Comparative study of epidural anesthesia in dogs by weight or occipito-coccygeal distance
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ABSTRACT

The effects of volume on lumbosacral epidural block in dogs were evaluated using two different doses of 2% lidocaine. Ten adult dogs, without defined breed, were subjected to two different anesthetic protocols. In the first, the local anesthetic was calculated based on the body weight (GP), wherein 1.0 mL of local anesthetic was used for each 3.5 kg; in the second protocol, the dose was stipulated according to the occipito-coccygeal (DG) distance with 1.5 mL of local anesthetic for every 10 cm of distance. The available time, recovery period, extent of block, and rectal temperature were measured. After the analysis of the results, it was possible to verify that there was an increase in the time in GD when compared with GP, due to the greater volume administered in that group. The recovery period remained similar in both groups, despite the use of different doses. Regarding the extent of blockade, there was an increase in GD in relation to GP due to the increase of the local anesthetic dose. On the other hand, the rectal temperature presented a difference between the groups, remaining lower and below the reference values for the species in GD compared with GP at all moments analyzed, possibly due to a sympathetic action triggered by the cranial extension of the epidural block. It could be concluded that when a longer time and a more cranial blockade of epidural anesthesia are desired, the anesthesia volume should be based on the occipito-coccygeal distance, however, observing the rectal temperature.
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RESUMO

Estudo comparativo da anestesia epidural em cães por peso ou distância occipto-coccígea

Avaliaram-se os efeitos do volume no bloqueio epidural lombossacro em cães utilizando-se duas doses diferentes de lidocaína a 2%. Foram utilizados dez cães adultos, SRD submetidos: No primeiro protocolo, o anestésico local foi calculado com base no peso corporal (GP) e no segundo protocolo a dose foi estipulada de acordo com a distância occipto-coccígea (GD). Sendo os mesmos animais submetidos aos dois protocolos anestésicos, com intervalo de dez dias. Mensurou-se o tempo hábil, o período de recuperação, a extensão do bloqueio e a temperatura retal. Após a análise dos resultados foi possível constatar que houve acréscimo no tempo hábil em GD quando comparado a GP, devido ao maior volume administrado em tal grupo. O período de recuperação se manteve semelhante em ambos os grupos, apesar do uso de doses diferentes. Com relação à extensão do bloqueio, houve um aumento em GD quando comparado a GP devido ao aumento da dose de anestésico local. Já a temperatura retal apresentou diferença entre os grupos, mantendo-se menor e abaixo dos valores de referência para a espécie, em GD na comparação com GP em todos os momentos analisados possivelmente devido a uma ação simpática desencadeada pela extensão cranial do bloqueio epidural. Pode se concluir que quando se dejesa um maior tempo hábil e um bloqueio mais cranial de anestesia epidural, o volume da mesma deve-se basear na distância occipto-coccígea, porém, cuidados com a temperatura retal devem ser tomados.
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INTRODUCTION

Epidural anesthesia is a simple, safe, effective, and low-cost technique characterized by the administration of drugs with analgesic properties in the epidural space aiming at promoting anesthesia and analgesia (Otero, 2005; Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007; Cassu et al., 2008; Tamanho et al., 2009).

The action of the drug, when applied by the epidural route, will depend on the volume administered, speed of application, diffusion through the intervertebral foramen and dura mater, concentration and liposolubility of the drug and the length of the vertebral column (Otero, 2005; Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007). Factors such as gestation, obesity, age, pressure within the epidural space, venous and lymphatic absorption, and rate of anesthetic elimination may also interfere with the drug progression after epidural application (Skarda & Muir III, 1996).

According to Otero (2005), the cephalic progression of the block depends on the volume of the substance administered via the epidural. Hypothermia, due to sympathetic block, may occur within the first few minutes after drug application, especially if it is done rapidly (Otero, 2005; Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007; Andrade, 2009; Santos et al., 2009).

