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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Pain is a subjective symptom which may be measured by means of its several features, such as intensity, quality, location, duration and impact on daily activities. Due to the lack of means to better classify such symptoms, several studies have used intensity as the only evaluation measurement. To develop other types of research it was necessary the development of new tools to identify and measure remaining domains of pain. This review aimed at describing and analyzing available tools for neuropathic pain diagnosis and evaluation.

CONTENTs: Several tools were developed to evaluate neuropathic pain. Among them there are those validated for neuropathic pain in general, such as Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs Pain Scale and its self-report version (self-administered LANSS), Douleur Neuropathique 4 Questions, Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire and its short form (NPQ-short form), painDetect and ID-Pain. These are the most widely used tools worldwide for having a cutoff point, which makes them more objective tools. Other tools are Neuropathic Pain Scale, Pain Quality Assessment Scale and Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory. Tools translated and validated for the Portuguese language are Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs Pain Scale, Douleur Neuropathique 4 Questions and Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory.

CONCLUSION: There are several tools which may be used to screen neuropathic pain, while others were developed for its evaluation. Among them there are those more objective and more commonly used. Some of these tools were translated and validated for the Brazilian Portuguese language.
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RESUMO

JUSTIFICATIVA E OBJETIVOS: Dor é um sintoma subjetivo que pode ser mensurado por meio de suas várias características, como intensidade, qualidade, localização, duração e impacto nas atividades diárias. Devido à falta de meios para caracterizar com maior precisão esses sintomas, vários estudos se utilizaram da intensidade como sua única medida de avaliação. Para o desenvolvimento de outros tipos de pesquisa, faz-se necessária a elaboração de novos instrumentos para identificação e mensuração dos demais domínios da dor. O objetivo desta revisão foi a descrição e análise dos instrumentos disponíveis para o diagnóstico e avaliação de dor neuropática.

CONTEÚDO: Inúmeros instrumentos foram desenvolvidos para a avaliação da dor neuropática. Dentre eles estão aqueles que foram validados para dores neuropáticas em geral, como a Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs Pain Scale e sua versão autoaplicável (self-administered LANNS), o Douleur Neuropathique 4 Questions, o Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire e sua versão mais curta (NPQ-short form), o painDetect e o ID-Pain. Esses são os mais utilizados internacionalmente por apresentarem um ponto de corte, o que os torna instrumentos mais objetivos. Os demais instrumentos são a Neuropathic Pain Scale, a Pain Quality Assessment Scale e o Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory. Os instrumentos traduzidos e validados para o português são a Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs Pain Scale, o Douleur Neuropathique 4 Questions e o Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory.

CONCLUSÃO: Há diversos instrumentos que podem ser utilizados para o rastreio de dor neuropática: outros foram desenvolvidos para sua avaliação. Dentre eles há aqueles mais objetivos e mais utilizados. Alguns desses instrumentos foram traduzidos e validados para o português do Brasil.

Descritores: Dor, Escala, Mensurações da dor.

INTRODUCTION

Pain is a subjective symptom which may be measured by means of its different domains, such as intensity, quality, site and duration. These features, associated with other clinical history information, to general and neurologic physical evaluation and to detailed sensitivity exam are used to differentiate types of pain1. Since neuropathic pain (NP) diagnosis is time consuming and depends on additional tests1, the development of tools to screen NP could help identifying individuals with higher probability of having this pain1. During the 1970s, as from the development of McGill Pain questionnaire2, it has become apparent that some pain descriptors were more frequent in cases of NP, such as tugging, pricking and burning3,6. Although there are easy to identify NP, such as postherpetic neuralgia, others are less evident and bring diagnostic difficulties, especially for professionals without the necessary knowledge for neurologic exam3. So, the development of specific tools to evaluate NP could allow the identification of this type of pain in a simple and fast way3, resulting in benefits for the clinical practice and in the development of new clinical trials.

