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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Chronic low back pain is a musculoskeletal problem with high prevalence and frequent associated conditions, which causes major impact on patients' daily life and quality of life. This study aimed at evaluating pain perception, fear of movement and adherence to treatment of patients with low back pain and surgical indication.

METHODS: This is a prospective study with convenience sample made up of low back pain patients, called test group: chronic non-cancer pain patients who were randomly selected and were waiting for surgery. Control group was made up of patients screened by the Pain Clinic, with low back pain, however asymptomatic. Tools were the visual analog scale, the Morisky & Green questionnaire to check adherence to treatment and the Roland-Morris questionnaire to evaluate functional incapacity. Fear of movement was evaluated by the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia and quality of life by the Study Short form 12 Health Survey (SF-12) (Medical Outcomes).

RESULTS: Mean age of the test group was 38.8±6.5 years with prevalence of females, impaired labor situation and mean education of 8.5±3.8 years. Both mental and physical components had lower quality of life scores in the test group, in addition to more severe pain, functional incapacity and fear of movement. Non-adherence to treatment was seen in 65% of test group patients.

CONCLUSION: Fear of movement, functional incapacity and pain observed in test group may have implications in the quality of life of low back pain patients who will be submitted to surgery and may be predictors for the incorporation of different strategies to contribute to more effective approaches.
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ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is the second largest complaint worldwide and the major cause of temporary leave in Brazil. Incapacity and poorer function are common among chronic low back pain patients and their quality of life (QL) depends more on the level of incapacity than on pain, as well as on costs. Low back pain is any persistent pain in the lower spinal region for more than three months and which becomes chronic. Chronic LBP is one of the most common musculoskeletal
disorders in industrialized societies, affecting 70 to 80% of the adult population in some moment of life, having predilection for young adults in economically active stage, and is one of the most common causes of absenteeism due to total or partial incapacity. Types of LBP may be classified according to their duration. Acute low back pain has sudden onset and lasts for less than six weeks, while sub-acute low back pain lasts from six to 12 weeks, and chronic low back pain lasts for more than 12 weeks. One may state that chronic low back pain is characterized by disabling syndrome and pain which lasts for more than three months after the first acute pain episode, in addition to gradual installation of incapacity, very often with imprecise onset and periods of improvement and worsening.

With regard to treatment, the first objective is pain relief. Many drugs may be used, including analgesics, anti-inflammatory drugs, myorelaxants, steroids and opioids, always after evaluating the risk-benefit of each one of them. Rest, although recommended in the acute stage, should be limited to a short period since its extension delays recovery and favors process chronicity, especially for favoring the loss of muscle strength. No isolated therapy is efficient for chronic low back pain. The same acute stage drugs may be used and, in some cases, there are major benefits with the use of some classes of antidepressants in low doses to control pain. Rehabilitation with stretching and muscle strengthening exercises, in addition to postural reeducation, are critical to decrease symptoms and prevent pain recurrence. Other interventions include transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS), acupuncture, cognitive-behavioral therapy and infiltration. Corsets and girdles should only be used during acute crisis or when there is spinal instability. Their continuous use may lead to muscle hypotrophy generating a vicious cycle of pain.

Only 1 to 2% of patients need surgery. The need to change life habits, be it with regard to physical activity, postural vices or passive attitude with relation to pain, should always be recommended. Low back pain treatment will be more effective if it is aimed at patients and not at their injuries or exams. With regard to treatment, the first objective is pain relief. Many drugs may be used, including analgesics, anti-inflammatory drugs, myorelaxants, steroids and opioids, always after evaluating the risk-benefit of each one of them. Rest, although recommended in the acute stage, should be limited to a short period since its extension delays recovery and favors process chronicity, especially for favoring the loss of muscle strength. No isolated therapy is efficient for chronic low back pain. The same acute stage drugs may be used and, in some cases, there are major benefits with the use of some classes of antidepressants in low doses to control pain. Rehabilitation with stretching and muscle strengthening exercises, in addition to postural reeducation, are critical to decrease symptoms and prevent pain recurrence. Other interventions include transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS), acupuncture, cognitive-behavioral therapy and infiltration. Corsets and girdles should only be used during acute crisis or when there is spinal instability. Their continuous use may lead to muscle hypotrophy generating a vicious cycle of pain.