There are two ways of calculating the dose of agents administered via the epidural route according to the desired effect (Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007). The first one, by taking into account the body weight of the animal and the second is based on the extension of the vertebral column (Otero, 2005; Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007; Santos, 2009). Thus, it is possible to adjust the dosage to the different patients, providing a satisfactory block in each situation, besides avoiding adverse effects (Torske & Dyson, 2000; Egger & Love, 2009).

When using the dose in 1.0 mL for each 4.5 kg of weight, analgesia and anesthesia are delivered to the first lumbar vertebra in the dog, blocking the perineum, pelvic limbs, and caudal abdomen (Campello et al., 1977; Jones 2001; Otero, 2005; Skarda & Tranquilli, 2007; Andrade, 2009; Tamanho et al., 2009). Otero (2005) suggests the use of 1.5 mL for each 10 cm of occipito-coccygeal distance to promote a satisfactory cranial block to the 5th thoracic vertebra, without compromising the respiratory dynamics. According to the same author, the calculation according to the weight can generate dosage errors, leading to unsatisfactory block and poor analgesia. This may be due to the low connection between the extent and volume of epidural space and body weight, especially in dogs, due to the variety of breeds and sizes (Otero, 2005; Andrade, 2009).

Thus, the present study aims to compare the available time and recovery time, the extent of anesthetic block, and the rectal temperature of dogs subjected to epidural anesthesia, considering the volume of the anesthesia by occipito-coccygeal distance or body weight.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculdade de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde FACISA/UNIVIÇOSA, number 13/2013-1. The animals from the kennel of the Faculdade de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde FACISA/UNIVIÇOSA and from owners, who voluntarily gave their animals, were selected based on weight and previous clinical examination.

Ten healthy adult dogs of both genders and without defined breed, weighing between 13.2 and 22.0 kg (17.4 ± 3.0) were used. Before the anesthetic protocol, the animals were subjected to food fasting for 12 h and to water fasting for 6 h. All animals had the weight and the distance between the occipital protuberance and the first coccygeal vertebra measured previously.

We performed the catheterization of the cephalic vein, by which fluid therapy with NaCl 0.9% (250 mL of NaCl injectable electrolyte solution - Sanobiol LTDA, Pouso Alegre - MG) at 10 mL/kg/h was administered during all the experimental moments. The anesthetic induction was performed with 8 mg/kg/IV propofol (Prorive, Propofol 10%, Meizler BIOPHARMA S/A Chacharwadi - Vasana, Ahmedabad-382 213, India) until the animals reached adequate surgical anesthetic plan, based on criteria of clinical judgment (rotated ocular bulb, absent palpebral reflex, relaxed jaw, and absence of spontaneous muscular movements in response to the stimuli caused by the penetration of the needle into the lumbar space). After anesthetic induction, the animals were randomly divided into two groups of 10 animals each; in this way, each animal was anesthetized in two different moments; first, belonging to one group and in a second moment, belonging to the other group. The interval between participation in each group was 10 days.

To perform the epidural, the animals were placed in sternal decumbency with the limbs drawn cranially. The administration of lidocaine 2% (20 mL lidocaine hydrochloride, 20 mg/mL - Hypofarma, Ribeirão das Neves - MG) occurred in an average time of 60 s. The animals were maintained in sternal decumbency until the end of the evaluations.

The ten animals were divided into two groups that received two distinct anesthetic protocols, in an average interval of ten days. In the first group, the animals were subjected to epidural anesthesia by calculating the total volume of the anesthetic according to weight, as follows: 1mL of lidocaine 2% without vasoconstrictor for each 3.5 kg (GP). In the second group, the total anesthetic dose was calculated from the occipito-coccygeal distance, as
follows: 1.5 mL of lidocaine 2% for each 10 cm occipito-
coccygeal (GD). When calculating the dose based on the
occipito-coccygeal distance, there was an increase of
approximately 50% in the volume in relation to the volume
obtained from the calculation by weight.