To date, seven tools were developed to differentiate NP from non-neuropathic pain, and three more to describe NP8. Among ten NP evaluation tools, four were translated and validated to Brazilian Portuguese9,10,12. This article aimed at describing tools developed for the initial evaluation of NP patients. Some of them were validated for specific neuropathic pains, but this article shall focus on those validated for NP in general.

LANSS AND S-LANSS

The Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs (LANSS) Pain Scale is a tool aiming at differentiating NP from non-neuropathic pain. This tool takes 30 minutes to be applied and is based on the analysis of sensitivity description and on sensory deficits evaluation11. Five groups of symptoms are considered, namely dysesthesia, allodynia, paroxysmal pain, autonomic changes and burning sensation at painful site. With regard to physical evaluation, two items are taken into consideration: allodynia and changes in pain threshold at needle pricking11. The contralateral area to that where pain is referred is used as control. Answers to this questionnaire are binary and refer to pain felt in the last week. Score varies from zero to 24, being that scores below 12 suggest that it is improbable that pain has neuropathic origin11. On the other hand, scores equal to or above 12 mean that neuropathic mechanisms would be involved in patient’s pain. LANSS was able to identify 80% of NP cases, resulting in 85% sensitivity and 80% specificity as compared to clinical diagnosis12. LANSS was criticized for being time consuming, for being difficult to apply in symmetric neuropathies and for using sharp needle, which would go against best medical practices. These criticisms were refuted by the authors11.

In addition to Portuguese, LANSS scale was translated into Spanish13 and...
Turkish\cite{16}, Portuguese version of Brazilian LANSS is considered reliable to be used in clinical practice and research\cite{17}. Due to the need for training to apply LANSS, there could be difficulties to use such tool in some clinical situations and in research\cite{17}. Aiming at overcoming this problem, a self-administered version was developed (self-administered LANSS or S-LANSS) containing the same five items related to quality of pain. Items related to pain area evaluation were modified to allow the self-examining of patients during allodynia investigation\cite{17}. The weakness of this tool would be that patients should only characterize their worst pain, which could impair the identification of some cases of not so severe NP. In its initial descriptions, S-LANSS has shown 57% sensitivity and 69% specificity in administration via mail; when administered via telephone has show 52% sensitivity and 78% specificity as compared to clinical diagnosis\cite{17}.

**DN4**

The Douleur neuropathique 4 questions (DN4) is a tool aiming at screening NP. It may be used both by specialists and non-specialists\cite{18,19}. It is made up of seven items related to symptoms and three items related to physical evaluation. Each item is scored 1 if the answer is positive and zero if negative, leading to a minimum score of zero and maximum of 10. Cutoff point is four, being that scores equal to or above 4 suggest NP\cite{19}. Due to the discriminative property of the first seven items, these could be used in some types of clinical trials, however this still needs to be validated. DN4 has 83% sensitivity and 90% specificity as compared to medical diagnosis\cite{19}. DN4 was translated and validated to Portuguese aiming at introducing a reliable tool in the clinical scenario, giving priority to accurate diagnosis, helping the distinction between neuropathic and nociceptive pain\cite{19}. Results of the Portuguese version validation have shown 100% sensitivity and 93.2% specificity, being also able to identify NP patients\cite{19}. According to a systematic review, evidence level for construct validity, internal consistency and reliability was low or very low among different transcultural adaptations or translations\cite{20}. However, the Portuguese version\cite{19} was the most satisfactory among non-French versions\cite{20}.