According to MGT protocol, patients with maximum score of four are considered adherent and those with three or less are considered non-adherent to treatment. One MGT limitation is that it evaluates just adherence to pharmacological treatment, not taking into consideration adherence to non-pharmacological treatment. To evaluate LBP patients’ incapacity, MGT13 translated, adapted and validated was used. This questionnaire is made up of 24 items involving the domains of functional capacity, limitation by physical aspects, pain, general health status, vitality, social aspects, emotional aspects and mental health. Each item has the value of one point being the result the sum of all checked items with minimum score of zero and maximum of 24, which translates total functional incapacity. The Study Short Form 12 Health Survey (SF-12) was also applied, which is a generic QL questionnaire which, although being shorter that SF-36, is still a valid alternative. This tool is a good option for population-based studies and also for tracking health problems. It has a structure based on 10 items, extracted from SF-36 domains and 2 items added to improve the estimate of the 2 components created as from SF-36. Results are normalized and expressed in components (physical and mental) through standard deviations of the American population mean (Z score with mean of 50±10). To evaluate fear of movement the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK)15 was used, for being one of the most popular tools currently used to evaluate kinesiophobia. This scale consists of a self-applicable questionnaire with 17 questions addressing pain and symptoms intensity. Scores vary from one to four points, being that the answer “totally disagree” is equivalent to one point, “partially disagree”, to two points, “partially agree” to.

**METHODS**

This is a descriptive, exploratory, comparative, crossover study with quantitative approach carried out in the Pain Clinic Ambulatory, Base Hospital (FUNFARME/FAMERP). Individuals of both genders, with enough cognitive level to understand the procedures and to follow given guidance were included. All patients have signed the Free and Informed Consent Term (FICT). Patients with psychiatric disease and no clinical follow up in the Pain Clinic, Base Hospital were excluded.

Patients were allocated in two groups: test group (TG), with diagnosis of low back pain and surgical indication (n=15), and control group (CG) (n=20), with diagnosis of low back pain and no surgical indication. CG was made up of individuals paired by age and education level with regard to TG. Patients of both groups were evaluated by the visual analog scale (VAS)11, which consists in measuring pain intensity and is an important tool to check pain evolution during treatment and even at each medical visit in a more reliable way; Morisky & Green test12 (MGT) to check adherence to treatment. MGT is made up of four questions to identify attitudes and behaviors with regard to drug ingestion, and which has been shown to be useful to identify patients adhering or not to treatment.

According to MGT protocol, patients with maximum score of four are considered adherent and those with three or less are considered non-adherent to treatment. One MGT limitation is that it evaluates just adherence to pharmacological treatment, not taking into consideration adherence to non-pharmacological treatment. To evaluate LBP patients’ incapacity, MGT13 translated, adapted and validated was used. This questionnaire is made up of 24 items involving the domains of functional capacity, limitation by physical aspects, pain, general health status, vitality, social aspects, emotional aspects and mental health. Each item has the value of one point being the result the sum of all checked items with minimum score of zero and maximum of 24, which translates total functional incapacity. The Study Short Form 12 Health Survey (SF-12) was also applied, which is a generic QL questionnaire which, although being shorter that SF-36, is still a valid alternative. This tool is a good option for population-based studies and also for tracking health problems. It has a structure based on 10 items, extracted from SF-36 domains and 2 items added to improve the estimate of the 2 components created as from SF-36. Results are normalized and expressed in components (physical and mental) through standard deviations of the American population mean (Z score with mean of 50±10). To evaluate fear of movement the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK)15 was used, for being one of the most popular tools currently used to evaluate kinesiophobia. This scale consists of a self-applicable questionnaire with 17 questions addressing pain and symptoms intensity. Scores vary from one to four points, being that the answer “totally disagree” is equivalent to one point, “partially disagree”, to two points, “partially agree” to.
three points and “totally agree” to four points. To obtain the
final score it is necessary to invert scores of questions 4, 8, 12
and 16. Final score may be at least 17 and at the utmost 68
points, being that the higher the score the higher the level of
kinesiophobia.

For statistical analysis data were recorded in spreadsheets and
analyzed by descriptive statistics. For questions with variables
yes or no NcNemar test was used for comparison before and
after, within each group and, between groups, possible yes
and no combinations were evaluated. Quantitative variables
were evaluated by the paired T test or Signal test, when re-
commended. In the case of inter-group analysis, T test for 2
samples and Mann-Whitney test were used. Finally, ordinal
variables were analyzed by non-parametric tests, being that
Signal test was used within the same group and Mann-Whi-
tney test to compare between groups. Results are shown in
tables, figures and descriptively.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee,
FAMERP, under n. 2384/2010.

