the observation of the cephalometric radiographs made by two speech therapists experienced in orofacial motricity, whose evaluation was made individually. In the cases where the responses between the speech therapists were different, a new evaluation was made in conjunction. Thus, of the 55 cephalometric radiographs, only one was excluded by the evaluators for not reaching a consensus regarding the tongue position.

After a careful evaluation of the tongue and lips position, a classification of the verified positions was made, which made it possible the analysis of data as follows.

- Classification of tongue position:
  1. In the palatine papilla: Apex of the tongue elevated with high dorsum or lowered;
  2. High dorsum: high dorsum with the apex lowered and/or constrained.
  3. Floor of the mouth: apex and lowered dorsum on the floor of the mouth, with the tongue either constricted, or against the inferior incisors, or interdentalized.

- Classification of lips position:
  1. Closed: lower lip in contact with the superior lip.
  2. Half-open or open: when the lower lip was not in contact with the upper lip.

In order to verify the relation between the tongue position and the mean values of the horizontal angles clinical norm (SNA, SNB and ANB) the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used, and when a significant statistical difference was verified, multiple comparisons were made. In order to verify the relation between the lips position and the mean values of the horizontal angles clinical norm, the Student’s t-test was used for comparing the values of SNA and ANB horizontal angles, and the Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between the values of SNB, since the latter didn’t show a normal distribution. In order to verify the relation between the tongue position and the lips position with the horizontal angles (SNA, SNB and ANB) and with the vertical growth pattern (facial type) the Chi-square test was applied. In the statistical analyses, the level of significance 5% ($p<0.05$) was used.

The analyses were made with the use of the SPSS 17.0 software.

### RESULTS

Table 1 shows the study of the relation between the tongue position and the mean values of SNA, SNB and ANB angles. It was verified that the children with tongue position on the floor of the mouth display a SNB angle significantly smaller than the children with the usual tongue position on the palatine papilla.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angles</th>
<th>Palatine papilla</th>
<th>High dorsum</th>
<th>Floor of the mouth</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=34</td>
<td>n=5</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$X \pm S$</td>
<td>$X \pm S$</td>
<td>$X \pm S$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>82.43 $\pm$ 3.29</td>
<td>80.95 $\pm$ 5.09</td>
<td>80.44 $\pm$ 3.49</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>78.37 $\pm$ 3.03*</td>
<td>77.21 $\pm$ 4.88</td>
<td>74.88 $\pm$ 3.89*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>4.06 $\pm$ 2.25</td>
<td>3.74 $\pm$ 2.61</td>
<td>5.55 $\pm$ 1.70</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$X=average; S=standard deviation; p=significance value; *significance by Analysis of Variance (p<0.05); ^{*}significant difference in multiple comparisons.
Table 2 shows the relation between the lips position and the mean values of the SNA, SNB and ANB angles. It was verified a SNB angle significantly smaller in children with lips position half-open or open when compared to children with lips position closed.

Table 2 – Relation between lips position and mean values of the anteroposterior angles (SNA, SNB and ANB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angles</th>
<th>Lips position</th>
<th>n=45</th>
<th>Half-open or open</th>
<th>N=9</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X ± S</td>
<td></td>
<td>X ± S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>81.98 ± 3.58</td>
<td>80.54 ± 3.48</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>77.69 ± 3.69</td>
<td>75.34 ± 3.51</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>4.30 ± 2.29</td>
<td>5.20 ± 1.76</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X=average; S=standard deviation; p=significance value; *significance by Mann-Whitney U test (p <0.05).

Figure 1 shows the relation of lips position with the facial types. No difference was verified between the usual lips position in the vertical growth patterns. Figure 2 shows the relation of tongue position with the facial types. No difference was verified between the usual tongue position in the vertical growth patterns.

Test used: Chi-Square

Figure 1 – Relation between the lips position and the facial type
the tongue position and the SNB angle. From the multiple comparisons, it was determined that the children with the tongue on the floor of the mouth displayed the mean SNB angle value smaller than the children with the tongue position on the papilla. It is believed that the mean SNB value closer to normality in the children with tongue position on the palatine papilla has favored the correct positioning of the tongue because of the sufficient intraoral space.