The anesthetic available period, the recovery period,
the extent of the block, and the rectal temperature were
analyzed. The available time comprised the interval, in
seconds, between the administration of lidocaine 2% and
the appearance of the first voluntary movements of the
pelvic limbs. The recovery time, in seconds, was measured
and corresponded to the interval between the end of the
available time until the complete ambulation of the animal.

The extension of the epidural block was measured by
pinching the skin using an Allis clamp, pressed until the
first rack, to analyze the pain sensation. The stimulus
was applied in the dorsal region, starting in the
lumbosacral region and following cranially until the ani-
mal responded to the nociceptive stimulus. When they
presented a painful response, the animals emitted sounds
of discomfort, showed resistance to the stimulus, or
turned their heads towards the stimulated site. The extent
of the block was measured using a tape measure (Misura
Per Sarti C&C - São Paulo, SP), in centimeter scale, from
the region of the anesthetic application to the place in
which the animals showed sensitivity. The rectal
temperature was measured with a digital clinical
thermometer (Axilar TS-101: Techline). The extent of
the block and temperature were measured in six pre-
established periods, in which the moment 0 corresponded
immediately before performing the epidural anesthesia;
moment 1, 15 min after epidural anesthesia; moment 2, 15
min after moment 1; moment 3, 30 min after moment 1;
moment 4, 45 min after moment 1; moment 5, 60 min after
moment 1; and moment 6, 75 min after moment 1.

Data were subjected to the Shapiro-Wilk test for
normality of the variables and to the Breusch-Pagan/Cook-
Weisberg test for homoscedasticity. For comparison
of the means, the results referring to the available time and
recovery time were subjected to Student’s t-test, with equal
variances between treatments. The results concerning the
rectal temperature were subjected to the analysis of
variance and covariance (Two-way Anova), to be
compared by the Tukey test. The results regarding the
stimulus distance were subjected to the Wilcoxon and
Friedman non-parametric test. The analyzes were
performed using software Stata 12.0 (StataCorp LP; Texas,
USA), at the 5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There was an increase in available time, with difference
of 37.4% in GD compared with GP (Figure 1). According to
Jones (2001) and Skarda & Tranquilli (2007), the duration
of epidural anesthesia is related to the drug chosen, as
well as to the range reached and whether or not adrenaline
is used. Also, according to Otero (2005), the effect of
epidural depends on the dose and volume administered,
the liposolubility, and the protein binding of the drug.
Therefore, it is possible to rule out the drug-related aspects
and the particularities of the patient, since all the animals
grew through the two groups and received the same drug
(lidocaine 2%). It is suggested that the increase in available
time observed in GD is due to the higher volume of drug
administered, agreeing with Freire (2008) that, when using
different volumes of bupivacaine, a larger number of
blocked segments was obtained with the application of
larger volumes if compared with the smaller ones. This
was already expected, since administration of higher
amounts of drug triggers greater action.

The duration of block found in this study (Figure 1) was
higher than the values described in the literature for the use
of lidocaine 2% without a vasoconstrictor via the epidural
route, between 3600 and 5400 seconds (Otero, 2005).

Regarding the recovery time, no significant differences
were observed between GP and GD, although the gain of
5.68% of this variable in GD was observed in relation to GP
(Figure 2). In a study conducted by Freire (2008), it was
observed that the regression of the sensory block occurs
first, when compared with the motor block, when smaller
volumes of anesthetic are used via the epidural route.
According to the same author, this is due to the effect of
the concentration that the anesthetic reaches within the
epidural space, because, when injecting a larger volume of
anesthetic, a block of the more cranial segments is obtained.
However, the concentration achieved would be lower and,
therefore, the motor block would not be as effective, which
in turn occurs with the administration of smaller volumes,
since these do not disperse as much, being concentrated
in a certain region. Therefore, the absence of difference in
recovery time between the two groups can be attributed to
the more effective motor block promoted by the lower vo-
lume of lidocaine in GP, generating an inefficient ambulation
for a longer time.