**NPQ AND NPQ-S**

The study for the development of the Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire (NPQ) aimed at investigating factors to be used as basic descriptors by painful patients themselves\cite{21}. It also tried to establish best and most commonly used descriptors to distinguish neuropathic from non-neuropathic pain\cite{21}. In addition to helping separate neuropathic from non-neuropathic pain, the tool aims at supplying a general evaluation of pain symptoms\cite{21}. The questionnaire is made up of 32 questions of which 12 are selected. From these, 10 questions on quality of pain and 2 about changes in sensitivity\cite{21}. It was originally developed in English in the United States, with 74.7% sensitivity and 77.6% specificity\cite{21}. It has also versions in Chinese, Italian and Swedish, however with less evidence that the original version. Properties of this tool were evaluated in individuals with a variety of chronic pain conditions\cite{21}. The Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire – Short form (NPQ-S) was originally developed in the United States as from a discriminative analysis of the 12 NPQ questions\cite{21,22}. Among these, three were considered significant to differentiate neuropathic from non-neuropathic pain, namely: 1. Is your pain tingling? 2. Do you feel numbness at pain site? 3. Is pain worsened with touch? Discriminative function of this tool was able to estimate 64.5% sensitivity and 78.6% specificity and total forecast accuracy of 73.0%\cite{21}.

**PD-Q**

The Pain Detect Questionnaire (PD-Q) is a questionnaire developed in Germany initially to evaluate low back pain patients\cite{21}. It is simple, useful and self-administered, allowing the detection of NP components in chronic pain patients\cite{21,22}. It has sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value of approximately 80%\cite{21,22}. It is made up of questions related to pain intensity, course and irradiation, in addition to presence and perceived severity in seven NP symptoms classified in a six-score Likert scale\cite{21}. For diagnostic purposes, total score is calculated varying between zero and 38 based on patients’ answers. When total score is above 18, this means that a NP component is probable, while scores below 13 indicate that NP is improbable\cite{21}. PD-Q is divided in four major sections. The first has three items in the 11-score Likert scale with extremes of the scale (zero-no pain, 10-maximum pain), followed by color graduation in a scale representing pain intensity in analog format\cite{21}. These items evaluate pain intensity at the moment, pain intensity mean and maximum during the last four weeks\cite{21}. The second section asks patients to mark one of four charts which best describe their pain pattern. Scores are determined as follows: persistent pain with minor fluctuations (zero), persistent pain with peaks of pain (-1), pain attacks without pain between them (1) and pain attacks with pain between them (2)\cite{21}. The third section includes a sensory map represented by a homunculus, together with questions asking to mark pain zone, relating it to the presence of irradiation, in addition to showing the direction of irradiated pain with an arrow\cite{21}. Positive answer is scored with two points. In the last section there are seven items asking about the intensity of the sensation marked on the homunculus\cite{21}. These items are scored with a 6-point Likert scale, with values corresponding to the following terms (0 = no, 1 = had not noticed, 2 = a little, 3 = moderately, 4 = strong, 5 = very strong)\cite{21}. These items ask about the following sensations: smarting, tingling, allodynia, pain attacks, temperature-evoked pain, numbness and pressure-evoked pain. This latter section has scores between zero and 35\cite{21}.

**ID PAIN**

ID Pain is a questionnaire to evaluate patients with pain to differentiate nociceptive from neuropathic pain\cite{21}. This questionnaire is still not validated in Brazil, but international studies have considered it comparable to other NP evaluation scales\cite{21}. It relates patients’ pain characteristics with scores varying from -1 to 5\cite{21}. This scale has sensitivity and specificity of approximately 70 to 80%, and may be a useful tool to diagnose NP. Items include: 1. “Is pain jumping or pricking”? 2. “Does it have warmth or burning sensation”? 3. “Do you feel numbness”? 4. Is there electric shock sensation”? 5. “Is pain worsened with the touch of clothes or bedding”? and 6. “Is pain limited to joints”?\cite{21}. One point is given to each affirmative answer for items 1 to 5 and -1 (minus one) for item 6\cite{21}. For scores between 4 and 5, NP is considered highly probable; between 2 and 3 it is considered probable; scores equal to 1 are considered possible and between zero and -1 are considered improbable\cite{21}. Table 1 shows sensitivity and specificity of each NP evaluation tool as compared to medical diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LANSS</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-LANSS via mail</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-LANSS via telephone</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPQ- short</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PainDETECT</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID Pain</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NPS**

