Pain threshold, sleep quality and anxiety levels in individuals with temporomandibular disorders
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: to evaluate the pressure pain threshold, sleep quality and anxiety levels of individuals with different types of chronic temporomandibular disorders (TMD).

Methods: a controlled, observational and cross-sectional study. TMD diagnosis followed the Research Diagnostic Criteria and the volunteers were divided into three groups: A-Muscular Disorder (n = 15), B- Articular Disorder (n = 10) and C- Control Group (n = 15). Evaluation was performed using the Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index, Visual Analog Scale, Algometry and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. The significance level for all tests was 5%.

Results: lower threshold of pain was seen in the masticatory and cervical musculature within groups A and B. However, when TMD groups were compared to the control group, only a statistically significant difference in the pain threshold of the masticatory muscle and the trapezius muscle was found. Groups A and B presented poor sleep quality, showing a statistical difference when compared to the control group. Additionally, it was observed that patients in the groups with TMD presented anxiety, while those in the control group, did not present it.

Conclusion: individuals presented with TMD show lower levels of pain threshold, sleep quality and anxiety, as compared to healthy subjects. These results do not depend on the type of disorder presented.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the Academy of Orofacial Pain, the temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a set of disorders involving the masticatory muscles, temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and associated structures. The TMD is recognized as the main cause of non-dental pain in the orofacial region and negatively affects oral function and quality of life of the general population\(^1\). It affects five women for each man (5:1) in all age groups, although it is more common in individuals between 20 and 45 years\(^2\). The TMD may have a muscular or joint onset\(^3\). The most frequent symptoms reported by the patients involve muscle fatigue, articular noise, headache, limitation and/or deviations of jaw movements, and facial pain\(^4\). When the pain reported by the patient lasts longer than three months, it is stated that the person has Chronic Temporomandibular Joint Disorder.

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with a real or potential tissue damage, and a multidimensional characteristic. During pain evaluation, not only the physical and chemical components but also the subjective and psychological aspects can be considered, mainly in patients with chronic pain\(^5\). Within this same context, it is known that individuals with chronic pain, in addition to pain often exhibit oscillations in their emotional behavior and sleep disturbances.

Sleep disturbances may reflect a waking state at night, resulting in a non-restorative sleep sensation, psychological stress, and chronic fatigue\(^6\). According to other studies report, there is a significant association between the variables pain, anxiety and depression with changes in sleep quality\(^7\). Many individuals with TMD report complaints about poor sleep quality and anxiety; however, it is not known if these symptoms are associated with TMD type\(^8\). In addition to the poor quality of sleep presented by the patients with TMD, a high pain sensitivity has been observed. Nonetheless, the casual relationship of these events presents many biases\(^9,10\).

Mental disorders such as anxiety, depression and stress are often observed in individuals with TMD\(^11-15\). Moreover, it is possible to define chronic TMD as a disease in which may be associated to psychological factors\(^14\). Anxiety makes the subject hypervigilant to pain causing amplification of nociceptive sensitivity\(^16\).

Considering all gaps existing in pain threshold levels, sleep quality, and anxiety levels of individuals with different types of temporomandibular disorders, the aim of this study was to evaluate pain threshold, sleep quality, and anxiety levels among healthy individuals and with different types of TMD.

METHODS

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Pernambuco - UFPE, according to Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council which deals with the Code of Ethics for research on human beings, under registration CAAE 44109715.9.0000.5208. The purpose of the research was clarified for the volunteer and then signed the Free and Informed Consent Term (TCLE).

It is a controlled, observational and cross-sectional study. The sample was constituted by criterion of convenience. The volunteers were selected by spontaneous demand and through the dissemination of this research in social networks. Data collection was performed at the Laboratory of Kinesiotherapy and Manual Therapeutic Resources of the Department of Physical Therapy, and at the Laboratory of Orofacial Motricity, both at UFPE. Data collection started in June/2016 and was completed in January/2017.

As inclusion criteria, volunteers of both genders and age between 18 and 45 years, with TMD and painful sensation for at least 3 months of persistence. For individuals in the control group, those with similar age groups without complaints of pain and without TMD were included. The TMD in both groups was verified by the physical examination of the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC). RDC has been considered the gold standard physical examination for clinical diagnosis of TMD. It may or may not be supplemented with electro-physiological and imaging tests, procedures not used in this study. In all study groups, individuals with a history of facial trauma, rheumatic and orthopedic pathologies, surgical procedures performed in the cranio-cervical region and neurological diseases, individuals who were using any type of medicine and/or orthodontic/orthopedic functional appliance at the moment of data collection were excluded. For females, those who were in the menstrual period were also excluded.

Two evaluators, one being responsible for collecting the data and applying the exams and questionnaires, carried out this research. The other evaluator performed the scores calculation of the evaluations. First, the anamnesis of the individuals was made, then the questionnaires were applied, and finally the algometry and the Visual Analog Scale (EVA) were performed.