RESULTS

This study tried to identify good indicators of self-evaluation
of low back pain patients with surgical indication to ob-
tain a more complete profile of them and so provide more
effective intervention measures. It was observed that in both
groups there has been predominance of females, mean age of
38.8±6.5 for TG and most of respondents of both groups had
no integral labor activity or were on leave, or just had partial
activity, which shows the interference of pain in labor activi-
ties. Table 1 shows characteristics of both groups.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients of both studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>TG 15</td>
<td>38.8±6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG 20</td>
<td>43.4±11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Gender             | TG 15  |       |          | Female 73.4 (n=11)
|                    | CG 20  |       |          | Male 26.6 (n=4)
|                    |        |       |          | Female 60.0 (n=12)
|                    |        |       |          | Male 40.0 (n=8)
| Labor situation    | TG 15  |       |          | Integral activity 6.6 (n=1)
|                    | CG 20  |       |          | Partial activity 53.4 (n=8)
|                    |        |       |          | On leave 40.0 (n=8)
|                    |        |       |          | Integral activity 20.0 (n=4)
|                    |        |       |          | Partial activity 45.0 (n=9)
|                    |        |       |          | On leave 35.0 (n=7)
| Education (years)  | TG 15  | 8.5±3.8  |          |      |
|                    | CG 20  | 10.5±2.8 |          |      |

TG: test group; CG: control group.

With regard to QL, the SF-12 questionnaire was used, which
is a generic measurement and does not target a specific age
group or group of diseases. Results are calculated using the
scores of 12 questions, from zero to 100, where zero indicates
low QL and 100 high QL levels. Results or our study are
shown in figure 1. It is observed that both physical and mental
health are impaired in TG. TG has lower QL as compared to
CG in both components with statistically significant differen-
tce (p<0.05).

With regard to VAS, TG had higher scores, indicating more
severe pain. In the Roland Morris questionnaire, which ex-
presses daily and labor situations which may be impaired by
low back pain, highest mean was 11.92±2.50 with signifi-
cant difference between groups (p<0.05). TSK, which mea-
sures fear of movement and of injury recurrence, has shown
higher scores in TG with statistically significant difference
(Table 2).

Table 2. Mean pain values for studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual analog scale</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.35±2.54</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.56±1.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland Morris</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.92±2.50</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.13±3.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Tampa scale for kinesio-
| phobia                    | Test   | 15  | 46.0(20-65)|         |
| Control                    | 20     |     | 38.0(22-56)|          |

From TG patients evaluated by MGT 65% (n=9) have not
adhered to prescribed pharmacological treatment. The rela-
tionship between MGT and gender has not shown statisti-
cally significant difference (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

The identification of biopsychosocial and QL factors in LBP
patients who will be submitted to surgery allows for a more
effective treatment very often decreasing complication rates.
Our study has observed the prevalence of females aged betwe-
en 32 and 60 years and with impaired labor situation.
Studies16,17 confirm such results which show that lumbar spi-
ne musculoskeletal disorders are major public health proble-
ms. So, intervention strategies should be developed to control
such morbidity.
Authors report that approximately 50% of females have some type of pain, especially low back pain, often observed in those remaining standing up or sitting down for long periods. Females, who are majority in this study, may have presented more pain complaints probably due to some anatomofunctional characteristics, such as less bone mass, lower muscle resistance and more unstable joints, and also because their muscle strength is in average 30% weaker as compared to males. Some studies show that the younger the age the lower the chance of having LBP, observing that with age there is higher prevalence of low back pain.

With regard to pain during activities, observed with TSK, this study has shown more fear in TG. Some authors try to explain that little is known about the exact mechanism and factors influencing LBP chronicity, and report that the model based on clinical signs and symptoms indicates that pain is proportional to tissue injury extension.

However, there are evidences that the persistence of pain symptoms cannot be explained only by objective clinical findings and, for this condition, an approach purely based on clinical model may be insufficient. They also report that some individuals with musculoskeletal pain develop chronic pain syndrome, the cognitive model of fear of movement/ (re) injury proposed by Vlaeyen et al., which is based on fear of pain, or more specifically, fear that physical activities may cause pain and/or injury recurrence.

Two opposite behavioral responses are proposed, being that confronters face pain in the attempt of improving and believe that the presence of pain does not justify the limitation of their functional activities, while avoiders have fear of movement and believe that activity is directly related to the presence of pain. This avoiding behavior may lead to physical and psychological disorders which will contribute to the chronicity of pain.

McCracken and Turk have also reported that LBP individuals with surgical indication have solicitude behaviors which encourage rest and stimulate such patients to decrease activities with surgical indication have solicitude behaviors which increase the risk of dependence and incapacity and impairs QL.

In analyzing non-pharmacological adherence of most TG patients, there are reports that this factor is a major challenge both for the government and health professionals, because it depends on the implementation of multidisciplinary programs in all levels of assistance for interventions to be more effective.

**CONCLUSION**

Fear of movement, functional incapacity and pain observed in TG may have implications in the QL of LBP patients who will be submitted to surgery. Evaluating such patients with different tools may give the health team the real dimension of symptoms, taking into consideration all these factors for the implementation of health assistance models which incorporate different individual and collective strategies to contribute to more effective approaches.
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