The Neuropathic Pain Scale (NPS) was developed to evaluate different pain qualities associated to NP. This was the first tool specifically designed for this objective. NPS has a total of 10 items, being that two evaluate pain dimensions (intensity and discomfort) and eight evaluate NP quality (stabbing, burning, freezing, boring, tender, itching, deep pain, superficial pain). Items are evaluated by a numeric scale from zero to 10. For example, for “hot”, zero would be not hot and 10 very hot, or “worst imaginable sensation” to describe “too hot” pain\cite{21}.
The Pain Quality Assessment Scale (PQAS)\textsuperscript{29} is a self-report tool derived from NPS\textsuperscript{28}. PQAS was developed to evaluate the quality of NP not evaluated by the NPS\textsuperscript{28}. Pain Quality Assessment Scale (PQAS) was translated and culturally adapted to Brazil but is still being validated\textsuperscript{12}. PQAS is able to evaluate qualities or domains affected by pain management\textsuperscript{9}. It has 20 descriptors to evaluate two global aspects (intensity and discomfort), two spatial aspects (superficial and deep), and 16 quality domains: 1. Jumping (pricking, drilling, 2. Burning (on fire); 3. Dull; 4. Cold (freezing); 5. Tender (as open sore); 6. As a wound; 7. Itching (as “mosquito bite”); 8. Tugging; 9. Numbness; 10. Shock (lightning, spark); 11. Tingling; 12. Cramping (crushing, pressing); 13. Radiating; 14. Throbbing; 15. Hurting (as toothache); 16. Heavy (pressure)\textsuperscript{15}. PQAS has also an item to evaluate pain temporal pattern (“intermittent with no pain in other moments”, “minimum pain the whole time with exacerbation periods” and “constant pain which does not change a lot from a moment to the other”)\textsuperscript{37}. Each item is evaluated by the verbal numeric scale where 0 = “no pain” or “no painful sensation” and 10 = “worst imaginable pain sensation”\textsuperscript{12,29}.

NPSI

The Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI) was developed and validated to evaluate the effects of NP syndromes management\textsuperscript{9}. This is the only tool validated for central and peripheral NP\textsuperscript{9}. NPSI was developed by French and Belgian specialists. The initial version had 18 descriptors and 4 NP dimensions: spontaneous pain (burning, painful cool, pressure, pressing, cramps and dulling), spontaneous paroxysmal (electrical shock, shooting, stabbing, piercing pain), provoked pain or worsened by touching the painful area (brushing, pressure, contact with something cold, contact with something warm), evaluate paresthesia and dysesthesia in painful area (pins and needles, tingling, numbness, itching)\textsuperscript{30}. Final NPSI version included 12 items in total: 10 are differential symptoms descriptors and 2 items evaluate spontaneous and paroxysmal spontaneous pain\textsuperscript{30}. The tool evaluates mean pain intensity in the last 24h in a verbal numeric scale from zero (no pain) to 10 (worst imaginable pain). Total pain intensity score may be calculated by the sum of 10 descriptors\textsuperscript{9}. The validation process of the Portuguese version has shown that the self-applicable version is valid, reliable and sensitive to changes in both central and peripheral NP\textsuperscript{9}.

CONCLUSION

Described tools may be used to screen NP cases, especially when used by non-specialists. Seven of them were validated for NP in general and three for specific types of NP. These tools differ among themselves with regard to application time and mode, as well as to the ability to detect NP. Since up to 20% of NP cases are not identified by such tools, these cannot be used to replace clinical diagnosis. These tools should be used in the version validated to the language of the country where they will be applied, being that in Brazil we have available versions of LANSSS, DN4, NPSI and PQAS.
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