The diagnosis of Temporomandibular Disorder was performed by an experienced and qualified evaluator,
according to the criteria of the Research Diagnostic Criteria developed\(^{17}\), translated and validated in Brazil\(^{18}\). For these criteria, individuals with muscular TMD of the subgroups were: Ia (myofascial pain without limited buccal opening) or Ib (myofascial pain with limited buccal opening) and individuals with joint TMD of the subgroups: IIA (displacement of disc with reduction) or IIB (displacement of disc without reduction and without opening limit).

The Visual Analog Scale (VAS) of pain was used to assess pain\(^{19}\). The VAS quantifies the pain experience in a single dimension using a straight line of 100 mm, with the left margin anchored by face expression without pain and another corresponding to the worst pain imaginable, without the millimeter values being visible to the patient (Figure 1).

The volunteer was requested to mark a vertical line at the point that represents the intensity of the pain felt. Subsequently, a blind evaluator measured the distance between the end anchored by the expression without pain and the mark made by the volunteer, which corresponded to the intensity of his/her pain, in millimeters.

In the algometry examination, the pressure pain threshold was verified using a Wagner® digital algometer, model FDX, with accuracy of 0.3% (Figure 2).

In the algometry examination, the masticatory and cervical muscles (masseter, temporal, sternocleidomastoid ‘SCM’ and trapezius, bilaterally) were examined in addition to the lateral pole of the mandible (joint point of TMJ), using AMERICAN SLEEP DISORDERS ASSOCIATION criteria. The algometer tip was positioned in the studied muscles until the individual described the pain. The value demonstrated by the algometer was considered as pain threshold.

As recommended by the Research Diagnostic Criteria, reports of familial pain (i.e. reproductive spontaneous pain during crisis) were considered clinically significant at palpatory examination, with value equal to or less than: 1kg for anterior temporal and masseter, 500 grams for the lateral pole of the mandible and 2Kg for SCM and trapezium.

To evaluate sleep quality, the *Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index* (PSQI)\(^{20}\) was used as the criterion for assessing sleep quality in the last month, providing the severity index and the nature of the disorder. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality questionnaire was validated for Portuguese language\(^{21}\) and has been shown to be an important tool to correlate with other variables in the study, such as pain and TMD. Score less than or equal to 5 means optimum sleep quality and greater or equal to 6 equals poor sleep quality.

Anxiety was evaluated by the *Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale* (HADS)\(^{22}\). This scale has been widely used by health professionals and has proved to be a good tool to be used. HADS consists of 14 items, 7 of which are for anxiety assessment (HADS-A) and the other 7 for depression (HADS-D). Each item can be punctuated from 0 to 3 points, being able to reach 21 points each scale. From 0 to 7 points is unlikely, from 8 to 11 is possible, however it is doubtful, and above 12 is likely.

The program used to make the statistics was BioEstat 5.0. For the analysis of the normality of the data the Shapiro Wilk test was used. To characterize the sample, a descriptive analysis was performed using mean and standard deviation for the continuous variables and frequency for categorical variables. To assess differences between groups at baseline, unpaired t test for continuous variables was used.
To compare the variables VAS, algometry, sleep quality and anxiety intergroups was used two-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test post. Values were presented on average (X), standard deviation (SD) and percentages (%). The significance level for all tests was 5%. It should be noted that the statistical evaluation was performed by a blind researcher not involved in the patients’ evaluations.

RESULTS

The results of the study flow can be seen in Figure 3.

The general characteristics of the sample are set forth in Table 1.

Table 1. General summary of the sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GROUP A Articular TMD (n=10)</th>
<th>GROUP B Muscular TMD (n=15)</th>
<th>GROUP C Control (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>8(80%)</td>
<td>11(73.3%)</td>
<td>12(80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2(20%)</td>
<td>4(26.6%)</td>
<td>3(20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24.15±3.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.10±3.87&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.15±3.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>23.29±2.28&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.45±5.80&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.49±1.98&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital letters at the same line mean that there is no intergroup difference. T test was not matched (p < 0.05).

Legend: TMD = Temporomandibular Disorder

Figure 3. Flow of volunteers during the research

As shown in Table 2, the pain referred to in the visual analog scale did not present statistically significant differences between the groups with articular and muscular TMD. However, lower pain threshold values were observed in the masticatory and trapezius muscles of individuals with muscle and joint TMD when compared to the control group.