The literature reports that in the cases of mandibular prognathism, the tongue occupies the floor of the mouth, and can be bulky, hypotensive and projected. On the other hand, when mandibular retrognathism occurs with reduction in the anteroposterior space, the usual tongue position shows lowered apex with dorsum more elevated, which can be located between the dental arcs in case there is a concomitant maxillary retrusion.

From the analysis of the results on Table 2, it was observed that children with half-open or open lips present the mean SNB angle value smaller than that of children with closed lips.

The mandibular retrognathism does not favor the labial sealing, once the bony bases must be in balance in order to have the appropriate labial occlusion, with an ANB angle in a relation of two degrees. In the cases of mandibular retrognathism, it can be verified the anterior sealing with the lower
lip occluding on the upper incisors, half-open lips and the upper lip hypofunction\textsuperscript{14}.

From the descriptive analysis of data in Figure 1, it was also possible to observe that the lips often present sealed in mesofacial and brachifacial children, because the growth pattern in these facial types is respectively balanced and horizontal\textsuperscript{6,9,10}. In dolicofacial children, there was an increase in the frequency of half-open and open lips, because in this case there is a prevalence of vertical growth\textsuperscript{6,9,10,16}, mainly in the lower third, which makes it difficult for the lower lip to reach in the direction of the upper lip. Besides, in the dolicocefacials the mandible levator muscles are more stretched out and less powerful, resulting in a lowered mandibular position, which also compromises the proper usual lips position\textsuperscript{10,17}.

No statically significant difference was verified between the tongue position and the facial type (Figure 2). However, the descriptive analysis of data in Figure 2 makes it possible the observation that the tongue positioning on the floor of the mouth seems to have been favored by the dolicofacial pattern, being in accordance with the literature\textsuperscript{9}. In the craniofacial growth pattern predominantly vertical, due to the increase of the lower third of the face and the tension decrease in the orofacial musculature, the mandible and tongue lowered position is favored, many times favoring the mouth breathing instalation, which may be the cause or consequence of the dolicofacial pattern\textsuperscript{6,10,16,19}.

\section*{CONCLUSION}

One may conclude with this study that the usual lips and tongue position in children in the mixed dentition phase showed relation with the mandibular growth pattern, and was not influenced by the facial type.

\textbf{RESUMO}

\textbf{Objetivo:} estudar a posição habitual da língua e dos lábios nos padrões de crescimento anteroposterior e vertical de crianças em fase de dentição mista. \textbf{Métodos:} a amostra foi constituída por 54 crianças, na faixa etária entre sete e 11 anos. As crianças selecionadas foram encaminhadas para avaliação radiográfica e análise cefalométrica, que possibilitou a obtenção de ângulos SNA, SNB e ANB (padrão de crescimento anteroposterior) e da classificação do tipo facial entre braquifacial, mesofacial e dolicocefálico (padrão de crescimento vertical). A posição da língua e dos lábios foi determinada a partir da observação das radiografias cefalométricas por duas fonoaudiólogas com experiência na área de motricidade orofacial. A posição habitual da língua foi classificada como na papila palatina, com dorso elevado ou no assoalho oral, e a posição habitual dos lábios, como fechados ou entreabertos/abertos. Para verificar a relação entre a posição habitual da língua e dos lábios com os padrões de crescimento anteroposterior e vertical foram utilizados os testes estatísticos Análise de Variância, t de Student, U de Mann-Whitney e Qui-Quadrado, ao nível de significância de 5\%. \textbf{Resultados:} verificou-se relação estatisticamente significante entre a posição da língua e o ângulo SNB, sendo que as crianças com posição de língua no assoalho oral apresentaram ângulo SNB significativamente menor do que as crianças com posição habitual de língua na papila palatina. Verificou-se ângulo SNB significativamente menor nas crianças com posição de lábios entreabertos ou abertos quando comparadas às crianças com posição de lábios fechados. Não houve diferença entre a posição habitual da língua e dos lábios nos demais padrões de crescimento anteroposterior e de crescimento vertical. \textbf{Conclusão:} a posição habitual de lábios e de língua apresentou relação com o padrão de crescimento mandibular, não tendo sido influenciada pelo tipo facial.

\textbf{DESCRITORES:} Radiografia Dentária; Avaliação; Língua; Lábio; Face
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