In the comparison between the groups over time, the
increase in the extent of the sensory block, with statistical
difference, occurred from moment 2 and remained until moment
6, being higher in GD throughout the interval (Figure 3). When
analyzing the variation among the moments of the groups, it
was observed that the most cranial dispersion of lidocaine
2% occurred in moment 3 and from this, the block began to
regress until moment 6, without, however, returning to the
value found in moment 0. The distribution of the drug by the
epidural space is influenced by the dose and volume
administered, concentration and liposolubility of the drug,
rate of application, severity, age, extension of the spine, and
clinical status of the patient (Torske & Dyson, 2000; Otero, 2005). According to Cassu et al. (2010), it is possible to obtain a more cranial anesthetic block using higher volumes in the epidural space. Considering that all animals went through the two assessment groups, receiving lidocaine 2% at a similar rate of application (about one minute) and were kept in the same position, the most cranial progression of GD block can be attributed to higher volume administered in that group. In addition, the decrease in block, with statistical difference, from moment 4 in GP and moment 5 in GD (Figure 3), indicates the return of the block. From these moments, the lidocaine 2% concentration within the epidural space becomes insufficient to promote the block of the most cranial nervous roots, corroborating the results found by Freire (2008).

Regarding the rectal temperature between the groups over time, there was a difference in all the analyzed moments, with smaller values observed in the GD than in GP (Figure 4). After moment 2, the temperature values observed in GD (Figure 4) were below the reference levels for the species, which, according to Feitosa (2008), should be maintained between 37.5 °C and 39.2 °C. However, in the comparisons among the moments analyzed for each group, there was a reduction of the rectal temperature with significant difference after moment 0 in both groups (Figure 4). According to Silva et al. (2008) and Jacobina (2009), the cranial progression of local anesthetic promotes sympathetic block, resulting in vasodilation of the arterioles and subsequent

**Figure 1**: Values of the available time in seconds (mean ± standard error) measured in dogs subjected to GP and GD treatments. Means between columns with the same letter do not differ by the Student t test at p < 0.05.

**Figure 2**: Recovery period values in seconds (mean ± standard error) measured in dogs subjected to GP and GD treatments. Means between columns with the same letter do not differ by the Student t test p < 0.05.
hypothermia. According to Ishiy (2001) Skarda & Tranquilli (2007), Cassu et al. (2008), and Tamanho et al. (2009), the epidural anesthesia leads to redistribution of heat through the body and to interference in central and peripheral thermoregulation, inducing the occurrence of hypothermia. In addition, induction with propofol causes hypotension resulting from arterial and venous vasodilation, which potentiates the redistribution of body heat (Branson, 2013). Thus, it is possible to attribute the lower values of the rectal temperature found in GD to the more cranial extension of the block. Although the rectal temperature increased again from moment 5 (Figure 4), it did not return to the values found in moment 0. The decrease in rectal temperature, especially in GD, suggests that, when performing epidural anesthesia using the dose calculated by the occipito-coccygeal distance, caution should be taken with debilitated patients, in which thermoregulation is impaired.

**Figure 3**: Values of the epidural block extension in centimeters (mean ± standard error) measured in dogs subjected to GP and GD treatments at different moments of anesthesia.

**Figure 4**: Values of rectal temperature in °C (mean ± standard error) measured in dogs submitted to GP and GD treatments at different times of anesthesia.

Means followed by different capital letters between the lines differ significantly by the Wilcoxon test at p < 0.05. Means followed by different lowercase letters on the same line differ significantly by the Fridman test at p < 0.05.
CONCLUSION

When a longer time and a more cranial block of epidural anesthesia are desired, the anesthesia volume should be based on the occipito-coccygeal distance; however, care with the rectal temperature must be taken.
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