Table 3 shows that individuals with joint and muscular TMD have worse quality of sleep and anxiety scores when compared to individuals in the control group.
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**Table 2.** Average (standard-deviation) of the values of the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Algometry (pressure pain threshold in Kgf/cm²) of the masticatory musculature, TMJ lateral pole and cervical muscle among individuals with different types of temporomandibular disorder and the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GROUP A Articular TMD (n=10)</th>
<th>GROUP B Muscular TMD (n=15)</th>
<th>GROUP C Control (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>61.5±12.85</td>
<td>59.5±16.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALGOMETRY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td>1.69 (0.74) A</td>
<td>1.62 (0.62) A</td>
<td>3.71 (0.67) B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Temporal</td>
<td>1.83 (0.80) A</td>
<td>1.52 (0.88) A</td>
<td>2.89 (0.92) B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ Lateral Pole</td>
<td>1.04 (0.31) A</td>
<td>2.01 (0.47) B</td>
<td>3.66 (0.41) C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternocleidomastoid</td>
<td>1.32 (0.45) A</td>
<td>1.46 (0.58) A</td>
<td>1.49 (0.64) A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezius</td>
<td>1.61 (0.85) A</td>
<td>1.77 (0.94) A</td>
<td>2.69 (1.09) B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital letters at the same line mean that there is an intergroup difference.
Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test (p<0.05).
Legend: TMD = Temporomandibular Disorder
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**Table 3.** Average (standard - deviation) of the values of the sleep quality, anxiety levels among individuals with different types of temporomandibular disorder and the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GROUP A Articular TMD (n=10)</th>
<th>GROUP B Muscular TMD (n=15)</th>
<th>GROUP C Control (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Quality</td>
<td>8.35±4.20 A</td>
<td>10.20±5.53 A</td>
<td>3.54±0.53 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Levels</td>
<td>15.38±2.42 A</td>
<td>16.85±2.02 A</td>
<td>1.03±0.72 B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital letters at the same line mean that there is a difference intergroup.
Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test (p<0.05).
Legend: TMD = Temporomandibular Disorder

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings of this study were: when compared to control, individuals with TMD present lower pain thresholds; i.e., they support lower mechanical pressures exerted on the masticatory muscles, TMJ and cervical muscles triggering pain. In addition, they exhibit worse quality of sleep and anxiety. However, both groups with TMD showed changes in these variables with no significant difference between them. Only the sternocleidomastoid muscle presented pain threshold below the clinically expected in the three groups evaluated. Healthy individuals were expected to have no reduction in pain threshold. Moreover, it was not possible to relate this data to the individuals’ head posture since posture was not a variable explored in the present study.

Another finding was that the females presented a higher prevalence of TMD, what agrees with the results of other studies performed in different populations. Probably, this higher prevalence is related to hormonal variations, especially estrogen, since there is already evidence that sex hormones increase the probability of having TMD by direct influence on collagen and elastin synthesis.

In this study, pain levels were evaluated through VAS, as it is a practical, easy to use and universally used method to assess pain intensity. However, it is often realized that individuals have difficulty objectifying the intensity of pain through a numerical data or a scale. In addition, one-dimensional scales provide little information on the physical characteristics of pain and frequently, the value indicated by the patient reflects the emotional profile of the painful experience. It was therefore necessary to evaluate pain also by the algometry that provides the quantitative measure of pain through a mechanical experience. When using VAS and algometry in this study, it was observed that there was no statistical difference between the TMD groups in relation to pain sensitivity, except for the lateral pole. However, when considering the difference of clinically important pain of all the pain sites analyzed, only the trapezius muscle presented a below-expected...
difference (2 kg/F) in the TMD groups in relation to the control. These findings reveal that although individuals with TMD have less resistance to painful stimuli when compared to individuals without TMD, their pain levels were not potentially important to show a significant clinical difference. This fact probably reflects an adaptability to the condition of chronic pain, which can be investigated in future studies.

The lower muscle pain thresholds observed in those with TMD from this study corroborate with the findings of another study \(^{28}\), which also showed that individuals with TMD have a lower pain threshold compared to healthy individuals \(^{28}\). When it comes to patients with chronic pain, it is known that the increase of pain sensitivity in diverse sites is caused by prolonged nociceptive stimuli of the myofascial tissue that sensitize the central nervous system. Neuronal hyperexcitability results from changes that can alter from the level of the peripheral receptors to the higher sensory centers of the brain. In this way, nociceptive impulses would be responsible for the elevation of pain sensitivity and the reduction of the multifocal pain threshold (muscular and articular) \(^{29-31}\).

In the same way that the muscular pain sensitivity did not differ between the TMD groups, the anxiety also presented the same picture. It can be inferred that it is not the type of TMD, but the existence of chronic pain that is closely related to anxiety\(^{32,33}\). Anxiety may contribute to the process of sensitization and hyperalgesia in chronic patients, which leads to an increase in the memory of pain and anticipation of pain sensations\(^{34,35}\). In a literature review study, Chisnoiu\(^{36}\) observed that stress, anxiety, and psychological factors induce muscle hyperactivity and muscle fatigue resulting in contracture, occlusal disharmony, and internal TMJ disorders.

It is worth noting that anxiety alone does not trigger TMD, but acts as a predisposing or aggravating factor\(^{8,16}\). In the present study, anxiety was evaluated by HADS because it was a questionnaire of rapid application, making it feasible to use within the methodology that was drawn. However, anxiety specificities are not potentially important to show a significant clinical difference. This fact probably reflects an adaptability to the condition of chronic pain, which can be investigated in future studies.

CONCLUSION

When compared to healthy people, individuals with chronic TMD have lower levels of pain threshold. In addition, they present higher levels of anxiety and lower quality of sleep. These results do not depend on the type of disorder.
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